

AN EASY EXAMPLE OF A 0-SPACE NOT ALMOST RIMCOMPACT

BEVERLY DIAMOND

(Communicated by Dennis Burke)

ABSTRACT. We construct an easy example of a space X which is not almost rimcompact but for which $\beta X \setminus X$ is strongly 0-dimensional.

Recall that a space X is *rimcompact* if X possesses a base of open sets with compact boundaries, and *almost rimcompact* if X has a compactification KX in which points of $KX \setminus X$ have a base of open sets of KX whose boundaries lie in X . Any rimcompact space is almost rimcompact (see, for example, VI, example 30, of [Is]); and any almost rimcompact space is clearly a 0-space, that is, has a compactification with 0-dimensional remainder. In VI, example 7, of [Is], Isbell indicates a construction involving the product of a 0-dimensional space and an ordinal space which yields an almost rimcompact space that is not rimcompact. Using different techniques, he goes on to produce a much more complicated example of a space X which is not rimcompact (or even almost rimcompact), but has a compactification KX with $KX \setminus X$ strongly 0-dimensional (that is, $\dim(KX \setminus X) = 0$). We show that a straightforward use of the easier construction can be used to produce a space X having the latter properties and with $KX = \beta X$.

Let \mathcal{R} denote a maximal almost disjoint collection of infinite subsets of the natural numbers N . The space $N \cup \mathcal{R}$ has the topology described in 5I of [GJ]; each point of N is isolated, and $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$ has an open base $\{ \{\lambda\} \cup (\lambda \setminus F) : F \text{ is a finite subset of } N \}$. The space $N \cup \mathcal{R}$ is locally compact, pseudocompact, and 0-dimensional. According to 2.1 and the concluding remarks of [Te], given any first-countable separable compact Hausdorff space T , there is a family \mathcal{R} so that $\beta(N \cup \mathcal{R}) \setminus (N \cup \mathcal{R})$ is homeomorphic to T . If we choose T to be the unit interval I , then $N \cup \mathcal{R}$ is not strongly 0-dimensional, and $N \cup \mathcal{R} \cup \{0\}$ is totally disconnected but not 0-dimensional. The subspace \mathcal{R} is discrete, so that the space $\mathcal{R} \cup \{0\}$ has only one nonisolated point; hence $\dim(\mathcal{R} \cup \{0\}) = 0$. The point 0 does not have an open neighborhood U in $\beta(N \cup \mathcal{R})$ with $\frac{1}{2} \notin U$ and $\text{bd}_{\beta(N \cup \mathcal{R})} U \cap \mathcal{R} = \emptyset$, for if such a U exists, then $[\text{bd}_{\beta(N \cup \mathcal{R})} U] \cap (N \cup \mathcal{R}) = \emptyset$, since points of N are isolated in $\beta(N \cup \mathcal{R})$.

Received by the editors February 1, 1989.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 54D40, 54G20.

©1990 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/90 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

That is, $U \cap (N \cup \mathcal{R})$ is open and closed in $N \cup \mathcal{R}$, and $cl_{\beta(N \cup \mathcal{R})}[U \cap (N \cup \mathcal{R})]$ disconnects $\beta(N \cup \mathcal{R}) \setminus (N \cup \mathcal{R})$, a contradiction.

Let $Y = \beta(N \cup \mathcal{R}) \times (\omega_1 + 1)$, and $X = Y \setminus [(\mathcal{R} \cup \{0\}) \times \{\omega_1\}]$. It follows from Theorems 1 and 4 of [Gl] and 6.7 of [GJ] that $\beta X = Y$. According to 2.8 of [Di], if X is almost rimcompact and $\beta X \setminus X$ is 0-dimensional, points of $\beta X \setminus X$ have bases in βX of open sets with boundaries in X . The point $(0, \omega_1)$ does not have such a base, since the intersections of such sets with $\beta(N \cup \mathcal{R}) \times \{\omega_1\}$ would constitute a base for $(0, \omega_1)$ in $\beta(N \cup \mathcal{R}) \times \{\omega_1\}$ which cannot exist. Then the space X has the desired properties.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to thank the American Association of University Women for financial support.

REFERENCES

- [Di] B. Diamond, *Almost rimcompact spaces*, Topology Appl. **25** (1987), 81–91.
- [GJ] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.
- [Gl] I. Glicksberg, *Stone-Cech compactifications of products*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **90** (1959), 369–382.
- [Is] J. R. Isbell, *Uniform spaces*, Math. Surveys Monogr. **12** (1962).
- [Te] J. Terasawa, *Spaces $N \cup \mathcal{R}$ and their dimensions*, Topology Appl. **11** (1980), 93–102.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF CHARLESTON, CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA
29424