

## SIMPLE $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS AND SUBGROUPS OF $\mathbf{Q}$

GERALD J. MURPHY

(Communicated by John B. Conway)

**ABSTRACT.** A special case of a conjecture of R. Douglas is solved by an elementary argument using  $K_0$ -theory.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of the additive reals  $\mathbf{R}$  and let  $\Gamma^+ = \{x \in \Gamma: x \geq 0\}$ . Douglas [2] defines a *one-parameter semigroup of isometries* to be a homomorphism  $x \mapsto V_x$  of  $\Gamma^+$  into the set of isometries on some Hilbert space  $H$  (i.e.  $V_{x+y} = V_x V_y$  for  $x, y \in \Gamma^+$  and  $V_0 = 1$ ). Denoting by  $A_\Gamma(V_x)$  the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by all  $V_x$  ( $x \in \Gamma^+$ ) and calling the map  $x \mapsto V_x$  *nonunitary* if no  $V_x$  is unitary except  $V_0 = 1$ , he shows that if  $x \mapsto V_x$  and  $x \mapsto W_x$  are nonunitary one-parameter semigroups of isometries on  $\Gamma$  then the algebras  $A_\Gamma(V_x)$  and  $A_\Gamma(W_x)$  are canonically isomorphic. Thus one can speak of  $A_\Gamma$  (isomorphic to  $A_\Gamma(V_x)$ ) and of its commutator ideal  $C_\Gamma$ . Douglas shows that  $C_\Gamma$  is simple, and that if  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are subgroups of  $\mathbf{R}$ , then  $A_{\Gamma_1}$  and  $A_{\Gamma_2}$  are  $*$ -isomorphic iff  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are isomorphic as ordered groups. He obtains other interesting results on these algebras and conjectures that  $C_{\Gamma_1}$  and  $C_{\Gamma_2}$  are  $*$ -isomorphic implies that  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are isomorphic as ordered groups. In this paper we show that  $C_\Gamma$  is an  $AF$ -algebra for  $\Gamma$  a subgroup of  $\mathbf{Q}$  (the additive rationals) and that in this case we have  $K_0(C_\Gamma) = \Gamma$  where  $K_0(\cdot)$  denotes the  $K_0$ -group of  $C_\Gamma$ . (For a good account of  $K_0$ -theory see Goodearl [3].) From this we deduce that Douglas' conjecture is true for subgroups of  $\mathbf{Q}$ .

Douglas was led to investigating these algebras  $A_\Gamma$  in the context of a generalized Toeplitz theory. The author has shown they satisfy a certain universal property which can facilitate their analysis, and he has generalized them by associating with every ordered group  $G$  a  $C^*$ -algebra which reflects both order and algebra properties of  $G$ . The results presented here are part of an ongoing investigation of this more general theory, of which the author intends to give a fuller account in a forthcoming paper.

Let  $H$  be a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, and let  $U$  be the unilateral shift on  $H$ . We denote by  $C$  the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $B(H)$  generated by  $U$ , and by  $K$  the commutator ideal of  $C$ . (The *commutator ideal* of a

---

Received by the editors August 31, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 46L80.

©1989 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/89 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

$C^*$ -algebra  $B$  is the closed ideal of  $B$  generated by all commutators  $ab - ba$  ( $a, b \in B$ .)  $C$  is the *Toeplitz algebra* and has the following very useful property: If  $v$  is an isometry in a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  then there exists a unique  $*$ -homomorphism  $\beta$  from  $C$  to  $B$  such that  $\beta(U) = v$  (Coburn [1]).

We will be retaining the notation  $U$ ,  $C$  and  $K$  throughout this paper. Of course, as is well known,  $K$  is the ideal of compact operators in  $B(H)$ , and is thus a simple  $C^*$ -algebra.

Let  $n$  be a map from the set  $P$  of all prime integers into  $\mathbf{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  and let  $G(n)$  denote the set of all quotients  $a/b$  where  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $b > 0$ , and if  $p \in P$  and  $p^k$  divides  $b$  then  $k \leq n(p)$ . This is a subgroup of  $\mathbf{Q}$  and in fact every subgroup of  $\mathbf{Q}$  is isomorphic to one of these groups  $G(n)$  [4, p. 28]. If  $n_1, n_2, \dots$  is a sequence of positive integers such that  $n_k$  divides  $n_{k+1}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) we denote by  $\mathbf{Z}(1/n_1, 1/n_2, \dots)$  the subgroup of  $\mathbf{Q}$  generated by  $1/n_1, 1/n_2, \dots$ . Using the above mentioned fact, one can show by an elementary argument that every subgroup of  $\mathbf{Q}$  is isomorphic to one of this form  $\mathbf{Z}(1/n_1, 1/n_2, \dots)$ . This is a crucial point in our analysis of  $C_\Gamma$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $n_1, n_2, \dots$  be a sequence of positive integers such that  $n_k$  divides  $n_{k+1}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Define  $\phi_k: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  by setting  $\phi_k(m) = mn_{k+1}/n_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Then  $\mathbf{Z}(1/n_1, 1/n_2, \dots)$  is the direct limit (in the category of abelian groups) of the sequence of groups and homomorphisms  $(\phi_k: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z})_{k=1}^\infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma$  denote  $\mathbf{Z}(1/n_1, 1/n_2, \dots)$ . Define the homomorphisms  $\phi^k: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \Gamma$  by setting  $\phi^k(m) = m/n_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). We have  $\phi^{k+1}\phi_k = \phi^k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Suppose that  $\psi^k: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow G$  are homomorphisms into an abelian group  $G$  such that  $\psi^{k+1}\phi_k = \psi^k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Then  $\psi^k(1) = \psi^{k+1}\phi_k(1) = \psi^{k+1}(n_{k+1}/n_k) = n_{k+1}/n_k \psi^{k+1}(1)$ . It follows that  $\psi^k(1) = n_j/n_k \psi^j(1)$  if  $k < j$ . Thus if  $\phi^k(m_1) = \phi^j(m_2)$  then  $m_1/n_k = m_2/n_j$  so  $\psi^k(m_1) = m_1 n_j/n_k \psi^j(1) = m_2 \psi^j(1) = \psi^j(m_2)$ . Now since  $1/n_1, 1/n_2, \dots$  generate  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma$  is the union of the increasing sequence of subgroups  $\phi^1(\mathbf{Z}) \subseteq \phi^2(\mathbf{Z}) \subseteq \dots$ , so we can define a map  $\psi: \Gamma \rightarrow G$  by setting  $\psi(\phi^k(m)) = \psi^k(m)$ , and we know that  $\psi$  is well defined by the above remarks. It is now clear that  $\psi$  is the unique homomorphism  $\gamma$  from  $\Gamma$  to  $G$  such that  $\gamma\phi^k = \psi^k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Thus we have shown that  $\Gamma$  has the appropriate “universal” or “diagram” property, and so  $\Gamma$  is the direct limit of the sequence  $(\phi^k: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z})_{k=1}^\infty$ .  $\square$

*Remarks.* 1. If  $\psi: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$  is an isomorphism of subgroups of  $\mathbf{R}$  such that  $x \leq y$  iff  $\psi(x) \leq \psi(y)$  ( $x, y \in \Gamma_1$ ) then  $\psi$  is called an *order isomorphism*. In this case we have  $A_{\Gamma_1}$  and  $A_{\Gamma_2}$  are  $*$ -isomorphic.

2. If  $\psi: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$  is an isomorphism of subgroups of  $\mathbf{Q}$ , then  $-\psi$  is one also, and either  $\psi$  or  $-\psi$  is an order isomorphism. (PROOF. If  $\Gamma_1 = 0$ , there’s nothing to prove, so suppose that  $x \in \Gamma_1$ ,  $x > 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon = \psi(x)/|\psi(x)|$ . Then  $\phi = \varepsilon\psi$  is clearly an isomorphism. If  $y \in \Gamma_1$ , and  $y > 0$ , then we can write

$m x = n y$  for some positive integers  $m$  and  $n$ . We have  $m \phi(x) = n \phi(y) \Rightarrow \phi(x)/\phi(y) > 0$  and  $\phi(x) > 0$ , so therefore  $\phi(y) > 0$ . Thus  $\phi(\Gamma_1^+) \subseteq \Gamma_2^+$ . Conversely if  $z \in \Gamma_2^+$  then  $z = \phi(y)$  for some  $y \in \Gamma_1$ . If  $y < 0$  then  $\phi(-y) = -z > 0 \Rightarrow z < 0$ , a contradiction. Hence  $y \geq 0$ , so  $\Gamma_2^+ = \phi(\Gamma_1^+)$ . Thus subgroups of  $\mathbf{Q}$  are isomorphic iff they are order isomorphic.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Then  $C_\Gamma$  is a simple AF-algebra and  $K_0(C_\Gamma) = \Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}(1/n_1, 1/n_2, \dots)$  for some sequence of positive integers  $n_1, n_2, \dots$  such that  $n_k$  divides  $n_{k+1}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Let  $\phi_k: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  be defined as before by setting  $\phi_k(m) = mn_{k+1}/n_k$ . We define  $\Psi_k: C \rightarrow C$  as the unique  $*$ -homomorphism such that  $\Psi_k(U)$  is  $U$  taken to the power of  $n_{k+1}/n_k$  and let  $\psi_k: K \rightarrow K$  be the corresponding restriction of  $\Psi_k$ . Likewise we define  $\Psi^k: C \rightarrow A_\Gamma$  as the unique  $*$ -homomorphism such that  $\Psi^k(U) = V_{1/n_k}$  where  $V: \Gamma^+ \rightarrow A_\Gamma$  is the one-parameter semigroup of isometries generating  $A_\Gamma$ . We let  $\psi^k: K \rightarrow C_\Gamma$  be the corresponding restriction. Note that  $\psi^k$  is injective since  $K$  is simple and  $\psi^k \neq 0$  ( $\psi^k(1 - UU^*) = 1 - V_{1/n_k}(V_{1/n_k})^* \neq 0$  since  $V_{1/n_k}$  is nonunitary). Now if  $A$  is the closure of the union of the increasing sequence of  $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $A_\Gamma$ ,  $\Psi^1(C) \subseteq \Psi^2(C) \subseteq \dots$  then  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A_\Gamma$  containing the generating set  $V_{1/n_k} = \Psi^k(U)$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ), so  $A = A_\Gamma$ . (To see the sequence is increasing note that  $\Psi^k = \Psi^{k+1}\Psi_k$ . To see that  $V_{1/n_k}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) generate  $A_\Gamma$  note that if  $x$  is a positive element of  $\Gamma$  then  $x = m_1/n_1 + \dots + m_r/n_r$  where  $m_1, \dots, m_r$  are integers, so  $x = ((m_1/n_1)n_r + \dots + (m_r/n_r)n_r)/n_r = m/n_r$  where  $m$  is a positive integer. Thus  $V_x = (V_{1/n_r})^m$ .) Since  $A_\Gamma = A$ , it follows that  $C_\Gamma$  is the closure of the union of the increasing sequence of  $C^*$ -subalgebras  $\psi^k(K)$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). We now show that  $C_\Gamma$  is the direct limit in the category of  $C^*$ -algebras of the sequence of  $C^*$ -algebras and  $*$ -homomorphism  $(\psi_k: K \rightarrow K)_{k=1}^\infty$  with the  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\psi^k: K \rightarrow C_\Gamma$  as “natural” maps. Note that  $\psi^{k+1}\psi_k = \psi^k$ .

Suppose that  $\beta^k: K \rightarrow B$  are  $*$ -homomorphisms into a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  such that  $\beta^{k+1}\psi_k = \beta^k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). We define the  $*$ -homomorphism  $\beta$  on the  $*$ -subalgebra  $U\{\psi^k(K): k = 1, 2, \dots\}$  by setting  $\beta\psi^k(a) = \beta^k(a)$ . This is well defined since  $\psi^k(a_1) = \psi^k(a_2) \Rightarrow a_1 = a_2$ . Necessarily  $\beta$  is norm-decreasing on each  $C^*$ -algebra  $\psi^k(K)$ , and so on their union, which is dense in  $C_\Gamma$ . Thus  $\beta$  extends to a unique  $*$ -homomorphism  $\beta: C_\Gamma \rightarrow B$  such that  $\beta\psi^k = \beta^k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). This means that  $C_\Gamma$  has the appropriate “diagram property” and so  $C_\Gamma$  is the direct limit of the sequence  $(\psi_k: K \rightarrow K)_{k=1}^\infty$ . By general principles of  $C^*$ -algebra theory, a direct limit of simple  $C^*$ -algebras is simple [5], and a direct limit of AF-algebras is an AF-algebra [3]. Thus since  $K$  is a simple AF-algebra,  $C_\Gamma$  is a simple AF-algebra. Also, since the

functor  $K_0$  is “continuous” (preserves direct limits), we have  $K_0(C_\Gamma)$  is the direct limit in the category of abelian groups of the sequence  $(K_0(\psi_k): K_0(K) \rightarrow K_0(K))_{k=1}^\infty$ . However if  $P_k = 1 - U^k(U^k)^*$  then it is well known that  $[P_k] = k[P_1]$  and  $K_0(K) = \mathbf{Z}[P_1]$ , where  $[P_k]$  is the “dimension” of the projection  $P_k$  in  $K_0(K)$ . Now  $K_0(\psi_k)[P_1] = [\psi_k(P_1)] = [P_{n_{k+1}}/n_k] = n_{k+1}/n_k[P_1]$ . It follows that on identifying (as we may)  $K_0(K)$  with  $\mathbf{Z}$  we see that  $K_0(\psi_k)$  is just the homomorphism  $\phi_k: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ . Thus  $K_0(C_\Gamma)$  is the direct limit of the sequence  $(\phi_k: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z})_{k=1}^\infty$ . But we saw in Proposition 1 that  $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}(1/n_1, 1/n_2, \dots)$  is the direct limit of this sequence. Thus  $K_0(C_\Gamma)$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma$ .  $\square$

*Remark.*  $A_\Gamma$  depends on  $\Gamma$  not just as a group, but as an ordered group. However as we saw above, subgroups of  $\mathbf{Q}$  are isomorphic iff they are order isomorphic. Thus it follows from Theorem 2 that if  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are subgroups of  $\mathbf{Q}$  then  $C_{\Gamma_1}$  and  $C_{\Gamma_2}$  are isomorphic iff  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are isomorphic groups. Since  $\mathbf{Q}$  has infinitely many nonisomorphic subgroups  $\Gamma$  we have infinitely many nonisomorphic  $C_\Gamma$ . By the way (as Douglas pointed out in [2])  $C_\Gamma$  is not type I if  $\Gamma$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}$  (since if  $C_\Gamma$  is type I then  $C_\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $K \Rightarrow C_\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $C_{\mathbf{Z}}$ ).

#### REFERENCES

1. L. A. Coburn, *The  $C^*$ -algebra generated by an isometry*. I, II, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **13** (1967), 722–726; Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (1969), 211–217.
2. R. G. Douglas, *On the  $C^*$ -algebra of a one-parameter semigroup of isometries*, Acta Math. **128** (1972), 143–152.
3. K. R. Goodearl, *Notes on real and complex  $C^*$ -algebras*, Shiva Math. Ser., no. 5, Shiva, Cheshire, England, 1982.
4. E. G. Effros, *Dimension groups and  $C^*$ -algebras*, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. Math., no. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1981.
5. G. K. Pedersen,  *$C^*$ -algebras and their automorphism groups*, London Math. Soc. Monographs, 14, Academic Press, London and New York, 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE, CORK, IRELAND