

## ZEROS OF DIAGONAL EQUATIONS OVER FINITE FIELDS

DAQING WAN

(Communicated by Larry J. Goldstein)

ABSTRACT. Let  $N$  be the number of solutions  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of the equation

$$(1) \quad c_1 x_1^{d_1} + c_2 x_2^{d_2} + \cdots + c_n x_n^{d_n} = c$$

over the finite field  $F_q$ , where  $d_i | (q-1)$ ,  $c_i \in F_q^*$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), and  $c \in F_q$ . If

$$\frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{d_n} > b \geq 1$$

for some positive integer  $b$ , we prove that  $q^b | N$ . This result is an improvement of the theorem that  $p | N$  obtained by B. Morlaye [7] and also by J. R. Joly [3].

**1. Introduction.** Let  $F_q$  be a finite field with  $q = p^f$  elements, where  $p$  is the characteristic of the field. Some attention has been given to the divisibility properties of the number  $N$  of solutions of an equation over  $F_q$ . The basic idea of this research originated from Lebesgue [5], who first noted that

$$N(f(x) = 0) \equiv \sum_{c \in F_q} (1 - f(c)^{q-1}) \pmod{p}$$

where  $f(x) \in F_q[x]$ . After that, it was Warning [11] who first arrived at the conclusion that  $p | N(f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0)$  for  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with  $\deg(f) < n$ , and generalized this result to a system of polynomials. In 1962, J. Ax [1] found a major improvement of Warning's theorem which, in a sense, is best possible. He proved that if  $b$  is the largest integer such that  $b < n/d$ , then  $q^b | N(f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0)$  for any polynomial  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with  $\deg(f) = d$ . In 1971, Ax's theorem was generalized to systems of equations by N. M. Katz [4]. This generalization, in a sense, is also best possible. A more elementary proof of Katz's theorem can be found in [10]. Therefore, the general study of the divisibility properties of the number  $N$  by powers of  $p$  may have come to an end.

For special kinds of equations, however, further results about divisibility of  $N$  by  $p$  can still be obtained by using arithmetic properties of multinomial coefficients. One such result is a theorem of Morlaye [7] and Joly [3] (see also [6, pp. 297–298]), which shows that  $p | N$ , the number of solutions to the diagonal equation (1) over  $F_q$ , provided that  $1/d_1 + 1/d_2 + \cdots + 1/d_n > 1$ .

In this paper, using some ideas of Ax [1], we shall improve the theorem of Morlaye and Joly, and obtain a theorem with the same quality as Ax's theorem. That is,

---

Received by the editors December 16, 1986 and, in revised form, July 15, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 11D79, 11D72; Secondary 11T41.

©1988 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/88 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

we have

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $n$  be the number of solutions of the diagonal equation (1) over  $F_q$ . If there is a positive integer  $b$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{d_n} > b \geq 1,$$

then

$$N \equiv 0 \pmod{q^b}.$$

Note that if  $d_1 = d_2 = \dots = d_n = d$ , a divisor of  $(q - 1)$ , then Theorem 1 reduces to a special case of Ax's theorem.

**2. An auxiliary lemma.** For convenience, first we introduce a lemma which is important in the proof of Theorem 1.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $d_i | (q - 1)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ),  $q = p^f$ , and  $\sum 1/d_i > b$ , where  $b$  is a nonnegative integer. For any  $l_i$  ( $1 \leq l_i \leq d_i - 1$ ), ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) with  $\sum l_i/d_i \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$ , suppose*

$$\frac{q-1}{d_i} l_i = a_{i0} + a_{i1}p + \dots + a_{i(f-1)}p^{f-1}, \quad 0 \leq a_{ij} < p,$$

and let

$$(2) \quad S = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{f-1} a_{ij}.$$

Then  $S \geq f(b + 1)(p - 1)$ .

**PROOF.** For any integers  $j$  and  $r$  with  $j \equiv r \pmod{f}$  and  $0 \leq r \leq f - 1$ , we define  $a_{ij} = a_{ir}$ . Since

$$\frac{q-1}{d_i} l_i = \sum_{j=0}^{f-1} a_{ij} p^j,$$

it follows that, letting  $\langle x \rangle_d$  denote the smallest nonnegative residue of  $x \pmod{d}$ , we have

$$\frac{q-1}{d_i} \langle l_i p^k \rangle_{d_i} = \left\langle \frac{q-1}{d_i} l_i p^k \right\rangle_{q-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{f-1} a_{i(j-k)} p^j.$$

Thus

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=0}^{f-1} \frac{q-1}{d_i} \langle l_i p^k \rangle_{d_i} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=0}^{f-1} a_{ik} \right) \frac{q-1}{p-1}.$$

On the other hand,

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\langle l_i p^k \rangle_{d_i}}{d_i} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{l_i p^k}{d_i} \equiv p^k \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{l_i}{d_i} \equiv 0 \pmod{1},$$

and

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\langle l_i p^k \rangle_{d_i}}{d_i} \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d_i} > b.$$

Therefore,  $\sum \langle l_i p^k \rangle_{d_i} / d_i$  is integral and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\langle l_i p^k \rangle_{d_i}}{d_i} \geq b + 1.$$

Now, (3) gives

$$S \geq (p-1) \sum_{k=0}^{f-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\langle l_i p^k \rangle_{d_i}}{d_i} \geq (p-1)f(b+1).$$

Lemma 2 is proved.

