ABSTRACT. This note studies the best constants $s$ such that the function $k(z) = z + 2 + 1/z$ solves the linear coefficient problems $\max \Re \{s b_0 + b_n\}$ and $\max \Re \{s b_0 - b_n\}$ over nonvanishing functions in the class $E$.

Let $E$ be the class of functions $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^{-n}$ that are analytic and univalent in $\{z : |z| > 1\}$. The coefficient problem for this class appears to be difficult. A sharp bound for $\Re b_n$ is known only for $1 \leq n \leq 3$, although there are some conjectures [10, 12] for larger $n$. One obstacle seems to be that the extremal functions change with $n$. Another is their nonelementary nature. To avoid these obstacles, one may consider some linear problems for which the elementary functions $k(z; b_0) = z + b_0 + 1/z$ are extremal.

For example, let us consider the functionals $\Re \{t b_1 \pm b_n\}$ for fixed $n \geq 2$ and $t > 0$. It was shown in [5] that the maximum of these functionals is attained by the functions $k(\cdot; b_0)$ for all $t$ sufficiently large. That is, $\Re \{t b_1 \pm b_n\} \leq t$ are valid inequalities for all $t$ sufficiently large. How large $t$ must be depends on $n$. Therefore it makes sense to define

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \inf \{t : \Re (t b_1 + b_n) \leq t\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \inf \{t : \Re (t b_1 - b_n) \leq t\}$$

for $n \geq 2$. The infimum is over all $t$ such that the given inequality is valid for all functions in $\Sigma$. Each infimum is a finite positive number and is actually a minimum. Since $-f(-z)$ is in $\Sigma$ whenever $f$ is, it is clear that $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{B}_n$ for even $n$. The following is a summary of what is known about the numbers $s/n$ and $\mathcal{A}_n$.

Proofs of various parts are contained in the references cited, and a survey appears in [11].

**Theorem 1.**

$\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{B}_2 = 2$ [1, 3],

$\mathcal{A}_3 = (e^4 + 3)/(e^4 - 1)$ [8, 6],

$\mathcal{B}_3 = 3$ [1, 3],

$5/4 \leq \mathcal{A}_5 \leq (27 + 8\sqrt{3})/12$ [7, 6],

$1.6311 < \mathcal{A}_7 \leq 5.5$ [7, 6],

$2.0391 < \mathcal{A}_9 < 8$ [7, 6],

$2.4575 < \mathcal{A}_{11} < 10$ [7, 6],
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\[ \frac{n}{2} [1 + (-1)^n] + \frac{n}{3\pi} [1 - (-1)^n] \leq A_n \leq \frac{1}{4} (4n^4 + 50n^3 + 149n^2 + 277n + 6) 3^{n-5} \quad [5, 6], \]
\[ n \leq B_n \leq \frac{1}{4} (4n^4 + 50n^3 + 149n^2 + 277n + 6) 3^{n-5} \quad [5, 6]. \]

In addition, it is known [12] that the inequality \( \text{Re}\{\tau b_1 + |b_n|\} \leq t \) is valid for all functions \( f \in \Sigma \) with \( \text{Re}\{b_1\} \leq (nt^2 - 1)/(nt^2 + 1) \).

In this note we shall consider the family \( \Sigma' \) of functions in \( \Sigma \) which omit the value zero. A prominent function in this family is \( k(z) = k(z; 2) = z + 2 + 1/z \). Since \( \text{Re}\{b_0\} \leq 2 \) for all functions in \( \Sigma' \), it is conceivable that the maximum of the functionals \( \text{Re}\{sb_0 \pm b_n\} \) over \( \Sigma' \) would be attained by the function \( k \) for all \( s \) sufficiently large. For this reason let us define
\[ A'_n = \inf\{s: \text{Re}\{sb_0 + b_n\} \leq 2s\} \]
and
\[ B'_n = \inf\{s: \text{Re}\{sb_0 - b_n\} \leq 2s\} \]
for \( n \geq 2 \), where the infimum is over all \( s \) such that the given inequality is valid for all functions in \( \Sigma' \). At first glance, it is not obvious that \( A'_n \) or \( B'_n \) is finite.

It will be useful to have estimates for our functionals when \( n = 1 \).

