

## REPRESENTATION OF AN ABSTRACT MEASURE USING BOREL-ISOMORPHISM TYPES

R. M. SHORTT

(Communicated by R. Daniel Mauldin)

**ABSTRACT.** For  $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ , the mapping  $t$  which assigns to each Borel subset of  $X$  its isomorphism type is an abstract measure. Given a monoid-valued measure  $m$ , we ask when there is an  $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  such that  $t$  and  $m$  are isomorphic as measures.

Given a set  $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ , we consider the mapping  $B \rightarrow t(B)$  associating to each relative Borel subset  $B$  of  $X$  its Borel-isomorphism type  $t(B)$ . This mapping may be considered as an abstract measure taking values in a cardinal algebra, or more generally, in a partially ordered monoid. Using techniques developed by Chuaqui [2], the author has noted [4] that in certain special circumstances, this measure may be represented by an ordinary probability measure  $m$ :

$$(*) \quad t(B_1) \leq t(B_2) \text{ iff } mB_1 \leq mB_2.$$

In this paper, the inverse idea is explored: given a (not necessarily real-valued) measure  $m$ , can one find a set  $X$  so that (\*) holds? This generalizes earlier work [5], which considered only real-valued measures. We employ the continuum hypothesis (CH).

Each subset  $X$  of  $\mathbf{R}$  inherits a relative Borel structure ( $\sigma$ -field)

$$\mathcal{B}(X) = \{B \cap X : B \subseteq \mathbf{R}, B \text{ Borel}\},$$

the elements of which we call *measurable subsets* of  $X$ . Two such subsets  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are *Borel-isomorphic* if there is a one-one correspondence  $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  with  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$  if and only if  $f(A) \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$ . Each  $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  determines an *isomorphism type*

$$t(X) = \begin{cases} \{Y \subseteq \mathbf{R} : Y \text{ and } X \text{ isomorphic}\}; & X \text{ uncountable} \\ 0; & X \text{ countable.} \end{cases}$$

Put  $S = \{t(X) : X \subseteq \mathbf{R}\}$ . We introduce algebraic and order structures on  $S$  as follows. Given  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  in  $S$ , let  $X_1 \subseteq (0, 1)$  and  $X_2 \subseteq (1, 2)$  be sets with

---

Received by the editors December 12, 1987 and, in revised form, August 1, 1988.  
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 28A05; Secondary 04A15, 28B10.

*Key words and phrases.* Borel isomorphism, cardinal algebra, monoid, measure.

$t(X_1) = t_1$  and  $t(X_2) = t_2$ . Put  $t_1 + t_2 = t(X_1 \cup X_2)$ . A similar device serves to define  $t_1 + t_2 + \dots$  for a sequence of types in  $S$ . Define  $t_1 \leq t_2$  in case  $t_2 = t + t_1$  for some  $t \in S$ .

It was noted by Tarski [6; pp. 234–235, *passim*] that under the above operations,  $S$  constitutes a cardinal algebra, and for each  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , the subset  $\{t(Y): Y \in \mathcal{B}(X)\}$  is a generalized cardinal algebra. For information about cardinal algebras, see [6].

A *partial isomorphism* of  $\mathbb{R}$  is a Borel-isomorphism between sets in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . Given such a partial isomorphism  $f$  and any  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , we define

$$f^{-1}(A) = \{x \in \text{dom}(f): f(x) \in A\}$$

$$f(A) = \{f(x): x \in \text{dom}(f) \cap A\}.$$

Note that  $A$  need not be a subset of the domain or range of  $f$ . Let  $H$  be a collection of partial isomorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}$ . A set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is *complete for  $H$*  if each  $h$  in  $H$  maps  $\text{dom}(h) \cap A$  onto  $\text{range}(h) \cap A$ .

We note that a Borel-isomorphism between arbitrary subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  extends to a Borel-isomorphism between Borel subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  (i.e., a partial isomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}$ ). See [3; p. 436]. Also, any two uncountable members of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  are Borel-isomorphic. See [3; p. 450].

