

## GROTHENDIECK GROUPS OF ALGEBRAS WITH NILPOTENT ANNIHILATORS

MAURICE AUSLANDER AND IDUN REITEN

(Communicated by Donald S. Passman)

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $R$  be a commutative noetherian ring and  $i: R \rightarrow \Lambda$  an  $R$ -algebra such that  $\Lambda$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Then the annihilator of  $\Lambda$  in  $R$  is nilpotent if and only if the cokernel of the induced map of Grothendieck groups  $i^*: K_0(\text{mod } \Lambda) \rightarrow K_0(\text{mod } R)$  is a torsion group.

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field, and let  $S = k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  be the formal power series ring in  $n$  variables over  $k$ . Let  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $\text{GL}(n, k)$ . Hence  $G$  acts as a group of  $k$ -automorphisms of  $S$ , and we denote by  $R$  the fixed ring  $R = S^G$ . Denote by  $K_0(\text{mod } R)$  the Grothendieck group of the category of finitely generated  $R$ -modules mod  $R$  modulo exact sequences. In [1] we proved that  $K_0(\text{mod } R)$  is finitely generated. Under the additional assumption that  $G$  acts freely we proved that  $K_0(\text{mod } R)$  is isomorphic to  $Z[R] \amalg H$ , where  $H$  is a finite group and  $[R]$  denotes the image of  $R$  in  $K_0(\text{mod } R)$ . The motivation for this paper was to show that the assumption that  $G$  acts freely is not necessary. The proof we give here is based on the proof given in the Bielefeld May 1985 conference on representation theory and singularity theory. A different proof in the case that  $G$  is abelian has been given in [3]. Our desired result is an easy consequence of the following general result which is also of independent interest. For the rest of the paper  $R$  denotes a commutative noetherian ring and  $\Lambda$  an  $R$ -algebra via a fixed map  $i: R \rightarrow \Lambda$  such that  $\Lambda$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module.

**THEOREM.** *The annihilator of  $\Lambda$ ,  $\text{ann}_R \Lambda$ , is a nilpotent ideal in  $R$  if and only if  $\text{Coker}(K_0(\text{mod } \Lambda) \xrightarrow{i^*} K_0(\text{mod } R))$  is a torsion group.*

This result is a direct consequence of the following two propositions.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *If  $\text{ann}_R \Lambda$  is nilpotent, then*

$$\text{Coker}(K_0(\text{mod } \Lambda) \xrightarrow{i^*} K_0(\text{mod } R))$$

*is torsion.*

**PROOF.** Now,  $\text{ann}_R \Lambda$  is nilpotent if and only if  $\Lambda_p \neq 0$  for all prime ideals  $p$  in  $R$ . From this characterization it follows easily that if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an ideal in  $R$  and we consider the  $R/\mathfrak{A}$ -algebra  $R/\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \Lambda/\mathfrak{A}\Lambda$ , then  $\text{ann}_{R/\mathfrak{A}} \Lambda/\mathfrak{A}\Lambda$  is nilpotent. Assume that the proposition is false. Then because  $R$  is noetherian there is an ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  in  $R$  such that  $\text{Coker}(K_0(\text{mod } \Lambda/\mathfrak{A}\Lambda) \rightarrow K_0(\text{mod } R/\mathfrak{A}))$  is not torsion, and

---

Received by the editors December 1, 1986 and, in revised form, March 30, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 13D15; Secondary 19A49.

Written with partial support from NSF.

$\text{Coker}(K_0(\text{mod } \Lambda/b\Lambda) \rightarrow K_0(\text{mod } R/b))$  is torsion for any ideal  $\mathfrak{B}$  in  $R$  properly containing  $\mathfrak{A}$ . We can clearly assume that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is zero.

Consider for a minimal prime ideal  $p$  in  $R$  the commutative exact diagram [2, p. 642]

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \lim_{t \notin p} K_0(\text{mod } \Lambda/t\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\text{mod } \Lambda) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\text{mod } \Lambda_p) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow \beta \\ \lim_{t \notin p} K_0(\text{mod } R/tR) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\text{mod } R) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\text{mod } R_p) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The snake lemma gives the exact sequence  $\text{Coker } \alpha \rightarrow \text{Coker } i^* \rightarrow \text{Coker } \beta \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $R_p$  is a local artin ring,  $K_0(\text{mod } R_p) \simeq Z$ , and since  $\Lambda_p \neq 0$ ,  $\beta$  is not zero, so that  $\text{Coker } \beta$  is torsion. By our assumption  $\text{Coker}(K_0(\text{mod } \Lambda/t\Lambda) \rightarrow K_0(\text{mod } R/tR))$  is torsion since  $t \neq 0$ , and hence  $\text{Coker } \alpha$  is torsion. From this it follows that  $\text{Coker } i^*$  is torsion, which contradicts our assumption, and the proof is done.