**Lemma 2.** The following are sharp inequalities for functions in \( \Sigma' \).
(a) \( \text{Re}\{sb_0 + b_1\} \leq 2|s| + 1 \), if \(-\infty < s < \infty\),
(b) \( \text{Re}\{sb_0 - b_1\} \leq 1 + \frac{3}{8}s^2 + \frac{1}{3}s^2(\log 4/|s|) \) if \(-4 \leq s \leq 4\),
(c) \( \text{Re}\{sb_0 - b_1\} \leq 2|s| - 1 \) if \( s < -4 \) or \( s > 4 \).

**Proof.** Part (a) follows from the elementary inequalities \( |b_0| \leq 2 \) and \( |b_1| \leq 1 \). Part (b) is not so elementary and is due to J. A. Jenkins [2, Corollary 2]. Part (c) follows from (b) with \( |s| = 4 \) and the relations
\[ \text{Re}\{sb_0 - b_1\} = \text{Re}\left\{ \frac{s}{|s|}b_0 - b_1 \right\} + \left[ 1 - \frac{4}{|s|} \right] s \text{Re}\{b_0\} \leq 7 + \left[ 1 - \frac{4}{|s|} \right] 2|s| = 2|s| - 1. \]

Parts (a) and (c) are sharp either for the function \( k \) or for \(-k(-z)\). Part (b) is sharp (see [2]) for certain functions in \( \Sigma' \) that map onto the complement of slits on the critical trajectories of the quadratic differentials \(|s|/w - 1 \rangle dw^2 \). \( \Box \)

If \( A'_1 \) and \( B'_1 \) are defined in the same spirit relative to the function \( k \), that is, \( A'_1 = \inf\{s: \text{Re}\{sb_0 + b_1\} \leq 2s + 1\} \) and \( B'_1 = \inf\{s: \text{Re}\{sb_0 - b_1\} \leq 2s - 1\} \), then on the basis of Lemma 2 we may identify \( A'_1 = 0 \) and \( B'_1 = 4 \).

One consequence of the following theorem is that the constants \( A'_n \) and \( B'_n \) are finite.

**Theorem 3.** For \( n \geq 2 \), we have
\[ \max_{\theta} \frac{-2\sin(2n\theta)}{\tan \theta} \leq A'_n \leq 4A_n \]
and \( 4n \leq B'_n \leq 4B_n \).
PROOF. Let $a = \pm 1$, and let $s$ be a value such that $\Re \{s b_0 + \sigma b_n\} \leq 2s$ is valid for the class $\Sigma'$. Equality occurs for the function $k$. Thus if we apply boundary variations of the form $k^* = k + \epsilon/(k - w) + \epsilon/w + o(\epsilon)$, which operate within the family $\Sigma'$, it follows that $k$ must satisfy the corresponding Schiffer differential equation (cf. [9, Chapter 10])

$$L \left[ \frac{k}{k - w} \right] \frac{dw^2}{w} \geq 0,$$

where the functional $L$ picks out the combination of coefficients $s b_0 + \sigma b_n$ from the function $k(z)/(k(z) - w)$ and $w$ is omitted by $k$. Since the function $k$ omits the real interval $[0, 4]$, both $w$ and the differential $dw^2$ are positive for $0 < w < 4$. Therefore, if we parametrize $w = 4 \cos^2 \theta$, $0 < \theta < \pi/2$, then the differential equation implies

$$L \left[ \frac{k}{k - w} \right] = L \left[ 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 \sin(2j\theta)}{\tan \theta} z^{-j} \right] = s + 2\sigma \frac{\sin(2n\theta)}{\tan \theta} \geq 0.$$

For $\sigma = -1$, $s = \mathcal{B}_n'$, and $\theta \to 0$ we conclude that $\mathcal{B}_n' \geq 4n$. Similarly, for $\sigma = 1$ and $s = \mathcal{A}_n'$ we conclude that

$$\mathcal{A}_n' \geq \max_{\theta} \frac{-2 \sin(2n\theta)}{\tan \theta}.$$

In order to derive the first upper bound we use the inequality $\Re \{\mathcal{A}_n b_1 + b_n\} \leq \mathcal{A}_n$, which is true by the definition of $\mathcal{A}_n$ and the fact that the infimum is a minimum, and the inequality $\Re \{4b_0 - b_1\} \leq 7$ from Lemma 2, part (b). Then the relations

$$\Re \{sb_0 + b_n\} = \frac{s}{4} \Re \{4b_0 - b_1\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{s}{4} b_1 + b_n \right\} \leq \frac{7s}{4} + \frac{s}{4} = 2s$$

are valid with $s = 4\mathcal{A}_n'$; that is, $\mathcal{A}_n' \leq 4\mathcal{A}_n$. The proof that $\mathcal{B}_n' \leq 4\mathcal{B}_n$ is obtained by replacing $b_n$ by $-b_n$ and $\mathcal{A}_n'$ by $\mathcal{B}_n$.