By a *commutative divisibility monoid* [1; p. 320] is meant a set  $\mathcal{M}$  together with a binary operation  $+$  such that:

- (1) Commutative and associative laws hold for  $+$ .
- (2) There is in  $\mathcal{M}$  an identity element  $0$  for  $+$ .
- (3) Define a relation  $\leq$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  by saying that  $a \leq b$  iff  $b = a + c$  for some  $c$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ . This relation should be a partial order on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

We write  $a_1 + a_2 + \dots = \sup(a_1 + \dots + a_n)$  whenever such a supremum exists in  $\mathcal{M}$ . We note that every cardinal algebra as well as the nonnegative cone of any Abelian partially ordered group forms a commutative divisibility monoid.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  be a measurable space and suppose that  $m: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a function taking values in a commutative divisibility monoid. Say that  $m$  is a *measure* if

$$m(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots) = mA_1 + mA_2 + \dots$$

for every pairwise disjoint sequence of sets  $A_n$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ ; the supremum on the right-hand side is assumed to exist. Ordinary Lebesgue measure (with  $\mathcal{M} = [0, \infty]$ ) is one example of such; another is any measure taking values in the positive cone of an ordered vector space. The most important example for this paper, however, is the following: Let  $X$  be an arbitrary subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and define  $m: \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S$  by  $mB = t(B \cap X)$ . Then  $m$  is a measure taking values in the cardinal algebra  $\mathcal{M} = S$ .

Let  $m: \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be a measure taking values in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Say that  $m$  is *continuous* if  $m\{p\} = 0$  for each  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ . Call  $m$  *completely homogeneous* if whenever  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are Borel subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $mB_1 = mB_2 > 0$ , then there

are Borel subsets  $B'_1$  and  $B'_2$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $B'_1 \subseteq B_1$ ,  $B'_2 \subseteq B_2$ ,  $m(B_1 - B'_1) = m(B_2 - B'_2) = 0$ , and such that there is a Borel-isomorphism  $h$  of  $B'_1$  onto  $B'_2$  with  $m_A = mh(A)$  for each measurable  $A \subseteq B'_1$ . Finally, consider the condition

(M) Whenever  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are Borel subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $mB_1 \leq mB_2$ , there is some Borel  $C \subseteq B_2$  with  $mB_1 = mC$ .

This condition seems to have arisen in some early work of Maharam.

Consider once more the measure  $m$  on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  defined by  $mB = t(B \cap X)$ . Clearly,  $m$  is continuous, completely homogeneous and satisfies condition (M). The main result below shows that (under CH) every measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying these conditions is isomorphic to one of the form  $mB = t(B \cap X)$  above.

**Theorem (CH).** *Let  $m$  be a continuous, completely homogeneous measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  taking values in a commutative divisibility monoid  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then there is a set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$t(B_1 \cap X) = t(B_2 \cap X) \text{ iff } mB_1 = mB_2$$

whenever  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are Borel subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

If  $m$  satisfies condition (M), then we also have

$$t(B_1 \cap X) \leq t(B_2 \cap X) \text{ iff } mB_1 \leq mB_2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be the set of all partial isomorphisms  $h$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $mh(A) = mA$  for each measurable set  $A \subseteq \text{dom}(h)$ . List the elements of  $H$  in transfinite series as  $h_0 h_1 \cdots h_\alpha \cdots$  ( $\alpha < \omega_1$ ), insisting that  $h_0$  be the identity map on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Next, list as  $N_0 N_1 \cdots N_\alpha \cdots$  ( $\alpha < \omega_1$ ) and  $P_0 P_1 \cdots P_\alpha \cdots$  ( $\alpha < \omega_1$ ) those Borel subsets  $N$  and  $P$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $mN = 0$  and  $mP > 0$ . Finally, consider the collection of all partial isomorphisms  $k$  such that  $m(\text{dom}(k)) \not\leq m(\text{range}(k))$ . List such isomorphisms as  $k_0 k_1 \cdots k_\alpha \cdots$  ( $\alpha < \omega_1$ ).

