

TOPOLOGICAL INVARIANCE OF WEIGHTS FOR WEIGHTED HOMOGENEOUS ISOLATED SINGULARITIES IN \mathbb{C}^3

OSAMU SAEKI

(Communicated by Irwin Kra)

ABSTRACT. We show that the weights of a weighted homogeneous polynomial f in \mathbb{C}^3 with an isolated singularity are local topological invariants of $(\mathbb{C}^3, f^{-1}(0))$ at the origin.

1. Introduction. A polynomial $f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ in \mathbb{C}^n is called *weighted homogeneous* if there exist positive rational numbers (w_1, \dots, w_n) such that for every monomial $cz_1^{a_1} \cdots z_n^{a_n}$ ($c \neq 0$) of f , $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i/w_i = 1$. We call (w_1, \dots, w_n) the *weights* of f . If $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n; \partial f/\partial z_1(z) = \cdots = \partial f/\partial z_n(z) = 0\} = \{0\}$ as germs at the origin in \mathbb{C}^n , we say that f has an *isolated singularity* at the origin. In this case we may assume $w_i \geq 2$ after a suitable coordinate transformation [16]. Thus in this paper we assume that all weights are greater than or equal to 2.

Saito [16] proved that weights are local analytic invariants of $(\mathbb{C}^n, f^{-1}(0))$ at the origin for every weighted homogeneous polynomial f with an isolated singularity. Then it arises the question whether they are topological invariants or not. Yoshinaga-Suzuki [18] have answered the question affirmatively in the case $n = 2$. (See also [7].) In this paper we answer the question affirmatively in the case $n = 3$, namely

THEOREM 1. *Let $f(x, y, z)$ (resp. $g(x, y, z)$) be a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weights (w_1, w_2, w_3) (resp. (w'_1, w'_2, w'_3)) with an isolated singularity. If $(\mathbb{C}^3, f^{-1}(0))$ is locally homeomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^3, g^{-1}(0))$ at the origin, then we have $w_i = w'_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) up to order.*

REMARK. Let f be a weighted homogeneous polynomial in \mathbb{C}^n with an isolated singularity at the origin. Then the local topological type of $(\mathbb{C}^n, f^{-1}(0))$ at the origin is determined by the weights of f [8].

Our proof of Theorem 1 heavily depends on a result of Orlik-Vogt-Zieschang [13] about Seifert 3-manifolds and a result of Yoshinaga [17] about characteristic polynomials. As a corollary to Theorem 1 we deduce the case $n = 2$, using the cyclic suspension of knots. Furthermore we consider an application to Zariski's question [19] concerning the multiplicity of a hypersurface singularity (§3).

The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to T. Nishimura for his helpful comments and suggestions.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. Let f be a polynomial in \mathbb{C}^n ($f(0) = 0$) with an isolated singularity at the origin. Then we define the $(2n - 1)$ -manifold K_f by $K_f = S_\varepsilon^{2n-1} \cap f^{-1}(0)$, where S_ε^{2n-1} is the $(2n - 1)$ -sphere of radius ε centered at

Received by the editors June 9, 1987, and in revised form, July 9, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 32C40, 14B05.

©1988 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/88 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

the origin and $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small [4]. We call K_f the *link* of the singularity of f . Furthermore we denote by $\Delta_f(t)$ the characteristic polynomial of the Milnor fibration of f [4]. Then one has the following.

LEMMA 2. *Let f and g be polynomials in \mathbb{C}^n ($f(0) = g(0) = 0$) with isolated singularities at the origin. If $(\mathbb{C}^n, f^{-1}(0))$ is locally homeomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^n, g^{-1}(0))$ at the origin, then we have*

- (i) $\pi_1(K_f) \cong \pi_1(K_g)$ and
- (ii) $\Delta_f(t) = \Delta_g(t)$.

Part (i) of the above lemma is proved by the same argument as in [14, §2]. Part (ii) is a result of Lê [3].

For the proof of Theorem 1, it suffices to prove the following.