By combining Theorems 1 and 3 we are able to identify or estimate some of the numbers $\mathcal{A}_n'$ and $\mathcal{B}_n'$.

**Theorem 4.**

$$\mathcal{B}_2' = 8,$$

$$\mathcal{B}_3' = 12,$$

$$1 \leq \mathcal{A}_2' \leq 8,$$

$$\frac{20 + 14\sqrt{7}}{27} \leq \mathcal{A}_3' \leq \frac{4(e^4 + 3)}{(e^4 - 1)},$$
\begin{align*}
3.1068 & < \mathcal{A}_4', \\
4.0504 & < \mathcal{A}_5' \leq \frac{(27 + 8\sqrt{3})}{3}, \\
4.9688 & < \mathcal{A}_6', \\
5.8729 & < \mathcal{A}_7' \leq 22, \\
6.7682 & < \mathcal{A}_8', \\
7.6576 & < \mathcal{A}_9' < 32, \\
8.5428 & < \mathcal{A}_{10}', \\
9.4251 & < \mathcal{A}_{11}' < 40, \\
\end{align*}

\[
2 \cot \left[ \frac{3\pi}{4n} \right] \leq \max_{\theta} \frac{-2 \sin(2n\theta)}{\tan \theta} \leq \mathcal{A}_n' \leq (4n^4 + 50n^3 + 149n^2 + 277n + 6)3^{n-5},
\]

\[
4n \leq \mathcal{B}_n' \leq (4n^4 + 50n^3 + 149n^2 + 277n + 6)3^{n-5}.
\]

**Proof.** The estimates from above are all equal to four times the corresponding estimates from Theorem 1, as asserted by Theorem 3. For \( \mathcal{B}_2' \) and \( \mathcal{B}_3' \) these estimates coincide with the estimates from below in Theorem 3.

For \( n = 2 \), the expression \(-2 \sin(2n\theta)/\tan \theta \) from Theorem 3 reduces to \( 8 \cos^2 \theta - 16 \cos^4 \theta \). Its maximum occurs when \( \cos^2 \theta = \frac{1}{4} \) and is the lower bound given for \( \mathcal{A}_2' \). For \( n = 3 \), the expression \((-2 \sin(2n\theta))/\tan \theta \) becomes \(-12 \cos^2 \theta + 64 \cos^4 \theta - 64 \cos^6 \theta \). Its maximum occurs when \( \cos^2 \theta = \frac{4 + \sqrt{7}}{12} \) and leads to the lower bound given for \( \mathcal{A}_3' \). For larger \( n \) the maximum of \((-2 \sin(2n\theta))/\tan \theta \) can be approximated numerically, and these values are listed for \( 4 \leq n \leq 11 \). In general, the choice \( \theta = \frac{3\pi}{4n} \) gives the lower bound \( 2 \cot[\frac{3\pi}{4n}] \), which is of order \( 8n/3\pi \) as \( n \to \infty \), for \( \mathcal{A}_n' \). \( \square \)

The first constant not determined in Theorem 4 is \( \mathcal{A}_2' \). Although its value is still unknown, we can improve the upper bound considerably.

