

## ON KODAIRA VANISHING FOR SINGULAR VARIETIES

DONU ARAPURA AND DAVID B. JAFFE

(Communicated by Louis J. Ratliff, Jr.)

**ABSTRACT.** If  $X$  is a complex projective variety with an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ , we show that  $H^i(X, \mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0$  for any  $i < \text{codim}[\text{Sing}(X)]$ , provided that  $X$  satisfies Serre's condition  $S_{i+1}$ . We also give examples to show that these results are sharp. Finally, we prove a vanishing theorem (for  $H^1$ ) for seminormal varieties

### INTRODUCTION

Consider a complex projective variety  $X$ , together with an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ . Kodaira [4] has proved that if  $X$  is nonsingular, then  $H^i(X, \mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0$  for all  $i < \dim(X)$ . To what extent is this true when  $X$  is singular? If one is only concerned with the depth and the dimension of the singularities of  $X$ , then there is a simple answer:  $H^i$  vanishes provided that  $i < \text{codim}[\text{Sing}(X)]$  and  $X$  satisfies property  $S_{i+1}$  of Serre. If  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay, this was known: see [8, §7.80]. We reduce to this case easily. Our result generalizes a theorem of Mumford [7], who proved that if  $X$  is normal (of dimension at least two), then  $H^1$  vanishes.

The above vanishing criterion cannot be improved in any naive way. Grothendieck knew that the depth condition was essential [3, XII 1.3]. The regularity condition is also essential: for any integers  $0 < i < n$ , there exists a projective Cohen-Macaulay variety  $X$  of dimension  $n$ , such that  $\text{codim}[\text{Sing}(X)] = i$ , together with an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $H^i(X, \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \neq 0$ . If  $i > 1$ , these examples are trivial variants of an example of Sommese [9]. For  $i = 1$ , a different construction is needed.

One may search for more delicate local criteria for vanishing. For instance, a consequence of [2] is that  $H^i$  vanishes if  $X$  has rational singularities: see [8]. By definition, if  $X$  is rational, it is normal, so this criterion is not applicable to singularities which live in codimension one. We prove a theorem which applies

---

Received by the editors May 2, 1988 and, in revised form, July 18, 1988.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 32L20, 14F99.

*Key words and phrases.* Vanishing theorem, seminormal.

The first author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation

©1989 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/89 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

to exactly this situation: if  $X$  is seminormal,  $S_2$ , and has dimension at least two, then  $H^1(X, \mathcal{L}^{-1})$  vanishes.

In this paper, we shall assume that all varieties are defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

### 1. VANISHING BASED ON REGULARITY AND DEPTH

We prove:

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a projective variety, and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an ample line bundle on  $X$ . Fix  $k \geq 1$ . Assume that  $k < \text{codim}[\text{Sing}(X)]$  and that  $X$  is  $S_{k+1}$ . Then  $H^k(X, \mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0$ . (If  $X$  is smooth, we define  $\text{codim}[\text{Sing}(X)] = \dim(X)$ .)*

*Proof.* The statement was known if  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay. (See [8, §7.80].) Let  $n = \dim(X)$ . Let  $H \subset X$  be a sufficiently general and sufficiently ample hyperplane. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{-1}(-H) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{-1}|_H \rightarrow 0,$$

and taking cohomology we obtain

$$H^k(\mathcal{L}^{-1}(-H)) \rightarrow H^k(\mathcal{L}^{-1}) \rightarrow H^k(\mathcal{L}^{-1}|_H).$$

We may assume that  $X$  is not Cohen-Macaulay and hence that  $k + 1 < \dim(X)$ . This, together with the appropriate Bertini theorems imply that  $k < \text{codim}[\text{Sing}(H)]$  and that  $H$  is  $S_{k+1}$ . Since  $X$  is  $S_{k+1}$ , a result of Grothendieck [3, XII 1.3], implies that  $H^k(\mathcal{L}^{-1}(-H)) = 0$  so long as  $H$  is sufficiently ample. By induction on the dimension  $H^k(\mathcal{L}^{-1}|_H)$  is zero, hence the result.  $\square$

*Remark 1.2.* Let  $\text{Irr}(X)$  denote the *irrational locus* of  $X$ , given by

$$\text{Irr}(X) = \bigcup_{i>0} \text{Supp}(R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}),$$

where  $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a resolution of singularities. In the proposition, one can weaken the hypothesis on the singular locus to the condition that  $k < \text{codim}[\text{Irr}(X)]$ . The same proof works, allowing one to reduce to the case where  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay, which is well known. One needs to know the following Bertini-type lemma: if  $H \subset X$  is a general hyperplane, then  $\text{Irr}(X \cap H) \subset \text{Irr}(X) \cap H$ . The proof of this lemma is left to the reader.

