

## ON THE STRONG UNBOUNDED COMMUTANT OF AN $\mathcal{O}^*$ -ALGEBRA

A. VAN DAELE AND A. KASPAREK

(Communicated by Paul S. Muhly)

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a dense subspace of a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . An  $\mathcal{O}^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $*$ -algebra of linear operators defined on  $\mathcal{D}$  and leaving  $\mathcal{D}$  invariant which contains the identity map  $I$  of  $\mathcal{D}$ . The involution in  $\mathcal{A}$  is the map  $A \rightarrow A^+ := A^* \upharpoonright \mathcal{D}$  (see [1]). It is possible to define different types of unbounded commutants of an  $\mathcal{O}^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . We follow the definitions given in [2]. Let  $L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$  be the vector space of all continuous linear mappings of  $\mathcal{D}$  into  $\mathcal{H}$  with respect to the graph topology  $t_{\mathcal{A}}$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  introduced by the operators from  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then the strong unbounded commutant is defined as

$$\mathcal{A}_s^c := \{T \in L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H}) : T\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}, TA x = ATx \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{D} \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

$\mathcal{A}_s^c$  is an algebra, but in general however it will not be  $*$ -invariant (see [2]). In this paper we show that even worse can happen. We give an example of such an  $\mathcal{O}^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and an operator  $T \in \mathcal{A}_s^c$  such that  $\mathcal{D}(T^*) = \{0\}$ .

In particular this shows that the strong unbounded commutant of an  $\mathcal{O}^*$ -algebra may contain operators which are not closable. Furthermore the constructed  $\mathcal{O}^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  gives another example for an  $\mathcal{O}^*$ -algebra whose so-called form commutant  $\mathcal{A}_f^c$  contains a sesquilinear form which is not an operator (see [2]).

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable Hilbert space with scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Let  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  the algebraic linear span of these basisvectors. So  $\mathcal{D}$  is a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ . We will construct an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of linear operators on  $\mathcal{D}$  leaving  $\mathcal{D}$  invariant and such that  $I \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}(A^*)$  and  $A^*\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . We will also construct an operator  $T \in \mathcal{A}_s^c$  such that  $\mathcal{D}(T^*) = \{0\}$ . For the condition  $T \in L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$  we simply need that there is an  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\|Tx\| \leq \|Ax\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ .

We will actually proceed by first constructing  $T$  such that  $\mathcal{D}(T^*) = \{0\}$  in a standard way and then a symmetric operator  $A: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  such that  $TA = AT$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\|Tx\| \leq \|Ax\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ .

**1. Definition.** Define a linear operator  $T: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  by  $Te_k = e_n$  if  $k = 2^{n-1}p$  where  $p$  is odd. Because each vector  $e_n$  is the image under  $T$  of infinitely

---

Received by the editors January 25, 1988.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 47D40.

©1989 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9939/89 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

many vectors  $e_k$ , it is straightforward to check that  $\mathcal{D}(T^*) = \{0\}$ . We can also prove the following lemma.

2. **Lemma.** For every  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j e_j$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  we have

$$\|Tx\| \leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^2} \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^2 |x_j|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $A_n = \{2^{n-1}p : p \in \mathbf{N} \text{ and } p \text{ odd}\}$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then  $Te_k = e_n$  if  $k \in A_n$ . So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx\| &= \left\| T \sum_j x_j e_j \right\| = \left\| T \sum_n \sum_{j \in A_n} x_j e_j \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_n \left( \sum_{j \in A_n} x_j \right) e_n \right\| = \left( \sum_n \left| \sum_{j \in A_n} x_j \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left( \sum_n \left| \sum_{j \in A_n} \frac{1}{j} (jx_j) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_n \left( \sum_{j \in A_n} \frac{1}{j^2} \right) \left( \sum_{j \in A_n} j^2 |x_j|^2 \right) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_j \frac{1}{j^2} \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_n \sum_{j \in A_n} j^2 |x_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left( \sum_j \frac{1}{j^2} \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_j j^2 |x_j|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We will now come to the main proposition.

3. **Proposition.** There exists a symmetric operator  $A: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  so that  $AT = TA$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\|Tx\| \leq \|Ax\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ .

*Proof.* We choose a sequence  $c_1 > c_2 > c_3 > \dots$  of real numbers such that  $c_n \geq 1$  for all  $n$ .

Suppose that we have defined  $Ae_1, Ae_2, \dots, Ae_n$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  such that

- (i)  $\langle Ae_j, e_k \rangle = \langle e_j, Ae_k \rangle \in \mathbf{R}$  for  $j, k = 1, \dots, n$ ;
- (ii)  $ATe_j = TAe_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ;
- (iii)  $c_n^2 \sum_{j=1}^n j^2 |x_j|^2 \leq \|Ax\|^2$  if  $x = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j e_j$ .

It is clear that this is possible for  $n = 2$ . One could simply define  $Ae_1 = c_1 e_1$  and  $Ae_2 = 2c_2 e_2$ . Then (i) follows immediately, (ii) follows from the fact that

$Te_1 = e_1$  and  $Te_2 = e_2$  and (iii) uses  $c_1 > c_2$ . We will show that it is then possible to define  $Ae_{n+1}$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  such that (i), (ii) and (iii) hold up to  $n+1$ .

By induction we will get a symmetric operator  $A: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  which commutes with  $T$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  and such that

$$\|Ax\|^2 \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^2 |x_j|^2 \quad \text{if } x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j e_j.$$

And then because of the lemma a multiple of  $A$  will satisfy the conditions of the proposition. So we will proceed by defining  $Ae_{n+1}$ . Now  $Ae_{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j e_j$  and we have to determine the sequence  $\{p_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ .

We want  $Ae_{n+1} \in \mathcal{D}$  so that only finitely many terms can be non zero. Condition (i) will fix the numbers  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$ . We then may choose all the other  $p_{n+1}, p_{n+2}, \dots$  freely in  $\mathbf{R}$ , and we will already have that

$$\langle Ae_j, e_k \rangle = \langle e_j, Ae_k \rangle \in \mathbf{R} \quad \text{for all } j, k = 1, \dots, n+1.$$

We now consider the second condition. We must have

$$ATE_{n+1} = TAE_{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j Te_j.$$

Since  $n \geq 2$  we have  $Te_{n+1} = e_k$  for some  $k \leq n$ . So the left hand side of this equation is given. But because for all  $k$  we have infinitely many  $j$ 's such that  $Te_j = e_k$  it is possible to find numbers  $p_{n+1}, p_{n+2}, \dots, p_m$ , many of them may be 0, so that

$$ATE_{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^m p_j Te_j.$$

So suppose that we have chosen  $m \geq n+1$  and  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m$  so that now also  $ATE_{n+1} = TAE_{n+1}$  when  $Ae_{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^m p_j e_j$ . Then let  $p > 0$  and  $k$  odd and  $k > m$  and put

$$Ae_{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^m p_j e_j + pe_k - pe_{k+2}.$$

This clearly will have no effect on condition (i) since  $k > m \geq n+1$ . Because  $Te_k = Te_{k+2} = e_1$  the vector  $TAE_{n+1}$  will not change by adding this term so that also condition (ii) will still be satisfied. Therefore it remains to show that  $k$  and  $p$  can be chosen such that also (iii) is satisfied. So let  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} x_j e_j$  and suppose that  $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} |x_j|^2 = 1$ .

Let  $E$  be the projection onto the subspace spanned by the vectors  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax\|^2 &= \left\| A \sum_{j=1}^n x_j e_j + Ax_{n+1} e_{n+1} \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| A \sum_{j=1}^n x_j e_j + x_{n+1} E A e_{n+1} + x_{n+1} p(e_k - e_{k+2}) \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that not only  $k > m$  but that  $k$  is also larger than all indices that appear in the expansions of  $Ae_j$  with  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then we have

$$\|Ax\|^2 = \left\| A \sum_{j=1}^n x_j e_j + x_{n+1} E A e_{n+1} \right\|^2 + 2p^2 |x_{n+1}|^2.$$

Let

$$\varepsilon = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \frac{c_n - c_{n+1}}{(n+1)c_{n+1} + \|E A e_{n+1}\|} \right\}.$$

If  $|x_{n+1}| \leq \varepsilon$  then in particular  $|x_{n+1}| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and since  $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} |x_j|^2 = 1$  we have  $\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2 \geq 3/4$ . Also if  $|x_{n+1}| \leq \varepsilon$  then

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{n+1}|((n+1)c_{n+1} + \|E A e_{n+1}\|) &\leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(c_n - c_{n+1}) \\ &\leq (c_n - c_{n+1}) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq (c_n - c_{n+1}) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n j^2 |x_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left\| A \sum_{j=1}^n x_j e_j \right\| - c_{n+1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n j^2 |x_j|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n+1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} j^2 |x_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} &\leq c_{n+1}(n+1)|x_{n+1}| + c_{n+1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n j^2 |x_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left\| A \sum_{j=1}^n x_j e_j \right\| - |x_{n+1}| \|E A e_{n+1}\| \\ &\leq \left\| A \sum_{j=1}^n x_j e_j + x_{n+1} E A e_{n+1} \right\| \leq \|Ax\|. \end{aligned}$$

If we now choose  $p$  such that

$$p \geq \frac{c_{n+1}}{\varepsilon\sqrt{2}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} j^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

and if  $|x_{n+1}| \geq \varepsilon$  then we have immediately

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n+1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} j^2 |x_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} &\leq c_{n+1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} j^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon p \sqrt{2} \\ &\leq |x_{n+1}| p \sqrt{2} \leq \|Ax\|. \end{aligned}$$

So we see that if  $p$  is large enough we will have for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}$  that

$$c_{n+1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} j^2 |x_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \|Ax\|$$

when  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} x_j e_j$ . This completes the proof of the proposition. The main result of this note is now an easy consequence of the previous proposition.

**4. Theorem.** *There exist an  $\mathcal{O}^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and an operator  $T \in \mathcal{A}_s^c$  such that  $\mathcal{D}(T^*) = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Simply take for  $\mathcal{A}$  the polynomial algebra generated by the symmetric operator  $A$  constructed in the proposition.

In this way the operator  $T$  is a nonclosable operator in the strong unbounded commutant  $\mathcal{A}_s^c$  of the  $\mathcal{O}^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . In [2] are defined also the weak unbounded commutant

$$\mathcal{A}_w^c := \{T \in L_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H}) : \langle TAx, y \rangle = \langle Tx, A^+y \rangle \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{D} \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

and the form commutant

$$\mathcal{A}_f^c := \{c \in B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}) : c(Ax, y) = c(x, A^+y) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{D} \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

of an  $\mathcal{O}^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{D}$ . There  $B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$  denotes the vector space of all continuous sesquilinear forms (linear in the first and conjugate-linear in the second variable) on  $\mathcal{D}[t_{\mathcal{A}}] \times \mathcal{D}[t_{\mathcal{A}}]$ .

$\mathcal{A}_f^c$  is invariant under the involution  $c \rightarrow c^+$ , there  $c^+$  is defined by  $c^+(x, y) := \overline{c(y, x)}$ ,  $x, y \in \mathcal{D}$ . An operator  $T$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  is identified with the associated sesquilinear form  $c_T(x, y) := \langle Tx, y \rangle$ ,  $x, y \in \mathcal{D}$ . So we have  $\mathcal{A}_w^c \subset \mathcal{A}_f^c$  (and of course  $\mathcal{A}_s^c \subset \mathcal{A}_w^c$ ). In [2] is constructed a selfadjoint  $\mathcal{O}^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and a sesquilinear form in  $\mathcal{A}_f^c$  which is not an operator. Now we consider the  $\mathcal{O}^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and the operator  $T \in \mathcal{A}_s^c$  constructed in the present paper.

In a similar spirit we can show that the sesquilinear form  $c_T^+ \in \mathcal{A}_f^c$  is not an operator, that means there is no operator  $T_1 \in \mathcal{A}_w^c$  such that  $c_T^+ = c_{T_1}$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author A. Van Daele would like to thank the Professors G. Lassner and K. Schmüdgen for their kind invitation to the university of Leipzig where this result was obtained.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Robert T. Power, *Self-adjoint algebras of unbounded operators*, Comm. Math. Phys. **21** (1971), 85–124.
- [2] K. Schmüdgen, *Strongly commuting self-adjoint operators and commutants of unbounded operator algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc **102** (1988), pp. 365–372.

KATHOLIEKE UNIVERSITEIT LEUVEN, DEPARTEMENT WISKUNDE, CELESTIJNENLAAN 200 B, B-3030 HEVERLEE, BELGIUM

KARL-MARX-UNIVERSITÄT LEIPZIG, SEKTION MATHEMATIK, KARL-MARX-PLATZ, 7010 LEIPZIG, GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC