

## GROUP $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS AS ALGEBRAS OF "CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS" WITH NON-COMMUTING VARIABLES

WOJCIECH SZYMAŃSKI

(Communicated by John B. Conway)

**ABSTRACT.** It is shown that a system of commutation relations depending on the structure constants of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  leads to a  $C^*$ -algebra which is isomorphic to the group  $C^*$ -algebra of the simply connected Lie group associated with  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

### INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a connected simply connected Lie group,  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the corresponding Lie algebra (of the right invariant vector fields),  $X_1, \dots, X_N$  form a basis in  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that

$$[X_m, X_n] = \sum_{k=1}^N c_{mn}^k X_k.$$

If  $U$  is a strongly continuous unitary representation of  $G$  acting on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $dU$  the infinitesimal representation associated with  $U$ ,  $A_j = \overline{dU(X_j)}$ , then we define operators  $B_j$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$  as

$$B_0 = \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^N A_k^* A_k \right)^{-1},$$
$$B_j = A_j B_0.$$

---

Received by the editors February 8, 1988.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 46L99; Secondary 22D25.

*Key words and phrases.* Compact domain, group  $C^*$ -algebra, Lie algebra of a Lie group, continuous operator function.

It is easy to establish that  $B_j$  are bounded operators and satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad & B_0^* = B_0, \\
 (2) \quad & B_m^* = -B_0 B_m, \quad m = 1, \dots, N, \\
 (3) \quad & B_0(1 - B_0) = \sum_{k=1}^N B_k^* B_k, \\
 (4) \quad & B_n^* B_m - B_m^* B_n = \sum_{k=1}^N c_{mn}^k B_0 B_k, \quad m, n = 1, \dots, N, \\
 (5) \quad & B_m^* + B_m = \sum_{k,r=1}^N c_{mk}^r (B_r^* B_k + B_k^* B_r), \quad m = 1, \dots, N.
 \end{aligned}$$

The second formula is a consequence of antisymmetry of  $A_m$ , the fourth we get considering the commutator  $[X_m, X_n]$  and the fifth similarly computing  $[X_m, \Delta]$  ( $\Delta = \sum_{k=1}^N X_k^2$  in the enveloping algebra).

Starting from the above relations we shall construct a  $C^*$ -algebra which will appear to be isomorphic with  $C^*(G)$ .

I take this opportunity to thank Prof. S. L. Woronowicz who suggested the problem and gave much helpful advice.

#### CONSTRUCTION OF THE ALGEBRA

The construction is an application of the method shown in [1]. We shall also keep the notation of that paper.

There was introduced a notion of a *compact domain* there as a system of  $N$ -tuples of bounded operators. More precisely the family  $D$  of sets  $D(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^N$  (where  $\mathcal{H}$  denotes any separable Hilbert space) is called a *compact domain* if for every separable Hilbert space the following three conditions are fulfilled:

- (1) For every  $a \in D(\mathcal{H})$  and every  $*$ -representation

$$\pi: C^*(a) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

we have  $\pi(a) \in D(\mathcal{H})$ ;

- (2) If for a given  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^N$  there exists a family  $\{\pi_t\}_{t \in T}$  of representations of  $C^*(a)$  such that  $\bigcap_{t \in T} \text{Ker } \pi_t = \{0\}$  and  $\pi_t(a) \in D(\mathcal{H}_t)$  for all  $t \in T$  (where  $\mathcal{H}_t$  denotes the carrier Hilbert space of  $\pi_t$ ) then  $a \in D(\mathcal{H})$ ;
- (3) There exists a constant  $M > 0$  independent of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\sup_{a \in D(\mathcal{H})} \|a_k\| \leq M.$$

If  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^N$  and  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_N)$  then  $C^*(a)$  denotes the unital  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_N$ . Similarly if  $\pi$  is a representation of  $C^*(a)$  then  $\pi(a)$  denotes  $(\pi(a_1), \dots, \pi(a_N))$ .

Let  $C(D)$  be the unital  $C^*$ -algebra of continuous functions on  $D$ .  $F$  is an element of  $C(D)$  if for any Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and any  $(a_1, \dots, a_N) \in D(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $F(a_1, \dots, a_N)$  lies in  $C^*(a)$ , and for any representation  $\pi$  of  $C^*(a)$  we have

$$F(\pi(B_0), \dots, \pi(B_N)) = \pi(F(B_0), \dots, F(B_N)).$$

For any separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  we set  $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{H}) = \{(B_0, \dots, B_N) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{N+1} : B_0, \dots, B_N \text{ satisfy conditions (1)–(5)}\}$ .

