

A LIFTING THEOREM AND ANALYTIC OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

TAKAHIKO NAKAZI

(Communicated by Paul S. Muhly)

Dedicated to Professor Shozo Koshi on his sixtieth birthday

ABSTRACT. Let K be a complex Hilbert space and H a closed subspace. It is shown that if a 2×2 selfadjoint operator matrix \mathcal{T} with positive diagonals on $K \oplus K$ is positive on $H \oplus H^\perp$, then there exists a 2×2 operator matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ with the same diagonals such that $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ is positive on $K \oplus K$ and \mathcal{T} is the restriction of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ to $H \oplus H^\perp$. When \mathcal{T} is in a von Neumann algebra, we consider the problems of finding $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ in the same algebra. This lifting theorem has applications to weighted norm inequalities for conjugation operators on analytic operator algebras.

1. Introduction. Let $\mathcal{L}(K)$ be the set of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space K and \mathcal{B} a von Neumann algebra on K . Let H be the closed subspace of K and \mathcal{A} a (perhaps nonselfadjoint) weakly closed subalgebra of \mathcal{B} which has H as an invariant subspace. We study 2×2 operator matrices $\mathcal{T} = (T_{ij})$ on $K \oplus K$ where $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}$ ($i, j = 1, 2$), $T_{11} \geq 0$, $T_{22} \geq 0$ and $T_{21}^* = T_{12}$. $[\mathcal{B}]$ denotes the set of such operator matrices \mathcal{T} . $[\mathcal{A}]_0$ denotes the subset of $[\mathcal{B}]$ such that $T_{12} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $T_{11} = T_{22} = 0$.

Let us denote

$$\mathcal{T}[f_1, f_2] = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 (T_{ij}f_i, f_j).$$

If \mathcal{T} satisfies $\mathcal{T}[f_1, f_2] \geq 0$ for all f_1 in H (resp. K) and f_2 in H^\perp (resp. K), then \mathcal{T} is said to be positive on $H \oplus H^\perp$ (resp. $K \oplus K$) where H^\perp is the orthogonal complement of H in K . \mathcal{T} is positive on $H \oplus H^\perp$ if and only if compression $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}$ of \mathcal{T} to $H \oplus H^\perp$ is positive where \mathcal{P} is the orthogonal projection of $K \oplus K$ onto $H \oplus H^\perp$.

When $\mathcal{T} \in [\mathcal{B}]$ and \mathcal{T} is positive on $H \oplus H^\perp$, we wish to $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ in $\mathcal{T} + [\mathcal{A}]_0$ which is positive on $K \oplus K$. Of course then $\mathcal{T}[f_1, f_2] = \tilde{\mathcal{T}}[f_1, f_2]$ for all f_1 in H and f_2 in H^\perp or equivalently $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}|_{H \oplus H^\perp} = \mathcal{P}\tilde{\mathcal{T}}|_{H \oplus H^\perp}$. This problem is related with a lifting theorem of Cotlar and Sadosky [4] for the disk algebra and a generalized lifting theorem of the author and Yamamoto [10] for a general uniform algebra. In the special case, they consider the problem above when \mathcal{B} is commutative. In our main theorem in §2, we do not assume that \mathcal{B} is commutative and we establish a

Received by the editors December 9, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 47A20, 47A15, 47D25.

Key words and phrases. Commutant lifting, Arveson distance formula, factorization, Helson-Szegő theorem.

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Ministry of Education.

lifting theorem. We then exhibit some examples in §3 and obtains two operator theoretic type Helson-Szegö in §4 (cf. [6, 7]).

2. General lifting theorem. Let \mathcal{F} be the subset of $\text{lat } \mathcal{A}$, the lattice of all \mathcal{A} -invariant projections, then for any T in \mathcal{B}

$$\text{dist}(T, \mathcal{A}) \geq \sup_{P \in \mathcal{F}} \|(1 - P)TP\|.$$

Many concrete examples satisfy the following conditions (I) and (II) (see §3).

