

## A PROJECTION FORMULA FOR THE ASKEY-WILSON POLYNOMIALS AND AN APPLICATION

MIZAN RAHMAN

(Communicated by Kenneth R. Meyer)

**ABSTRACT.** A projection formula for  $p_n(x; a, b, c, d|q)$ , the Askey-Wilson polynomials, is obtained by using a generalization of Askey and Wilson's  $q$ -beta integral. The result is used to find a  $q$ -analogue of the Feldheim-Vilenkin formula for ultraspherical polynomials. A  $q$ -analogue of the ultraspherical polynomials, other than the one due to Rogers, is also introduced.

**1. Introduction.** The main objective of this paper is to find a  $q$ -analogue of the projection formula

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{(1-x)^{\alpha+\mu} P_n^{(\alpha+\mu, \beta)}(x)}{(1+x)^{n+\alpha+1} P_n^{(\alpha+\mu, \beta)}(1)} = \frac{2^\mu \Gamma(\alpha+\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\mu)} \int_x^1 \frac{(1-y)^\alpha (y-x)^{\mu-1} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y)}{(1+y)^{n+\alpha+\mu+1} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)} dy,$$

$-1 < x < 1$ ,  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $\mu > 0$ , where  $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$  is the Jacobi polynomial defined by

$$(1.2) \quad P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \frac{(\alpha+1)_n}{n!} {}_2F_1 \left( -n, n+\alpha+\beta+1; \alpha+1; \frac{1-x}{2} \right).$$

A change of variable on both sides of (1.1) gives the accompanying formula

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{(1+x)^{\beta+\mu} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta+\mu)}(x)}{(1-x)^{n+\beta+1} P_n^{(\beta+\mu, \alpha)}(1)} = \frac{2^\mu \Gamma(\beta+\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\beta+1)\Gamma(\mu)} \int_{-1}^x \frac{(1+y)^\beta (x-y)^{\mu-1} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y)}{(1-y)^{n+\beta+\mu+1} P_n^{(\beta, \alpha)}(1)} dy,$$

$-1 < x < 1$ ,  $\beta > -1$ ,  $\mu > 0$ . Askey and Fitch [3] used (1.1) to give a simple proof of Feldheim [10] and Vilenkin's [23] formula

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{C_n^\nu(\cos \theta)}{C_n^\nu(1)} = \frac{2\Gamma(\nu+1/2)}{\Gamma(\lambda+1/2)\Gamma(\nu-\lambda)} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\sin^{2\lambda} \phi \cos^{2\nu-2\lambda-1} \phi (1-\sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi)^{n/2}}{C_n^\lambda(\cos \theta (1-\sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi)^{-1/2})} d\phi, \quad \nu > \lambda > -\frac{1}{2},$$

where

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} C_n^\lambda(\cos \theta) &= \frac{(2\lambda)_n}{(\lambda+1/2)_n} P_n^{(\lambda-1/2, \lambda-1/2)}(\cos \theta) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(\lambda)_k (\lambda)_{n-k}}{k!(n-k)!} \cos(n-2k)\theta \end{aligned}$$

---

Received by the editors May 7, 1987 and, in revised form, June 5, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 33A65, 33A70.

*Key words and phrases.*  $q$ -ultraspherical and Askey-Wilson polynomials, Feldheim-Vilenkin formula, balanced and very-well-poised basic hypergeometric series.

This work was supported in part by NSERC grant #A6197.

is the ultraspherical polynomial; see Szegő [22]. Feldheim [10] used (1.4) and the positivity of Fejér's [9] sum  $\sum_{k=0}^n P_k(x)$  for Legendre polynomials to show that

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{C_k^\nu(\cos \theta)}{C_k^\nu(1)} > 0, \quad 0 \leq \theta < \pi, \nu \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Askey and Fitch [3] used (1.4) to deduce the integral representation

$$(1.7) \quad \frac{P_n^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(x)}{P_n^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(1)} = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{P_n^{(\beta, \beta)}(y)}{P_n^{(\beta, \beta)}(1)} d\mu(y),$$

where  $\alpha > \beta \geq -1/2$ ,  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ , and  $d\mu(y)$  is a positive measure which depends on  $x$  but not on  $n$ .

Askey [2] also gave an elementary proof of Koornwinder's [12, 13] Laplace-type integral representation for Jacobi polynomials by using (1.1). Laine [15] found an extension of (1.1) to obtain a formula that is equivalent to Koornwinder's [12, 14] addition formula for Jacobi polynomials.