By virtue of [1, Theorem 1.3] we get

**Proposition.**  $\mathbf{D} = \{\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{H}) : \mathcal{H} \text{ —separable Hilbert space}\}$  is a compact domain.

We define  $C_0(\mathbf{D})$  as those elements  $F$  belonging to  $C(\mathbf{D})$  for which  $F(0, \dots, 0) = 0$ .  $C_0(\mathbf{D})$  is clearly a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $C(\mathbf{D})$  (without unity). We shall prove the following

**Theorem.** There is a natural  $*$ -isomorphism of the group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(\mathbf{G})$  onto  $C_0(\mathbf{D})$ .

Continuous functions on a compact space vanishing at a single point form a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra which topologically corresponds to a locally compact space. One can now view at the group  $C^*$ -algebra of a simply connected lie group as a noncommutative  $C^*$  algebra of continuous functions on a locally compact domain vanishing at a single “point”.

We precede the proof of the theorem with two lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and  $(B_0, \dots, B_N)$  be operators in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  satisfying conditions (1)–(5). Assume that  $\ker B_0 = \{0\}$ . Then there exists a strongly continuous unitary representation  $U$  of the group  $\mathbf{G}$  acting on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\overline{dU(X_m)} = \overline{B_m B_0^{-1}}, \quad m = 1, \dots, N.$$

*Proof.* Setting  $A_m = \overline{B_m B_0^{-1}}$  for  $m = 1, \dots, N$  and  $\mathcal{D} = \text{Dom}(B_0^{-1})$  we shall check that the following conditions hold:

1.  $A_m$  are skew-symmetric (i.e.  $A_m \subseteq -A_m^*$ ),  $m = 1, \dots, N$ ;
2.  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \text{Dom}(A_m A_n)$ ,  $m, n = 1, \dots, N$ ;
3. for any  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}$  we have  $A_m A_n \xi - A_n A_m \xi = \sum_{k=1}^N c_{mn}^k A_k \xi$ ,  $m, n = 1, \dots, N$ ;
4.  $\sum_{k=1}^N A_k^2$  is essentially selfadjoint on  $\mathcal{D}$ .

1. If  $\xi, \varphi \in \text{Dom}(B_0^{-1})$  then there exist  $\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\varphi}$  such that  $B_0\tilde{\xi} = \xi, B_0\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi$ , hence;

$$\begin{aligned} (A_m\xi, \varphi) &= (B_m B_0^{-1}\xi, \varphi) = (B_m B_0^{-1}B_0\tilde{\xi}, B_0\tilde{\varphi}) = (B_0\tilde{\xi}, B_0\tilde{\varphi}) \\ &= (\tilde{\xi}, B_m^* B_0\tilde{\varphi}) \quad (\text{from (2)—the second condition on } B_m) \\ &= -(\tilde{\xi}, B_0 B_m\tilde{\varphi}) \\ &= -(B_0\tilde{\xi}, B_m B_0^{-1}B_0\tilde{\varphi}) = -(\xi, B_m B_0^{-1}\varphi) = -(\xi, A_m\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

2. We must show that  $B_n(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \overline{\text{Dom}(B_m B_0^{-1})}$ . For any  $k = 1, \dots, N$ ,  $B_k B_0^{-1/2}$  is bounded. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \|(B_k B_0^{-1/2})B_0\xi\|^2 &= (B_k^* B_k B_0^{1/2}\xi, B_0^{1/2}\xi) \quad (\text{from (3)}) \\ &\leq (B_0 B_0^{1/2}\xi, B_0^{1/2}\xi) = \|B_0\xi\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $B_0^{-1/2}B_k^*$  is also bounded, so  $B_k^*(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \text{Dom}(B_0^{-1/2})$  and (5) now implies that  $B_n(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \text{Dom}(B_0^{-1/2})$ . Hence  $\overline{B_m B_0^{-1}B_n} = \overline{(B_m B_0^{-1/2})(B_0^{-1/2}B_n)}$  is everywhere defined (as a product of two bounded operators).