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.** If  $c \neq 0$ , we have the identity

$$N(c_1 x_1^{d_1} + \cdots + c_n x_n^{d_n} = c) = \frac{1}{q-1} [N(c_1 x_1^{d_1} + \cdots + c_n x_n^{d_n} - c x_{n+1}^{q-1} = 0) - N(c_1 x_1^{d_1} + \cdots + c_n x_n^{d_n} = 0)].$$

Since  $1/d_1 + \cdots + 1/d_n + 1/(q-1) > 1/d_1 + \cdots + 1/d_n$ , it is sufficient to prove Theorem 1 for  $c = 0$ . In the following, we let  $N$  denote the number of solutions of the equation

$$c_1 x_1^{d_1} + c_2 x_2^{d_2} + \cdots + c_n x_n^{d_n} = 0$$

over  $F_q$ , where  $c_i \in F_q^*$ .

It is well known that  $N$  can be evaluated by means of Gauss sums. Take a multiplicative character  $\chi$  of  $F_q$  of order  $(q-1)$  and put  $\chi_i = \chi^{(q-1)/d_i}$ . Then  $\chi_i$  is a multiplicative character of  $F_q$  of order  $d_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). From [6, pp. 293–294], we see that

$$(6) \quad N = q^{n-1} + \frac{q-1}{q} \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in T} \chi_1(c_1)^{-j_1} \cdots \chi_n(c_n)^{-j_n} G(\chi_1^{j_1}) \cdots G(\chi_n^{j_n}),$$

where  $T$  is the set of all  $n$ -tuples  $(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that  $1 \leq j_i \leq d_i - 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $\sum j_i/d_i \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$ , and the Gauss sums are defined by

$$G(\chi^j) = \sum_{c \in F_q} \chi^j(c) e^{\text{tr}_{F_q/F_p}(c)(2\pi i/p)}.$$

(6) can be written as

$$(7) \quad N = q^{n-1} + \frac{q-1}{q} \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in T} \chi(c_1)^{-((q-1)/d_1)j_1} \cdots \chi(c_n)^{-((q-1)/d_n)j_n} G(\chi^{((q-1)/d_1)j_1}) \cdots G(\chi^{((q-1)/d_n)j_n}).$$

If  $0 \leq a \leq q-1$ , write  $a = \sum_{i=0}^{f-1} a_i p^i$  with  $0 \leq a_i < p$  and define  $\sigma(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{f-1} a_i$ . Suppose  $\eta_p = 1 - e^{2\pi i/p}$ ; then Stickelberger's congruence [2, p. 212] gives

$$G(\chi^{((q-1)/d_i)j_i}) \equiv 0 \pmod{\eta_p^{\Delta_1}},$$

where  $\Delta_1 = \sigma(((q-1)/d_i)j_i)$ .

Since  $\eta_p^{p-1} = p\varepsilon$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is a unit of  $\mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i/p})$ , from (7) we deduce that

$$(8) \quad N - q^{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{\eta_p^{\Delta}},$$

where

$$\Delta = \min_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in T} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma \left( \frac{q-1}{d_i} j_i \right) - f(p-1) \right].$$

According to Lemma 2,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma \left( \frac{q-1}{d_i} j_i \right) = S \geq (b+1)f(p-1).$$

This and (8) together give

$$N - q^{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{\eta_p^{bf(p-1)}}.$$

That is,

$$N - q^{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{q^b}.$$

Clearly,  $b \leq n-1$ , and so  $N \equiv 0 \pmod{q^b}$ . The proof is complete.

Observing our proof of Lemma 2 and Theorem 1, it is not hard to prove the following better result for equation (1) with  $c = 0$ . That is,

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $b^*(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  be the least positive integer represented by  $\sum_{i=0}^n l_i/d_i$  ( $1 \leq l_i \leq d_i - 1$ ) if there is such an integer; otherwise, let  $b^*(d_1, \dots, d_n) = n - 1$ . Then for equation (1) with  $c = 0$ , we have  $N \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{b^*-1}}$ .*

The fact that  $b^* - 1 \geq b$  can be easily proved. Thus, Theorem 3 is in general stronger than Theorem 1.

The above discussion suggests that it would be of interest to determine  $b^*(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ . In an earlier paper, we gave a necessary and sufficient condition for  $b^*(d_1, \dots, d_n) = n - 1$  (the maximum value of  $b^*$ ); see [9].

The author is grateful to Professor Koblitz, who suggested some comments and corrections.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Ax, *Zeros of polynomials over finite fields*, Amer. J. Math. **86** (1964), 255-261.
2. K. Ireland and M. Rosen, *A classical introduction to modern number theory*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 84, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
3. J. R. Joly, *Équations et variétés algébriques sur un corps fini*, Enseign. Math. (2) **19** (1973), 1-117.
4. N. M. Katz, *On a theorem of Ax*, Amer. J. Math. **93** (1971), 485-499.
5. V. A. Lebesgue, *Recherches sur les nombres*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **1** (1832), 11-111; **2** (1832), 253-292; **3** (1832), 113-144.
6. R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite fields*, Addison-Wesley, 1983.
7. B. Morlaye, *Équations diagonales non homogènes sur un corps fini*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A **272** (1971), 1545-1548.
8. W. M. Schmidt, *Equations over finite fields*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 536, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
9. Sun Qi and Daqing Wan, *On the equation  $\sum_{i=0}^n 1/d_i \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$  and its application*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **100** (1987), 220-224.
10. Daqing Wan, *An elementary proof to a theorem of Katz*, Amer. J. Math. (to appear).
11. E. Warning, *Bermerkung zur Vorstehenden Arbeit von Herr Chevalley*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **11** (1936), 76-83.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GN-50, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98195