(I) There exists a subset \mathcal{F} in $\text{lat } \mathcal{A}$ such that for any T in \mathcal{B}

$$\text{dist}(T, \mathcal{A}) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{F}} \|(1 - P)TP\|.$$

(II) Every invertible positive operator in \mathcal{B} has the form $A^*A = BB^*$, for some invertible A and B in \mathcal{A} .

(I) is a kind of Nehari's theorem for Hankel operators (cf. [12, 8, 3, 13 and 9]). (II) is a factorization of Szegö-Cholesky type (cf. [5, 3 and 13]). The following is a lifting theorem under the conditions (I) and (II). Then we say that $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$ has the lifting property when the conclusion of the theorem holds.

THEOREM. *Let $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$ satisfy the condition (I) and (II). If \mathcal{T} in $[\mathcal{B}]$ is positive on $PK \oplus (1 - P)K$ for every P in \mathcal{F} , then there exists $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ in $\mathcal{T} + [\mathcal{A}]_0$ which is positive on $K \oplus K$.*

PROOF. $\mathcal{T} = [T_{ij}]$ is positive on $PK \oplus (1 - P)K$ if and only if for any $f \in PK$ and $g \in (1 - P)K$

$$|(T_{12}f, g)|^2 \leq (T_{11}f, f)(T_{22}g, g).$$

By the hypothesis (II), for any positive integer n there exist two invertible operators A_n and B_n in \mathcal{A} such that

$$T_{11} + 1/n = A_n^*A_n \quad \text{and} \quad T_{22} + 1/n = B_nB_n^*.$$

Since $P \in \text{lat } \mathcal{A}$, $A_nPK = PK$ and $B_n^*(1 - P)K = (1 - P)K$, and hence for any $f \in PK$ and $g \in (1 - P)K$

$$|(B_n^{-1}T_{12}A_n^{-1}f, g)|^2 \leq (f, f)(g, g).$$

By the hypothesis (I), for any positive number ε there exists $D_{n,\varepsilon}$ in \mathcal{A} such that

$$\|B_n^{-1}T_{12}A_n^{-1} + D_{n,\varepsilon}\| \leq \sup \|(1 - P)B_n^{-1}T_{12}A_n^{-1}P\| + \varepsilon \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Since \mathcal{A} is weakly closed, there exists D_n in \mathcal{A} such that

$$\|B_n^{-1}T_{12}A_n^{-1} + D_n\| \leq 1.$$

Put $S_n = B_nD_nA_n$, then S_n is in \mathcal{A} and for any $F, G \in K$

$$|((T_{12} + S_n)F, G)|^2 \leq ((T_{11} + 1/n)F, G)((T_{22} + 1/n)F, G).$$

Again using the weakly closedness of \mathcal{A} , there exists S in \mathcal{A} such that for any $F, G \in K$

$$|((T_{12} + S)F, G)|^2 \leq (T_{11}F, G)(T_{22}F, G)$$

and the theorem follows.

3. $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$ with the lifting property. The theorem shows that if $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$ satisfies (I) and (II) then it has a lifting property. Hence it is sufficient to check (I) and (II) in the following examples.

(1) Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{L}(K)$, P an orthogonal projection and

$$\mathcal{A} = \{A \in \mathcal{L}(K) : PAP = AP\}.$$

It is clear that, for any $T \in \mathcal{L}(K)$, $\text{dist}(T, \mathcal{A}) = \|(1 - P)TP\|$. It is well known that if T is invertible then $T = A^*A = BB^*$ for some invertible A and B in \mathcal{A} (see [1, Chapter I, 13]). The theorem shows that $(\mathcal{L}(K), \mathcal{A}, P)$ has a lifting property.

(2) In (1) let U be a bilateral shift operator on K with $UP = PUP$. Suppose $\bigcap_{n=0}^\infty U^n(PK) = \{0\}$ and $\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty U^{*n}(PK)$ is dense in K . If $\mathcal{F} \in [\mathcal{L}(K)]$, $\mathcal{F} = [T_{ij}]$ and T_{ij} commutes with U for $i, j = 1, 2$, then we can get a positive lifting operator $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = [\tilde{T}_{ij}]$ in $\mathcal{F} + [\mathcal{A}]_0$ such that \tilde{T}_{ij} commutes with U . This is a kind of commuting lifting (see [14]). In fact let $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(K) : UT = TU\}$, $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : UA = AU\}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{P\}$, then (I) and (II) are true, too [12 and 5]. The theorem shows that $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{A}_1, P)$ has the lifting property.