THEOREM 3. *Let $f(x, y, z)$ (resp. $g(x, y, z)$) be a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weights (w_1, w_2, w_3) (resp. (w'_1, w'_2, w'_3)) with an isolated singularity. If $\pi_1(K_f) \cong \pi_1(K_g)$ and $\Delta_f(t) = \Delta_g(t)$, then we have $w_i = w'_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) up to order.*

PROOF. First, we assume that $\pi_1(K_f)$ is a finite group. Then $\pi_1(K_g)$ is also finite. In this case f and g have simple critical points at the origin [2]. Hence they are right equivalent to one of the five classes of germs in Table 1 of [2]. Since the five classes of germs are weighted homogeneous with distinct local fundamental groups and weights are invariant under a coordinate transformation [16], f and g have the same weights. In case $\pi_1(K_f)$ is infinite nilpotent, a similar argument can be used. Thus in the following we assume that $\pi_1(K_f) \cong \pi_1(K_g)$ is neither finite nor infinite nilpotent.

Remember that K_f and K_g admit natural fixed point free actions of S^1 [11]. In other words, they are Seifert 3-manifolds. Since $\pi_1(K_f) \cong \pi_1(K_g)$ is neither finite nor infinite nilpotent, K_f and K_g are large Seifert 3-manifolds in the sense of Orlik [10]. (Note that the small Seifert 3-manifold with Seifert invariants $\{-2; (o, 0, 0, 0); (2, 1), (2, 1), (2, 1), (2, 1)\}$ does not occur as the link of an isolated singularity, since its Euler number is zero. See [6].) Thus K_f and K_g have the same Seifert invariants by Orlik-Vogt-Zieschang [13, 10, p. 97]. (Note that K_f and K_g are *orientation preservingly* homeomorphic to each other.)

Now let $w_i = u_i/v_i$ and $w'_i = u'_i/v'_i$ be irreducible fractions. Then by Yoshinaga [17], the following (1) and (2) hold.

- (1) $\{2, u_1, u_2, u_3\} = \{2, u'_1, u'_2, u'_3\}$.
- (2) For every $u \in \{2, u_1, u_2, u_3\}$,

$$\prod_{u_i=u} \left(1 - \frac{u_i}{v_i}\right) = \prod_{u'_i=u} \left(1 - \frac{u'_i}{v'_i}\right)$$

where the product over an empty set is assumed to be one.

Case 1. u_1, u_2 , and u_3 are pairwise distinct.

Using (1) and (2), we see easily $u_i/v_i = u'_i/v'_i$ up to order.

Case 2. $u_1 = u_2 = u_3$.

If $u_i = 2$, $\pi_1(K_f)$ is finite. Thus we may assume $u_i > 2$. Then we have $u'_1 = u'_2 = u'_3$ or $u'_1 = u'_2 \neq u'_3 = 2$ or $u'_1 \neq u'_2 = u'_3 = 2$ by (1). In case

$$u'_1 = u'_2 \neq u'_3 = 2,$$

$$\prod_{u_i=2} \left(1 - \frac{u_i}{v_i}\right) \neq \prod_{u'_i=2} \left(1 - \frac{u'_i}{v'_i}\right).$$

This contradicts (2). In case $u'_1 \neq u'_2 = u'_3 = 2$, $\pi_1(K_g)$ is finite. Thus we may assume $u_1 = u_2 = u_3 = u'_1 = u'_2 = u'_3$.

By [11 and 12] (see also [15]), we see that the Seifert 3-manifold K_f (resp. K_g) has stability groups of orders v_1, v_2 , and v_3 (resp. v'_1, v'_2 , and v'_3). (Possibly $v_i = 1$ or $v'_i = 1$.) Since K_f and K_g have the same Seifert invariants, we have $v_i = v'_i$ up to order. Hence $u_i/v_i = u'_i/v'_i$.

Case 3. $u_1 \neq u_2 = u_3$.

Using (1) and (2), we obtain $u_1 = u'_1 \neq u_2 = u_3 = u'_2 = u'_3$ after renumbering indices if necessary. Suppose that the Seifert 3-manifold K_f (resp. K_g) has Seifert invariants $\{-b; (o, h, 0, 0); (\alpha_1, \beta_1), \dots, (\alpha_r, \beta_r)\}$ (resp. $\{-b'; (o, h', 0, 0); (\alpha'_1, \beta'_1), \dots, (\alpha'_r, \beta'_r)\}$). By [11], $b = d/q_1q_2q_3 + \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i/\alpha_i$ and $b' = d'/q'_1q'_2q'_3 + \sum_{i=1}^r \beta'_i/\alpha'_i$, where d (resp. d') is the least common multiple of u_1, u_2 , and u_3 (resp. u'_1, u'_2 , and u'_3) and q_i (resp. q'_i) is dv_i/u_i (resp. $d'v'_i/u'_i$). Since $d = d', b = b', r = s$, and $(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = (\alpha'_i, \beta'_i)$ up to order, we have $q_1q_2q_3 = q'_1q'_2q'_3$. Thus we obtain $v_1v_2v_3 = v'_1v'_2v'_3$. Using this equation and (2), we see $v_1 = v'_1, v_2v_3 = v'_2v'_3$, and $v_2 + v_3 = v'_2 + v'_3$. Thus we obtain $v_2 = v'_2$ and $v_3 = v'_3$ after renumbering indices if necessary. Hence $w_i = w'_i$. This completes the proof.

REMARK. (1) Orlik [9] asserts that, for polynomials f and g as in Theorem 1, if K_f and K_g are not lens spaces and $\pi_1(K_f)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1(K_g)$, then f and g have the same weights. If this assertion were true, Theorem 1 follows immediately. However, the above assertion of Orlik is not true. For example, $x^2 + y^7 + z^{14\alpha}$ and $x^3 + y^4 + z^{12\alpha}$ ($\alpha \geq 1$) have homeomorphic links which are not lens spaces; however, they have distinct weights. In fact, their links are homeomorphic to the Seifert 3-manifold with Seifert invariants $\{-1; (o, 3, 0, 0); (\alpha, \alpha - 1)\}$ (see [10, 11]). Note that the results of Orlik [9] remain true, since he uses the above assertion only in the case that the fundamental groups are finite or infinite nilpotent.

(2) In higher dimensions, Theorem 3 does not hold. For example,

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = z_1^2z_2 + z_1z_2^6 + z_3^3 + z_4^{13} + z_5^2 + \dots + z_n^2$$

and

$$g(z_1, \dots, z_n) = z_1^3z_2 + z_1z_2^4 + z_3^3 + z_4^{13} + z_5^2 + \dots + z_n^2 \quad (n \geq 4)$$

have homeomorphic links and the same characteristic polynomial; however, they have distinct weights. In fact, $(\mathbb{C}^n, f^{-1}(0))$ is not locally homeomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^n, g^{-1}(0))$ at the origin [15]. We do not know whether Theorem 1 also holds in higher dimensions.

3. Applications. As a corollary to Theorem 1, we have the following result concerning the case $n = 2$.

COROLLARY 4 (YOSHINAGA-SUZUKI [18], NISHIMURA [7]). *Let $f(x, y)$ (resp. $g(x, y)$) be a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weights (w_1, w_2) (resp. (w'_1, w'_2)) with an isolated singularity. If $(\mathbb{C}^2, f^{-1}(0))$ is locally homeomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^2, g^{-1}(0))$ at the origin, then we have $w_i = w'_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) up to order.*

To prove Corollary 4, we need the following.

LEMMA 5. *Let f and g be polynomials in \mathbf{C}^2 ($f(0) = g(0) = 0$) with isolated singularities at the origin. If $(\mathbf{C}^2, f^{-1}(0))$ is locally homeomorphic to $(\mathbf{C}^2, g^{-1}(0))$ at the origin, then (S_ε^3, K_f) is relatively homeomorphic to (S_ε^3, K_g) .*

One can prove Lemma 5 using the following two facts and Lemma 2 (ii). The detailed proof is omitted.

FACT 1 [1]. If f and g are irreducible polynomials and $\Delta_f(t) = \Delta_g(t)$, then (S_ε^3, K_f) is relatively homeomorphic to (S_ε^3, K_g) .

FACT 2 [20]. Let $f = p_1 \cdots p_r$ and $g = q_1 \cdots q_r$ be irreducible factorizations. If $(S_\varepsilon^3, K_{p_i})$ is relatively homeomorphic to $(S_\varepsilon^3, K_{q_i})$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) and the linking number of K_{p_i} and K_{p_j} in S_ε^3 is the same as that of K_{q_i} and K_{q_j} ($1 \leq i, j \leq r, i \neq j$), then (S_ε^3, K_f) is relatively homeomorphic to (S_ε^3, K_g) .