3. It is enough to show that for any  $\xi, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}$

$$((A_m A_n - A_n A_m)B_0\xi, B_0\varphi) = \left( \sum_{k=1}^N c_{mn}^k A_k B_0\xi, B_0\varphi \right).$$

We know that  $A_m, A_n$  are skew-symmetric and  $B_0\varphi$  is in their domains, so

$$\begin{aligned} ((A_m A_n - A_n A_m)B_0\xi, B_0\varphi) &= -(A_n B_0\xi, A_m B_0\varphi) + (A_m B_0\xi, A_n B_0\varphi) \\ &= -\overline{(B_n B_0^{-1}B_0\xi, B_m B_0^{-1}B_0\varphi)} + \overline{(B_m B_0^{-1}B_0\xi, B_n B_0^{-1}B_0\varphi)} \\ &= ((-B_m^* B_n + B_n^* B_m)\xi, \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^N c_{mn}^k A_k B_0\xi, B_0\varphi \right) = \left( \sum_{k=1}^N c_{mn}^k \overline{B_k B_0^{-1}B_0\xi}, B_0\varphi \right) = \left( \sum_{k=1}^N c_{mn}^k B_0 B_n \xi, \varphi \right)$$

and the equality holds (from (4)).

4.  $\sum_{k=1}^N A_k^2$  is essentially selfadjoint on  $\mathcal{D}$  because it equals

$$I - B_0^{-1}|_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

Now the proof follows from Nelson’s integrability theorem [2. Corollary 9.1].  $\square$

We are now able to prove the following basic lemma.

**Lemma 2.** *There exist elements  $b_0, \dots, b_N$  of  $C^*(\mathbf{G})$  such that:*

1. *For any operators  $B_0, \dots, B_N$  (on a Hilbert space) satisfying conditions (1)–(5) there is a  $*$ -homomorphism  $U$  of  $C^*(\mathbf{G})$  onto the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $B_0, \dots, B_N$ , such that*

$$U(b_k) = B_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$

2.  *$b_0, \dots, b_N$  generate  $C^*(\mathbf{G})$ .*

*Proof.* Considering the left regular representation of  $\mathbf{G}$  acting on  $L^2(\mathbf{G})$  we know (cf. [3, Theorem 3.1]) that for any  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  the operator  $X + I - \sum_{k=1}^N X_k^2$  (acting on smooth functions with compact support) is invertible and the inverse operator has a kernel in  $L^1(\mathbf{G})$ . We define  $b_0$  and  $p_m$  ( $m = 1, \dots, N$ ) as the images of the kernels of  $(I - \sum_{k=1}^N X_k^2)^{-1}$  and  $(X_m + I - \sum_{k=1}^N X_k^2)^{-1}$  respectively under the canonical inclusion of  $L^1(\mathbf{G})$  into  $C^*(\mathbf{G})$ . For each natural number  $n$  let  $\psi_n$  be the following function:

$$\psi_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x^2} & \text{if } x \geq \frac{1}{n}, \\ n^2 & \text{if } x < \frac{1}{n}, \end{cases} \quad x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Of course  $\psi_n(|p_m|)$  is a multiplier on  $C^*(\mathbf{G})$ . We shall show that  $\psi_n(|p_m|)p_m^* \times (b_0 - p_m)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $C^*(\mathbf{G})$  (its limit will be denoted by  $b_m$ ). To do that it will be enough to prove that for any nondegenerate representation  $U$  of  $C^*(\mathbf{G})$  the sequence

$$(U(\psi_n(|p_m|)p_m^*(b_0 - p_m)))^* = (U(b_0) - U(p_m)^*)U(p_m)\psi_n(|U(p_m)|)$$

is norm convergent.

Because we can extend  $U$  to a representation of the group  $\mathbf{G}$ , we may also consider operators  $A_1, \dots, A_N, B_0, \dots, B_N$  constructed for  $U$  as in the introduction. It is easy to establish that  $U(b_0) = B_0$  and  $U(p_m) = (A_m + B_0^{-1})^{-1}$ . We denote  $U(p_m)$  by  $P_m$ .

By virtue of [2, Theorem 6.3] there exists a positive constant  $M$  such that  $\|P_m^{*-1}\xi\| \leq M^2\|B_0^{-1}\xi\|$  for any  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_\infty$  (where  $\mathcal{E}_\infty$  are the smooth vectors of  $U$ ). So  $\|B_0\xi\| \leq M^2\|P_m\xi\| = M^2\| |P_m| \xi \|$  and operator monotony of the square root function implies that  $\|B_0^{1/2}\xi\| \leq M\| |P_m|^{1/2}\xi \|$  for any  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  ( $\mathcal{H}$ —the carrier Hilbert space of  $U$ ). In the proof of the previous lemma we showed that  $B_m^*B_0^{-1/2}$  is bounded, so there is  $\tilde{C} > 0$  such that for all  $\xi$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  we have  $\|B_m^*\xi\| \leq \tilde{C}\|B_0^{1/2}\xi\|$ . Therefore  $\|B_m^*\xi\| \leq \tilde{C}\|B_0^{1/2}\xi\| \leq M\tilde{C}\| |P_m|^{1/2}\xi \|$ . We write  $C$  instead of  $M\tilde{C}$ .

