

## PARTITIONER-REPRESENTABLE ALGEBRAS

R. FRANKIEWICZ AND P. ZBIERSKI

(Communicated by Thomas J. Jech)

**ABSTRACT.** We give a simple proof of the theorem of Baumgartner and Weese on representability of Boolean algebras. We also show that the representability of  $P(\omega_1)$  implies the existence of a relative  $Q_3$ -set.

In [B-W], Baumgartner and Weese introduced so called partition algebras: if  $E$  is a maximal antichain in  $P(\omega)/\text{fin}$ , then an element  $a \in P(\omega)/\text{fin}$  is called a partitioner of  $E$  if, for each  $e \in E$  either  $e \leq a$  or  $e \cdot a = 0$  and the subalgebra of all the partitioners factorized mod  $[E]$  ( $[E]$  denotes the ideal generated by the set  $E$ ) is called the partition algebra of  $E$ . Finite sums  $e_1 + \dots + e_n$  of elements of  $E$  are called trivial partitioners. Of course, the notion of a partitioner of an antichain is meaningful in an arbitrary Boolean algebra.

Baumgartner and Weese proved the following

**THEOREM 1.** *Assuming CH, every Boolean algebra  $A$ , of cardinality  $\leq c = 2^\omega$ , is partitioner-representable i.e. it is isomorphic to the partition algebra of a maximal antichain  $E$  in  $P(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .*

We present below a simple proof of this theorem.

Observe that it suffices to construct a Parovičenko extension  $A^*$  of  $A$  and a antichain  $E$  in  $A^*$  such that the following two conditions are satisfied:

(1) Each nonzero element  $a \in A$  is a nontrivial partitioner of  $E$  (nontrivial means that  $e \leq a$  holds for infinitely many  $e \in E$ ).

(2) Each element  $b \in A^* \setminus A$  is either a nonpartitioner or is congruent mod  $[E]$  to an element of  $A$ .

Indeed, because of Parovičenko characterisation (see e.g. [C-N])  $A^*$  is (up to isomorphism) the algebra  $P(\omega)/\text{fin}$ , each partitioner  $b \in A^*$  is congruent mod  $[E]$  to a unique element  $f(b)$  of  $A$  and the map  $f$  is then a homomorphism with kernel  $[E]$ . Note, that (1) and (2) imply that  $E$  is in fact a maximal antichain.

We define  $A^*$  and  $E$  as unions of increasing chains

$$A^* = \bigcup \{A_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}, \quad E = \bigcup \{E_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\},$$

where the  $A_\alpha$ 's and  $E_\alpha$ 's are defined inductively, so that (the inductive assumption): each nonzero  $a \in A$  is a partitioner of  $E_\alpha$  but  $a \notin [E_\alpha]$ . We begin with  $A_0 = A$ ,  $E_0 = \emptyset$  and take unions at limit stages. It remains to describe the successor step. We may assume, that at each stage  $\alpha$  we have fixed an enumeration of:

(a) All the nonzero elements of  $A_\alpha$ .

---

Received by the editors May 10, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 03E50; Secondary 03E05, 06E05.

©1988 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/88 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

(b) All decreasing chains  $b_0 > b_1 > \dots$ , in  $A_\alpha$ .

(c) All chains of the form  $b_0 > b_1 > \dots > a_1 > a_0$ , in  $A_\alpha$ , so that at a given stage  $\alpha$  we have an object  $x$  of type (a), (b) or (c) namely, the  $K(\alpha)$ th term of the  $L(\alpha)$ th enumeration (where  $K, L$  denote the inverses of a fixed pairing function:  $\omega \times \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega_1$ ).

Now, given  $\alpha$ , if  $x$  is as in (b) or (c) we put  $E_{\alpha+1} = E_\alpha$  and extend  $A_\alpha$  by adding a new element below the  $b_n$ 's, in case (b) or in between the  $b_n$ 's and  $a_n$ 's, in case (c). To do this, identify  $A_\alpha$  with the field  $B(X_\alpha)$  of open-closed sets of the associated Stone space  $X_\alpha$  and take  $A_{\alpha+1}$  as the subfield of  $P(X_\alpha)$  generated by  $A_\alpha = B(X_\alpha)$  and the set  $b = \bigcap \{b_n : n < \omega\}$ .

If  $x$  is as in (a) we distinguish two cases. First, suppose  $x \in A$ . We may assume, that there is an atom  $a \leq x$ , disjoint from  $E_\alpha$ , for otherwise we replace  $A_\alpha = B(X_\alpha)$  by the field generated by  $A_\alpha$  and  $\{p\}$ , where  $p \in x \setminus \bigcup E_\alpha$  (note, that — by the inductive assumption — the family  $\{x \setminus \bigcup s : s \in E_\alpha^{<\omega}\}$  is centered). Let  $Y = (X_\alpha \setminus a) + Z$  (direct sum), where  $Z$  is one-point compactification of  $\omega$ , and put  $A_{\alpha+1} = B(Y)$ .

Thus,  $A_\alpha \subseteq A_{\alpha+1}$  since  $X_\alpha$  is a continuous image of  $Y$  and in  $A_{\alpha+1}$  there are infinitely many new atoms  $e_0, e_1, \dots$  below  $a \leq x$  and all disjoint from  $E_\alpha$ . Let  $E_{\alpha+1} = E_\alpha \cup \{e_n : n < \omega\}$ . Thus, each element of  $A$  remains a partitioner not in  $[E_{\alpha+1}]$  and  $x$  becomes a nontrivial partitioner.

