

A REMARK ON THE NORMALITY OF INFINITE PRODUCTS

KEIKO CHIBA

(Communicated by Dennis Burke)

Dedicated to Professor Yukihiro Kodama on his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT. In this note we shall prove the following: Suppose that all finite subproducts of a product space $X = \prod_{\beta < \lambda} X_\beta$ are normal. If X is λ -paracompact, then X is normal. Here λ stands for an infinite cardinal number.

Throughout this note, all spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff and contain at least two points.

Concerning the normality of countable Cartesian products, the following is well known.

(A) (Zenor [5, Theorem A], Nagami [3], or cf. [4, Theorem 6.1]). Suppose that $\prod_{i \leq n} X_i$ is normal for all $n < \omega$. Then a product space $X = \prod_{n < \omega} X_n$ is normal iff X is countably paracompact.

Further, Bešlagić proved the following.

(B) (Bešlagić [1, Lemma 3.5]). If a product space $X = \prod_{\beta < \lambda} X_\beta$ is normal, then X is λ -paracompact.

A space X is said to be λ -paracompact [2] if every open cover of X with cardinality $\leq \lambda$ has a locally finite open refinement.

Let $X = \prod_{\beta < \lambda} X_\beta$ be an infinite Cartesian product of spaces. For a finite subset F of λ , $\prod_{\beta \in F} X_\beta$ is said to be a finite subproduct of X .

In this note we shall prove the following.

Theorem. *Suppose that all finite subproducts of $X = \prod_{\beta < \lambda} X_\beta$ are normal. If X is λ -paracompact, then X is normal.*

Our proof is very similar to the proof of Bešlagić's Theorem 3.4 from [1]. It is also a generalization of Zenor's proof in [5].

First we prove the following lemma.

Lemma. *Let X be a λ -paracompact space and $\mathcal{G} = \{G_\mu \mid \mu < \lambda\}$ be an increasing open cover of X . Then there exists an increasing open cover $\mathcal{H} = \{H_\mu \mid \mu < \lambda\}$ of X such that $\text{Cl} H_\mu \subset G_\mu$ for each $\mu < \lambda$.*

Received by the editors December 31, 1986 and, in revised form, March 28, 1988. The author presented the contents of this paper at the 24th Symposium of General Topology on June 2, 1988. 1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 54D15, 54B10.

Key words and phrases. Normal, infinite product, λ -paracompact.

Proof. Since X is λ -paracompact, there exists a locally finite open cover \mathcal{V} of X which is a refinement of \mathcal{G} . Let us put $V_\mu = \bigcup\{V \mid V \in \mathcal{V}, V \not\subset G_\alpha \text{ for each } \alpha < \mu, V \subset G_\mu\}$ for each $\mu < \lambda$. Then $\mathcal{V}' = \{V_\mu \mid \mu < \lambda\}$ is a locally finite open cover of X such that $V_\mu \subset G_\mu$ for each $\mu < \lambda$. Let us put $H_\mu = X - \text{Cl}(\bigcup_{\alpha > \mu} V_\alpha)$ for each $\mu < \lambda$. Then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{H} = \{H_\mu \mid \mu < \lambda\}$ has the desired properties.

Proof of Theorem. Let us assume that the theorem fails. Then there exist spaces $\{X_\beta \mid \beta < \lambda\}$ such that $X = \prod_{\beta < \lambda} X_\beta$ is λ -paracompact and all finite subproducts of X are normal but X is not normal. Let λ be the minimal cardinal number for which such a space exists. Let us prove that “ X is normal” which is a contradiction. We shall use the following notation. For each $A \subset \lambda$, let us put $Y_A = \prod_{\beta \in A} X_\beta$ and let $\pi_A: X \rightarrow Y_A$ be the projection and for each $\gamma < \lambda$, put $Z_\gamma = Y_{\lambda-\gamma}$.

Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, G_2\}$ be an arbitrary binary open cover of X . We shall show that there exist closed sets L_1 and L_2 such that $L_i \subset G_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $X = L_1 \cup L_2$.

For each $\gamma < \lambda$ and $i = 1, 2$, let us put

$$U_{\gamma,i} = \bigcup\{U \mid U \text{ is open in } Y_\gamma, U \times Z_\gamma \subset G_i\}.$$

Then $U_{\gamma,i}$ is open in Y_γ such that $U_{\gamma,i} \times Z_\gamma \subset G_i$. Let us put $O_\gamma = (\bigcup_{i=1}^2 U_{\gamma,i}) \times Z_\gamma$. Then $\{O_\gamma \mid \gamma < \lambda\}$ is an increasing open cover of X . Since X is λ -paracompact, by Lemma, there exists an increasing open cover $\mathcal{S} = \{S_\gamma \mid \gamma < \lambda\}$ of X such that $\text{Cl}S_\gamma \subset O_\gamma$ for $\gamma < \lambda$. Let us put $T_\gamma = Y_\gamma - \pi_\gamma(X - \text{Cl}S_\gamma)$ and $C_\gamma = (\text{Int}T_\gamma) \times Z_\gamma$. Then $T_\gamma \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^2 U_{\gamma,i}$ and

Claim. $\{C_\gamma \mid \gamma < \lambda\}$ is an open cover of X .

Proof. It is obvious that C_γ is open in X . Let $x \in X$. Then $x \in S_\gamma$ for some $\gamma < \lambda$ and there exist a finite set $F \subset \lambda$ and an open set U in Y_F such that $x \in (\pi_F)^{-1}(U) \subset S_\gamma$. Let us put $v = \gamma \cup F$. Then $(\pi_F)^{-1}(U) \subset C_v$. To show this, let $y = (y_\beta)_{\beta < \lambda} \in (\pi_F)^{-1}(U) - T_v \times Z_v$. Then $(y_\beta)_{\beta < v} \in \pi_v(X - \text{Cl}S_v)$ and so $(y_\beta)_{\beta < v} = \pi_v(z)$ for some $z \in X - \text{Cl}S_v$. Then $\pi_F(z) = (y_\beta)_{\beta \in F} \in U$ because $F \subset v$. Hence $z \in (\pi_F)^{-1}(U)$. However, since $(\pi_F)^{-1}(U) \subset S_\gamma \subset S_v$, we have $z \in S_v$ which is a contradiction.

Since Y_γ is normal (by the assumption of λ) and T_γ is closed in Y_γ , there are closed sets $F_{\gamma,1}$ and $F_{\gamma,2}$ of Y_γ such that $F_{\gamma,i} \subset U_{\gamma,i}$ for each $i = 1, 2$ and $T_\gamma = F_{\gamma,1} \cup F_{\gamma,2}$. Since X is λ -paracompact, there is a locally finite open cover $\{K_\gamma \mid \gamma < \lambda\}$ of X such that $K_\gamma \subset C_\gamma$ for each $\gamma < \lambda$. Let us put $L_i = \bigcup\{(F_{\gamma,i} \times Z_\gamma) \cap \text{Cl}K_\gamma \mid \gamma < \lambda\}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then L_i is closed in X and $L_i \subset G_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $X = L_1 \cup L_2$. The proof of the theorem is complete.

From this theorem and the previous result (B) of Bešlagić, we obtain the following. This extends the result (A).

Corollary. *Suppose that all finite subproducts of $X = \prod_{\beta < \lambda} X_\beta$ are normal. Then X is normal iff X is λ -paracompact.*

Remark. In the theorem, we cannot replace the condition “ X is λ -paracompact” by “ X is countably paracompact.” In fact, let $X = \prod_{\alpha < \omega_1} (\omega_1)_\alpha$ where $(\omega_1)_\alpha$ is a copy of ω_1 with the ordered topology. Then all finite subproducts of X are normal and X is countably paracompact but X is not normal [4, Theorem 6.7].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is grateful to the referee for his valuable comments.

REFERENCES

1. A. Bešliagić, *Normality in products*, *Topology Appl.* **22** (1986), 71–88.
2. K. Morita, *Paracompactness and product spaces*, *Fund. Math.* **50** (1961), 223–236.
3. K. Nagami, *Countable paracompactness of inverse limits and products*, *Fund. Math.* **73** (1972), 261–270.
4. T. C. Przumusiński, *Products of normal spaces*, *Handbook of Set Theoretic Topology* (K. Kunen and J. Vaughan, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
5. P. Zenor, *Countable paracompactness in product spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **30** (1971), 199–201.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, SHIZUOKA UNIVERSITY, OHYA, SHIZUOKA, 422 JAPAN