

## $\kappa$ -METRIZABLE SPACES, STRATIFIABLE SPACES AND METRIZATION

J. SUZUKI, K. TAMANO, AND Y. TANAKA

(Communicated by Dennis K. Burke)

**ABSTRACT.** It is shown that every  $\kappa$ -metrizable CW-complex is metrizable. Examples are given showing that a stratifiable  $\kappa$ -metrizable space and an additively  $\kappa$ -metrizable space need not be metrizable.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $\mathcal{F}$  a family of closed subsets of  $X$ . A non-negative real valued function  $\phi: X \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R$  is called an *annihilator* for  $\mathcal{F}$  [3] if  $\phi(x, F) = 0$  if and only if  $x \in F$ . Various important classes of generalized metric spaces can be characterized by means of annihilators.  $\kappa$ -metrizable spaces [13], stratifiable spaces [2, 1] and continuously perfectly normal spaces [17] are such spaces. An interesting problem naturally arises:

*General Problem.* What condition of an annihilator on a space  $X$  implies the metrizability of  $X$ ?

Several answers are known. For example, P. Zenor [17] proved that a space  $X$  is metrizable if and only if it has a monotone bicontinuous annihilator for the family  $2^X$  of all closed subsets of  $X$ .

Recently T. Isiwata obtained the following metrization theorem which also can be translated into words of annihilators:

**Theorem [9].** *A space is metrizable if it is stratifiable and additively  $\kappa$ -metrizable.*

We are concerned with the following two questions:

*Question 1* [14]. Is a space metrizable if it is stratifiable and  $\kappa$ -metrizable?

*Question 2.* Is a space metrizable if it is additively  $\kappa$ -metrizable?

Lašnev spaces (=images of metric spaces under closed continuous mappings) and CW-complexes are typical examples of stratifiable spaces. The second author [14] showed that a  $\kappa$ -metrizable Lašnev space is metrizable. We show that

---

Received by the editors March 27, 1986 and, in revised form, March 24, 1988.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 54E35, 54E20.

*Key words and phrases.*  $\kappa$ -metrizable space, stratifiable space, annihilator, metrization.

a  $\kappa$ -metrizable CW-complex is metrizable, which is another partial positive answer to Question 1. But Question 1 itself has a negative answer. Indeed we construct a space which is stratifiable and  $\kappa$ -metrizable but is not metrizable.

Answering Question 2, we show that the Sorgenfrey line, which is a Lindelöf nonmetrizable space, is additively  $\kappa$ -metrizable.

All spaces are assumed to be regular  $T_1$ . The letter  $N$  and the letter  $R$  denote the set of natural numbers and the set of real numbers respectively.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $X$  be a space and  $\mathcal{F}$  a family of closed subsets of  $X$ . A nonnegative real valued function  $\phi: X \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R$  is an *annihilator* for  $\mathcal{F}$  if it satisfies that  $\phi(x, F) = 0$  if and only if  $x \in F$ .

For a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of closed subsets of  $X$ , we use  $2^X$ , the family of all closed subsets of  $X$ ; and  $R[X]$ , the family of all regular closed subsets of  $X$ . We consider the following properties of an annihilator  $\phi$ :

An annihilator  $\phi$  is *continuous* if  $\phi(\cdot, F)$  is continuous in a variable  $x$  for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . An annihilator  $\phi$  is *bicontinuous* if  $\phi(x, F)$  is continuous on the product space  $X \times \mathcal{F}$  of  $X$  and the space  $\mathcal{F}$  with the Vietoris topology (=finite topology=exponential topology). An annihilator  $\phi$  is *monotone* if  $H \subset F$  implies  $\phi(x, H) \geq \phi(x, F)$  for each  $x \in X$ . An annihilator  $\phi$  is *linearly additive* if for each subfamily  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  which is linearly ordered by inclusion,  $\phi(x, \text{cl} \cup \mathcal{H}) = \inf\{\phi(x, F): F \in \mathcal{H}\}$ . An annihilator  $\phi$  is *additive* if for each subfamily  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\phi(x, \text{cl} \cup \mathcal{H}) = \inf\{\phi(x, F): F \in \mathcal{H}\}$ .

It should be noted that the distance function  $d: X \times 2^X \rightarrow R$  of a metric space  $X$  satisfies all of the above properties.