Finally, suppose  $x \in A_\alpha \setminus A$  and  $x$  is a partitioner noncongruent mod  $[E_\alpha]$  to elements of  $A$  (if  $x \in A_\alpha \setminus A$  is not such, we add only a new atom under  $x$  and take  $E_{\alpha+1} = E_\alpha$ ). Then, the complement  $-x$  has the same property and the noncongruency condition implies that the ideal  $I \subseteq A$ , generated by the set  $\{a \in A : a \cdot x \in [E_\alpha] \text{ or } a \cdot (-x) \in [E_\alpha]\}$  is proper. If  $p$  is an ultrafilter in  $A$  extending  $-I = \{-a : a \in I\}$ , then  $\bigcap p \setminus \bigcup E_\alpha$  intersects both  $x$  and  $-x$ , in  $X_\alpha$ . Hence, we can extend  $A_\alpha$  by adding two new atoms:  $e_0 \leq x$  and  $e_1 \leq -x$ , both disjoint from  $E_\alpha$  and such that for each  $a \in A$  either  $e_0, e_1 \leq a$  or  $e_0, e_1 \leq -a$ . Defining  $E_{\alpha+1}$  as  $E_\alpha \cup \{e_0 + e_1\}$  we see that the inductive assumption holds for  $E_{\alpha+1}$  and  $x$  becomes now a nonpartitioner. Q.E.D.

REMARK. As the referee pointed out, the Theorem above can be generalized for higher cardinalities as follows: Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal. For every Boolean algebra  $A$ , of cardinality  $\leq 2^\kappa$  there is an extension  $A^*$  of cardinality  $2^\kappa$  and a maximal antichain  $E \subseteq A^*$  such that  $A^*$  satisfies the condition  $H(\kappa^+)$  [C-N, p. 119] and  $A$  is isomorphic to the partition algebra of  $E$ .

We prove now, that — consistently — there is an algebra of cardinality  $c$ , which is not partitioner-representable. Recall that an uncountable subset  $X$  of Cantor space is said to be a  $Q_\alpha$ -set, if each subset  $Y \subseteq X$  is Borel, relatively in  $X$ , of order at most  $\alpha$ . Miller, in [M], has constructed a model of ZFC in which there are no  $Q_\alpha$ -sets, for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and  $2^\omega = 2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2$ .

Hence, it suffices to prove the following

**THEOREM 2.** *If the algebra  $P(\omega_1)$  is partitioner-representable, then there is a  $Q_3$ -set.*

PROOF. Let  $A = P(\omega_1)$  (or, more generally, let  $A$  be complete, atomic). By assumption, there is maximal antichain  $E \subseteq P(\omega)$ /fin and an isomorphism  $f$  from  $A$  onto the partition algebra of  $E$ . For each nonzero  $a \in A$  we take a set  $S(a) \subseteq \omega$

such that

$$f(a) = S(a)/\text{fin}/[E].$$

Let us denote

$$E(a) = \{e \in E : e \leq S(a)/\text{fin}\}.$$

Thus, for  $a > 0$ ,  $E(a)$  is infinite and

$$(*) \quad \begin{aligned} a \leq b &\text{ implies } E(a) \setminus E(b) \text{ is finite,} \\ a \circ b = 0 &\text{ implies } E(a) \cap E(b) \text{ is finite.} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $e_n^a = E_n^a/\text{fin}$ , for  $a > 0$  and  $n < \omega$ , be distinct elements from  $E(a)$ . The Cantor space  $C$  will be represented as  $C = (2^\omega)^\omega$ . To each  $a$  we assign an element  $x^a = \langle x_n^a : n < \omega \rangle$  of  $C$  defined as follows:  $x_n^a$  is  $\chi_{E_n^a}$ , the characteristic function of the set  $E_n^a$ . By (\*) the set

$$X = \{x^a : a \text{ is an atom of } A\}$$

has cardinality  $\omega_1$ . To see that  $X$  is a  $Q_3$ -set let

$$K_n(a) = \{x \in C : x_n \leq \chi_{S(a)}\}$$

(where  $x \leq y$ , for  $x, y \in 2^\omega$ , means that the set  $\{n : x(n) > y(n)\}$  is finite) and let

$$q(a) = \bigcap_{n < \omega} \bigcup_{i > n} K_i(a).$$

Thus, for each  $a$ ,  $q(a)$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ , in  $C$  and  $x^a \in q(b)$  iff for infinitely many  $n$  we have  $E_n^a \subseteq S(b)$  (i.e.  $E_n^a \setminus S(b)$  is finite). From (\*) we obtain immediately

$$\begin{aligned} a \leq b &\text{ implies } x^a \in q(b), \\ a \cdot b = 0 &\text{ implies } x^a \notin q(b). \end{aligned}$$

Using the above formulas we infer at once, that for an arbitrary  $Y \subseteq X$ :

$$Y = q(b_Y) \cap X$$

where  $b_Y = \bigcup \{a : x^a \in Y\}$ . Q.E.D.

#### REFERENCES

- [B-W] J. E. Baumgartner and M. Weese, *Partition algebras for almost-disjoint sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **274** (1982), 619–630.  
 [C-N] W. Comfort and S. Negrepointis, *The theory of ultrafilters*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1974.  
 [M] A. Miller, *On the length of Borel hierarchies*, Ann. Math. Logic **16** (1979), 233–267.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, SNIADOCKICH 8, WARSZAWA, POLAND

UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PKiN IXP., WARSZAWA, POLAND