

**NOTE ON "THE LOGICALLY SIMPLEST
 FORM OF THE INFINITY AXIOM"**

FRANCO PARLAMENTO AND ALBERTO POLICRITI

(Communicated by Andreas R. Blass)

In [1] it has been proved that if two sets a and b satisfy the following formula φ :

$$\begin{aligned} & a \neq b \wedge a \notin b \wedge b \notin a \wedge \\ & (\forall x \in a)(\forall u \in x)(u \in b) \wedge (\forall x \in b)(\forall u \in x)(u \in a) \wedge (\forall x \in a)(x \notin b) \wedge \\ & (\forall x, y \in a)(\forall z, w \in b)(z \in x \wedge x \in w \wedge w \in y \rightarrow z \in y) \wedge \\ & (\forall x, y \in b)(\forall z, w \in a)(z \in x \wedge x \in w \wedge w \in y \rightarrow z \in y), \end{aligned}$$

then either $\omega' \subseteq a$ and $\omega'' \subseteq b$, or $\omega' \subseteq b$ and $\omega'' \subseteq a$, where $\omega' = \{f_n : n \in \omega\}$, $\omega'' = \{g_n : n \in \omega\}$, $f_0 = \emptyset$, $g_n = \{f_0, \dots, f_n\}$, and $f_{n+1} = \{g_0, \dots, g_n\}$.

Since φ is satisfied by ω' and ω'' , φ is an example of a restricted purely universal formula which is satisfiable but not finitely satisfiable.

On the other hand, the conditions

- (a) $a \neq b$,
- (b) $(\forall x \in a)(x \notin b)$,
- (c) $(\forall x, y \in a)(\forall z, w \in b)(z \in x \wedge x \in w \wedge w \in y \rightarrow z \in y)$,
- (d) $(\forall x, y \in b)(\forall z, w \in a)(z \in x \wedge x \in w \wedge w \in y \rightarrow z \in y)$,

are implied, assuming the axiom of foundation, by the following formula ψ :

$$\begin{aligned} & a \neq \emptyset \wedge b \neq \emptyset \wedge a \notin b \wedge b \notin a \wedge \\ & (\forall x \in a)(\forall u \in x)(u \in b) \wedge (\forall x \in b)(\forall u \in x)(u \in a) \wedge \\ & (\forall x \in a)(\forall y \in b)(x \in y \vee y \in x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the formula $(\exists a, b)\psi(a, b)$ also provides a formulation of the infinity axiom. Note, however, that the two formulae are *not* satisfied by the same pairs of objects; for example, the pair $\{\omega'\} \cup \omega'$, $\{\omega''\} \cup \omega''$ satisfies φ but certainly does not satisfy ψ , since neither $\omega' \in \omega''$ nor $\omega'' \in \omega'$ holds, and the last conjunct in ψ is not satisfied.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We wish to thank A. Ferro for the previous observation.

Received by the editors January 30, 1989, and in revised form May 1, 1989.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 03E30; Secondary 03B25.

REFERENCES

1. F. Parlamento and A. Policriti, *The logically simplest form of the infinity axiom*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988).

Current address (Franco Parlamento): DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI UDINE, 31100 UDINE, ITALY

Current address (Alberto Policriti): DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, COURANT INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 10012