By the use of these definitions, let us define various generalized metric spaces:

A space  $X$  is  $\kappa$ -*metrizable* if it has a continuous, monotone and linearly additive annihilator for  $R[X]$ , which is called a  $\kappa$ -*metric*. A space with an additive  $\kappa$ -metric is called *additively  $\kappa$ -metrizable*. A space  $X$  is *stratifiable* if it has a continuous and monotone annihilator for  $2^X$ . A space  $X$  is *continuously perfectly normal* if it has a bicontinuous annihilator for  $2^X$ .

## 3. $\kappa$ -METRIZABLE CW-COMPLEXES

In this section we give partial positive answers to Question 1 in the introduction. We start with the definition of the well-known examples  $S$  and  $S_2$ . The *sequential fan*  $S$  is the quotient space obtained from the topological sum of countably many convergent sequences by identifying all the limit points. The *Arens' space*  $S_2 = (N \times N) \cup N \cup \{\infty\}$  is the space with each point of  $N \times N$  isolated. A basis of neighborhoods of  $n \in N$  consists of all sets of the form  $\{n\} \cup \{(m, n): m \geq k\}$ . And  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $\infty$  if and only if  $\infty \in U$  and  $U$  is a neighborhood of all but finitely many  $n \in N$ .

A space  $X$  is *sequential* if a set  $A \subset X$  is closed if and only if no sequence in  $A$  converges to a point not in  $A$ . A space  $X$  is *Fréchet* if for every  $A \subset X$  and

every  $x \in \text{cl} A$ , there exists a sequence  $\{x_n : n \in N\}$  in  $A$  converging to  $x$ . A space  $X$  is *strongly Fréchet* [12] (=countably bi-sequential [11]) if whenever  $\{A_n : n \in N\}$  is a decreasing sequence of sets in  $X$  and  $x \in \bigcap \{\text{cl} A_n : n \in N\}$ , there exists an  $x_n \in A_n$  such that the sequence  $\{x_n : n \in N\}$  converges to  $x$ .

Combining [10, Corollary 2.3] and [15, Theorem 1.5], we have

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a hereditarily normal sequential space. Then  $X$  is strongly Fréchet if and only if  $X$  contains no copy of  $S$  and no copy of  $S_2$ .*

A space  $X$  is *monotonically normal* [6] if to each pair  $(H, K)$  of disjoint closed subsets of  $X$ , one can assign an open set  $D(H, K)$  such that

- (a)  $H \subset D(H, K) \subset \text{cl} D(H, K) \subset X - K$ ; and
- (b) If  $H \subset H'$  and  $K \supset K'$ , then  $D(H, K) \subset D(H', K')$ . The function  $D$  is called a *monotone normality operator* for  $X$ . Stratifiable spaces are known to be monotonically normal. The following lemma is easily proved:

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of closed subsets of a space  $X$  which is closed under finite unions. Suppose that  $\phi: X \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R$  is a monotone annihilator. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) for each increasing countable sequence  $\mathcal{H}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  and a point  $x \in \text{cl} \bigcup \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\inf\{\phi(x, F) : F \in \mathcal{H}\} = 0$ ;
- (b) for each countable subfamily  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ , a point  $x \in \text{cl} \bigcup \mathcal{H}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{H}'$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\phi(x, \bigcup \mathcal{H}') < \varepsilon$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a monotonically normal sequential space. Suppose that there is a monotone annihilator  $\phi: X \times R[X] \rightarrow R$  for  $R[X]$  satisfying (a) in Lemma 3.2. Then  $X$  is strongly Fréchet.*

*Proof.* Since every monotonically normal space is hereditarily normal, by Lemma 3.1, we need only show that  $X$  contains no copy of  $S$  and no copy of  $S_2$ . The second author [14] essentially proved that  $X$  contains no copy of  $S$ . So it is sufficient to prove that  $X$  contains no copy of  $S_2$ . Suppose the contrary. We may assume that  $S_2 = (N \times N) \cup N \cup \{\infty\}$  is a subset of  $X$ . Let  $D$  be a monotone normality operator for  $X$ . Define  $B_{mn} = \text{cl} D(\{(m, n)\}, \{\infty\})$ . Then  $\{B_{mn} : m, n \in N\}$  is a family of regular closed subsets of  $X$  satisfying the following:

- (a) for each  $n \in N$ ,  $n \in \text{cl}(\bigcup\{B_{mn} : m \in N\})$ ; and
- (b) for each function  $f: N \rightarrow N$ ,

$$\infty \notin \text{cl} \left( \bigcup \{B_{mn} : m, n \in N, m \leq f(n)\} \right).$$

It is easy to see (a). The property (b) follows from the property of the monotone normality operator  $D$ . Note that  $\infty \notin \text{cl}\{(m, n) : m, n \in N, m \leq f(n)\}$ .