To find a  $q$ -analogue of (1.1) we shall need the following extension of the beta-integral

$$(1.8) \quad \int_0^\pi \left\{ (e^{2i\theta}, e^{-2i\theta}; q)_\infty h(\cos \theta, a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5) / \prod_{j=1}^5 h(\cos \theta, a_j) \right\} d\theta \\ = 2\pi \prod_{j=1}^5 (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 / a_j; q)_\infty / (q; q)_\infty \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq 5} (a_j a_k; q)_\infty,$$

where

$$(1.9) \quad (A; q)_\infty = \prod_{j=0}^\infty (1 - Aq^j), \quad 0 < q < 1,$$

$$(1.10) \quad h(\cos \theta, a) = \prod_{j=0}^\infty (1 - 2a \cos \theta q^j + a^2 q^{2j}) = (ae^{i\theta}; q)_\infty (ae^{-i\theta}; q)_\infty,$$

and  $\max(|a_j|) < 1$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 5$ . If we set  $a_5 = 0$  then (1.8) reduces to the  $q$ -beta integral of Askey and Wilson [6, (2.1)]. Formula (1.8) was given in Rahman [17].

Askey and Wilson [6] introduced the polynomials

$$(1.11) \quad p_n(x) = p_n(x; a, b, c, d|q) \\ = {}_4\phi_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & abcdq^{n-1}, & ae^{i\theta}, & ae^{-i\theta} \\ & ab, & ac, & ad \end{matrix} ; q, q \right], \quad x = \cos \theta,$$

as a generalization of the Jacobi polynomials and showed that they are orthogonal on  $[-1, 1]$  with respect to the weight function

$$(1.12) \quad W(x) \equiv W(x; a, b, c, d|q) \\ = \frac{h(x, 1)h(x, -1)h(x, q^{1/2})h(x, -q^{1/2})}{h(x, a)h(x, b)h(x, c)h(x, d)} (1 - x^2)^{-1/2}$$

where  $a, b, c, d$  are either real or, if complex, occur in conjugate pairs such that their absolute values are less than 1. We shall assume throughout this paper that  $0 < q < 1$ . The symbol on the right side of (1.11) represents a basic hypergeometric series defined by

$$(1.13) \quad {}_{r+1}\phi_r \left[ \begin{matrix} a_1, & a_2, \dots, a_{r+1} \\ & b_1, \dots, b_r \end{matrix} ; q, z \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r+1}; q)_n}{(q, b_1, \dots, b_r; q)_n} z^n,$$

where

$$(1.14) \quad (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m; q)_n = \prod_{j=1}^m (A_j; q)_n,$$

$$(A; q)_n = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, \\ (1 - A)(1 - Aq) \dots (1 - Aq^{n-1}), & n = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

If any one of the parameters  $a_1, \dots, a_{r+1}$  is of the form  $q^{-k}$ ,  $k$  a nonnegative integer, then the series in (1.13) terminates, otherwise we require that  $|z| < 1$  to ensure convergence. If  $z = q$  and  $b_1 \dots b_r = qa_1 \dots a_{r+1}$ , then the  ${}_{r+1}\phi_r$  series is said to be balanced. If  $qa_1 \neq a_2b_1 = a_3b_2 = \dots = a_{r+1}b_r$  then the series is called a nearly-poised series of the first kind, and it is a nearly-poised series of the second kind if  $qa_1 = a_2b_1 = \dots \neq a_{r+1}b_r$ . The  ${}_{r+1}\phi_r$  series is called well-poised if  $qa_1 = a_2b_1 = \dots = a_{r+1}b_r$ ; if, in addition,  $a_2 = -a_3 = qa_1^{1/2}$  then we call the series very-well-poised. Note that the  ${}_4\phi_3$  series in (1.11) is balanced and terminating.

Since there are four parameters in  $p_n(x; a, b, c, d|q)$  there are many ways to choose them so that a continuous  $q$ -Jacobi polynomial can be defined in the sense that  $p_n(x)$  gives  $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$  in the limit  $q \rightarrow 1$ . Askey and Wilson [6] took

$$(1.15) \quad a = q^{(2\alpha+1)/4}, \quad b = q^{(2\alpha+3)/4}, \quad c = -q^{(2\beta+1)/4}, \quad d = -q^{(2\beta+3)/4}$$

