

## ON A THEOREM OF HARDY AND LITTLEWOOD

LUIS G. BERNAL

(Communicated by R. Daniel Mauldin)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we give an extension of a classical theorem of Hardy and Littlewood on power series. Let  $\varphi$  be a strictly positive function defined on some interval  $(\delta, 1)$ , satisfying a certain condition of limit. We prove that if  $f(x)$  is the sum of a convergent power series for  $0 < x < 1$  with nonnegative coefficients  $a_n$  and  $f(x) \sim \varphi(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow 1$ ), then  $S_n \sim \alpha \cdot \varphi(x_0^{1/n})$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), where  $S_n = a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n$ ,  $x_0 \in (0, 1)$  and  $\alpha$  depends only upon  $\varphi$ .

It is classical the following result about power series: Let  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$  be a series converging for  $0 < x < 1$ , with  $a_n \geq 0$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). Assume that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (1-x) \cdot f(x) = 1$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (S_n/n) = 1$ , where  $S_n = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j$ . This theorem is due to Hardy and Littlewood (see [2] and [1, pp. 481-486]). In 1930, Karamata [3] gave an elegant proof of the result, based upon the Weierstrass theorem of uniform approximation. See also [4, pp. 226-229]. In this note we use the same idea to derive an extension of the Hardy-Littlewood theorem. We substitute the function  $(1-x)^{-1}$  such that  $f(x) \sim (1-x)^{-1}$  ( $x \rightarrow 1$ ) by a more general function  $\varphi(x)$ . The conclusion is similar. We shall obtain that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (S_n/\varphi(x_0^{1/n})) = \alpha$ , where  $0 < x_0 < 1$  and  $0 \leq \alpha < +\infty$ ,  $\alpha$  depending only upon  $\varphi$ .

First, we state a result which we shall need in proving our theorem. Denote by  $R$  the real line. A real sequence  $\{c_m: m = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is said to be completely monotonic if

$$(1) \quad c_m \geq 0 \text{ and } (-1)^n \cdot \Delta^n c_m \geq 0 \quad (m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Here,  $\Delta^n$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) denote the successive differences.

**LEMMA.** Let  $\{c_m: m = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  be a real sequence. Then there is a non-decreasing function (resp. a function of bounded variation)  $\sigma: [0, 1] \rightarrow R$  such that  $\{c_m: m = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is the moment sequence for  $\sigma$ , i.e.,  $c_m = \int_0^1 x^m d\sigma(x)$  ( $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), if and only if  $\{c_m: m = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is completely monotonic (resp.  $\{c_m: m = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is the difference of two completely monotonic sequences).

For the proof, see [5, pp. 100-109]. In both cases, the function  $\sigma$  is unique, if we identify two functions  $\sigma', \sigma''$  for which  $\int_0^1 f d\sigma' = \int_0^1 f d\sigma''$  for every continuous function  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$ . We shall say that  $\sigma$  generates  $\{c_m\}$ . It is known that the set  $C(h) = \{x \in (0, 1): h \text{ is continuous at } x\}$  is conumerable in  $(0, 1)$ , if  $h$  is of bounded variation on  $[0, 1]$ . Next, we state our result.

Received by the editors December 8, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 40E05; Secondary 30B10, 30B30.

*Key words and phrases.* Power series, Hardy-Littlewood theorem, Weierstrass theorem, moment sequence, completely monotonic sequence.

**THEOREM.** Let  $\varphi(x)$  be a strictly positive function defined on some interval  $(\delta, 1)$  ( $\delta < 1$ ), and let  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$  be a series converging for  $0 < x < 1$ , with  $a_n \geq 0$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). Assume that  $f(x) \sim \varphi(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow 1$ ) and that the limits  $c_m = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \varphi(x^{m+1})/\varphi(x)$  ( $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) exist as real numbers. In addition, assume that  $\{c_m: m = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is the difference of two completely monotonic sequences. If  $\sigma$  generates  $\{c_m\}$  and  $x_0 \in C(\sigma)$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{\varphi(x_0^{1/n})} = \alpha,$$

where  $\alpha = \int_{x_0}^1 t^{-1} d\sigma(t)$ .