So whenever  $m \leq f(n)$ , we have  $D(\{(m, n)\}, \{\infty\}) \subset D(\text{cl}\{(m, n): m, n \in N, m \leq f(n)\}, \{\infty\})$ , which implies

$$\bigcup \{B_{mn}: m, n \in N, m \leq f(n)\} \\ \subset \text{cl}D(\text{cl}\{(m, n): m, n \in N, m \leq f(n)\}, \{\infty\}) \subset X - \{\infty\}.$$

Hence  $\infty \notin \text{cl}(\bigcup \{B_{mn}: m, n \in N, m \leq f(n)\})$ .

Now one can choose a sequence  $\{\mathcal{E}_n: n \in N\}$  of finite subfamilies of  $\{B_{mn}: m, n \in N\}$  satisfying that

- (c)  $\phi(\infty, \bigcup \mathcal{E}_n) < 1/n$ ; and
- (d) if  $B_{ij} \in \mathcal{E}_n$ , then  $j \geq n$ .

Indeed by (a)  $\infty \in \text{cl}(\bigcup \{B_{ij}: i, j \in N, j \geq n\})$ . So it follows from Lemma 3.2 that there is a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{E}_n$  of  $\{B_{ij}: i, j \in N, j \geq n\}$  such that  $\phi(\infty, \bigcup \mathcal{E}_n) < 1/n$ .

Define  $\mathcal{E} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{E}_n: n \in N\}$ . By (c) and the monotone property of  $\phi$ , we have  $\phi(\infty, \text{cl} \bigcup \mathcal{E}) = 0$ . On the other hand, by (d), for each  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{E} \cap \{B_{in}: i \in N\}$  is finite. Hence by (b),  $\infty \notin \text{cl} \bigcup \mathcal{E}$ . Since  $\phi$  is an annihilator,  $\phi(\infty, \text{cl} \bigcup \mathcal{E}) \neq 0$ , a contradiction.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a monotonically normal sequential space. If  $X$  is  $\kappa$ -metrizable, then  $X$  is strongly Fréchet.*

*Proof.* A  $\kappa$ -metric for  $X$  satisfies the condition of Lemma 3.3. Hence  $X$  is strongly Fréchet.

A space  $X$  is said to be *dominated* by a closed cover  $\mathcal{F}$  if whenever a subset  $A$  of  $X$  has a closed intersection with every element of some  $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}$  which covers  $A$ , then  $A$  is closed in  $X$ . As is well known, every CW-complex is dominated by a cover of compact metric subsets.

**Lemma 3.5.** *A strongly Fréchet space  $X$  is metrizable if one of the following properties holds:*

- (a) [11]  $X$  is a Lašnev space;
- (b)  $X$  is dominated by a closed cover of metric subsets.

*Proof.* We show (b). By [16], every Fréchet space dominated by a closed cover of metric subsets is a Lašnev space. Hence by (a),  $X$  is metrizable.

**Theorem 3.6.** *A  $\kappa$ -metrizable space is metrizable if one of the following properties listed below holds:*

- (a) [14]  $X$  is a Lašnev space;
- (b)  $X$  is dominated by a closed cover of metric subsets;
- (c)  $X$  is a CW-complex.

*Proof.* (c) follows from (b). We show (b). Suppose that  $X$  is dominated by a closed cover of metric subsets. It is easy to check that  $X$  is sequential. By [1], every space dominated by a closed cover of stratifiable subspaces is stratifiable.

So  $X$  is stratifiable, hence  $X$  is monotonically normal. Combining Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, we see that  $X$  is metrizable.

