

## ON TCHEBYSHEFF SYSTEMS

KAZUAKI KITAHARA

(Communicated by Richard R. Goldberg)

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  be linearly independent continuously differentiable functions on the unit interval. In this paper, we obtain the following two results. One is a necessary and sufficient condition for the span of  $\{1, u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  to have a Markoff basis containing 1. The other is that any Markoff system  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  has a Tchebysheff extension  $u_{n+1}$  which is continuously differentiable.

### INTRODUCTION

Let  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  denote linearly independent functions in  $C[0, 1]$ , the space of all real-valued continuous functions on the closed unit interval  $[0, 1]$ . Then  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is said to be a Tchebysheff system (respectively a weak Tchebysheff system) if every nonzero function in the linear subspace  $L[u_1, \dots, u_n]$  spanned by  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  has no more than  $n - 1$  zeros (respectively changes of sign) in  $[0, 1]$ . For brevity, a Tchebysheff system (respectively a weak Tchebysheff system) is called a  $T$ -system (respectively a  $WT$ -system) and the linear subspace  $L[u_1, \dots, u_n]$  called a  $T$ -space (respectively a  $WT$ -space).

As is well known,  $T$ -systems and  $WT$ -systems are of great use in considering best approximation problems with the uniform norm or the  $L^1$ -norm, and many important properties of these systems have been obtained (see [1, 2 and 5-10]).

The purpose of this paper is to show the following two results of a system of continuously differentiable functions  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . One is a necessary and sufficient condition for the span of  $\{1, u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  to have a Markoff basis containing 1. The other is that, for any Markoff system  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  on a closed interval, there is a Tchebysheff extension  $u_{n+1}$  of  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  which is continuously differentiable, i.e., there exists a  $u_{n+1}$  of  $C^1[0, 1]$  such that  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$  is a  $T$ -system. To do them, we pay attention to a subclass of  $WT$ -systems which does not contain the spline spaces but contains the  $T$ -systems and study some properties of the systems of this subclass. For the sake of convenience, we name this system,

---

Received by the editors September 14, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 41A50.

*Key words and phrases.* Weak Tchebysheff systems, Tchebysheff systems.

which is defined in §1, an integral Tchebysheff system or an *IT*-system and call the linear subspace spanned by an *IT*-system an *IT*-space.

1. *IT*-SYSTEMS

First we make the following preparations: By a subinterval of  $[0, 1]$  we mean a nondegenerate one and a set  $\{I_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of subintervals of  $[0, 1]$  is called disjoint if every  $I_\lambda \cap I_{\lambda'}, \lambda \neq \lambda'$ , is a degenerate interval or empty. For a given positive integer  $n$ , we set  $A_n = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mid 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < 1\}$ , and for a function  $u$  of  $C[0, 1]$ , the set of zeros of  $u$  is denoted by  $Z(u)$ . Let  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  be functions in  $C[0, 1]$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  points in  $[0, 1]$ . Then we denote the  $n$ th order determinant by

$$D \begin{pmatrix} u_1, \dots, u_n \\ t_1, \dots, t_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} u_1(t_1), \dots, u_n(t_n) \\ \vdots \\ u_1(t_1), \dots, u_n(t_n) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Now we give the definition of *IT*-systems.

**Definition 1.** Let  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be linearly independent functions in  $C[0, 1]$ . Then  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is said to be an *IT*-system if for any disjoint  $n$ -subintervals  $I_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ , of  $[0, 1]$ , the  $n$ th order determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} \int_{I_1} u_1 dx, \dots, \int_{I_n} u_1 dx \\ \vdots \\ \int_{I_1} u_n dx, \dots, \int_{I_n} u_n dx \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Then we have

**Theorem 1.** For linearly independent functions  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  in  $C[0, 1]$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is an *IT*-system.
- (2)  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is a *WT*-system and, for any  $f \in L[u_1, \dots, u_n] - \{0\}$ ,  $Z(f)$  is nowhere dense in  $[0, 1]$ .
- (3)  $D \begin{pmatrix} \sigma u_1, \dots, \sigma u_n \\ t_1, \dots, t_n \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$  for all  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in A_n$ , where  $\sigma = 1$  or  $-1$ , and  $B_n(\sigma u_1, \dots, \sigma u_n) = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mid (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in A_n, D \begin{pmatrix} \sigma u_1, \dots, \sigma u_n \\ t_1, \dots, t_n \end{pmatrix} > 0\}$  is dense in  $A_n$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Suppose that  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is not a *WT*-system. Then there is a function  $f \in L[u_1, \dots, u_n] - \{0\}$  such that, for some  $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{n+1} < 1$ ,

(1.1)  $f(t_i) \cdot f(t_{i+1}) < 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$

By (1.1), there exist disjoint subintervals  $I_i \subset [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , such that

(1.2)  $\int_{I_i} f dx = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$

But (1.2) contradicts the definition of *IT*-systems. Next suppose that, for some  $g \in L[u_1, \dots, u_n] - \{0\}$ ,  $Z(g)$  is not nowhere dense in  $[0, 1]$ . From this

assumption, we can easily find disjoint subintervals  $I_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , such that  $g$  vanishes identically on each  $I_i$ . This is also contradictory to the fact that  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is an *IT*-system.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). If  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is not an *IT*-system, then, for some  $f \in L[u_1, \dots, u_n] - \{0\}$  and some disjoint subintervals  $I_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , of  $[0, 1]$ ,

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{I_i} f \, dx = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since  $f$  does not vanish identically on each  $I_i$ , from the continuity of  $f$ , and (1.3), we obtain

$$f(t_i) \cdot f(s_i) < 0 \quad \text{for some } t_i, s_i \in I_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

This implies that  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is not a *WT*-system.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). For  $I_1 \leq \dots \leq I_n$  (i.e.,  $I_1 \times \dots \times I_n \subset A_n$ ), applying problem 68, p. 61, in Pólya and Szegő [3], we see that

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \det \left( \int_{I_j} u_i(x) \, dx \right)_{i,j=1}^n &= \det \left( \int_{[0,1]} u_i(x) \chi_{I_j}(x) \, dx \right)_{i,j=1}^n \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \int_{0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n < 1} \det(u_i(x_j))_{i,j=1}^n \det(\chi_{I_j}(x_j))_{i,j=1}^n \, dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \int_{I_n} \cdots \int_{I_1} \det(u_i(x_j))_{i,j=1}^n \, dx_1 \cdots dx_n, \end{aligned}$$

where each  $\chi_{I_j}(x)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , is the characteristic function of  $I_j$ . Then (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) follows immediately from (1.4). By (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) and (1.4), if

$$\det(u_i(x_j))_{i,j=1}^n = 0$$

on an open subset of  $A_n$ , we can easily choose  $I_1 \leq \dots \leq I_n$  contained in  $[0, 1]$  whose product is in this open set and thus  $(\int_{I_j} u_i(x) \, dx)_{i,j=1}^n = 0$ . This implies that (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) holds.

*Remark 1.* (1) As a typical example of an *IT*-system, let  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a *T*-system and  $w$  a nonnegative continuous function such that  $Z(w)$  is nowhere dense in  $[0, 1]$ , then the system  $\{wu_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is an *IT*-system.

(2) In the rest of this paper, without loss of generality, we assume that, for a *WT*-system  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ ,

$$D \begin{pmatrix} u_1, \dots, u_n \\ t_1, \dots, t_n \end{pmatrix} \geq 0, \quad (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in A_n.$$

## 2. BASIC PROPERTIES OF *IT*-SYSTEMS

We begin by stating the following theorem regarding *WT*-spaces.

**Theorem A** (Sommer and Strauss [6] and Stockenberg [7]). *Let  $U$  be an  $n$ -dimensional *WT*-space, then there exists an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional *WT*-subspace of  $U$ .*

In case of *IT*-spaces, we prove

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $U$  be an  $n$ -dimensional  $IT$ -space; then there exists an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional  $IT$ -subspace of  $U$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1,  $U$  is a  $WT$ -space, and using Theorem A,  $U$  contains an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional  $WT$ -subspace  $U_0$  of  $U$ . Since, for any  $f \in U_0 - \{0\}$ ,  $Z(f)$  is nowhere dense in  $[0, 1]$ ,  $U_0$  is an  $IT$ -subspace by Theorem 1.

*Remark 2.* As a result of Theorem 2, we observe that every  $n$ -dimensional  $IT$ -space has a basis  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  such that each system  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , is an  $IT$ -system.

Let us recall that, for a system  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , the convexity cone  $K[u_1, \dots, u_n]$  is the set of all real-valued functions  $f$  defined on  $(0, 1)$  for which the determinant

$$D \begin{pmatrix} u_1, \dots, u_n, f \\ t_1, \dots, t_n, t_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } (t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) \in A_{n+1}.$$

Furthermore we denote by  $K_c[u_1, \dots, u_n]$  the set of all functions in  $K[u_1, \dots, u_n]$  which are continuous on  $[0, 1]$ .

Zielke [9] and Zalik [8] proved that, for a  $T$ -system  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , there is a  $u_{n+1}$  in  $K_c[u_1, \dots, u_n]$  such that  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$  is a  $T$ -system.

We shall prove the similar result for an  $IT$ -system. First, we need the following

**Lemma.** *Let  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be an  $IT$ -system. Suppose that there exist a countable dense subset  $S = \{(s_1^{(i)}, \dots, s_n^{(i)})\}$ ,  $i \in N$  of  $A_n$  and a sequence  $\{f_i\}_{i \in N}$  of functions in  $K_c[u_1, \dots, u_n]$  such that, for every  $(s_1^{(i)}, \dots, s_n^{(i)})$  and  $f_i$ ,*

$$\left\{ t \mid D \begin{pmatrix} u_1, \dots, u_n, f_i \\ s_1^{(i)}, \dots, s_n^{(i)}, t \end{pmatrix} > 0, t \in (s_n^{(i)}, 1) \right\}$$

*is dense in the open interval  $(s_n^{(i)}, 1)$ . Then there is a  $u_{n+1}$  in  $K_c[u_1, \dots, u_n]$  such that  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$  is an  $IT$ -system.*

*Proof.* Setting  $u_{n+1}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \|f_i\|^{-1} \cdot f_i(t)$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the uniform norm on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $u_{n+1}$  is clearly contained in  $K_c[u_1, \dots, u_n]$  and the subset  $\{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mid D \begin{pmatrix} u_1, \dots, u_{n+1} \\ t_1, \dots, t_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} > 0, (t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) \in A_{n+1}\}$  is dense in  $A_{n+1}$ . Hence, from Theorem 1, the conclusion follows immediately.

Now we show

**Theorem 3.** *If  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is an  $IT$ -system, there is a  $u_{n+1}$  in  $K_c[u_1, \dots, u_n]$  such that  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$  is an  $IT$ -system.*

*Proof.* In case  $n = 1$ , by setting  $u_2(t) = t \cdot u_1(t)$ ;  $\{u_1, u_2\}$  is an  $IT$ -system.

In case  $n \geq 2$ , by Remark 2, we assume that each system  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , is an  $IT$ -system. Since, by Theorem 1,  $B_n(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  and  $\{(t, s) \mid (t, s) \in A_n, s \in B_{n-1}(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})\}$  are open and dense in  $A_n$ , we can take a countable dense subset  $S = \{(s_1^{(i)}, \dots, s_n^{(i)})\}$ ,  $i \in N$  in  $A_n$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad D \begin{pmatrix} u_1, \dots, u_n \\ s_1^{(i)}, \dots, s_n^{(i)} \end{pmatrix} > 0 \quad \text{for } i \in N$$

and

$$D \begin{pmatrix} u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} \\ s_2^{(i)}, \dots, s_n^{(i)} \end{pmatrix} > 0 \quad \text{for } i \in N.$$

For every  $(s_1^{(i)}, \dots, s_n^{(i)}) \in S$ , using the same method as the proof of Theorem 1 in Zalik [8], we find a  $V(t) \in K_c[u_1, \dots, u_n]$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad V(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \in [0, s_n^{(i)}], \\ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i(t), & t \in [s_n^{(i)}, 1], \text{ where } a_n = 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence putting

$$(2.3) \quad f(t) = D \begin{pmatrix} u_1, \dots, u_n, V \\ s_1, \dots, s_n, t \end{pmatrix} = V(t) \cdot D \begin{pmatrix} u_1, \dots, u_n \\ s_1^{(i)}, \dots, s_n^{(i)} \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{for } t \in [s_n^{(i)}, 1],$$

by (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3),  $f$  is nonnegative and contained in  $L[u_1, \dots, u_n]_{[s_n^{(i)}, 1]} - \{0\}$ , where  $L[u_1, \dots, u_n]_{[s_n^{(i)}, 1]}$  denotes the linear space obtained by restricting  $L[u_1, \dots, u_n]$  to  $[s_n^{(i)}, 1]$ . Since  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is an *IT*-system,  $\{t | f(t) > 0, t \in (s_n^{(i)}, 1)\}$  is dense in  $(s_n^{(i)}, 1)$  by Theorem 1. Thus the condition of Lemma holds.

### 3. MAIN THEOREMS

In the first place, we need the following

**Definition 2.** (1) Let  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a *T*-system (respectively a *WT*-system). If each system  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , is a *T*-system (respectively a *WT*-system), then  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is said to be a Markoff system (respectively a weak Markoff system).

(2) For an  $n$ -dimensional linear subspace  $U$  of  $C[0, 1]$ , a basis  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of  $U$  is called a Markoff basis (respectively a weak Markoff basis) if it is a Markoff system (respectively a weak Markoff system).