to define

$$(1.16) \quad P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x|q) = \frac{(q^{\alpha+1}; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} {}_4\phi_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & q^{n+\alpha+\beta+1}, & q^{(2\alpha+1)/4}e^{i\theta}, & q^{(2\alpha+1)/4}e^{-i\theta} \\ & q^{\alpha+1}, & -q^{(\alpha+\beta+1)/2}, & -q^{(\alpha+\beta+2)/2} \end{matrix} ; q, q \right]$$

as a  $q$ -analogue of the Jacobi polynomials. The author [18] chose

$$(1.17) \quad a = q^{1/2}, \quad b = q^{\alpha+1/2}, \quad c = -q^{\beta+1/2}, \quad d = -q^{1/2}$$

to introduce

$$(1.18) \quad P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x; q) = \frac{(q^{\alpha+1}, -q^{\beta+1}; q)_n}{(q, -q; q)_n} {}_4\phi_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & q^{n+\alpha+\beta+1}, & q^{1/2}e^{i\theta}, & q^{1/2}e^{-i\theta} \\ & q^{\alpha+1}, & -q^{\beta+1}, & -q \end{matrix} ; q, q \right]$$

as another  $q$ -analogue. It is easy to see that

$$(1.19) \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x|q) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x; q) = P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x).$$

Also, as Askey and Wilson [6] pointed out, these analogues are related by the formula

$$(1.20) \quad P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x|q^2) = \frac{(-q; q)_n}{(-q^{\alpha+\beta+1}; q)_n} q^{\alpha n} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x; q).$$

A number of important results for Jacobi polynomials have already been extended by using one or the other of these  $q$ -extensions (see, for example, Askey and Wilson [6], Gasper and Rahman [11], Rahman [19]), including a projection formula for  $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x|q)$ ; see Nassrallah and Rahman [16]. However, we were unable to derive a  $q$ -analogue of (1.1) by choosing the parameters as in (1.15) or (1.17). So we were forced to consider other possibilities and we found that a projection formula analogous to (1.1) exists if we take

$$(1.21) \quad a = q^{(2\alpha+1)/4}, \quad b = q^{(2\alpha+3)/4}, \quad c = -q^{(2\alpha+1)/4}, \quad d = -q^{\beta+(3-2\alpha)/4}.$$

The basic formula that we shall prove in §2 is

$$(1.22) \quad \int_{-1}^1 v(y; a, b, c, \mu e^{i\theta}, \mu e^{-i\theta} | q) \frac{(abc\mu e^{i\theta}, abc\mu e^{-i\theta}; q)_n}{(abc\mu^2 e^{i\phi}, abc\mu^2 e^{-i\phi}; q)_n} p_n(y; a, b, c, d | q) dy \\ = g(a, b, c, \mu e^{i\theta}, \mu e^{-i\theta} | q) \frac{(bc; q)_n}{(bc\mu^2; q)_n} p_n(x; a\mu, b\mu, c\mu, d\mu^{-1} | q)$$

where  $x = \cos \theta, y = \cos \phi$  and

$$(1.23) \quad v(y; a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 | q) \\ = \frac{h(y, 1)h(y, -1)h(y, q^{1/2})h(y, -q^{1/2})h(y, a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5)}{h(y, a_1)h(y, a_2)h(y, a_3)h(y, a_4)h(y, a_5)} (1 - y^2)^{-1/2},$$

$$(1.24) \quad g(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 | q) = \int_{-1}^1 v(y; a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 | q) dy \\ = 2\pi \prod_{j=1}^5 \left( \frac{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5}{a_j}; q \right)_{\infty} / (q; q)_{\infty} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq 5} (a_j a_k; q)_{\infty},$$

by (1.8). In (1.22) it is assumed that  $-1 < a, b, c < 1$  and  $0 < \mu < 1$ . It is not hard to see that (1.22) is indeed a  $q$ -analogue of (1.1) if we choose the parameters as given in (1.21).

In §3 we shall derive the following  $q$ -analogue of the ultraspherical polynomials

$$(1.25) \quad G_n(\cos \theta; \beta | q) \\ = \frac{(-\beta^{1/2} q^{(n+1)/2} e^{-2i\theta}, -\beta^{1/2} q^{(1-n)/2} e^{2i\theta}; q)_{\infty}}{(-\beta^{1/2} q^{(n+1)/2 - [n/2]} e^{-2i\theta}, -\beta^{1/2} q^{(1-n)/2 + [n/2]} e^{2i\theta}; q)_{\infty}} e^{2i(n/2 - [n/2])\theta} \\ \cdot \frac{(\beta; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} {}_3\phi_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & \beta, & -\beta^{-1/2} q^{(1-n)/2} e^{-2i\theta} \\ & \beta^{-1} q^{1-n}, & -\beta^{1/2} q^{(1-n)/2} e^{2i\theta} \end{matrix}; q, q \right]$$