PROPOSITION 2. *We have  $\text{rank}(\text{Coker } K_0(\text{mod } \Lambda \xrightarrow{i^*} K_0(\text{mod } R))) \geq n$ , where  $n$  denotes the number of minimal primes in  $R$  not containing  $\mathfrak{A} = \text{ann}_R \Lambda$ .*

PROOF. Let  $p_1, \dots, p_n, \dots, p_r$  be the minimal primes in  $R$ , where  $\mathfrak{A} \not\subseteq p_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $\mathfrak{A} \subset p_i$  for  $n < i \leq r$ . Let  $T = R \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^r p_i$ , and consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(\text{mod } R/\mathfrak{A}) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\text{mod } (R/\mathfrak{A})_T) \\ & & \downarrow \gamma \\ & & K_0(\text{mod } R_T) \\ & \downarrow \delta & \\ & K_0(\text{mod } R) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\text{mod } R_T) \end{array}$$

Since  $R_T$  is artin,  $K_0(\text{mod } R_T)$  is a free group with basis  $\{(R/p_i)_T; 1 \leq i \leq r\}$  and since  $(R/\mathfrak{A})_T$  is artin  $K_0(\text{mod } (R/\mathfrak{A})_T)$  is a free group with basis  $\{(R/p_i)_T; r \leq i \leq r\}$ . Hence we have  $\text{rank Coker } \delta \geq \text{rank Coker } \gamma \geq n$ . Since  $i: R \rightarrow \Lambda$  has the factorization  $R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \Lambda$ , we get  $\text{rank Coker } i^* \geq \text{rank Coker } \delta \geq n$ .

We end this note with the following consequences of Proposition 1.

COROLLARY 3. *If  $\Lambda$  is a commutative semilocal regular domain and  $R \subset \Lambda$ , then  $\text{rank } K_0(\text{mod } R) = 1$ .*

PROOF. Since  $\Lambda$  is regular,  $K_0(\text{mod } \Lambda) \simeq K_0(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda), 0)$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  denotes the category of finite generated projective  $\Lambda$ -modules and  $K_0(\mathcal{P}, 0)$  denotes the free group on the isomorphism classes of objects in  $\mathcal{P}$  modulo split exact sequences. Then  $K_0(\text{mod } \Lambda) \simeq Z$  since every finitely generated projective  $\Lambda$ -module is free. Since  $R \subset \Lambda$ ,  $R$  is also a domain. If  $L$  denotes the quotient field of  $R$ , we have a surjection  $K_0(\text{mod } R) \rightarrow K_0(\text{mod } L)$ , so that  $\text{rank } K_0(\text{mod } R) \geq 1$ . It then follows from Proposition 1 that  $\text{rank } K_0(\text{mod } R) = 1$ .

COROLLARY 4. *Let  $\Lambda$  be a commutative complete regular local domain and  $G$  a finite group acting on  $\Lambda$  as ring automorphisms, such that the order of  $G$  is invertible in  $\Lambda$ . Then  $K_0(\text{mod } \Lambda^G) \simeq Z \oplus H$ , where  $H$  is a finite group.*

PROOF.  $\Lambda$  is a finitely generated  $\Lambda^G$ -module [4, Corollary 5.9] and  $R = \Lambda^G$  is noetherian [4, Corollary 1.12]. Then  $\text{rank } K_0(\text{mod } \Lambda^G) = 1$  follows from Corollary 3, and it only remains to show that  $K_0(\text{mod } \Lambda^G)$  is finitely generated. This

follows as in [1, Proposition 3.4]: Since  $\text{End}_{\Lambda G}(\Lambda) \simeq \Lambda^G$ , there is a surjection  $K_0(\text{mod } \Lambda G) \rightarrow K_0(\text{mod } \Lambda^G)$ . Further  $\Lambda G$  has finite global dimension since the order of  $G$  is invertible in  $\Lambda$ , and hence  $K_0(\text{mod } \Lambda G) \simeq K_0(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda G), 0)$ . Since by our assumption on  $\Lambda$  the Krull-Schmidt property holds for  $\Lambda G$ ,  $K_0(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda G), 0)$  is finitely generated.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. Auslander and I. Reiten, *Grothendieck groups of algebras and orders*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **29** (1986), 1–51.
2. H. Bass, *Algebraic K-theory*, Benjamin, New York, 1968.
3. J. Herzog and H. Sanders, *The Grothendieck group of invariant rings and of simple hypersurface singularities*,
4. S. Montgomery, *Fixed rings of finite automorphism groups of associative rings*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 818, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1980.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE AND STATE UNIVERSITY, BLACKSBURG, VIRGINIA 24061-4097

*Current address* (Maurice Auslander): Department of Mathematics, Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts 02254

*Current address* (Idun Reiten): Department of Mathematics, University of Trondheim, 7055 Dragvoll, Norway