

## A SHORT PROOF AND A GENERALIZATION OF MIRANDA'S EXISTENCE THEOREM

MICHAEL N. VRAHATIS

(Communicated by Paul S. Muhly)

**ABSTRACT.** Miranda gave in [5] an equivalent formulation of the famous Brouwer fixed point theorem. We give a short proof of Miranda's existence theorem and then using the results obtained in this proof we give a generalization of a well-known variant of Bolzano's existence theorem. Finally, we give a generalization of Miranda's theorem.

We shall give here a short proof and a generalization of the following equivalent formulation of the famous L. E. J. Brouwer fixed point theorem [1] given by C. Miranda [5].

**Theorem 1** (Miranda, 1940) [5, 10, 4, 6, 3, 11]. *Let  $G = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |x_i| < L, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  and suppose that the mapping  $F = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) : \overline{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is continuous on the closure  $\overline{G}$  of  $G$  such that  $F(x) \neq \theta = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$  for  $x$  on the boundary  $\partial G$  of  $G$ , and*

- (i)  $f_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, -L, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n, \text{ and}$   
(ii)  $f_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, +L, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$

*Then,  $F(x) = \theta$  has a solution in  $G$ .*

For recent proofs of the above theorem see [10, pp. 37–38] and [3, pp. 118–119]. Theorem 1 is known to be useful in the theory of differential equations. Moreover, for some of its implementations in the case of systems of nonlinear algebraic or transcendental equations, we refer to [4, 6, 11]. Theorem 1, also, has an important property since it constitutes a straightforward generalization of the well-known and very useful, (for iterative approximate procedures for solving nonlinear equations), Bolzano's existence theorem which states: “*If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a continuous mapping and  $f(a)$  and  $f(b)$  have opposite signs, then for some  $x \in (a, b)$ , it holds  $f(x) = 0$ .*”

---

Received by the editors February 9, 1989. This work was done while the author was on leave at the Department of Mathematics of Cornell University.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 47H10, 54H25, 55M20, 58C30, 65H10.

*Key words and phrases.* Fixed points, Brouwer fixed point theorem, Miranda existence theorem, Bolzano existence theorem, systems of nonlinear algebraic or transcendental equations.

©1989 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/89 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

We now give a short proof of Theorem 1. For the details about degree theory used in the following proof, we refer to [7, 2, 9, 8, 3].

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Consider the homotopy,

$$H: \overline{G} \times [0, 1] \subset \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n, \quad \text{by } H(x, t) = (1-t)F(x) + t(-x).$$

Then,  $H(x, t) \neq \theta$  for  $(x, t) \in \partial G$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . In fact,  $H(x, 0) = F(x) \neq \theta$  since  $\theta \notin F(\partial G)$ , while  $H(x, 1) = -x \neq \theta$  since  $\theta \notin \partial G$ ; finally,  $H(x, t) = \theta$  for some  $t \in (0, 1)$  leads to the contradiction  $F(x) + t(1-t)^{-1}(-x) = \theta$  because  $t(1-t)^{-1} > 0$  and by the assumptions (i) and (ii) for  $x \in \partial G$  there exist at least one  $i$  such that  $f_i(x)(-x_i) > 0$ . Thus by the homotopy invariance theorem of the degree theory, it follows that

$$\deg[F, G, \theta] = \deg[H(\cdot, 0), G, \theta] = \deg[H(\cdot, 1), G, \theta],$$

(where  $\deg[F, G, \theta]$  indicates the topological degree of  $F$  at  $\theta$  relative to  $G$ ). Hence,  $|\deg[F, G, \theta]| = 1 \neq 0$  and the result follows by the Kronecker existence theorem.  $\square$

A corollary directly derived from the above result follows:

**Corollary 1.** Suppose that the conditions of the preceding theorem hold. Assume that  $F(x) = \theta$  has only simple solutions in  $G$ , (i.e., the Jacobian determinant of  $F$  does not vanish at any solution). Then  $F(x) = \theta$  has an odd number of solutions in  $G$ .

*Proof.* The result follows from the fact that  $|\deg[F, G, \theta]| = 1$ , which we have determined in the proof of the previous theorem.  $\square$

It is readily seen that Corollary 1 generalizes a well-known variant of Bolzano's Theorem (odd number of solutions) which states: "If  $f(a)$  and  $f(b)$  have opposite signs and whenever  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x \in (a, b)$  holds that  $f'(x) \neq 0$ , then  $f(x) = 0$  has an odd number of solutions in  $(a, b)$ ".

A generalization of Theorem 1 follows:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$  be  $n$  linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denote the standard inner product and  $G = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |\langle \beta_i, x \rangle| < L, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ . Suppose that  $F = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n): \overline{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is a continuous mapping such that  $F(x) \neq \theta$  for  $x \in \partial G$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F(x), \beta_i \rangle &\geq 0 && \text{if } \beta_i^\top x = -L \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad \text{and} \\ \langle F(x), \beta_i \rangle &\leq 0 && \text{if } \beta_i^\top x = +L \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $F(x) = \theta$  has a solution in  $G$  and, in fact,  $|\deg[F, G, \theta]| = 1$ .

*Proof.* Consider the mapping,

$$\Lambda: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n, \quad \text{by } \Lambda(x) = (\langle \beta_1, x \rangle, \langle \beta_2, x \rangle, \dots, \langle \beta_n, x \rangle).$$

Clearly,  $\Lambda$  is a one-to-one linear mapping. So,

$$\deg[F, G, \theta] = \deg[\Lambda F \Lambda^{-1}, \Lambda G, \theta],$$

which reduces the present theorem to Theorem 1. Finally, following the proof of Theorem 1 we can obtain  $|\deg[F, G, \theta]| = 1$ .  $\square$

### REFERENCES

1. L. E. J. Brouwer, *Über Abbildungen von Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Math. Ann. **71** (1912), 97–115.
2. J. Cronin, *Fixed points and topological degree in nonlinear analysis*, Math. Surveys no. 11, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1964.
3. V. I. Istrățescu, *Fixed point theory*, Mathematics and its applications, V. 7, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, Holland, 1981.
4. J. B. Kioustelidis, *Algorithmic error estimation for approximate solutions of nonlinear systems of equations*, Computing **19** (1978), 313–320.
5. C. Miranda, *Un' osservazione su un teorema di Brouwer*, Bol. Un. Mat. Ital., **3** (1940), 5–7.
6. R. E. Moore and J. B. Kioustelidis, *A simple test for accuracy of approximate solutions to nonlinear (or linear) systems*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **17** (1980), 521–529.
7. M. Nagumo, *A theory of degree of mapping based on infinitesimal analysis*, Amer. J. Math. **73** (1951), 485–496.
8. J. M. Ortega and W. C. Rheinboldt, *Iterative solution of nonlinear equations in several variables*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
9. J. T. Schwartz, *Nonlinear functional analysis*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969.
10. F. Stenger, *Computing the topological degree of a mapping in  $R^n$* , Numer. Math. **25** (1975), 23–38.
11. S. Zuhe and A. Neumaier, *A note on Moore's interval test for zeros of nonlinear systems*, Computing **40** (1988), 85–90.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PATRAS, GR-261.10 PATRAS, GREECE