### 2. COUNTEREXAMPLES

The result of this section is: *Fix integers  $0 < k < n$ . Then there exists a projective Cohen-Macaulay variety  $X$  of dimension  $n$ , with  $\text{codim}[\text{Sing}(X)] = k$ , and an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X$  such that  $H^k(X, \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \neq 0$ .*

Actually, except for the case  $k = 1$ , this is obtained by a trivial variant of a known construction [9, 0.2.4]. The author has kindly informed us of a critical typographical error, so we summarize the construction for the convenience of the reader.

We first assume that  $k > 1$ . (The case  $(n = 3, k = 2)$  occurs in [9].) Let

$$P = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-k}} \oplus [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-k}}(1)]^{\oplus(k+1)}).$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the tautological bundle on  $P$ . Let  $X$  be a general member of the linear system associated to  $[\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-k}}(-1)]^{\otimes(k+2)}$ . Let  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-k}}(1)$ . Then  $H^k(X, \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \neq 0$ . The fibers of  $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n-k}$  are cones, each having a unique singular point. Some details may be found in the original source.

Now we deal with the case  $k = 1$ . We describe the subcase  $n = 2$ . The construction is easily modified for higher values of  $n$ .

Let  $Y$  be the ruled surface  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-2))$ . Let  $C_0$  and  $C_\infty$  be the sections of  $\pi: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  with self-intersection  $-2$  and  $2$ , respectively. (So  $C_\infty \sim C_0 + 2f$ .) Let  $f$  be a fiber of  $\pi$ . Let  $X$  be the cyclic double cover of  $Y$ , branched along the divisor  $3C_0 + C_\infty$ . (The divisor  $3C_0 + C_\infty$  is uniquely divisible by  $2$  in  $\text{Pic}(Y)$ :  $3C_0 + C_\infty \sim 2(2C_0 + f)$ .) Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the line bundle on  $X$  which is the pullback to  $X$  of  $\mathcal{O}_Y(C_0 + 3f)$ . The singularities of  $X$  are analytically of the form  $\mathbf{C}[[x, y, t]]/(x^2 + y^3)$ . Then  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay (but not normal),  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample, and  $H^1(X, \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \neq 0$ . (One may calculate that

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \cong H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}(-C_0 - 3f)) \oplus H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}(-3C_0 - 4f))$$

and the second summand is nonzero.) The details are left to the reader.

For higher values of  $n$ , replace  $\mathbf{P}^1$  by  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ , and replace  $\mathcal{L}$  by  $\mathcal{O}_Y(C_0 + (n + 1)f)$ .

### 3. VANISHING OF $H^1$ FOR SEMINORMAL VARIETIES

The result of this section is:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $S$  be a projective seminormal  $S_2$  variety of dimension at least two. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an ample line bundle on  $S$ . Then  $H^1(S, \mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0$ .*

*Remark 3.2.* It is known [5, 3.9] that seminormal  $S_2$  varieties have a particularly simple geometry: they are those  $S_2$  varieties, which (outside a subset of codimension two) look locally like  $N \times \mathbf{C}^r$ , where  $N$  is the union of the coordinate axes in  $\mathbf{C}^k$ . (But  $k$  can vary.)

We need the following well-known lemma:

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a reduced projective scheme over  $\mathbf{C}$  (having no zero dimensional components). Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an ample line bundle on  $X$ . Then  $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0$ .*

*Proof (of Theorem 3.1).* We first prove the theorem in the case where  $S$  is a surface. Let  $\pi: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  be the normalization map. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the conductor of  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}$  into  $\mathcal{O}_S$ . It is a sheaf of ideals in both  $\mathcal{O}_S$  and in  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the closed subscheme of  $S$  determined by  $\mathcal{F}$ , and let  $\tilde{\Delta}$  be the closed subscheme of  $\tilde{S}$  determined by  $\mathcal{F}$ . By [6, 1.5] or [11, 1.3]  $\Delta$  and  $\tilde{\Delta}$  are reduced schemes. (This is the only place where we use the hypothesis that  $S$  is seminormal.)

We shall make various calculations with  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules, and we shall write for instance  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}$  instead of  $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}$ , to avoid cumbersome notation.

There is an exact sequence of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Delta}} \rightarrow 0$$

which is obtained from the canonical maps of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules:

$$\begin{aligned} p_1: \mathcal{O}_S &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}} \\ p_2: \mathcal{O}_S &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \\ q_1: \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Delta}} \\ q_2: \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Delta}} \end{aligned}$$

by  $p = p_1 + p_2$  and  $q = q_1 - q_2$ . It is easy to verify that the sequence is in fact exact. (This exact sequence has been used by Steenbrink [10], proof of theorem 3.)

Tensor the given exact sequence by  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  and compute the long exact sequence of cohomology on  $S$ . By (3.3),  $H^0(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Delta}}) = 0$ . Hence we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{L}^{-1}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}) \oplus H^1(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}) \xrightarrow{\phi} H^1(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Delta}}).$$

We must show that  $\phi$  is injective. Observe that  $H^1(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}})$  is isomorphic to  $H^1(\tilde{S}, \pi^*\mathcal{L}^{-1})$ . This is  $H^1$  of the dual of an ample invertible sheaf on a normal surface, which by a theorem of Mumford [7] is zero. (This also follows from 1.1.)

