

CLOSED CONVEX HYPERSURFACES WITH CURVATURE RESTRICTIONS

ROLF SCHNEIDER

(Communicated by David G. Ebin)

ABSTRACT. Using elementary facts from the theory of convex bodies, we obtain an improved version of J. Rauch's [5] inclusion theorem for ovaloids with comparable second fundamental forms and a generalization of a result of A. W. Goodman [4] on the position of the curvature centroids for ovaloids with restricted radii of curvature.

1. Introduction. For a convex curve C in the plane and a point z in its interior, we denote by $D_1(z)$ the smallest and by $D_2(z)$ the greatest distance of the points of C from z . Let C be of class C^2 and suppose that its radius of curvature function ρ satisfies $0 < R_1 \leq \rho \leq R_2$ with some constants R_1, R_2 . If z is the perimeter centroid of C , then Goodman [4] proved that $R_1 \leq D_1(z) \leq D_2(z) \leq R_2$. He asked if here z may be replaced by Steiner's curvature centroid. In the present note we obtain a general result of this kind, valid for ovaloids in \mathbb{R}^d and for the whole series of area and curvature centroids (Theorem 2). In its proof we use an improved version of a theorem of Rauch [5] (Theorem 1 below). Our main aim is to make better known the fact that assertions of this kind can be given a stronger form (and a simpler proof) if some elementary results from the theory of convex bodies are used.

2. Ovaloids with comparable second fundamental forms. By an ovaloid we understand a closed convex hypersurface M in \mathbb{R}^d ($d \geq 2$) of class C^2 and with everywhere positive curvatures. For $x \in M$, we denote by $n(x)$ the outward unit normal vector to M at x . The tangent space M_x of M at x is identified with the linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^d orthogonal to $n(x)$. The Weingarten map $L_x: M_x \rightarrow M_x$ is defined by $L_x v = D_v n(x)$ for $v \in M_x$, where D_v denotes the directional derivative operator (as in [5]). The second fundamental form of M at x is given by $\Pi_x(v) = \langle L_x v, v \rangle$ for $v \in M_x$. For $u \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ we denote by $p(u)$ the unique point of M with $n(p(u)) = u/\|u\|$. Since the Gauss map of M and its inverse are of class C^1 , the function p thus defined is of class C^1 . If we restrict this function to the unit sphere $\Omega = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^d: \|u\| = 1\}$, we have $D_v p(u) = (L_{p(u)})^{-1} v$ [5, p. 502].

The ovaloid M is the boundary of a convex body K . Its support function is defined by

$$H(u) = \max_{y \in K} \langle y, u \rangle \quad \text{for } u \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

which implies $H(u) = \langle p(u), u \rangle$ and hence

$$(2.1) \quad \text{grad } H(u) = p(u)$$

Received by the editors July 22, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 53A07; Secondary 52A20.

©1988 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/88 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

(cf. [2, p. 26]). It follows that H is of class C^2 . Let d^2H_u be the second differential of H at u , considered as a bilinear form on \mathbb{R}^d . For $u \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ we denote by π_u the orthogonal projection onto the orthogonal complement of u .

LEMMA. $d^2H_u(a, b) = \|u\|^{-1} \langle (L_{p(u)})^{-1} \pi_u a, \pi_u b \rangle$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

PROOF. By homogeneity, we may assume $\|u\| = 1$. Let (e_1, \dots, e_d) be an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^d with $e_d = u$. We write (y^1, \dots, y^d) for the coordinates of $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and H_{ij} for the second partial derivatives of H with respect to this basis. The homogeneity relation

$$\sum_{j=1}^d H_{ij}(y) y^j = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, d$$

yields $H_{id}(u) = 0$ and hence

$$d^2H_u(a, b) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d-1} H_{ij}(u) a^i b^j \quad \text{for } a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

On the other hand, $\langle (L_{p(u)})^{-1} e_i, e_j \rangle = \langle D_{e_i} p(u), e_j \rangle$ is the j th coordinate of $D_{e_i} p(u)$ and hence by (2.1) equal to $H_{ji}(u)$, if $i, j \leq d - 1$. This gives

$$\langle (L_{p(u)})^{-1} \pi_u a, \pi_u b \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d-1} H_{ij}(u) a^i b^j,$$

which proves the lemma.

Now let \tilde{M} be a second ovaloid. All objects defined for \tilde{M} as above for M are distinguished by a tilde.

THEOREM 1. *If M and \tilde{M} are ovaloids such that $\Pi_x \geq \tilde{\Pi}_{\tilde{x}}$ for all points $x \in M$, $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}$ where the outer normal vectors to M and \tilde{M} are the same, then there exists a convex body L with $\tilde{K} = K + L$.*

PROOF. The assumption $\Pi_x \geq \tilde{\Pi}_{\tilde{x}}$, or $L_x \geq \tilde{L}_{\tilde{x}}$, for $n(x) = \tilde{n}(\tilde{x})$ implies $(L_{p(u)})^{-1} \leq (\tilde{L}_{\tilde{p}(u)})^{-1}$ [5, p. 503], hence the lemma yields $d^2H_u \leq d^2\tilde{H}_u$ for all $u \neq 0$. Thus the function $\tilde{H} - H$ has positive semidefinite Hessian on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ and is, therefore, convex. Being positively homogeneous of degree 1, it is the support function H_L of a uniquely determined convex body L [2, p. 26], and the equation $\tilde{H} = H + H_L$ is equivalent to $\tilde{K} = K + L$ [2, p. 29]. The proof is complete.

Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, Rauch [5] has shown that M can fit inside \tilde{M} . This is an immediate consequence of our strengthened version: Each point $t \in L$ satisfies $M + t \in \tilde{K}$. The theorem as formulated by Rauch also follows: Suppose that M, \tilde{M} satisfy the assumptions above and are internally tangent at some point x (i.e., $x \in M \cap \tilde{M}$ and $n(x) = \tilde{n}(x) = u$, say). The point $x \in \tilde{K} = K + L$ has a representation $x = x_1 + x_2$ with $x_1 \in K$ and $x_2 \in L$. From $\tilde{H}(u) = \langle x, u \rangle = \langle x_1, u \rangle + \langle x_2, u \rangle \leq H(u) + H_L(u) = \tilde{H}(u)$ we conclude that $x_1 = x$ and hence $0 \in L$, which implies $M \subset K \subset \tilde{K}$.

3. The position of the curvature centroids. We generalize the result of Goodman [4]. The r th curvature centroid of the ovaloid M is defined by

$$p_r(M) = \frac{\int_M x H_{r-1}(x) dA(x)}{\int_M H_{r-1} dA}, \quad r = 1, \dots, d,$$

where H_k denotes the k th elementary symmetric function of the principal curvatures of M and dA is the surface area element. In particular, p_1 is the area centroid and p_d is Steiner's curvature centroid (for more information on curvature centroids, see [6]). By $B(z, R)$ we denote the closed ball with centre z and radius $R > 0$.

THEOREM 2. *Let M be an ovaloid in \mathbb{R}^d and let R_1, R_2 be constants such that $0 < R_1 \leq \rho \leq R_2$ whenever ρ is a radius of curvature of M . Let K be the convex body bounded by M . If z is any of the curvature centroids $p_1(M), \dots, p_d(M)$, then $B(z, R_1) \subset K \subset B(z, R_2)$.*

PROOF. For $R > 0$ let $\Pi(R)$ denote the second fundamental form of the sphere $R\Omega$. The assumptions of Theorem 2 imply that the second fundamental form Π of M satisfies $\Pi_u(R_1) \geq \Pi_{p(u)} \geq \Pi_u(R_2)$. From Theorem 1 (which in this special case reduces to a known argument, cf. Firey [3] or Weil [7]) we deduce the existence of convex bodies K_1, K_2 satisfying

$$(3.1) \quad K = R_1 B + K_1,$$

$$(3.2) \quad R_2 B = K + K_2,$$

where B denotes the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^d . For a strictly convex body L and for $u \in \Omega$, we now write $p(L, u)$ for the unique point of the boundary ∂L where u is attained as outward unit normal vector. From (2.1), (3.1), (3.2) we have

$$(3.3) \quad p(K, u) = R_1 u + p(K_1, u),$$

$$(3.4) \quad R_2 u = p(K, u) + p(K_2, u).$$

Given any positive Borel measure φ on Ω which satisfies

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} d\varphi = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} u d\varphi(u) = 0,$$

we may define the φ -centroid of L by

$$c(L) = \int_{\Omega} p(L, u) d\varphi(u).$$

Since φ is nonnegative and normalized and since L is convex, we have $c(L) \in L$. Now (3.3) yields $c(K) = c(K_1) \in K_1$, hence (3.1) implies $K \supset R_1 B + c(K)$, thus $B(c(K), R_1) \subset K$. Similarly, (3.4) yields $0 = c(K) + c(K_2)$, hence $-c(K) \in K_2$, and (3.2) implies $R_2 B \supset K - c(K)$, thus $K \subset B(c(K), R_2)$. It remains to observe that the measure φ defined by

$$d\varphi = \frac{S_{n-r} d\omega}{\int_M H_{r-1} dA},$$

where $S_k(u)$ is the k th elementary symmetric function of the principal radii of curvature of M at $p(K, u)$ and ω is spherical Lebesgue measure, satisfies (3.5) and gives $c(K) = p_r(M)$ (cf. [6, pp. 122–123]). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Finally we remark that the left-hand inclusion of Theorem 2 remains true if z is the centroid $p_0(K)$ (centre of mass of K). This follows from the fact that $p_0(K) = p_0(R_1B + K_1)$ is a convex combination of the points $p_0(K_1), p_1(\partial K_1), \dots, p_d(\partial K_1)$ (see [6, formula (27)]) and hence a point of K_1 (this remark extends an argument of Blaschke [1]).

REFERENCES

1. W. Blaschke, *Über die Schwerpunkte von Eibereichen*, Math. Z. **36** (1933), 166.
2. T. Bonnesen and W. Fenchel, *Theorie der konvexen Körper*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1934.
3. W. J. Firey, *Inner contact measures*, Mathematika **26** (1979), 106–112.
4. A. W. Goodman, *Convex curves of bounded type*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **8** (1985), 625–633.
5. J. Rauch, *An inclusion theorem for ovaloids with comparable second fundamental forms*, J. Differential Geom. **9** (1974), 501–505.
6. R. Schneider, *Krümmungsschwerpunkte konvexer Körper*. I, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **37** (1972), 112–132.
7. W. Weil, *Inner contact probabilities for convex bodies*, Adv. Appl. Prob. **14** (1982), 582–599.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, ALBERT-LUDWIGS-UNIVERSITÄT, D-7800 FREIBURG,
FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY