

## DIEUDONNÉ-SCHWARTZ THEOREM IN INDUCTIVE LIMITS OF METRIZABLE SPACES II

QIU JING HUI

(Communicated by William J. Davis)

**ABSTRACT.** The Dieudonné-Schwartz Theorem for bounded sets in strict inductive limits does not hold for general inductive limits  $E = \text{indlim } E_n$ . It does if all the  $E_n$  are Fréchet spaces and for any  $n \in N$  there is  $m(n) \in N$  such that  $\overline{E_n}^{E_p} \subset E_{m(n)}$  for all  $p \geq m(n)$ . A counterexample shows that this condition is not necessary. When  $E$  is a strict inductive limit of metrizable spaces  $E_n$ , this condition is equivalent to the condition that each bounded set in  $E$  is contained and bounded in some  $(E_n, \xi_n)$ . Also, some interesting results for bounded sets in inductive limits of Fréchet spaces are given.

Let  $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots$  be a sequence of locally convex spaces and  $(E, \xi) = \text{indlim}(E_n, \xi_n)$  their inductive limit with respect to the continuous identity maps  $\text{id}: (E_n, \xi_n) \rightarrow (E_{n+1}, \xi_{n+1})$ . The Dieudonné-Schwartz Theorem [1, Chapter 2, §12] states that a set  $B \subset E$  is  $\xi$ -bounded if and only if it is contained and bounded in some  $(E_n, \xi_n)$ , provided that  $(E, \xi) = \text{indlim}(E_n, \xi_n)$  is a strict inductive limit and each  $E_n$  is closed in  $(E_{n+1}, \xi_{n+1})$ . In [2]–[5], it has been extended to inductive limits. For brevity, denote by

(DS) each set  $B$  bounded in  $(E, \xi)$  is contained in some  $E_n$ ;

(DST) each set  $B$  bounded in  $(E, \xi)$  is contained and bounded in some  $(E_n, \xi_n)$ .

1. It was proved in [5] that if all  $(E_n, \xi_n)$  are Fréchet spaces and for any  $n \in N$  there is  $m(n) \in N$  such that  $\overline{E_n}^E \subset E_{m(n)}$  then (DST) holds, where  $\overline{E_n}^E$  is the closure of  $E_n$  in  $(E, \xi)$ ; see [5, Theorem 1]. Since the closure of  $E_n$  in  $(E, \xi)$  may be difficult to construct, the assumption  $\overline{E_n}^E \subset E_{m(n)}$  is not practical. This situation is remedied in the following.

**Theorem 1.** *Let all  $(E_n, \xi_n)$  be Fréchet spaces. Then (DST) holds provided that for any  $n \in N$  there is  $m(n) \in N$  such that  $\overline{E_n}^{E_p} \subset E_{m(n)}$  for all  $p \geq m(n)$ , where  $\overline{E_n}^{E_p}$  is the closure of  $E_n$  in  $(E_p, \xi_p)$ .*

---

Received by the editors June 15, 1988 and, in revised form, April 30, 1989.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 46A05.

*Key words and phrases.* Locally convex spaces, (strict) inductive limit, bounded set.