which is quite different and much more complicated than the  $q$ -ultraspherical polynomials of Rogers (see Askey and Ismail [4]). Formulas (3.5) and (3.7) will show, respectively, that  $G_n(\cos \theta; \beta | q)$  is a polynomial when  $n$  is even, but not so when  $n$  is odd unless it is divided by  $e^{i\theta} + \beta^{1/2} e^{-i\theta}$ . However, it has the property that

$$(1.26) \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} G_n(\cos \theta; q^\lambda | q) = C_n^\lambda(\cos \theta), \quad \lambda > 0$$

and that there is a  $q$ -analogue of the Feldheim-Vilenkin formula (1.4) for  $G_n(\cos \theta; \beta | q)$ , which we will derive in §4.

**2. Proof of (1.22).** Let  $j, n$  be nonnegative integers with  $j \leq n$  and let  $a, b, \mu, \nu$  be complex numbers with modulus less than 1. Also let  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ . Then, by (1.8) and (1.24)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.1) \quad & \int_{-1}^1 v(y; a, b, \mu e^{i\theta}, \mu e^{-i\theta}, \nu|q) \frac{(ae^{i\phi}, ae^{-i\phi}; q)_j (be^{i\phi}, be^{-i\phi}; q)_{n-j}}{(ab\nu\mu^2 e^{i\phi}, ab\nu\mu^2 e^{-i\phi}; q)_n} dy \\
 &= \int_{-1}^1 v(y; aq^j, bq^{n-j}, \mu e^{i\theta}, \mu e^{-i\theta}, \nu|q) \\
 &= g(aq^j, bq^{n-j}, \mu e^{i\theta}, \mu e^{-i\theta}, \nu|q) \\
 &= g(a, b, \mu e^{i\theta}, \mu e^{-i\theta}, \nu|q) q^{j(j+1)-2nj} b^{-2j} \\
 &\quad \cdot \frac{(ab, b\nu, b\mu e^{i\theta}, b\mu e^{-i\theta}; q)_n (a\nu, q^{1-n}/b\nu\mu^2, a\mu e^{i\theta}, a\mu e^{-i\theta}; q)_j}{(ab\mu^2, b\nu\mu^2, ab\mu\nu e^{i\theta}, ab\mu\nu e^{-i\theta}; q)_n (q^{1-n}/b\nu, a\nu\mu^2, q^{1-n}e^{-i\theta}/b\mu, q^{1-n}e^{i\theta}/b\mu; q)_j}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since, by Watson’s formula [7, 8.5 (2)],

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.2) \quad & {}_4\phi_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & abcdq^{n-1}, & ae^{i\phi}, & ae^{-i\phi} \\ & ab, & ac, & ad \end{matrix} ; q, q \right] \\
 &= \frac{(be^{i\theta}, be^{-i\theta}; q)_n}{(ab, b/a; q)_n} {}_8W_7(aq^{-n}/b; ae^{i\theta}, ae^{-i\theta}, q^{1-n}/bc, q^{1-n}/bd, q^{-n}; q, cdq^n) \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(aq^{-n}/b, q^{1-n}/bc, q^{1-n}/bd, q^{-n}; q)_j (1 - aq^{2j-n}/b)}{(q, ac, ad, aq/b; q)_j (1 - aq^{-n}/b)} (b^2 cd)^j q^{3nj-j(j+1)} \\
 &\quad \cdot \frac{(ae^{i\phi}, ae^{-i\phi}; q)_j (be^{i\phi}, be^{-i\phi}; q)_{n-j}}{(ab, b/a; q)_n},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad & {}_{r+3}W_{r+2}(a; q_1, \dots, a_r; q, z) \\
 &\equiv {}_{r+3}\phi_{r+2} \left[ \begin{matrix} a, & q\sqrt{a}, & -q\sqrt{a}, & a_1, \dots, a_r \\ & \sqrt{a}, & -\sqrt{a}, & qa/a_1, \dots, aq/a_r \end{matrix} ; q, z \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad & \int_{-1}^1 v(y; a, b, \mu e^{i\theta}, \mu e^{-i\theta}, \nu|q) \frac{p_n(y; a, b, c, d|q)}{(ab\nu\mu^2 e^{i\phi}, ab\nu\mu^2 e^{-i\phi}; q)_n} dy \\
 &= g(a, b, \mu e^{i\theta}, \mu e^{-i\theta}, \nu|q) \frac{(b\nu, b\mu e^{i\theta}, b\mu e^{-i\theta}; q)_n}{(b/a, ab\mu^2, b\nu\mu^2, ab\mu\nu e^{i\theta}, ab\mu\nu e^{-i\theta}; q)_n} \\
 &\quad \cdot {}_{10}W_9(aq^{-n}/b; a\mu e^{i\theta}, a\mu e^{-i\theta}, a\nu, q^{1-n}/bc, q^{1-n}/bd, q^{1-n}/b\nu\mu^2, q^{-n}; q, cdq^n).
 \end{aligned}$$