#### 4. A NONMETRIZABLE STRATIFIABLE $\kappa$ -METRIZABLE SPACE

The following definition of distance functions for the real line  $R$  and the plane  $R^2$  is adopted throughout the remainder of this paper:

Let  $E$  be  $R$  or  $R^2$ ,  $d_0$  the usual distance function  $E$ . Define the distance function  $d$  by  $d(x, y) = \min\{1, d_0(x, y)\}$ . The distance function  $d(x, A)$  from a point  $x$  to a subset  $A$  of  $E$  is defined by letting  $d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, y) : y \in A\}$  if  $A \neq \emptyset$ , and  $d(x, \emptyset) = 1$ . For a pair,  $A, B$  of subsets of  $E$ , we define  $\bar{d}(A, B) = \sup\{d(x, B) : x \in A\}$  if  $A \neq \emptyset$ , and  $\bar{d}(\emptyset, B) = 1$ . It is well known that the functions  $d$  and  $\bar{d}$  have the following properties:

- (a) for a fixed  $A$  of  $E$ , the distance  $d(x, A)$  is a continuous function on  $X$ ;
- (b)  $A \subset B$  then  $d(x, A) \geq d(x, B)$ ;
- (c) for every family  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $E$ ,  $d(x, \text{cl} \bigcup \mathcal{A}) = \inf\{d(x, A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ ; and
- (d)  $d(x, A) \leq d(x, B) + \bar{d}(B, A)$ .

**Example 4.1.** There is a nonmetrizable first countable space  $Z$  which has a continuous, monotone and linearly additive annihilator  $\phi$  for  $2^Z$ .

*Remark 4.2.* The space  $Z$  is stratifiable and  $\kappa$ -metrizable. Indeed, since  $\phi$  is a continuous monotone annihilator for  $2^Z$ ,  $Z$  is stratifiable. On the other hand, if we restrict  $\phi$  to  $R[Z]$ ,  $\phi$  becomes a  $\kappa$ -metric on  $Z$ . Note that linear additivity cannot be replaced by additivity, since every stratifiable additively  $\kappa$ -metrizable space is metrizable by Isiwata's theorem in the introduction.

*Proof of Example 4.1.* The space  $Z$  is a variant of the example of a stratifiable nonmetrizable space described in [2, Example 9.2]. Several variants are well known. An example similar to  $Z$  can be found in [4, Example 4.2]. Let  $Z = \{(x, y) \in R^2 : y \geq 0\}$ , i.e., the closed upper half-plane. Define  $x(z) = x$ ,  $y(z) = y$  for each  $z = (x, y) \in Z$ ,  $B_n(z) = \{z' \in Z : d(z, z') < 1/n\}$  for each  $z \in Z$ ,  $n \in N$ , and  $L_x = \{z \in Z : x(z) = x, y(z) > 0\}$  for each  $x \in R$ . Let  $Z$  have the following topology: the points of  $\{z \in Z : y(z) > 0\}$  are isolated, and a basic neighborhood of  $z = (x, 0)$  is the set  $U_n(z) = B_n(z) - L_x$ . It can be easily shown that  $Z$  is a nonmetrizable first countable regular  $T_1$ -space.

Now let us construct the desired annihilator. Define  $e(z, A) = \sup\{d(z, A - L_x) : x \in R\}$  for each  $z \in Z$  and  $A \subset Z$ . Define an annihilator  $\phi : Z \times 2^Z \rightarrow R$  as the following:

$$\phi(z, F) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } z \in F, \\ \max\{e(z, F), y(z)\} & \text{if } z \notin F. \end{cases}$$

We claim that  $\phi$  is a continuous, monotone and linearly additive annihilator for  $2^Z$ . For each  $z \in Z$  and nonempty set  $A \subset Z$ , there is a point  $a(z, A) \in \text{cl}_{R^2} A$  such that  $d(z, A) = d(z, \text{cl}_{R^2} A) = d(z, a(z, A))$ .

*Claim 1.*  $e(z, A) = d(z, A - L_{x(a(z, A))})$ .

*Proof.* We need only show that  $d(z, A - L_x) \leq d(z, A - L_{x(a(z, A))})$  for each  $x \in R$  with  $x \neq x(a(z, A))$ . Since  $x \neq x(a(z, A))$ , we have  $a(z, A) \in \text{cl}_{R^2}(A - L_x)$ . Hence

$$d(z, A - L_x) \leq d(z, a(z, A)) = d(z, A) \leq d(z, A - L_{x(a(z, A))})$$

*Claim 2.*  $\phi$  is a monotone annihilator.