**PROOF.** Consider the function  $g(t)$  defined on  $[0, 1]$  by  $g(t) = 0$  ( $0 \leq t < x_0$ ),  $g(t) = 1/t$  ( $x_0 \leq t \leq 1$ ). Let  $\varepsilon$  be a given positive number. Denote by  $V(t)$  the total variation of  $\sigma$  on  $[0, t]$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ), and choose  $\beta$  such that

$$0 < \beta < \min(x_0, 1 - x_0)$$

and

$$\max(V(x_0) - V(x_0 - \beta), V(x_0 + \beta) - V(x_0)) < (\varepsilon/2)x_0.$$

This is possible because  $\sigma$  is continuous at  $x_0$ . Define the functions  $r(t)$  and  $s(t)$  by  $r(t) = 0$  ( $0 \leq t < x_0 - \beta$ ),  $r(t) = (x_0 \cdot \beta)^{-1} \cdot t - 1/\beta + 1/x_0$  ( $x_0 - \beta \leq t < x_0$ ),  $r(t) = 1/t$  ( $x_0 \leq t \leq 1$ ),  $s(t) = 0$  ( $0 \leq t < x_0$ ),  $s(t) = (x_0 \cdot \beta + \beta^2)^{-1} \cdot t - x_0 \cdot (x_0 \cdot \beta + \beta^2)^{-1}$  ( $x_0 \leq t < x_0 + \beta$ ),  $s(t) = 1/t$  ( $x_0 + \beta \leq t \leq 1$ ). Then  $r$  and  $s$  are continuous on  $[0, 1]$ . From the Weierstrass theorem, we may choose polynomials  $p(x)$ ,  $P(x)$  such that  $0 \leq s(x) - p(x) < \varepsilon \cdot (1 + 2V(1))^{-1}$  and  $0 \leq P(x) - r(x) < \varepsilon \cdot (1 + 2V(1))^{-1}$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 1$ ). Then, evidently,  $p(x) \leq s(x) \leq g(x)$  and  $g(x) \leq r(x) \leq P(x)$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 1$ ). Also,  $\int_0^1 (r(t) - g(t)) d\sigma(t) = \int_{x_0 - \beta}^{x_0} r(t) d\sigma(t) \leq (V(x_0) - V(x_0 - \beta))/x_0 < \varepsilon/2$ . But  $\int_0^1 P(t) d\sigma(t) < \varepsilon/2 + \int_0^1 r(t) d\sigma(t)$ , so

$$(2) \quad \int_0^1 P(t) d\sigma(t) < \varepsilon + \int_0^1 g(t) d\sigma(t).$$

Analogously,  $\int_0^1 (g(t) - s(t)) d\sigma(t) \leq \int_{x_0}^{x_0 + \beta} (g(t) - s(t)) d\sigma(t) \leq (V(x_0 + \beta) - V(x_0))/x_0 < \varepsilon/2$ . From  $\int_0^1 s(t) d\sigma(t) < \varepsilon/2 + \int_0^1 p(t) d\sigma(t)$ , we obtain

$$(3) \quad \int_0^1 g(t) d\sigma(t) < \varepsilon + \int_0^1 p(t) d\sigma(t).$$

Fix  $m \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Then  $(1/\varphi(x)) \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+mn} = (\varphi(x^{m+1})/\varphi(x)) \cdot ((\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x^{m+1})^n)/\varphi(x^{m+1}))$  which tends to  $c_m$  when  $x \rightarrow 1$ , by hypothesis. But  $c_m = \int_0^1 x^m d\sigma(x)$ . Then, by linearity,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (1/\varphi(x)) \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \cdot Q(x^n) = \int_0^1 Q(x) d\sigma(x)$  for all polynomials  $Q(x)$ . Now, since  $g(x) \leq P(x)$  and  $\varphi(x)$  and the  $a_n$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) are positive, we have  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow 1} (1/\varphi(x)) \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \cdot g(x^n) \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow 1} (1/\varphi(x)) \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \cdot P(x^n) = \int_0^1 P(t) d\sigma(t) < \int_0^1 g(t) d\sigma(t) + \varepsilon$ , accordingly with (2). By doing  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow 1} (1/\varphi(x)) \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \cdot g(x^n) \leq \int_0^1 g(t) d\sigma(t)$ . If we use  $p(x)$  instead of  $P(x)$ , it follows similarly from (3) that  $\liminf_{x \rightarrow 1} (1/\varphi(x)) \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \cdot g(x^n) \geq \int_0^1 g(t) d\sigma(t)$ . Consequently,