If we choose  $\nu = c$  then the  ${}_{10}W_9$  series on the right side becomes an  ${}_8\phi_7$  series which, in turn, is expressible as  $p_n(x)$  with  $a, b, c, d$  replaced by  $a\mu, b\mu, c\mu, d\mu^{-1}$ , respectively. This completes the proof of (1.22). In order that (1.22) be seen as a  $q$ -analogue of (1.1) we need to impose the additional restrictions mentioned earlier, i.e.,  $-1 < a, b, c < 1$  and  $0 < \mu < 1$ .

Transforming the  ${}_4\phi_3$  series in (1.11) by Sears' transformation formula [20, (8.3)] and interchanging the parameters we obtain from (1.22) another formula

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{-1}^1 v(y; b, c, d, \mu e^{i\theta}, \mu e^{-i\theta} | q) \frac{(bcd\mu e^{i\theta}, bcd\mu e^{-i\theta}; q)_n}{(bcd\mu^2 e^{i\phi}, bcd\mu^2 e^{-i\phi}; q)_n} p_n(y; a, b, c, d | q) dy \\ = g(b, c, d, \mu e^{i\theta}, \mu e^{-i\theta} | q) \frac{(bc, bd, cd; q)_n}{(bc\mu^2, bd\mu^2, cd\mu^2; q)_n} p_n(x; a\mu^{-1}, b\mu, c\mu, d\mu | q)$$

which can be seen as a  $q$ -analogue of (1.3) if we specialize the parameters as

$$(2.6) \quad a = q^{\alpha+(3-2\beta)/4}, \quad b = q^{(2\beta+1)/4}, \quad c = -q^{(2\beta+1)/4}, \quad d = -q^{(2\beta+3)/4}.$$

**3. A  $q$ -analogue of the ultraspherical polynomials.** By (1.11) and [7, 8.5 (2)],

$$(3.1) \quad p_n(x; a, aq^{1/2}, -a, -q^{1/2}/a | q) = {}_4\phi_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & a^2q^n, & ae^{i\theta}, & ae^{-i\theta} \\ & a^2q^{1/2}, & -a^2, & -q^{1/2} \end{matrix} ; q, q \right] \\ = \frac{(-aq^{1/2-n}e^{i\theta}, -aq^{1/2-n}e^{-i\theta}; q)_n}{(-a^2q^{1/2-n}, -q^{1/2-n}; q)_n} \\ \cdot {}_8W_7(-a^2q^{-n-1/2}; ae^{i\theta}, ae^{-i\theta}, q^{1/2-n}, -q^{-n}, q^{-n}; q, -a^2q^{n+1/2}).$$

Setting  $d = -(aq)^{1/2}$  in Bailey's formula [21, (3.4.1.6)] we find that

$$(3.2) \quad {}_4\phi_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} a, & b, & c, & q^{-n} \\ & aq/b, & aq/c, & b^2c^2q^{-n-1}/a \end{matrix} ; q, q \right] = \frac{(a^2q^2/b^2c^2, -a^{1/2}q^{3/2}/bc; q)_n}{(aq^2/b^2c^2, -a^{3/2}q^{3/2}/bc; q)_n} \\ \cdot {}_{10}W_9(-a^{3/2}q^{1/2}/bc; a^{1/2}, -a^{1/2}, (aq)^{1/2} - (aq)^{1/2}/b, \\ - (aq)^{1/2}/c, a^2q^{n+2}/b^2c^2, q^{-n}; q, q)$$

from which, it follows by taking the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and replacing  $a, b, c$  by  $q^{-2n}, -q^{1/2-n}e^{i\theta}/a$  and  $-q^{1/2-n}e^{-i\theta}/a$ , respectively, that

$$(3.3) \quad {}_8W_7(-a^2q^{-n-1/2}; ae^{i\theta}, ae^{-i\theta}, q^{1/2-n}, -q^{-n}, q^{-n}; q, -a^2q^{n+1/2}) \\ = \frac{(a^4q^{2n}, -a^2q^{1/2-n}; q)_\infty}{(a^4, -a^2q^{n+1/2}; q)_\infty} \\ \times {}_3\phi_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^{-2n}, & -q^{1/2-n}e^{i\theta}/a, & -q^{1/2-n}e^{-i\theta}/a \\ & -aq^{1/2-n}e^{-i\theta}, & -aq^{1/2-n}e^{i\theta} \end{matrix} ; q, a^4q^{2n} \right].$$

By Sears' transformation formula [20, II(a), p. 173],

$$(3.4) \quad {}_3\phi_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^{-2n}, & -q^{1/2-n}e^{i\theta}/a, & -q^{1/2-n}e^{-i\theta}/a \\ & -aq^{1/2-n}e^{-i\theta}, & -aq^{1/2-n}e^{i\theta} \end{matrix} ; q, a^4q^{2n} \right] \\ = \frac{(a^2; q)_{2n}}{(-aq^{1/2-n}e^{-i\theta}; q)_{2n}} {}_3\phi_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^{-2n}, & a^2, & -q^{1/2-n}e^{-i\theta}/a \\ & q^{1-2n}/a^2, & -aq^{1/2-n}e^{i\theta} \end{matrix} ; q, q \right]$$

and hence by combining (3.1), (3.3) and (3.4) we find that

$$(3.5) \quad p_n(\cos 2\theta; a, aq^{1/2}, -a, -q^{1/2}/a|q) = \frac{(q; q)_{2n} (-a^2q^{1/2}; q)_n}{(a^4; q)_{2n} (-q^{1/2-n}; q)_n} G_{2n}(\cos \theta; a^2|q),$$

where  $G_n(x; \beta|q)$  is as defined in (1.25). This is a  $q$ -analogue of the quadratic transformation formula [8, 10.9 (21)]:

$$(3.6) \quad P_n^{(\lambda-1/2, -1/2)}(\cos 2\theta) = \frac{(1/2)_n}{(\lambda)_n} C_{2n}^\lambda(\cos \theta).$$

Similarly one can show that

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & p_n(\cos 2\theta; a, aq^{1/2}, -aq^{1/2}, -q/a|q) \\ &= \frac{(q; q)_{2n+1} (-qa^2; q)_n}{(a^4; q)_{2n+1} (-q^{-n}; q)_n} \frac{1+a^2}{e^{i\theta} + ae^{-i\theta}} G_{2n+1}(\cos \theta; a^2|q) \end{aligned}$$

which is a  $q$ -analogue of [8, 10.9 (22)]:

$$(3.8) \quad \cos \theta P_n^{(\lambda-1/2, 1/2)}(\cos 2\theta) = \frac{(1/2)_{n+1}}{(\lambda)_{n+1}} C_{2n+1}^\lambda(\cos \theta).$$

It is clear from (3.5) and (3.7) that  $G_n(x; q^\lambda|q)$  behaves like the ultraspherical polynomials  $C_n^\lambda(x)$  in the limit  $q \rightarrow 1$ , but otherwise quite different from and not nearly as nice as Rogers' continuous  $q$ -ultraspherical polynomials

$$(3.9) \quad C_n(\cos \theta; \beta|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(\beta; q)_k (\beta; q)_{n-k}}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_{n-k}} \cos(n-2k)\theta.$$

The orthogonality and other properties of  $C_n(x; \beta|q)$  are by now well known, mainly through the works of Askey and Ismail [4, 5] and most of these results have proved very useful. We do not expect the functions  $G_n(x; \beta|q)$  to be half as useful. But the fact that they occur in a  $q$ -analogue of an important formula, namely (1.4), for ultraspherical polynomials, is probably reason enough to look at them a bit more closely, study their properties and look for other applications. This we plan to do in a later report.