If we take  $\xi$  from  $P_m^{*-1}(\mathcal{E}_\infty)$  then

$$(P_m^* - B_0)\xi = B_0(B_0^{-1} - P_m^{*-1})P_m^*\xi = B_0A_mP_m^*\xi = -B_m^*P_m^*\xi,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_m^* P_m^* &= B_0 - P_m^*, \\
 (U(b_0) - U(p_m)^*)U(p_m)\psi_n(|U(p_m)|) &= (B_0 - P_m^*)P_m\psi_n(|P_m|) \\
 &= B_m^*|P_m|^2\psi_n(|P_m|) = B_m^*\varphi_n(|P_m|),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi_n$  is a real function such that  $\varphi_n(x) = 1$  if  $x > \frac{1}{n}$  and  $\varphi_n(x) = n^2 x^2$  if  $x \leq \frac{1}{n}$ . For any  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|B_m^*\varphi_n(|P_m|)\xi - B_m^*\xi\| &= \|B_m^*(\varphi_n - 1)(|P_m|)\xi\| \\
 &\leq C\| |P_m|^{1/2}(\varphi_n - 1)(|P_m|)\xi\| \leq Cn^{-1/2}\|\xi\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $B_m^*\varphi_n(|P_m|) \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} B_m^*$ , so the elements  $b_1, \dots, b_N$  also exist.

Given  $B_0, \dots, B_N$ —operators on a Hilbert space satisfying conditions (1)–(5), we see (taking into account (3)) that on  $\ker B_0$  all of them are equal to zero and the same holds for the adjoints (from (5)). The operators restricted to the orthogonal complement of  $\ker B_0$  fulfill all the assumptions of Lemma 1. By virtue of that lemma we get a representation  $U$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  such that  $\overline{dU(X_m)} = \overline{B_m B_0^{-1}}$ . It follows from the above considerations that  $U$  extended to  $C^*(\mathbf{G})$  must carry  $b_m$  into  $B_m$ .

It remains to show that  $b_0, \dots, b_N$  generate  $C^*(\mathbf{G})$ . If that is not so, we get two different representations  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  of  $C^*(\mathbf{G})$  (acting on the same Hilbert space) which are identical on  $b_0, \dots, b_N$ . From Lemma 1 we know that  $\pi_1(b_0), \dots, \pi_1(b_N)$  gives rise to a representation of the group. That is why  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  as the corresponding representations of the algebra must coincide. This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

Now we are able to complete the proof of the main Theorem.

Let  $B^m: (B_0, \dots, B_N) \mapsto B_m$  be the coordinate functions on  $\mathbf{D}$ . By virtue of [1, Theorem 3.4]  $C_0(\mathbf{D})$  is generated by  $B^0, \dots, B^N$ . It is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2 that the mapping  $b_m \mapsto B^m$  can be extended to a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\Gamma$  of  $C^*(\mathbf{G})$  onto  $C_0(\mathbf{D})$  (to see that one must apply part 1 of the lemma to the images of  $B^0, \dots, B^N$  under a faithful representation of  $C_0(\mathbf{D})$ ).

Clearly  $\Gamma$  has a trivial kernel. Indeed, if  $\Gamma(c) = 0$  then for any cyclic representation  $\pi$  of  $C^*(\mathbf{G})$  (acting necessarily on a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ ) we have  $\pi(c) = \Gamma(c)(\pi(b_0), \dots, \pi(b_N)) = 0$  (of course  $(\pi(b_0), \dots, \pi(b_N)) \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ ).

The proof is complete. Q.E.D.

### REFERENCES

1. P. Kruszynski and S. L. Woronowicz, *A noncommutative Gelfand-Naimark theorem*, J. Operator Theory **8** (1982), 361–389.
2. E. Nelson, *Analytic vectors*, Ann. of Math. **70** (1959), 572–615.

3. E. Nelson and W. F. Stinespring, *Representation of elliptic operators in an enveloping algebra*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 547–560.
4. G.K. Pedersen,  *$C^*$ -algebras and their automorphism groups*, Academic Press, London-New York-San Francisco, 1979.
5. G. Warner, *Harmonic analysis on semi-simple Lie group*, vol. I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972.

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, SNIADOCKICH 8, WARSZAWA, POLAND