*Proof.* Obviously  $\phi$  is monotone. So we show that  $\phi$  is an annihilator. Suppose that  $z \notin F$ . If  $y(z) > 0$ , then  $\phi(z, F) \geq y(z) > 0$ . If  $y(z) = 0$ , then there is a basic neighborhood  $U_n(z)$  of  $z$  with  $U_n(z) \cap F = \emptyset$ . Then  $B_n(z) \cap (F - L_{x(z)}) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $\phi(z, F) \geq e(z, F) \geq 1/n > 0$ .

*Claim 3.*  $\phi$  is continuous.

*Proof.* We show that  $\phi(z, F)$  is continuous at a point  $z \in Z$ . Since the points of  $\{z \in Z : y(z) > 0\}$  are isolated, we may assume that  $y(z) = 0$ .

*Case 1.*  $z \in F$ . Suppose that  $\varepsilon > 0$  is given. Choose  $n \in N$  with  $1/n < \varepsilon$ . Note that  $z \in F - L_x$  for each  $x \in R$ . Therefore if  $z' \in U_n(z)$ , then  $e(z', F) < \varepsilon$ , and obviously  $y(z') < 1/n$ . Hence  $\phi(z', F) < \varepsilon$ .

*Case 2.*  $z \notin F$ . Suppose that  $\varepsilon > 0$  is given. Since  $d(\cdot, A)$  is continuous with respect to the usual Euclidean topology, there exists  $n \in N$  with  $1/n < \varepsilon$  such that

- (1)  $U_n(z) \cap F = \emptyset$ ; and
- (2) if  $z' \in U_n(z)$ , then  $|d(z', F - L_{x(a(z, F))}) - d(z, F - L_{x(a(z, F))})| < \varepsilon$ .

Suppose that  $z' \in U_n(z)$ . We show that  $|\phi(z', F) - \phi(z, F)| < \varepsilon$ . Since  $1/n < \varepsilon, |y(z') - y(z)| < \varepsilon$ . So by (1), we need only show that  $|e(z', F) - e(z, F)| < \varepsilon$ . By Claim 1 and (2), we have  $e(z', F) = d(z', F - L_{x(a(z', F))}) \geq d(z', F - L_{x(a(z, F))}) > d(z, F - L_{x(a(z, F))}) - \varepsilon = e(z, F) - \varepsilon$ . It remains to show that  $e(z', F) < e(z, F) + \varepsilon$ . First suppose that  $x(a(z, F)) = x(a(z', F))$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} e(z', F) &= d(z', F - L_{x(a(z', F))}) = d(z', F - L_{x(a(z, F))}) \\ &< d(z, F - L_{x(a(z, F))}) + \varepsilon = e(z, F) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Next suppose that  $x(a(z, F)) \neq x(a(z', F))$ . Then, since  $a(z, F) \in \text{cl}_{R^2}(F - L_{x(a(z', F))})$ , we have  $e(z', F) = d(z', F - L_{x(a(z', F))}) \leq d(z', a(z, F)) \leq d(z', z) + d(z, a(z, F)) < \varepsilon + d(z, F) \leq \varepsilon + d(z, F - L_{x(a(z, F))}) = \varepsilon + e(z, F)$ .

The proof of Claim 3 is completed.

*Claim 4.*  $\phi$  is linearly additive.

*Proof.* Let  $\{F_\alpha\}$  be an increasing family of closed subsets of  $X$ . First we show that

$$(3) \quad e(z, \text{cl}_Z(\bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha})) = \inf_{\alpha} e(z, F_{\alpha}).$$

By the monotone property of  $e(z, \cdot)$ , we need only show that  $\inf_{\alpha} e(z, F_{\alpha}) \leq e(z, \text{cl}_Z(\bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}))$ .