(3) Let \mathcal{B} be a factor with faithful semifinite normal trace τ and let \mathcal{E} be a complete nest of selfadjoint projections in \mathcal{B} . We write $\text{Alg } \mathcal{E}$ for the nest algebra associated with \mathcal{E} , and let

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \cap \text{Alg } \mathcal{E}.$$

(I) with $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}$ is a variant of Arveson's distance formula, that is,

$$\text{dist}(T, \mathcal{A}) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{F}} \|(1 - P)TP\|$$

(see [3]). Assuming \mathcal{E} is well-ordered, (II) is valid by [13]. The theorem shows that $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$ has the lifting property.

(4) In (3) let

$$L^p = L^p(\mathcal{B}, \tau), \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty,$$

be the usual noncommutative Lebesgue spaces and define the noncommutative Hardy space

$$H^p = H^p(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}, \tau)$$

to be the closed subspace of L^p of elements T for which $(1 - P)TP = 0$ for all $P \in \mathcal{E}$. In particular $L^\infty = \mathcal{B}$ and $H^\infty = \mathcal{A}$. Let P_0 be the orthogonal projection from L^2 onto H^2 and $\mathcal{F} = \{P_0\}$. By [13], with $\mathcal{F} = \{P_0\}$ property (I) holds, that is,

$$\text{dist}(T, H^\infty) = \|(1 - P_0)TP_0\|.$$

(Here T acts by left multiplication.)

Assuming \mathcal{E} is well-ordered, (II) is valid by [13] again. The theorem shows that $(L^\infty, H^\infty, P_0)$ has the lifting property.

(2) is known and was shown by Arocena and Cotlar [2]. However our proof is different from theirs and more operator theoretic. In (2) if U is a bilateral shift with multiplicity 1, then the lifting theorem is classical and was shown by Cotlar and Sadosky (cf. [4]). We can show this using (3) and [3, Proposition 5.1].

4. Applications. In this section, using the theorem we obtain the partial generalizations of the Helson-Szegö theorem and a theorem of Koosis as in [4 or 10].

COROLLARY 1. Let $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$ satisfy the conditions (I) and (II). Let W be a positive operator in \mathcal{B} . Then there exists a constant C such that for every $P \in \mathcal{F}$.

$$(Wf, f) \leq C(W(f + g), f + g)$$

for all $f \in PK$ and all $g \in (1 - P)K$ if and only if there exists a nonzero operator D in \mathcal{A} such that

$$\|W^{-1/2}(W + D)W^{-1/2}\| \leq \rho < 1,$$

where $W^{-1/2}$ is defined to be the (possibly unbounded) inverse of $W^{1/2}$ restricted to the orthocomplement of the kernel of $W^{1/2}$.

PROOF. $(Wf, f) \leq C(W(f + g), f + g)$ if and only if

$$|(Wf, g)|^2 \leq (1 - C^{-1})(Wf, f)(Wg, g).$$

Hence the weighted norm inequality with C holds if and only if $\mathcal{T} = [T_{ij}]$ in $[\mathcal{B}]$ is positive on $PK \oplus (1 - P)K$ for every $P \in \mathcal{F}$ where $T_{11} = \rho W$, $T_{22} = T_{12} = T_{21} = W$ and $\rho = 1 - C^{-1}$. By the theorem, if the weighted norm inequality holds then there exists $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ in $\mathcal{T} + [\mathcal{A}]_0$ which is positive on $K \oplus K$. Hence there exists an operator D in \mathcal{A} such that

$$|((W + D)F, G)|^2 \leq \rho(WF, F)(WG, G)$$

for any $F, G \in K$. This implies $\|W^{-1/2}(W + D)W^{-1/2}\| \leq \rho$. The converse is not difficult.

