

## SUMS AND PRODUCTS OF HILBERT SPACES

JESÚS M. F. CASTILLO

(Communicated by William J. Davis)

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. We prove that the locally convex sum  $\oplus_I H$  is a subspace of the product  $H^J$  if and only if  $I$  is countable,  $H$  is infinite dimensional, and  $\text{card } J \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$ .

### NOTATIONS

For the general terminology on locally convex spaces we refer to [1, 2].

If  $E$  is a locally convex space,  $U(E)$  will denote a fundamental system of absolutely convex closed neighborhoods of 0. If  $p_U$  is the associated seminorm of  $U \in U(E)$ , we note  $\widehat{E}_U$  for the completion of the normed space  $(E/\text{Ker } p_U, \|\cdot\|_U)$ , where  $\|\phi_U x\|_U = p_U(x)$ ,  $\phi_U$  being the quotient map. The spaces  $\widehat{E}_U$  will be referred as the associated Banach spaces. If  $U, V \in U(E)$   $V \subset U$ , the canonical linking map  $\widehat{T}_{VU}$  is the extension to the completions of the operator  $T_{VU} \in L(E_V, E_U)$  defined by  $T_{VU} \phi_V x = \phi_U x$ .

The real or complex scalar field is denoted by  $K$ . If  $I$  is a set of cardinality  $d$  then  $\varphi_d$  denotes the locally convex sum  $\oplus_I K$  that is, the space  $\oplus_I K$  endowed with the strongest locally convex topology. When  $I = N$  we simply write  $\varphi$ . Analogously, if  $E$  is a locally convex space,  $\oplus_I E$  denotes the sum space endowed with the strongest locally convex topology making all the inclusions  $E \hookrightarrow \oplus_I E$  continuous.

Let  $T$  be an operator acting between Banach spaces  $T: X \rightarrow Y$ . Let  $Z$  be a Banach space. By a subfactorization of  $T$  through  $Z$  we mean two operators  $B: X \rightarrow Z$  and  $A: \overline{\text{Im } B} \rightarrow Y$  such that  $T = AB$ . Note that  $A$  need not be defined on all of  $Z$ , but only in the closure of the range of  $B$  in  $Z$ . When  $A$  is defined on the whole  $Z$  then we have a factorization of  $T$  through  $Z$ .

The spaces  $l_p(I)$ ,  $0 < p \leq +\infty$  are defined to be the Banach ( $p$ -Banach if  $0 < p < 1$ ) spaces:

$$l_p(I) = \{(x_i)_{i \in I} \in K^I : \|(x_i)\|_p = \left( \sum_{i \in I} |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} < +\infty\}$$

Received by the editors April 7, 1988.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 46B25, 46A12, 46A05, 46C10.

© 1989 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9939/89 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

if  $p < +\infty$ , and

$$l_\infty(I) = \{(x_i)_{i \in I} \in K^I : \|(x_i)\|_\infty = \sup |x_i| < +\infty\}$$

if  $p = +\infty$ .

We write

$$l_\infty^+(I) = \{x \in l_\infty(I) : x_i > 0 \quad \forall i \in I\}$$

and recall the well-known fact that any Hilbert space is isomorphic with some  $l_2(I)$ .

If  $X$  is a Banach space, we will also consider the vector-valued Banach sequence spaces

$$l_p(X) = \{(x_n) \in X^N : (\|x_n\|) \in l_p\} \quad 1 \leq p \leq +\infty$$

and

$$c_0(X) = \{(x_n) \in X^N : (\|x_n\|) \in c_0\}.$$

### MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem.** *Let  $H$  be an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. Then the locally convex sum  $\oplus_I H$  is a subspace of some product  $\prod_J H$  if and only if  $I$  is countable and  $\text{card } J \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$ .*

Obviously  $H$  needs to be infinite-dimensional since  $\emptyset$  cannot be a subspace of any product  $K^J$ . On the other hand  $\text{card } J \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$  is required since  $\oplus_I H$  is not metrizable.

**Proposition 1.**  *$\oplus_N l_2$  is a subspace of  $\prod_J l_2$ , if  $\text{card } J \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$ .*

*Proof.* Since the locally convex sum topology coincides with the so-called box-topology (see [1]) on countable sums, a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero is given by the sets:  $U(z) = \prod_N z_n B \cap \oplus_N l_2$ , where  $B$  is the unit ball of  $l_2$ , and  $z = (z_n) \in c_0$ .

We may suppose  $z_n \neq 0$  for all  $n \in N$ . Its associated seminorm is:  $p_z((x_n)) = \sup_n z_n^{-1} \|x_n\|_2$ , and the associated Banach space is clearly seen to be the completion of  $(\oplus_N l_2, p_z)$ , that is:  $\{(x_n) \in l_2^N : z_n^{-1} \|x_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0\}$ . This space is isometric with  $c_0(l_2)$ . After this isometry, if  $k \in c_0$  and  $0 < k_n \leq z_n$ , the linking map between the associated Banach spaces to  $p_k$  and  $p_z$  is the "diagonal" operator  $D_\sigma : c_0(l_2) \rightarrow c_0(l_2)$ ,  $D_\sigma((x_n)) = (\sigma_n x_n)$ , where  $\sigma_n = z_n^{-1} / k_n^{-1}$ .

If we choose  $k$  such that  $\sigma$  belongs to  $l_2$ , then  $D_\sigma$  factorizes through  $l_2(l_2)$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c_0(l_2) & \xrightarrow{D_\sigma} & c_0(l_2) \\ \searrow & & \nearrow \\ D_\sigma & l_2(l_2) & \text{inclusion} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_\sigma x\|_{l_2(l_2)} &= \left( \sum \|\sigma_n x_n\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left( \sum |\sigma_n|^2 \|x_n\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sup_n \|x_n\|_2 \left( \sum |\sigma_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \|x\|_{c_0(l_2)} \|\sigma\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

The continuity of the inclusion is obvious.

But the space  $l_2(l_2)$  is isometric with  $l_2$ . Thus, the space  $\oplus_N l_2$ , as a projective limit of  $l_2$  is a closed subspace of the topological product  $\prod_I l_2$  ([2], §19.10.3).

*Remark.* Since  $l_p(l_p)$  is isometric with  $l_p$ ,  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ , the preceding proof serves for the spaces  $l_p$ , and with minor modifications for the nonseparable spaces  $l_p(I)$ ,  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ . Therefore it covers the situation for all Hilbert spaces.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $I$  be uncountable. Then  $\oplus_I l_2$  is not a subspace of any product  $\prod_I l_2$ .*

*Proof.* The latter space has separable associated Banach spaces while the former does not. ■

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space, and  $I$  an uncountable set. Then  $\oplus_I H$  is not a subspace of any product of copies of  $H$ .*

*Proof.* We may write  $H = l_2(I)$  with  $I$  uncountable, in the light of the remarks previous to Proposition 1, and Proposition 2. Let  $I$  be uncountable with  $d = \text{card } I$ .

*Step 1.* Let  $A \in L(l_2(I), l_1(I))$  be represented by a matrix  $(a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$  in the form:

$$A(x_j) = (y_i) \quad \text{with } y_i = \sum_{j \in I} a_{ij} x_j.$$

Suppose that  $A$  has (a) a row of zeros or (b) a column of zeros. Then  $A$  cannot be part of a factorization of a diagonal operator  $D_\sigma: l_1(I) \rightarrow l_1(I)$ ,  $\sigma \in l_\infty^+(I)$  through  $l_2(I)$ . In case (a) since then all the vectors in  $\text{Im } A$  would have some coordinate zero, and  $\text{Im } AB \neq \text{Im } D_\sigma$ . In case (b) it is  $A': l_\infty(I) \rightarrow l_2(I)$  which has a row of zeros and cannot be injective; since  $D_{\sigma^{-1}}$  is injective, the factorization  $D_{\sigma^{-1}} = D'_\sigma = B'A'$  is impossible, and thus  $D_\sigma = AB$  is impossible too.

From all this it follows that a nonzero element must exist in each row and in each column of  $A$ . Therefore the set  $\{(i, j) \in I \times I: a_{ij} \neq 0\}$  is uncountable, and we may assume  $a_{ij} > 0$  for uncountable many pairs  $(i, j)$ . Thus an  $\varepsilon > 0$  must exist such that  $a_{ij} \geq \varepsilon$  for an uncountable set  $Z \subset I \times I$ . Moreover these indexes of  $Z$  need to be scattered through infinitely many rows and columns of  $I \times I$ ; because if we suppose that they are "concentrated" in, let us say, a single column, then those vectors of  $l_2(I)$  with the corresponding index zero have zero as the image by  $A$ . Since  $B$  can be considered surjective (see Step 2),  $A$  would not be a part of a factorization of  $D_\sigma$ . If they are "concentrated" on a row we obtain the same result by transposition. Therefore we can choose a countable set  $Z_0 = \{(i_n, j_n) \in Z \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $i_n \neq i_m$  and  $j_n \neq j_m$  whenever  $n \neq m$ . Choose then an element  $(z_j) \in l_2(I) \setminus l_1(I)$  with  $z_j \geq 0 \quad \forall j$

and  $z_j \neq 0$  if some couple  $(i, j) \in Z_0$ . If  $Az = y$ , then we find that for each pair  $(i, j) \in Z_0$ :

$$y_i = \sum_{k \in I} a_{ik} z_k \geq \varepsilon z_j$$

whence:

$$\sum_{i \in I} |y_i| \geq \varepsilon \sum_{j \in I} |z_j| = +\infty$$

and  $A$  cannot be an operator from  $l_2(I)$  into  $l_1(I)$ . In this way we have essentially proved that:

*Step 2.* The diagonal operator  $D_\sigma: l_1(I) \rightarrow l_1(I)$ ,  $\sigma \in l_\infty^+(I)$  cannot be subfactorized through  $l_2(I)$ .

The above manipulations settle the case of factorization. For subfactorizations we use orthogonal projection onto  $\overline{\text{Im } B}$  to obtain a factorization through a Hilbert space. If this is nonseparable the calculations of Step 1 apply. If it is  $l_2$  then  $D_\sigma = AB$ ,  $B \in L(l_1(I), l_2)$  and  $A \in L(l_2, l_1(I))$  is clearly false since the image of  $D_\sigma$  cannot be contained in any  $l_1(N)$ ,  $N$  a countable subset of  $I$ .

*Step 3.* It is not hard to check that  $\varphi_d$  has a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero with associated Banach spaces isometric with  $l_1(I)$ . Under this isometry the linking maps are the diagonal operators  $D_\sigma$ ,  $\sigma \in l_\infty^+(I)$ .

*Step 4.* Let us assume that  $\varphi_d$  is a subspace of some product  $l_2(I)^J$ . There is a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero in  $l_2(I)^J$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$ , with associated Banach spaces isometric with  $l_2(I)$ . Thus an embedding of  $\varphi_d$  into  $l_2(I)^J$  would imply for  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  the subfactorization:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} l_1(I) & \rightarrow & (\widehat{\varphi}_d)_{U \cap \varphi_d} & \rightarrow & l_1(I) \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & l_2(I) & & \end{array}$$

of  $D_\sigma$ , which we know to be impossible.

*Step 5.* We complete the proof of Proposition 3. Since the embedding of  $\varphi_d$  into  $H^J$  is not possible when  $d$  is uncountable, and embedding of  $\oplus_I H$  into  $H^J$  is impossible too. ■

*Remark.* The result in Step 4 also holds when  $\varphi_d$  and  $l_2(J)$  with different index sets are considered. It is clearly true when  $\text{card } J < d$  and, reasoning as in Step 2, when  $\text{card } J > d$ .

*Remark.* For general operators  $T: l_p(I) \rightarrow l_q(J)$ ,  $I, J$  uncountable and  $p > q$  we can obtain (compare with the final part of Step 1):

$$(1) \quad \forall j \in I \text{ card}\{i \in I: a_{ij} \neq 0\} \leq \chi_0$$

$$(2) \quad \forall i \in I \text{ card}\{j \in I: a_{ij} \neq 0\} \leq \chi_0 \quad \text{if } p > 1.$$

From this it follows that if  $\text{card}\{(i, j) \in I \times I: a_{ij} \neq 0\} > \chi_0$  then these indexes cannot be "concentrated" in a countable number of rows or columns. We could then proceed as in Step 1 to obtain a contradiction. Therefore:

$$(*) \quad \text{card}\{(i, j) \in I \times I: a_{ij} \neq 0\} \leq \chi_0$$

and then  $\text{Im } T \subset l_q(N)$ . We have:

**Lemma.** *Let  $I, J$ , be uncountable sets,  $p > q \geq 1$  and  $T: l_p(I) \rightarrow l_q(J)$  a continuous operator. Then  $\text{Im } T \subset l_q(N)$ .*

In the advance of this paper [4] the above lemma was incorrectly stated due to the omission of the hypothesis  $p > 1$ . The next counterexample shows that in that way it is no longer true: consider a partition  $I = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ , with  $I_n$  uncountable for all  $n$ . Take  $I_0$  a countable subset of  $I$ , and define:

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} i^{-4} & \text{when } i \in I_0 \text{ and } j \in I_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This matrix defines an operator from  $l_1(I)$  to  $l_{1/2}(I)$  for which (\*) does not hold.

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Jarchow, *Locally convex spaces*, B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981.
2. G. Kothe, *Topological vector spaces I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969.
3. G. Kothe, *Topological vector spaces II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
4. Jesús M. F. Castillo, *The sum problem for Hilbert spaces*, *Extracta Math.* **3** (1) (1988), 26-27.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE EXTREMADURA, AVDA. DE ELVAS S/N  
.06071-BADAJOS, SPAIN