Providing that  $U$  is an  $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional linear subspace of continuously differentiable functions, containing constants, Zwick [10] has given the following characterization of  $U$  having a weak Markoff basis.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $U$  be an  $n$ -dimensional subspace of  $C^1[0, 1]$  which contains constants. Then  $U$  has a weak Markoff basis  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  with  $u_1 = 1$  if and only if the space of derivatives  $U'$  is a *WT*-space.*

Replacing a weak Markoff basis with a Markoff basis, we obtain

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $U$  be an  $n$ -dimensional subspace of  $C^1[0, 1]$  which contains constants. Then  $U$  has a Markoff basis  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  with  $u_1 = 1$  if and only if the space of derivatives  $U'$  is an *IT*-space.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $U$  has a Markoff basis  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  with  $u_1 = 1$ . Since, by Theorem B,  $U'$  is a *WT*-space, applying Theorem 1, it is sufficient to show that

$Z(f)$  is nowhere dense in  $[0, 1]$  for every  $f \in U' = \{0\}$ . To do this, assume that a nonzero function  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i'$  vanishes identically on a subinterval  $[a, b]$  of  $[0, 1]$ . Then the function  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i$  is equal to a constant on  $[a, b]$ . But this contradicts the fact that  $U$  has a Markoff basis.

Conversely suppose that  $U'$  is an  $IT$ -space. From Theorem 1 and Theorem B, we have a weak Markoff basis  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  with  $u_1 = 1$  of  $U$ . Furthermore we shall show that each system  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^j$ ,  $2 \leq j \leq n$ , is a  $T$ -system. As in the first half of this proof, suppose that a function  $g = \sum_{i=1}^j b_i u_i \in L[u_1, \dots, u_n] - \{0\}$  vanishes identically on a subinterval  $[c, d]$  of  $[0, 1]$ . Since  $b_2^2 + \dots + b_j^2 \neq 0$ ,  $g' = \sum_{i=2}^j b_i u_i'$  is contained in  $U' - \{0\}$  and vanishes identically on  $[c, d]$ . But this is contradictory to the assumption on  $U'$ . Hence applying Theorem 2.45 in Schumaker [4], we can conclude that each  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^j$  is a  $T$ -system on the open interval  $(0, 1)$ . By Theorem B,  $\{u_i'\}_{i=2}^j$  is a  $WT$ -system on  $[0, 1]$ . If  $u$  in  $L[u_1, \dots, u_j] - \{0\}$  has  $j$  zeros in  $[0, 1]$ , then between each pair of zeros  $u'$  attains positive and negative values and hence has  $j$  points of sign change. This is a contradiction. Thus each system  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^j$ ,  $2 \leq j \leq n$ , is a  $T$ -system on  $[0, 1]$ .

**Theorem 5.** *If  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is a Markoff system consisting of continuously differential functions, then there exists a continuously differentiable function  $u_{n+1}$  such that  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$  is a Markoff system.*

*Proof.* Since  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is a Markoff system on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $u_1$  does not vanish on  $[0, 1]$ . By setting  $v_i = u_i/u_1$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we can reduce to a Markoff system  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$  with  $v_1 = 1$ . By Theorem 4,  $v_2', \dots, v_n'$  is an  $IT$ -system. Then, using Theorem 3, we have such an  $f$  of  $C[0, 1]$  that  $(v_2', \dots, v_n', f)$  is an  $IT$ -system. Hence, setting  $v_{n+1}(t) = \int_0^t f(x) dx$ , we easily observe that  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$  is a Markoff system by Theorem 4.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to express his gratitude to the referee who gave him many valuable comments on this article.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. W. Bartelt, *Weak Chebyshev sets and splines*, J. Approx. Theory **14** (1975), 30–37.
2. R. C. Jones and L. A. Karlovitz, *Equioscillation under nonuniqueness in the approximation of continuous functions*, J. Approx. Theory **3** (1970), 138–145.
3. G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Problems and theorems in analysis*. I, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
4. L. Schumaker, *Spline functions: Basic theory*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1981.
5. M. Sommer, *Weak Chebyshev spaces and best  $L^1$ -approximation*, J. Approx. Theory **39** (1983), 54–71.
6. M. Sommer and H. Strauss, *Eigenschaften von schwach Tschebyscheffschen Räumen*, J. Approx. Theory **21** (1977), 257–268.

7. B. Stockenberg, *Subspaces of weak and oriented Tchebyshev spaces*, *Manuscripta Math.* **20** (1977), 401–407.
8. R. A. Zalik, *Existence of Tchebycheff extensions*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **51** (1975), 68–75.
9. R. Zielke, *Alternation properties of Tchebyshev-systems and the existence of adjointed functions*, *J. Approx. Theory* **10** (1974), 172–184.
10. D. Zwick, *Characterization of WT-spaces whose derivatives form a WT-space*, *J. Approx. Theory* **38** (1983), 188–191.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF EDUCATION, SAGA UNIVERSITY, SAGA 840, JAPAN