

## THE ODLYZKO CONJECTURE AND O'HARA'S UNIMODALITY PROOF

DENNIS STANTON AND DORON ZEILBERGER

(Communicated by Andrew M. Odlyzko)

**ABSTRACT.** We observe that Andrew Odlyzko's conjecture that the Maclaurin coefficients of  $1/[(1+q)(1+q+q^2)\cdots(1+q+\cdots+q^{k-1})]$  have alternating signs is an almost immediate consequence of an identity that is implied by Kathy O'Hara's recent magnificent combinatorial proof of the unimodality of the Gaussian coefficients.

To a true combinatorialist, a combinatorial result is not properly proved until it receives a *direct combinatorial proof*. This is why Kathy O'Hara's long-sought-for constructive proof of the unimodality of the Gaussian polynomials ([4], [5], see also [6]) generated so much excitement in combinatorial circles. However to non-combinatorialists, a direct combinatorial proof is "just another proof." O'Hara's proof is longer than most of the dozen previous proofs, and probably would not add any insight to anyone who is not a genuine combinatorialist. Moreover, it does not seem to be generalizable at first sight. Yet it turned out to imply a deep result (KOH) to which hitherto there was no known proof of any kind.

In this note we shall prove and generalize a conjecture of Odlyzko, using O'Hara's result. Odlyzko's results imply that for  $k$  sufficiently large, the first  $k$  coefficients in

$$\frac{1}{(1+q)(1+q+q^2)\cdots(1+q+\cdots+q^{k-1})} = \frac{(1-q)^k}{(1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^k)}$$

alternate in sign. He conjectured that in fact for every  $k \geq 0$ , all of the coefficients of the above series alternate in sign. We prove the sharper result

**Theorem 1.** *For any integer  $k$ ,*

$$\frac{(1-q)^{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor}}{(1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^k)}$$

*has coefficients which alternate in sign.*

Received by the editors March 28, 1988 and, in revised form, November 28, 1988.  
 1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 05A17, 05A20, 05A30, 10A45.  
 The first author's work was partially supported by NSF grant DMS:8700995.  
 The second author's work was partially supported by NSF grant DMS:8800663.

Note that the exponent of  $(1 - q)$  is best possible, since if  $[(k + 1)/2]$  is replaced by  $[(k - 1)/2]$  then the pole  $q = 1$  has the highest order among all the poles, all of which are roots of unity, so a partial fraction expansion would yield that the coefficients are asymptotically of the same sign.

Odlyzko has informed the authors that Theorem 1 can be used to shorten the proof in [3] by at least one third.

We will prove a more general result. Recall that the Gaussian polynomials are defined for nonnegative integers  $k$  and  $n$  by

$$(GP) \quad G(n, k) = \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(1 - q^{n+1})(1 - q^{n+2}) \cdots (1 - q^{n+k})}{(1 - q)(1 - q^2) \cdots (1 - q^k)}.$$

If  $n$  is negative, we put  $G(n, k) = 0$ . We will prove:

**Theorem 2.** *For nonnegative integers  $n$  and  $k$ , with  $nk$  even,  $G(n, k)(1 - q)^m$  has coefficients which alternate in sign, where  $m = \min\{[(k+1)/2], [(n+1)/2]\}$ .*

Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2 upon taking  $n$  even and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Theorem 2 will follow from the following amazing  $q$ -binomial identity that was derived in [7], by “algebraizing” O’Hara’s main theorem ([4], [5], [6]).

$$(KOH) \quad G(n, k) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash k} q^{2n(\lambda)} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} G((k-i)n - 2i + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} 2(i-j)d_{k-j}, d_{k-i}),$$

where

$$n(\lambda) = \sum_i (i-1)\lambda_i.$$

The sum in (KOH) is over all partitions  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$  of  $k$ . The integer  $d_i$  is the multiplicity of  $i$  in  $\lambda$ , thus in frequency notation  $\lambda = 1^{d_1} 2^{d_2} \cdots i^{d_i} \cdots$ . In this notation,

$$2n(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^k (D_i^2 - D_i)$$

where

$$D_r = \sum_{i=r}^k d_i.$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* By symmetry in  $n$  and  $k$ , we may assume that  $n$  is even. We proceed by induction on  $n$  and  $k$ . Theorem 2 clearly holds for  $n = 0$  and  $k = 1$ .

Let

$$F(n, k) := (1 - q)^{[(k+1)/2]} G(n, k).$$

Then (KOH) can be rewritten as  
(KOH')

$$F(2n, k) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash k} (1 - q)^{\alpha(\lambda)} q^{2n(\lambda)} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} F(2(k-i)n - 2i + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} 2(i-j)d_{k-j}, d_{k-i}).$$

where

$$\alpha(\lambda) := m - \sum_{i=1}^k [(d_i + 1)/2].$$

Suppose we show that  $\alpha(\lambda) \geq 0$ . If  $d \neq 1^k$ , then each  $F$  on the right side of  $(\text{KOH}')$  has a second argument less than  $k$ . If  $\lambda = 1^k$ , the first argument of  $F$  is less than  $2n$ . Thus by induction each  $F$  is alternating. Since  $(1 - q)^{\alpha(\lambda)}$  is alternating, and the power of  $q$  is even, the left side must be alternating. So it remains to verify that  $\alpha(\lambda) \geq 0$ .

First suppose that  $n \geq [(k + 1)/2]$ , so  $m = [(k + 1)/2]$ . Then we will show that for any partition  $\lambda$  of  $k$ , we have the inequality

$$(*) \quad [(k + 1)/2] - \sum_{i=1}^k [(d_i + 1)/2] \geq 0.$$

It is easy to see that  $(*)$  is

$$[(k + 1)/2] - (\text{number of parts of } \lambda + \text{number of } i \text{ with } d_i \text{ odd})/2.$$

This is nonnegative, since any part  $i > 1$  of  $\lambda$  can contribute at most one  $i$  which has  $d_i$  odd.

Next suppose that  $n < [(k + 1)/2]$ , so  $m = n$ . First we show

$$(**) \quad n + 1 - \sum_{i=1}^k d_i \geq 0$$

for all partitions  $\lambda$  of  $k$  which occur in  $(\text{KOH}')$ . The key observation is that  $F$  is zero if the first argument is negative. Thus, taking the  $i = k - 1$  term in  $(\text{KOH}')$ , we see that

$$2n - 2(k - 1) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} 2(k - 1 - j)d_{k-j} \geq 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=2}^k (j - 1)d_j \geq k - 1 - n,$$

or

$$k = \sum_{j=1}^k jd_j \geq k - 1 - n + \text{number of parts of } \lambda.$$

The final inequality implies that  $\lambda$  has at most  $n + 1$  parts, which is  $(**)$ . Clearly  $\alpha(\lambda) \geq 0$  holds unless  $\lambda$  has  $n + 1$  distinct parts, in which case  $\alpha(\lambda) = -1$ . In this case the  $i = k - 1$  term in  $(\text{KOH}')$  is alternating ( $G(0, 1) = 1$ ) without the factor of  $(1 - q)$ , so it is enough to prove that  $\alpha(\lambda) + 1 \geq 0$ .  $\square$

*Remarks.* To prove Theorem 1 we need only the  $n \rightarrow \infty$  case of  $(\text{KOH})$ . John Stembridge rediscovered an identity of Hall which implies this result:

$$(JS) \quad \begin{bmatrix} n + k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{d+k} q^{2n(d)} \begin{bmatrix} n + 1 \\ d_1, \dots, d_k \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Then George Andrews observed that (JS) is nothing but an iteration of  $q$ -Vandermonde. Subsequently John Stembridge and Jim Joichi gave bijections that prove (JS). Their proofs are closely related to [1].

If  $nk$  is odd, Theorem 2 cannot hold, because the leading term has the wrong sign. The exponent in Theorem 2 is not always best possible:  $G(11, 6)(1 - q)^2$  alternates in sign.

Ron Evans has made the following related conjecture. He has verified it for  $a = 1$  from Theorem 2.

**Conjecture.** *Let  $n$ ,  $k$ , and  $a$  be nonnegative integers, with  $k > 3$  and  $a$  odd. Let  $G(n, k, a)$  be defined by (GP), with  $q^a$  replacing  $q$  in the numerator. Then the coefficients of  $G(n, k, a)(1 - q)^{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor}$  alternate in sign if  $nk$  is even, and the coefficients of  $G(n, k, a)(1 - q)^{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor} / (1 - q^2)$  alternate in sign if  $nk$  is odd.*

Some other remarks about (KOH) can be found in [7].

#### REFERENCES

1. G. Andrews, *Partitions and Durfee dissection*, Amer. J. Math., **101** (1979), pp. 735–742.
2. I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1979.
3. A. Odlyzko, *On differences of the partition function*, Acta Arith., **49** (1988), pp. 237–254.
4. K. M. O'Hara, *Unimodality of Gaussian coefficients: a constructive proof*, research announcement.
5. —, *Unimodality of Gaussian coefficients: a constructive proof*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A (to appear).
6. D. Zeilberger, *Kathy O'Hara's constructive proof of the unimodality of the Gaussian polynomials*, Amer. Math. Monthly (to appear).
7. —, *A one-line high school algebra proof of the unimodality of the Gaussian polynomials*  $\left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_q$  for  $k < 20$ , Proceedings of the IMA Workshop on  $q$ -series, Vol. 18, Springer.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DREXEL UNIVERSITY, PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19104