We have exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\text{nor}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Delta}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Delta}_{\text{nor}}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  have finite support, so  $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ , noncanonically. We obtain:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{M}) & \rightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}) & \rightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\text{nor}}}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \rho_1(\mathcal{L}) & & \downarrow \rho_2(\mathcal{L}) & & \downarrow \rho_3(\mathcal{L}) \\ 0 & \rightarrow & H^0(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}) & \rightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Delta}}) & \rightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Delta}_{\text{nor}}}) \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

We want to prove that  $\rho_2(\mathcal{L})$  is injective. It suffices to show that  $\rho_3(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\rho_1(\mathcal{L})$  are injective. Now  $\rho_3(\mathcal{L})$  is injective because  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\text{nor}}}$  is a smooth curve (perhaps disconnected) and hence the map

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\text{nor}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Delta}_{\text{nor}}}$$

of  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\text{nor}}}$ -modules is split injective (via trace). Because  $S$  is Cohen-Macaulay, the Serre duality and Serre vanishing theorems imply that  $H^1(\mathcal{L}^{-n}) = 0$  for  $n \gg 0$ . Hence  $\rho_2(\mathcal{L}^n)$  is injective for  $n \gg 0$ . Hence  $\rho_1(\mathcal{L}^n)$  is injective

for  $n \gg 0$ . But  $\rho_1(\mathcal{L})$  is independent of  $\mathcal{L}$ , so in fact  $\rho_1(\mathcal{L})$  is injective. Hence  $\rho_2(\mathcal{L}) = \phi$  is injective. This proves the theorem in the case where  $S$  is a surface.

Now we prove the theorem in the general case, by induction on the dimension of  $S$ . If  $\dim(S) = 2$  we are done. Otherwise, embed  $S$  in some projective space  $\mathbf{P}$ . Since  $S$  is  $S_2$ , we know by the lemma of Enriques-Severi-Zariski that  $H^1(S, \mathcal{L}^{-1}(-n)) = 0$  for  $n \gg 0$ . Changing the embedding, we may assume that  $H^1(S, \mathcal{L}^{-1}(-1)) = 0$ . By the Bertini theorem for seminormality [1, 3.9 or 12], one knows that there exists a hyperplane  $H \subset \mathbf{P}$  such that  $S \cap H$  is seminormal. Also, we may choose  $H$  so that  $S \cap H$  is  $S_2$ . Tensor the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S \cap H} \rightarrow 0$$

by  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  and compute  $H^1$ . The theorem follows immediately.  $\square$

*Remark 3.4.* If the ground field has positive characteristic, by adding suitable hypotheses one can still make the proof work: let  $S$  be a projective seminormal Cohen-Macaulay surface. Assume that the Picard scheme  $\text{Pic}(S_{\text{nor}})$  is reduced. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an ample line bundle on  $S$ . Then  $H^1(S, \mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Craig Huneke has kindly pointed out some simplifications to the proof of (3.1). Also, the referee has provided a shorter proof of (1.1).

#### REFERENCES

1. C. Cumino, S. Greco and M. Manaresi, *Bertini theorems for weak normality*, *Compositio Math.* **48** (1983), 351–362.
2. H. Grauert, and O. Riemenschneider, *Verschwindungssätze für analytische Kohomologiegruppen auf komplexen Räume*, *Invent. Math.* **11** (1970), 263–292.
3. A. Grothendieck, *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux*, *Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique (SGA 2)*, North-Holland, 1968.
4. K. Kodaira, *On a differential-geometric method in the theory of analytic stacks*, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **39** (1953), 1268–1273.
5. J. V. Leahy and M. A. Vitulli, *Weakly normal varieties: The multicross singularity and some vanishing theorems on local cohomology*, *Nagoya Math. J.* **83** (1981), 137–152.
6. —, *Seminormal rings and weakly normal varieties*, *Nagoya Math. J.* **82** (1981), 27–56.
7. D. Mumford, *Pathologies. III*, *Amer. J. Math.* **89** (1967), 94–104.
8. B. Shiffman and A. J. Sommese, *Vanishing theorems on complex manifolds*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Massachusetts, 1985.
9. A. J. Sommese, *On the adjunction theoretic structure of projective varieties*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1194, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1986, pp. 175–213.

10. J. H. M. Steenbrink, *Cohomologically insignificant degenerations*, *Compositio Math.* **42** (1981), 315–320.
11. C. Traverso, *Seminormality and the Picard group*, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **24** (1970), 585–595.
12. M. A. Vitulli, *The hyperplane sections of weakly normal varieties*, *Amer. J. Math.* **105** (1983), 1357–1368.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA 47907