**4. A  $q$ -analogue of the Feldheim-Vilenkin formula.** From (1.22) we find that

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\pi/2} \rho(\cos 2\phi; a, b, c, \mu e^{2i\theta}, \mu e^{-2i\theta}|q) \frac{(abc\mu e^{2i\theta}, abc\mu e^{-2i\theta}; q)_n}{(abc\mu^2 e^{2i\phi}, abc\mu^2 e^{-2i\phi}; q)_n} \\ & \cdot p_n(\cos 2\phi; a, b, c, d|q) d\phi \\ &= \frac{1}{2} g(a, b, c, \mu e^{2i\theta}, \mu e^{-2i\theta}|q) \frac{(bc; q)_n}{(bc\mu^2; q)_n} p_n(\cos 2\theta; a\mu, b\mu, c\mu, d\mu^{-1}|q), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \rho(\cos 2\phi; a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5|q) \\ &= \frac{h(\cos 2\phi, 1)h(\cos 2\phi, -1)h(\cos 2\phi, q^{1/2})h(\cos 2\phi, -q^{1/2})h(\cos 2\phi, a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5)}{h(\cos 2\phi, a_1)h(\cos 2\phi, a_2)h(\cos 2\phi, a_3)h(\cos 2\phi, a_4)h(\cos 2\phi, a_5)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.5) we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.3) \quad & \int_0^{\pi/2} \rho(\cos 2\phi; a, aq^{1/2}, -a, \mu e^{2i\theta}, \mu e^{-2i\theta} | q) \frac{(-q^{1/2} a^3 \mu e^{2i\theta}, -q^{1/2} q^3 \mu e^{-2i\theta}; q)_n}{(-q^{1/2} a^3 \mu^2 e^{2i\phi}, -q^{1/2} a^3 \mu^2 e^{-2i\phi}; q)_n} \\
 & \cdot G_{2n}(\cos \phi; a^2 | q) d\phi \\
 & = \frac{1}{2} g(a, aq^{1/2}, -a, \mu e^{2i\theta}, \mu e^{-2i\theta} | q) \frac{(a^4; q)_{2n}}{(a^4 \mu^4; q)_{2n}} G_{2n}(\cos \theta; a^2 \mu^2 | q).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the use of (3.7) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.4) \quad & \int_0^{\pi/2} \rho(\cos 2\phi; a, aq^{1/2}, -aq^{1/2}, \mu e^{2i\theta}, \mu e^{-2i\theta} | q) \frac{(-qa^3 \mu e^{2i\theta}, -qa^3 \mu e^{-2i\theta}; q)_n}{(-qa^3 \mu^2 e^{2i\phi}, -qa^3 \mu^2 e^{-2i\phi}; q)_n} \\
 & \cdot \frac{e^{i\theta} + \mu a e^{-i\theta}}{e^{i\phi} + a e^{-i\phi}} G_{2n+1}(\cos \phi; a^2 | q) d\phi \\
 & = \frac{1}{2} g(a, aq^{1/2}, -aq^{1/2}, \mu e^{2i\theta}, \mu e^{-2i\theta} | q) \frac{1 + a^2 \mu^2}{1 + a^2} \frac{(a^4; q)_{2n+1}}{(a^4 \mu^4; q)_{2n+1}} \\
 & \cdot G_{2n+1}(\cos \theta; a^2 \mu^2 | q).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.3) and (4.4) we get the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.5) \quad & \int_0^{\pi/2} K(\cos \theta, \cos \phi; a^2 | q) \frac{h(\cos 2\phi, -a^3 \mu^2 q^{(n+1)/2})}{h(\cos 2\theta, -a^3 \mu q^{(n+1)/2})} \\
 & \cdot \frac{(-aq^{(n+1)/2 - [n/2]} e^{-2i\phi}, -aq^{(1-n)/2 + [n/2]} e^{2i\phi}; q)_\infty}{(-a\mu q^{(n+1)/2 - [n/2]} e^{-2i\theta}, -a\mu q^{(1-n)/2 + [n/2]} e^{2i\theta}; q)_\infty} \\
 & \cdot e^{2i(\theta - \phi)(n/2 - [n/2])} \cdot G_n(\cos \phi; a^2 | q) d\phi \\
 & = \frac{(a^4; q)_n}{(a^4 \mu^4; q)_n} G_n(\cos \theta; a^2 \mu^2 | q),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.6) \quad & K(\cos \theta, \cos \phi; a^2 | q) = \frac{(q, a^4, \mu^2, a^2 \mu^2; q)_\infty}{\pi (a^4 \mu^4, a^2; q)_\infty} \\
 & \cdot \frac{h(\cos 4\phi, 1) h(\cos 4\theta, a^2 \mu^2)}{h(\cos 4\phi, a^2) h(\cos 2\phi, \mu e^{2i\theta}) h(\cos 2\phi, \mu e^{-2i\theta})}, \quad |a| < 1, 0 < \mu < 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $a, \mu$  by  $q^{\lambda/2}, q^{(\nu-\lambda)/2}$ ,  $\nu > \lambda > -1/2$ , it can be shown by using the  $q$ -gamma function