$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (1/\varphi(x)) \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \cdot x^n \cdot g(x^n) = \int_0^1 g(t) d\sigma(t) = \int_{x_0}^1 t^{-1} d\sigma(t) = \alpha$ . Let  $x_j = x_0^{1/j}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Then  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x_j^n \cdot g(x_j^n) = \sum_{n=0}^j a_n = S_j$ , because

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_j^n) &= 1/x_j^n \quad \text{iff } x_j^n \geq x_0 \quad \text{iff } n \leq j \\ &= 0 \quad \text{iff } x_j^n < x_0 \quad \text{iff } n > j. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,  $x_j \in (\delta, 1)$  for  $j \geq j_0$  and  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (1/\varphi(x_j)) \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x_j^n \cdot g(x_j^n) = \alpha$ . Hence  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} S_j/\varphi(x_0^{1/j}) = \alpha$ . The theorem is proved.

It is clear that the Hardy-Littlewood theorem is a special case of this. In the classical theorem,  $c_m = 1/(m+1)$  and  $\sigma(x) \equiv x$ . The point  $x_0 \in (0, 1)$  is arbitrary.

We give an easy example. Let  $\varphi(x) = \log((1-x)^{-1})$ . Then  $\varphi(x_0^{1/j}) \sim \log j$  ( $j \rightarrow \infty$ ) for each  $x_0 \in (0, 1)$  and  $c_m = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (\log(1-x^{m+1}))/\log(1-x) = 1$  ( $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). Then the sequence  $\{c_m\}$  is completely monotonic, because it satisfies (1) trivially. The function  $\sigma(x) = 0$  ( $0 \leq x < 1$ ),  $\sigma(1) = 1$  is of bounded variation, continuous at every  $x_0 \in (0, 1)$  and satisfies  $c_m = \int_0^1 t^m d\sigma(t)$  ( $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). In addition,  $\alpha = \int_{x_0}^1 1/t d\sigma(t) = 1$ . Hence, we have: If  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$  ( $0 \leq x < 1$ ),  $a_n \geq 0$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x)/(\log(1-x)^{-1}) = 1$ , then  $S_n \sim \log n$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

REMARK. In [5, pp. 109–112], one can find several conditions which guarantee that we may choose  $\sigma$  to be continuous at each  $x_0 \in (0, 1)$ . For the sake of completeness, we reproduce them:

(a) There exists a constant  $L$  such that

$$(1+k) \cdot |\lambda_{k,m}| < L \quad (k, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots; m \leq k).$$

(b) There exist a number  $p > 1$  and a constant  $L$  such that

$$(1+k)^{p-1} \cdot \sum_{m=0}^k |\lambda_{k,m}|^p < L \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Here,  $\lambda_{k,m} = \binom{k}{m} (-1)^{k-m} \cdot \Delta^{k-m} c_m$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. P. Dienes, *The Taylor series: An introduction to the theory of a complex variable*, Dover, New York, 1957.
2. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Tauberian theorems concerning power series and Dirichlet's series whose coefficients are positive*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **11** (1911), pp. 411–478.
3. J. Karamata, *Über die Hardy-Littlewoodschen Umkehrungen des Abelschen Stetigkeitssatzes*, Math. Z. **32** (1930), pp. 319–320.
4. E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of functions*, 2nd ed. (corrected), Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1968.
5. D. V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1946.

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS,  
C/. TÁRFIA S. N., SEVILLA 41012, SPAIN