*Case 1.* Suppose that for each  $\alpha$ , there exists,  $\beta > \alpha$  with  $x(a(z, F_{\alpha})) \neq x(a(z, F_{\beta}))$ . Then since  $a(z, F_{\alpha}) \in \text{cl}_{R^2}(F_{\alpha} - L_{x(a(z, F_{\beta}))})$ ,  $d(z, F_{\alpha}) = d(z, F_{\alpha} - L_{x(a(z, F_{\beta}))})$ . Therefore by Claim 1,  $e(z, F_{\beta}) = d(z, F_{\beta} - L_{x(a(z, F_{\beta}))}) \leq d(z, F_{\alpha} - L_{x(a(z, F_{\beta}))}) = d(z, F_{\alpha})$ . Thus  $\inf_{\alpha} e(z, F_{\alpha}) \leq \inf_{\alpha} d(z, F_{\alpha}) = d(z, \bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}) = d(z, \text{cl}_Z(\bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha})) \leq d(z, \text{cl}_Z(\bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}) - L_{x(a(z, \text{cl}_Z(\bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}))})} = e(z, \text{cl}_Z(\bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}))$ .

*Case 2.* Suppose that there exists  $\alpha_0$  such that  $x(a(z, F_{\alpha})) = x(a(z, F_{\alpha_0})) = x_0$  for each  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\alpha} e(z, F_{\alpha}) &= \inf_{\alpha \geq \alpha_0} e(z, F_{\alpha}) = \inf_{\alpha \geq \alpha_0} d(z, F_{\alpha} - L_{x_0}) \\ &= d(z, \bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha} - L_{x_0}) = d(z, \text{cl}_Z\left(\bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha} - L_{x_0}\right)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $L_{x_0}$  is clopen in  $Z$ ,  $\text{cl}_Z(\bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha} - L_{x_0}) = \text{cl}_Z(\bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}) - L_{x_0}$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\alpha} e(z, F_{\alpha}) &= d(z, \text{cl}_Z\left(\bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}\right) - L_{x_0}) \\ &\leq d(z, \text{cl}_Z\left(\bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}\right) - L_{x(a(z, \text{cl}_Z \bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}))}) = e(z, \text{cl}_Z\left(\bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}\right)). \end{aligned}$$

That completes the proof of (3).

To show the linear additivity of  $\phi$ , by the monotone property of  $\phi(z, \cdot)$ , we need only show that  $\inf_{\alpha} \phi(z, F_{\alpha}) \leq \phi(z, \text{cl}_Z(\bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}))$ .

*Case 1.* Suppose that  $z \in \text{cl}_Z(\bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha})$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $z \notin F_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha$  and  $y(z) = 0$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $F_{\alpha}$  and two points  $z', z'' \in F_{\alpha}$  such that  $d(z, z') < \varepsilon$ ,  $d(z, z'') < \varepsilon$ , and  $x(z') \neq x(z'')$ . Then since  $F_{\alpha} - L_{x(a(z, F_{\alpha}))}$  contains  $z'$  or  $z''$ , we have  $e(z, F_{\alpha}) = d(z, F_{\alpha} - L_{x(a(z, F_{\alpha}))}) < \varepsilon$ . Since  $y(z) = 0$ ,  $\phi(z, F_{\alpha}) < \varepsilon$ . Thus  $\phi(z, \text{cl}_Z(\bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha})) = \inf_{\alpha} \phi(z, F_{\alpha}) = 0$ .

*Case 2.* Suppose that  $z \notin \text{cl}_Z(\bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha})$ . Then by (3),

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(z, \text{cl}_Z\left(\bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}\right)) &= \max\{e(z, \text{cl}_Z\left(\bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}\right)), y(z)\} = \max\{\inf_{\alpha} e(z, F_{\alpha}), y(z)\} \\ &= \inf_{\alpha} \max\{e(z, F_{\alpha}), y(z)\} = \inf_{\alpha} \phi(z, F_{\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

That completes the proof of Example 4.1.

## 5. ADDITIVELY $\kappa$ -METRIZABLE SPACES

We shall say that an annihilator  $\phi: X \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R$  has the *semi-closure condition* if for each point  $x \in X$  and a subfamily  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $x \in \text{cl} \bigcup \mathcal{H}$ , we

have  $\inf\{\phi(x, F) : F \in \mathcal{F}\} = 0$ . For an annihilator  $\phi : X \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R$ , define  $z(x, y) = \sup\{\phi(x, F) : y \in F \in \mathcal{F}\}$  for each  $x, y \in X$ . Let  $S_n(x) = \{y \in X : z(x, y) < 1/n\}$ . Recall that a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of closed subsets of  $X$  is a *base for closed sets* if every closed subset of  $X$  is an intersection of members of  $\mathcal{F}$ . A space  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\beta$ -space [7] if there is a function  $g : X \times N \rightarrow \tau$  such that  $x \in g(x, n)$ , and if  $x \in g(x_n, n)$ , then the set  $\{x_n : n \in N\}$  has a cluster point in  $X$ . A space  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\gamma$ -space [8] if there is a function  $g : X \times N \rightarrow \tau$  such that  $x \in g(x, n)$ , and if  $y_n \in g(x, n)$  and  $x_n \in g(y_n, n)$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n : n \in N\}$  converges to  $x$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a base for closed sets of  $X$ . Then an annihilator  $\phi : X \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R$  satisfies the semi-closure condition if and only if  $\{\text{int}S_n(x) : n \in N\}$  is a local neighborhood base at  $x$  for each point  $x \in X$ .*

*Proof.* Refer to the proof of Isiwata [9] for an additive  $\kappa$ -metric space.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a base for closed sets of a space  $(X, \tau)$ . Suppose that there is a continuous annihilator  $\phi : X \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R$  with the semi-closure condition. Then  $X$  is a  $\gamma$ -space.*

*Proof.* By [5, Theorem 10.6], we need only show that there exists a function  $g : X \times N \rightarrow \tau$  such that  $x \in g(x, n)$ , and if  $K$  is a compact subset of  $X$  and  $U$  is an open set of  $X$  containing  $K$ , then  $\bigcup\{g(x, n) : x \in K\} \subset U$  for some  $n$ . Define  $g(x, n) = \text{int}S_n(x)$ . By Theorem 5.1,  $x \in g(x, n)$ . Suppose that  $K$  is compact and  $U$  is an open set containing  $K$ . Since  $K$  is compact and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a base for closed sets of  $X$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k\}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $K \subset X - \bigcap\{F_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, k\} \subset U$ . Since  $\phi$  is an annihilator, for each  $x \in K$ ,  $\max\{\phi(x, F_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, k\} > 0$ . Using the continuity of  $\phi(x, F_i)$  and the compactness of  $K$ , we can take  $n \in N$  such that  $\max\{\phi(x, F_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, k\} > 1/n$  for any  $x \in K$ . Since  $F_i \supset X - U$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , if  $y \in X - U$ , then  $z(x, y) \geq \max\{\phi(x, F_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, k\} > 1/n$ . Hence  $y \notin S_n(x)$ . Thus  $S_n(x) \subset U$ . The proof is completed.

It is easy to see that an additive  $\kappa$ -metric has the semi-closure condition. Hodel [8] showed that if a space is a  $\beta$ -space and a  $\gamma$ -space, then it is developable. It is known that a stratifiable space is a paracompact  $\beta$ -space and a paracompact developable space is metrizable. So we have

**Theorem 5.3** [9]. *An additively  $\kappa$ -metrizable  $\beta$ -space is developable. In particular, an additively  $\kappa$ -metrizable stratifiable space is metrizable.*

**Example 5.4.** The Sorgenfrey line has an additive  $\kappa$ -metric but is not metrizable.

*Proof.* The Sorgenfrey line  $X$  is the set of all real numbers with the base consisting of all intervals  $[x, y)$ . We use the distance function  $d$  on  $R$  defined in §4. We define an annihilator  $\phi : X \times R[X] \rightarrow R$  by letting  $\phi(x, F) = d(x, F \cap [x, \infty))$  for each point  $x \in X$  and a regular closed set  $F$  of  $X$ . We



claim that  $\phi$  is a monotone, continuous and additive annihilator. It is easy to check that  $\phi$  is a monotone and additive annihilator, because the distance function  $d$  has the property (b) and (c) in §4. It remains to check the continuity of  $\phi$ .

Fix any regular closed set  $F$  of  $X$  and a point  $x \in X$ . We show the continuity of  $\phi(x, F)$  at  $x$ .

*Case 1.*  $F \cap [x, \infty) = \emptyset$ . Then  $U = [x, \infty)$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  and for each  $y \in U$ ,  $\phi(y, F) = 1$  since  $F \cap [y, \infty) = \emptyset$ .