$$(4.7) \quad \Gamma_q(x) = \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(q^x; q)_\infty} (1 - q)^{1-x}, \quad \Gamma(x) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \Gamma_q(x),$$

that (4.5) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.8) \quad & \frac{C_n^\nu(\cos \theta)}{C_n^\nu(1)} \frac{\sin^{2\nu-1} \theta}{\cos^{n+2\lambda+1} \theta} \\
 & = \frac{2\Gamma(\nu + 1/2)}{\Gamma(\lambda + 1/2)\Gamma(\nu - \lambda)} \int_0^\theta \sin^{2\lambda} \phi \frac{[\cos^2 \phi - \cos^2 \theta]^{\nu-\lambda-1}}{\cos^{n+2\nu} \phi} \frac{C_n^\lambda(\cos \phi)}{C_n^\lambda(1)} d\phi
 \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to Feldheim-Vilenkin formula (1.4); see Askey [1, pp. 23–24]. The evaluation of the limit of  $K(\cos \theta, \cos \phi; |q)$  as  $q \rightarrow 1$  is a bit tricky, but similar calculations were done in Nassrallah and Rahman [16].



## REFERENCES

1. R. Askey, *Orthogonal polynomials and special functions*, Regional Conf. Series in Appl. Math., SIAM, Philadelphia, Pa., 1975.
2. —, *Jacobi polynomials I. New proofs of Koornwinder's Laplace-type integral representations and Bateman's bilinear sum*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **5** (1974), 119–124.
3. R. Askey and J. Fitch, *Integral representations for Jacobi polynomials and some applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **26** (1969), 411–437.
4. R. Askey and M. E. H. Ismail, *A generalization of ultraspherical polynomials*, Studies in Pure Mathematics (P. Erdős, ed.), Birkhauser, Boston, Mass., 1982, pp. 56–78.
5. —, *The Rogers  $q$ -ultraspherical polynomials*, Approximation Theory. III (E. W. Cheney, ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1980, pp. 175–182.
6. R. Askey and J. Wilson, *Some basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials that generalize Jacobi polynomials*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., no 319 (1985).
7. W. N. Bailey, *Generalized hypergeometric series*, Stechert-Hafner, New York and London, 1964.
8. A. Erdélyi, et al., *Higher transcendental functions*, vol. 2, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.
9. L. Fejér, *Sur le développement d'une fonction arbitraire suivant les fonctions de Laplace*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **146** (1908), 224–225; reproduced in *Gesammelte Arbeiten I.* 319–322.
10. E. Feldheim, *On the positivity of certain sums of ultraspherical polynomials*, J. Analyse Math. **11** (1963), 275–284.
11. G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Positivity of the Poisson kernel for the continuous  $q$ -Jacobi polynomials and some quadratic transformation formulas for basic hypergeometric series*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **17** (1986), 970–999.
12. T. Koornwinder, *The addition formula for Jacobi polynomials. I, Summary of results*, Indag. Math. **34** (1972), 293–316.
13. —, *Jacobi polynomials. II, An analytic proof of the product formula*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **5** (1974), 125–137.
14. —, *Jacobi polynomials. III, An analytic proof of the addition formula*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **6** (1975), 533–543.
15. T. P. Laine, *Projection formulas and a new proof of the addition formula for the Jacobi polynomials*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **13** (1982), 324–330.
16. B. Nassrallah and M. Rahman, *Projection formulas, a reproducing kernel and a generating function for  $q$ -Wilson polynomials*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **16** (1985), 186–197.
17. M. Rahman, *An integral representation of a  ${}_{10}\phi_9$  and continuous biorthogonal  ${}_{10}\phi_9$  rational functions*, Canad. J. Math. **38** (1986), 605–618.
18. —, *The linearization of the product of continuous  $q$ -Jacobi polynomials*, Canad. J. Math. **33** (1981), 961–987.
19. —, *A product formula for the continuous  $q$ -Jacobi polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **118** (1986), 309–322.
20. D. B. Sears, *On the transformation theory of basic hypergeometric functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **53** (1951), 158–180.
21. L. J. Slater, *Generalized hypergeometric functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1966.
22. G. Szegő, *Orthogonal polynomials* (4th ed.), Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1975.
23. N. J. Vilenkin, *Some relations for Gegenbauer functions*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk (N.S.) **13** (1958), no. 3(81), 167–172 (Russian).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CARLETON UNIVERSITY, OTTAWA, ONTARIO K1S 5B6, CANADA