**Theorem 5.** \( 1 \leq \mathcal{A}_2' \leq 3. \)

**Proof.** We shall use the Grunsky inequalities (cf. [9, p. 118])

\[
\left| \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^{N} \gamma_{\mu \nu} \lambda_{\mu} \lambda_{\nu} \right| \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\nu} |\lambda_{\nu}|^2 \quad (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N \in \mathbb{C})
\]

for the coefficients \( \gamma_{\mu \nu} \) of functions \( g \in \Sigma \) generated by

\[
\log \frac{g(z) - g(\zeta)}{z - \zeta} = \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{\mu \nu} z^{-\mu} \zeta^{-\nu}.
\]

In particular, we shall use the inequality \( |\gamma_{33}| \leq \frac{1}{3} \). If \( f \in \Sigma' \), then \( g(z) = z \sqrt{f(z^2)/z^2} \) belongs to \( \Sigma \), and its Grunsky coefficient \( \gamma_{33} \) equals \( \frac{-1}{2} (b_2 + \frac{1}{12} b_0^3) \) in terms of the coefficients of \( f \). It follows that \( |b_2 + \frac{1}{12} b_0^3| \leq \frac{2}{3} \) is valid for functions in \( \Sigma' \). Next estimate

\[
\text{Re}\{3b_0 + b_2\} = \text{Re}\{b_2 + \frac{1}{12} b_0^3\} + \text{Re}\{3b_0 - \frac{1}{12} b_0^3\} \leq \frac{2}{3} + \text{Re}\{3b_0 - \frac{1}{12} b_0^3\}.
\]

Since \( |b_0| \leq 2 \), the expression \( \text{Re}\{3b_0 - \frac{1}{12} b_0^3\} \) is bounded by

\[
\max_{|w| \leq 2} \text{Re}\{3w - \frac{1}{12} w^3\} = \max_{|w| = 2} \text{Re}\{3w - \frac{1}{12} w^3\} = \max_{\theta} \text{Re}\{6e^{i\theta} - \frac{2}{3} e^{3i\theta}\}.
\]
With \( x = \cos \theta \), this becomes \( \max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} \{8x - \frac{8}{3}x^3\} \), which one easily verifies is \( \frac{16}{3} \). Thus we have proved the inequality \( \text{Re}\{3b_0 + b_2\} \leq 6 \), from which it follows that \( \mathcal{A}'_2 \leq 3 \). 

It is possible that the correct value for \( \mathcal{A}'_2 \) is 1, although the proof that is above does not give it. We shall see that a similar proof does give the value 1 if we are permitted to assume that \( b_0 \) is real.

**Theorem 6.** If \( f(z) = z + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^{-n} \) belongs to \( \Sigma' \) and \( b_0 \) is real, then \( \text{Re}\{sb_0 + b_2\} \leq 2s \) for all \( s \geq 1 \). Furthermore, for each \( s < 1 \) there is a function in \( \Sigma' \) with \( b_0 \) real that violates this inequality.

**Proof.** As in the previous proof, we estimate

\[
\text{Re}\{sb_0 + b_2\} = \text{Re}\left\{b_2 + \frac{1}{12}b_0^3\right\} + sx - \frac{1}{12}x^3 \leq \frac{2}{3} + sx - \frac{1}{12}x^3
\]

where \( x = b_0 \) is real. For fixed \( s \geq 1 \), the maximum of \( \frac{2}{3} + sx - \frac{1}{12}x^3 \) over \( -2 \leq x \leq 2 \) occurs when \( x = 2 \), and it has the value \( 2s \). Thus the inequality \( \text{Re}\{sb_0 + b_2\} \leq 2s \) is established for all \( s \geq 1 \).

It does not appear to be easy to find functions in \( \Sigma' \) with \( \text{Re}\{sb_0 + b_2\} > 2s \) when \( s < 1 \). For this purpose, we shall construct functions in \( \Sigma' \) that map onto the complement of arcs on critical trajectories of the quadratic differential \( (w - 1)^2/w dw^2 \). That is, the omitted set will be a real interval \([0, R]\), \( 1 < R < 4 \), plus symmetric arcs issuing from the point 1 into the upper and lower half-planes. By symmetry, such a mapping will have real coefficients. Since \( (w - 1)^2/w dw^2 \geq 0 \) on the omitted set and since \( f' \) vanishes at its tips, we may by the Schwarz reflection principle identify

\[
\frac{[f(z) - 1]^2}{f'(z)} = \frac{(z - 1)^2(z - e^{i\alpha})^2(z - e^{-i\alpha})^2}{z^3}
\]

for some real constant \( \alpha \). Integration leads to the algebraic equation

\[
[f(z) - 3]\sqrt{f(z)/z} = z - 3(1 + 2 \cos \alpha)(1 + z^{-1}) + z^{-2}
\]

for \( f \). The point \( R = f(1) \) determines \( \cos \alpha \); that is, \( (R - 3)\sqrt{R} = -4 - 12 \cos \alpha \).