*Case 2.*  $F \cap [x, \infty) \neq \emptyset$  and  $x \notin F$ . Define  $z = \inf(F \cap [x, \infty))$ . Then  $x < z$ ,  $\phi(x, F) = d(x, z)$ , and for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  with  $x < x + \varepsilon < z$ ,  $U = [x, x + \varepsilon)$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  satisfying that if  $y \in U$ , then  $\phi(y, F) = d(y, F \cap [y, \infty)) = d(y, F \cap [x, \infty)) = d(y, z)$ . Since  $d(x, z) - \varepsilon < d(y, z) \leq d(x, z)$ , we have  $|\phi(y, F) - \phi(x, F)| < \varepsilon$ .

*Case 3.*  $x \in F$ . Suppose that  $\varepsilon > 0$  is given. Since  $F$  is regular closed, there is a point  $z \in F$  with  $x < z < x + \varepsilon$ . Let  $U = [x, z)$ . If  $y \in U$ , then  $\phi(y, F) = d(y, F \cap [y, \infty)) \geq d(y, z) < \varepsilon$ . Since  $\phi(x, F) = 0$ ,  $|\phi(y, F) - \phi(x, F)| < \varepsilon$ .

*Remark 5.5.* For the annihilator  $\phi$  of the above example, we cannot replace  $R[X]$  by  $2^X$  by the same reason as Remark 4.2. Annihilator  $\phi$  is called *regular* [13] if it satisfies the following triangle axiom:

$\phi(x, F) \leq \phi(x, H) + \overline{\phi}(H, F)$  for every  $x, F, H$ , where  $\overline{\phi}(H, F) = \sup\{\phi(x, F) : x \in H\}$ .

It is easy to see that the annihilator  $\phi$  defined in the above example is regular because the distance function  $d$  on  $R$  has the property (d) in §4.

## REFERENCES

1. C. J. R. Borges, *On stratifiable spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **17** (1966), 1–16.
2. J. G. Ceder, *Some generalizations of metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 105–125.
3. A. N. Dranišnikov, *Simultaneous annihilation of families of closed sets,  $\kappa$ -metrizable and stratifiable spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **19** (1978), 1466–1469.
4. G. Gruenhage, *Continuously perfectly normal spaces and some generalizations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **224** (1976), 323–338.
5. —, *Generalized metric spaces*, Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology (K. Kunen and J. E. Vaughan, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
6. R. W. Heath, D. J. Lutzer and P. L. Zenor, *Monotonically normal spaces*, Trans. Amer. Soc. **178** (1973), 481–493.
7. R. E. Hodel, *Moore spaces and  $w\Delta$ -spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **38** (1971), 641–652.
8. —, *Spaces defined by sequences of open covers which guarantee that certain sequences have cluster points*, Duke Math. J. **39** (1972), 253–263.
9. T. Isiwata, *Metrization of additive  $\kappa$ -metric spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **100** (1987), 164–168.
10. V. Kannan, *Every compact  $T_5$  sequential space is Fréchet*, Fund. Math. **107** (1980), 85–90.
11. E. A. Michael, *A quintuple quotient quest*, General Topology Appl. **2** (1972), 91–138.
12. F. Siwiec, *Sequence-covering and countably bi-quotient mappings*, General Topology Appl. **1** (1971), 143–154.

13. E. V. Ščepin, *On  $\kappa$ -metrizable spaces*, Math. USSR Izvestija **14** (1980), 407–440.
14. K. Tamano, *Closed images of metric spaces and metrization*, Topology Proc. **10** (1985), 177–186.
15. Y. Tanaka, *Metrizability of certain quotient spaces*, Fund. Math. **119** (1983), 157–168.
16. Y. Tanaka and Zhou Hao-xuan, *Spaces dominated by metric subsets*, Topology Proc. **9** (1984), 149–163.
17. P. Zenor, *Some continuous separation axioms*, Fund. Math. **90** (1976), 143–158.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO GAKUGEI UNIVERSITY, KOGANEI-SHI, TOKYO 184, JAPAN

FACULTY OF LIBERAL ARTS, SHIZUOKA UNIVERSITY, OHYA, SHIZUOKA 422, JAPAN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO GAKUGEI UNIVERSITY, KOGANEI-SHI, TOKYO 184, JAPAN

*Current address* (J. Suzuki): Ashikaga Institute of Technology, Ashikaga-shi Tochigi 326, Japan

*Current address* (K. Tamano): Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Yokohama National University, Yokohama 240, Japan