For each countable ordinal  $\alpha$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_\alpha(x)$  be the smallest subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  containing  $x$  and complete for the collection  $\{h_0 h_1 \cdots h_\alpha\}$ . Fix  $\alpha$  and define  $F$  to be the set of all partial isomorphisms of the form

$$f = h_{\beta_1}^{n_1} \circ h_{\beta_2}^{n_2} \circ \cdots \circ h_{\beta_k}^{n_k},$$

where  $n_1 \cdots n_k$  are integers and  $\beta_1 \cdots \beta_k$  are ordinals not greater than  $\alpha$ . Then  $F$  is countable and may be listed as  $f_1 f_2 \cdots$ . It is not hard to verify that

$$\mathcal{O}_\alpha(x) = \{f_n(x) : x \in \text{dom}(f_n), n \geq 1\}.$$

Given  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , define  $\mathcal{O}_\alpha(A) = \bigcup \{\mathcal{O}_\alpha(x) : x \in A\}$ . We see that  $\mathcal{O}_\alpha(A)$  is the smallest subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  containing  $A$  and complete for  $\{h_0 \cdots h_\alpha\}$ . From this follows

**Claim 1.** If  $A$  is countable [resp.  $mA = 0$ ], then  $\mathcal{O}_\alpha(A)$  is countable [resp.  $m\mathcal{O}_\alpha(A) = 0$ ]. We also make

*Claim 2.* Suppose that  $k$  is a partial isomorphism on  $\mathbf{R}$  with  $m(\text{dom}(k)) \not\leq m(\text{range}(k))$ . Then  $m\{x \in \text{dom}(k) : k(x) \notin \mathcal{O}_\alpha(x)\} > 0$ .

*Proof of claim.* Define sets  $A_1 A_2 \dots$  by setting

$$A_1 = \{x \in \text{dom}(f_1) \cap \text{dom}(k) : k(x) = f_1(x)\},$$

$$A_n = \{x \in \text{dom}(f_n) \cap \text{dom}(k) : k(x) = f_n(x)\} - \bigcup_1^{n-1} A_k.$$

Also put  $A_0 = \{x \in \text{dom}(k) : k(x) \notin \mathcal{O}_\alpha(x)\}$ . Noting that  $h_0$  (and therefore one of the  $f_n$ 's) is the identity map on  $\mathbf{R}$ , we see that  $\mathbf{R} = \bigcup \text{dom}(f_n)$  and

$$\text{dom}(k) = A_0 \cup A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots$$

as a disjoint union. Thus  $A_0$  is measurable. If now  $m A_0 = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} m(\text{range}(k)) &\geq mk(A_1) + mk(A_2) + \dots \\ &= mf_1(A_1) + mf_2(A_2) + \dots \\ &= mA_0 + mA_1 + mA_2 + \dots \\ &= m(\text{dom}(k)) \end{aligned}$$

is a contradiction. The claim is proved.

Now choose points  $x_\alpha$  and  $y_\alpha$  for  $\alpha < \omega_1$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} x_\alpha &\in \text{dom}(k_\alpha) - \mathcal{O}_\alpha(N_0 \cup \dots \cup N_\alpha) \\ &\quad - k_\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\alpha\{x_\beta, y_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}) \\ &\quad - k_\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\alpha(x_\alpha)) \\ &\quad - \mathcal{O}_\alpha\{k_\beta(x_\beta) : \beta < \alpha\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} y_\alpha &\in P_\alpha - \mathcal{O}_\alpha(N_0 \cup \dots \cup N_\alpha) \\ &\quad - \mathcal{O}_\alpha\{k_\beta(x_\beta) : \beta \leq \alpha\}. \end{aligned}$$

Claims 1 and 2 guarantee the possibility of such choices. Define  $X = \bigcup \{\mathcal{O}_\alpha(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ .

*Claim 3.* For each countable  $\alpha$ , we have  $X \cap N_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup \{\mathcal{O}_\beta(x_\beta, y_\beta) : \beta < \alpha\}$ . Thus,  $X \cap N_\alpha$  is countable.

*Proof of claim.* Suppose that  $u \in N_\alpha \cap \mathcal{O}_\beta(x_\beta, y_\beta)$  for some  $\beta \geq \alpha$ . Then

$$u \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(x_\beta, y_\beta) \cap \mathcal{O}_\beta(N_0 \cup \dots \cup N_\alpha \cup \dots \cup N_\beta)$$

implies that either  $x_\beta$  or  $y_\beta$  is a member of  $\mathcal{O}_\beta(N_0 \cup \dots \cup N_\beta)$ , a contradiction which proves the claim.

*Claim 4.* For each  $\alpha$ , the intersection  $X \cap P_\alpha$  is uncountable.

*Proof of claim.* Suppose not. Then because  $m(P_\alpha - (X \cap P_\alpha)) + m(X \cap P_\alpha) = mP_\alpha > 0$ , we have  $P_\alpha - (X \cap P_\alpha) = P_\beta$  for some  $\beta$ . But  $X \cap P_\beta$  is nonvoid (it contains  $y_\beta$ ), a contradiction.

*Claim 5.* Suppose that  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are Borel subsets of  $R$  with  $mB_1 = mB_2$ . Then  $t(B_1 \cap X) = t(B_2 \cap X)$ .

*Proof of claim.* If  $mB_1 = mB_2 = 0$ , then Claim 3 implies that  $B_1 \cap X$  and  $B_2 \cap X$  are countable, so that  $t(B_1 \cap X) = t(B_2 \cap X) = 0$ . Now suppose that  $mB_1 = mB_2 > 0$ . Complete homogeneity for  $m$  implies that there is some  $\alpha$  with  $\text{dom}(h_\alpha) \subseteq B_1$ ,  $\text{range}(h_\alpha) \subseteq B_2$ , and

$$m(B_1 - \text{dom}(h_\alpha)) = m(B_2 - \text{range}(h_\alpha)) = 0.$$

The set  $X_0 = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{O}_\beta(x_\beta, y_\beta) : \beta \geq \alpha \}$  is complete for  $h_\alpha$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} h_\alpha(X_0 \cap B_1 \cap X) &= h_\alpha(X_0 \cap B_1) \\ &= X_0 \cap B_2 \\ &= X_0 \cap (B_2 \cap X). \end{aligned}$$

Now  $(B_1 \cap X) - X_0$  and  $(B_2 \cap X) - X_0$ , being contained in  $X - X_0$ , are countable. Thus  $t(B_1 \cap X) = t(B_2 \cap X)$ .

*Claim 6.* Suppose that  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are Borel subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $t(B_1 \cap X) = t(B_2 \cap X)$ . Then  $mB_1 = mB_2$ .

*Proof of claim.* We prove that  $mB_1 \leq mB_2$  and appeal to symmetry. Proceeding *absurdi causa*, suppose that  $mB_1 \not\leq mB_2$ . Then  $mB_1 > 0$ , so that (by Claim 4)  $B_1 \cap X$  is uncountable. Thus also  $B_2 \cap X$  is uncountable. Let  $f$  be a Borel-isomorphism of  $B_1 \cap X$  onto  $B_2 \cap X$ . Then  $f$  is the restriction to  $B_1 \cap X$  of some Borel-isomorphism  $k$  such that

- (i)  $C_1 = \text{dom}(k)$  is a Borel subset of  $B_1$ ,
- (ii)  $C_2 = \text{range}(k)$  is a Borel subset of  $B_2$ .