We expand the algebraic equation for \( f \) near infinity to obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{3}{2}b_0 - 3 &= -3(1 + 2 \cos \alpha), \\
\frac{3}{2}b_1 + \frac{3}{8}b_0^2 - \frac{3}{2}b_0 &= -3(1 + 2 \cos \alpha), \\
\frac{3}{2}b_2 + \frac{3}{4}b_0b_1 - \frac{3}{2}b_1 - \frac{1}{16}b_0^3 + \frac{3}{8}b_0^2 &= 1.
\end{align*}
\]

If we consider \( \cos \alpha, b_0, b_1, \) and \( b_2 \) to be parametrized by \( R \), then these functions are differentiable at \( R = 4 \), and at \( R = 4 \) we have \( \cos \alpha = \frac{1}{3} \), \( b_0 = 2 \), \( b_1 = 1 \), \( b_2 = 0 \). \( (d/dR)\cos \alpha = \frac{-3}{16} \), \( (d/dR)b_0 = \frac{3}{4} \), \( (d/dR)b_1 = \frac{3}{4} \), \( (d/dR)b_2 = \frac{-3}{4} \), and \( (d/dR)[sb_0 + b_2] = \frac{3}{4}(s - 1) \). As a consequence, for each fixed \( s < 1 \) the expression \( sb_0 + b_2 \) decreases to \( 2s \) as \( R \) approaches 4 from below. Thus, for each \( s < 1 \) there is an \( R \), close to 4, and a corresponding function in \( \Sigma' \) with real coefficients for which \( sb_0 + b_2 > 2s \). 

Just as in the last sentence of Theorem 1, the inequality \( \text{Re}\{sb_0 + |b_n|\} \leq 2s \) is valid under some constraints.
THEOREM 7. If \( n \geq 2 \), if \( s \geq 4/\sqrt{n} \), and if \( f(z) = z + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^{-n} \) is a function in \( \Sigma' \) such that \( \text{Re}\{b_0\} \leq 2 - 8/(ns^2 + 16) \), then \( \text{Re}\{sb_0 + |b_n|\} \leq 2s \).

PROOF. Denote \( x = \text{Re}\{b_0\} \) and \( y = \text{Re}\{b_1\} \). The area theorem, \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|b_n|^2 \leq 1 \), implies that \( n|b_n|^2 \leq 1 - y^2 \). We use also the inequality \( 4x - y \leq 7 \) from part (b) of Lemma 2. If \( x \geq 7/4 \), then \( y^2 \geq (4x - 7)^2 \), and it follows that \( n|b_n|^2 \leq 1 - (4x - 7)^2 = 8(2 - x)(2x - 3) \). Now we have \( \text{Re}\{sb_0 + |b_n|\} \leq sx + \sqrt{8(2 - x)(2x - 3)/n} \), and the latter expression is at most \( 2s \) whenever \( \sqrt{8(2 - x)(2x - 3)/n} \leq s(2 - x) \). For \( x < 2 \) this will be the case whenever \( 8(2x - 3)/n \leq s^2(2 - x) \) or \( x \leq 2 - 8/[ns^2 + 16] \). Thus the theorem is proved in case \( 7/4 \leq x \leq 2 - 8/[ns^2 + 16] \). If \( x < 7/4 \) and \( s \geq 4/\sqrt{n} \), then \( \text{Re}\{sb_0 + |b_n|\} \leq 7s/4 + 1/\sqrt{n} \leq 2s \). □

Each function in \( \Sigma' \) satisfies \( \text{Re}\{b_0\} \leq 2 \), and equality occurs only for the function \( k \). Since \( 2 - 8/[ns^2 + 16] \) approaches \( 2 \) whenever either \( n \to \infty \) or \( s \to \infty \), this theorem has two noteworthy consequences: (i) If \( s \) is positive and fixed, then the inequality \( \text{Re}\{sb_0 + |b_n|\} \leq 2s \) is valid for all \( n \) sufficiently large. (ii) If \( n \geq 2 \) is fixed, then the inequality \( \text{Re}\{sb_0 + |b_n|\} \leq 2s \) is valid for all \( s \) sufficiently large. Unfortunately, how large \( n \) must be in the first case and how large \( s \) must be in the second case depend on \( f \).
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