PROOF OF COROLLARY 4. Set $f_1(x, y, z) = f(x, y) + z^2$ and $g_1(x, y, z) = g(x, y) + z^2$. Then f_1 (resp. g_1) is weighted homogeneous with weights $(w_1, w_2, 2)$ (resp. $(w'_1, w'_2, 2)$) with an isolated singularity. Note that (S^5, K_{f_1}) (resp. (S^5, K_{g_1})) is the 2-fold cyclic suspension of (S^3, K_f) (resp. (S^3, K_g)) [5]. Since (S^3, K_f) is relatively homeomorphic to (S^3, K_g) by Lemma 5, (S^5, K_{f_1}) is relatively homeomorphic to (S^5, K_{g_1}) . Since $(\mathbf{C}^3, f_1^{-1}(0))$ (resp. $(\mathbf{C}^3, g_1^{-1}(0))$) is locally the cone over (S^5, K_{f_1}) (resp. (S^5, K_{g_1})) [4], $(\mathbf{C}^3, f_1^{-1}(0))$ is locally homeomorphic to $(\mathbf{C}^3, g_1^{-1}(0))$ at the origin. Thus by Theorem 1, f_1 and g_1 have the same weights. This implies $w_i = w'_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) up to order.

Next we consider an application to Zariski's question [19]: Is the multiplicity of a hypersurface singularity a topological invariant?

LEMMA 6. *Let $f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ be a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weights (w_1, \dots, w_n) with an isolated singularity. Then the multiplicity of f at the origin is equal to $\min\{m \in \mathbf{Z}; m \geq w\}$, where $w = \min\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$.*

Lemma 6 follows from the definition of weights and Korollar 1.6 of [16]. The detailed proof is omitted.

Using Lemma 6 and Theorem 1, we have the following immediately.

PROPOSITION 7. *Let $f(x, y, z)$ (resp. $g(x, y, z)$) be a weighted homogeneous polynomial in \mathbf{C}^3 with an isolated singularity. If $(\mathbf{C}^3, f^{-1}(0))$ is locally homeomorphic to $(\mathbf{C}^3, g^{-1}(0))$ at the origin, then f and g have the same multiplicity at the origin.*

REFERENCES

1. W. Burau, *Kennzeichnung der Schlauchknoten*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **9** (1933), 125–133.
2. A. Durfee, *Fifteen characterizations of rational double points and simple critical points*, l'Enseignement Math. **25** (1979), 131–163.
3. Lê Dũng Tráng, *Topologie des singularités des hypersurfaces complexes*, Astérisque **7** et **8** (1973), 171–182.
4. J. Milnor, *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*, Ann. of Math. Studies, no. 61, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1968.
5. W. D. Neumann, *Cyclic suspension of knots and periodicity of signature for singularities*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1974), 977–981.
6. W. D. Neumann and F. Raymond, *Seifert manifolds, plumbing, μ -invariant and orientation reversing maps*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 664, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1977, 163–196.

7. T. Nishimura, *Topological invariance of weights for weighted homogeneous singularities*, Kodai Math. J. **9** (1986), 188–190.
8. M. Oka, *Deformation of Milnor fiberings*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **20** (1973), 397–400.
9. P. Orlik, *Weighted homogeneous polynomials and fundamental groups*, Topology **9** (1970), 267–273.
10. —, *Seifert manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 291, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1972.
11. P. Orlik and P. Wagreich, *Isolated singularities of algebraic surfaces with C^* action*, Ann. of Math. (2) **93** (1971), 205–228.
12. —, *Algebraic surfaces with k^* -action*, Acta Math. **138** (1977), 43–81.
13. P. Orlik, E. Vogt and H. Zieschang, *Zur Topologie gefaserter drei-dimensionaler Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Topology **6** (1967), 49–64.
14. B. Perron, *Conjugaison topologique des germes de fonctions holomorphes à singularité isolée en dimension trois*, Invent. Math. **82** (1985), 27–35.
15. O. Saeki, *Knotted homology spheres defined by weighted homogeneous polynomials*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **34** (1987), 43–50.
16. K. Saito, *Quasihomogene isolierte Singularitäten von Hyperflächen*, Invent. Math. **14** (1971), 123–142.
17. E. Yoshinaga, *Topological types of isolated singularities defined by weighted homogeneous polynomials*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **35** (1983), 431–436.
18. E. Yoshinaga and M. Suzuki, *Topological types of quasihomogeneous singularities in C^2* , Topology **18** (1979), 113–116.
19. O. Zariski, *Some open questions in the theory of singularities*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **77** (1971), 481–491.
20. —, *General theory of saturation and saturated local rings. II*, Amer. J. Math. **93** (1971), 872–964.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO,
HONGO, TOKYO (113), JAPAN

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Yamagata University, Yamagata (990), Japan