Then  $mB_i = mC_i + m(B_i - C_i) = mC_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , using Claim 4. Since  $mC_1 \not\leq mC_2$ , we have that  $k = k_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . But then  $x_\alpha \in C_1 \cap X = B_1 \cap X$ . However,  $k_\alpha(x_\alpha) \notin \mathcal{O}_\beta(x_\beta, y_\beta)$  for any  $\beta < \alpha$ . Also  $k_\alpha(x_\alpha) \notin \mathcal{O}_\alpha(x_\alpha)$ . Suppose that  $k_\alpha(x_\alpha) \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(x_\beta)$  for  $\beta > \alpha$ . Then  $x_\beta \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(k_\alpha(x_\alpha))$  is a contradiction. Finally, suppose that  $k_\alpha(x_\alpha) \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(y_\beta)$  for  $\beta > \alpha$ . Then  $y_\beta \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(k_\alpha(x_\alpha))$ , another contradiction.

We have shown that  $k_\alpha(x_\alpha) \notin X$ , which contradiction establishes the claim.

Claims 5 and 6 prove the first part of the theorem.

Suppose now that  $m$  satisfies condition (M). If  $t(B_1 \cap X) \leq t(B_2 \cap X)$ , then either  $B_1 \cap X$  is countable, in which case  $mB_1 = 0$ , or else  $B_1 \cap X$  is Borel-isomorphic with  $C \cap X$ , where  $C$  is some measurable subset of  $B_2$ . So  $mB_1 = mC \leq mB_2$ . On the other hand, suppose that  $mB_1 \leq mB_2$ . Condition (M) implies that there is some Borel set  $C \subseteq B_2$  with  $mC = mB_1$ . Then

$$t(B_1 \cap X) = t(C \cap X) \leq t(B_2 \cap X),$$

as desired. Q.E.D.

**Corollary (CH).** Let  $m$  be a continuous, completely homogeneous measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  taking values in a commutative divisibility monoid  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then the range of  $m$  is a generalized cardinal algebra (in the sense of Tarski [6]).

*Indication.* Choose  $X$  as in the theorem. As noted previously,  $\{t(B \cap X): B \subseteq \mathbb{R} \text{ Borel}\}$  is a generalized cardinal algebra. The mapping  $t(B \cap X) \rightarrow mB$  is well defined and determines an isomorphism onto  $\text{range}(m)$ .

The following result generalizes Proposition 6.6 in [5].

**Corollary (CH).** *Given  $I = [0, 1]$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ , consider the cube  $I^n$  under coordinate-wise addition and partial order. There is a set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}$  with  $\{t(Y): Y \in \mathcal{B}(X)\}$  and  $I^n$  isomorphic (with respect to both addition and order).*

*Indication.* Let  $\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_n$  be the restrictions of Lebesgue measure to the respective intervals  $(0, 1), (1, 2), \dots, (n-1, n)$ . (For  $n = \omega$ , use an infinite sequence.) Put  $mB = (\mu_1 B, \mu_2 B, \dots, \mu_n B)$  and apply the theorem.

*Note.* If  $m(B) = \lambda(B \cap (0, 1))$ , where  $\lambda$  is Lebesgue measure, then any set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}$  as in the theorem is a Sierpiński subset of  $(0, 1)$ . It follows that the assumption of CH cannot be dropped from the theorem.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to express thanks to Ralph Kopperman for some stimulating conversations on this topic. The author also thanks the referee for a critical observation.

#### REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff, *Lattice theory*, 3rd ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. **25**, 1967.
2. R. Chuaqui, *Cardinal algebras and measures invariant under equivalence relations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (1969), 61–79.
3. K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. I, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
4. R. M. Shortt, *Representation of Borel-isomorphism by a probability measure*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), 284–286.
5. ———, *Measurable spaces with c.c.c.* *Dissertationes Mathematicae* (to appear).
6. A. Tarski, *Cardinal algebras*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1949.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY, MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT 06457