COROLLARY 2. Suppose that $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$ satisfies the conditions (I) and (II). Let W be a positive operator in \mathcal{B} . Then there exists a nonzero positive operator $U \leq W$ such that for every $P \in \mathcal{F}$

$$(Uf, f) \leq (W(f + g), f + g)$$

for all $f \in PK$ and all $g \in (1 - P)K$ if and only if there exists a nonzero operator D in \mathcal{A} such that

$$\|W^{-1/2}(W + D)W^{-1/2}\| \leq 1$$

and $W \neq (W^{-1/2}(W + D))^*(W^{-1/2}(W + D))$.

PROOF. The part of ‘only if’ can be proved as in Corollary 1. If there exists a nonzero positive operator U then $\mathcal{T} = [T_{ij}] \in [\mathcal{B}]$ is positive on $PK \oplus (1 - P)K$ for every $P \in \mathcal{F}$ where $T_{11} = W - U$, $T_{22} = T_{12}, T_{21} = W$. By the theorem, there exists an operator D in \mathcal{A} such that

$$|((W + D)F, G)|^2 \leq ((W - U)F, F)(WG, G)$$

for any $F, G \in K$. This implies $\|W^{-1/2}(W + D)W^{-1/2}\| \leq 1$ and

$$\|W^{-1/2}(W + D)F\|^2 = \sup_G \frac{|((W + D)F, G)|^2}{(WG, G)} \leq ((W - U)F, F) \leq (WF, F)$$

(see [1, Chapter I]). Thus $W - (W^{-1/2}(W + D))^*(W^{-1/2}(W + D)) \leq U$. For the converse, $U = W - (W^{-1/2}(W + D))^*(W^{-1/2}(W + D))$ satisfies the weighted norm inequalities.

By (2) in §3, Corollary 1 is the Helson-Szegö theorem [6] when the weight function W is bounded. Similarly Corollary 2 shows the Koosis theorem [7] when the weight function W is bounded. However in the classical case if there exists a nonzero operator D in \mathcal{A} such that $\|W^{-1/2}(W + D)W^{-1/2}\| \leq 1$ then $W \neq (W^{-1/2}(W + D))^*(W^{-1/2}(W + D))$.

REFERENCES

1. T. Ando, *Topics on operator inequalities*, Lecture Note, Sapporo, 1978.
2. R. Arocena and M. Cotlar, *Dilation of generalized Toeplitz kernels and some vectorial moment and weighted problems*, Harmonic Analysis (F. Ricci and G. Weiss, eds.), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 908, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1982, pp. 169–186.
3. W. Arveson, *Interpolation problems in nest algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **20** (1975), 208–233.
4. M. Cotlar and C. Sadosky, *On the Helson-Szegö theorem and a related class of modified Toeplitz kernels*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. vol. 35, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1979, pp. 383–407.
5. R. Gellar and L. Page, *Inner-outer factorizations of operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **61** (1977), 151–158.
6. H. Helson and G. Szegö, *A problem in prediction theory*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **51** (1960), 107–138.
7. P. Koosis, *Moyennes quadratiques pondérées de fonctions périodiques et de leurs conjuguées harmoniques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **291** (1980), 255–257.
8. P. S. Muhly, *Compact operators in the commutant of a contraction*, J. Funct. Anal. **8** (1971), 197–224.
9. T. Nakazi, *Norms of Hankel operators and uniform algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **299** (1987), 573–580.
10. T. Nakazi and T. Yamamoto, *A lifting theorem and uniform algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **305** (1988), 79–94.
11. Z. Nehari, *On bounded bilinear forms*, Ann. of Math. **65** (1957), 153–162.
12. L. B. Page, *Bounded and compact vectorial Hankel operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **150** (1970), 529–539.
13. S. C. Power, *Factorizations in analytic operator algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **67** (1986), 413–432.
14. B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foias, *Dilation des commutants d'opérateurs*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **266** (1968), A493–A495.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY,
SAPPORO 060, JAPAN