*Proof.* Assume that  $\overline{E}_n^{E_p} \subset E_{m(n)}$  for any  $p \geq m(n)$ . Then  $\overline{E}_n^{E_p}$  is a closed subspace of the Fréchet space  $(E_p, \xi_p)$ , so  $(\overline{E}_n^{E_p}, \xi_p | \overline{E}_n^{E_p})$  is a Fréchet space. Since  $\overline{E}_n^{E_p} \subset E_{m(n)}$  and the topology  $\xi_p | E_{m(n)}$  is weaker than  $\xi_{m(n)}$ ,  $\overline{E}_n^{E_p}$  is a closed subspace of the Fréchet space  $(E_{m(n)}, \xi_{m(n)})$ . Hence  $(\overline{E}_n^{E_p}, \xi_{m(n)} | \overline{E}_n^{E_p})$  is a Fréchet space too. By the open mapping theorem,  $(\overline{E}_n^{E_p}, \xi_{m(n)} | \overline{E}_n^{E_p}) = (\overline{E}_n^{E_p}, \xi_p | \overline{E}_n^{E_p})$ . Remark that  $\overline{E}_n^{E_{m(n)}}$  is closed in  $(\overline{E}_n^{E_p}, \xi_{m(n)} | \overline{E}_n^{E_p})$  and dense in  $(\overline{E}_n^{E_p}, \xi_p | \overline{E}_n^{E_p}) = (\overline{E}_n^{E_p}, \xi_{m(n)} | \overline{E}_n^{E_p})$ , we have  $\overline{E}_n^{E_{m(n)}} = \overline{E}_n^{E_p}$ . Thus  $\overline{E}_n^{E_{m(n)}}$  is  $\xi_p$ -closed for any  $p \geq m(n)$ . Let  $(F_n, \eta_n) = (\overline{E}_n^{E_{m(n)}}, \xi_{m(n)} | \overline{E}_n^{E_{m(n)}})$ , then  $(F_n, \eta_n)$  is a Fréchet space and  $F_n$  is  $\xi_p$ -closed for any  $p \geq m(n)$ . Particularly,  $F_n$  is  $\xi_{m(n+1)}$ -closed, and  $F_n$  is closed in  $(\overline{E}_{n+1}^{E_{m(n+1)}}, \xi_{m(n+1)} | \overline{E}_{n+1}^{E_{m(n+1)}}) = (F_{n+1}, \eta_{n+1})$ . Let  $(F, \eta) = \text{ind lim}(F_n, \eta_n)$ . Then all  $(F_n, \eta_n)$  are Fréchet spaces and each  $F_n$  is closed in  $(F_{n+1}, \eta_{n+1})$ . It follows from the Dieudonné-Schwartz Theorem that (DST) holds for  $(F, \eta) = \text{ind lim}(F_n, \eta_n)$ . Evidently,  $(E, \xi) = (F, \eta)$ ; [see 6, Chapter V, Supplement (3)]. Thus a set  $B \subset E$  is  $\xi$ -bounded if and only if it is  $\eta$ -bounded if and only if it is contained and bounded in some  $(F_n, \eta_n)$ , namely,  $B$  is contained and bounded in some  $(E_m, \xi_m)$ . Hence (DST) holds for  $(E, \xi) = \text{ind lim}(E_n, \xi_n)$ .

**Corollary 1.** *Let all  $(E_n, \xi_n)$  be Fréchet spaces. Then (DST) holds provided that for any  $n \in N$  there is  $m(n) \in N$  such that for each  $p \geq m(n)$ , there is a neighborhood  $U_p$  of 0 in  $(E_p, \xi_p)$  satisfying  $(\overline{U_p} \cap \overline{E}_n)^{E_p} \subset E_{m(n)}$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $U_p$  is an open absolutely convex neighborhood of 0 in  $(E_p, \xi_p)$ . Let  $x \in U_p \cap \overline{E}_n^{E_p}$ , then there is a sequence  $\{x_i\} \subset E_n$  such that  $x_i \xrightarrow{i} x$  in  $(E_p, \xi_p)$ . Since  $U_p$  is  $\xi_p$ -open and  $x \in U_p$ , there is an index  $i_0$  such that  $x_i \in U_p$  for any  $i \geq i_0$ . Thus  $x_i \in U_p \cap E_n$  for any  $i \geq i_0$  and  $x_i \xrightarrow{i} x$  in  $(E_p, \xi_p)$ , so  $x \in (\overline{U_p} \cap \overline{E}_n)^{E_p}$ . Namely  $U_p \cap \overline{E}_n^{E_p} \subset (\overline{U_p} \cap \overline{E}_n)^{E_p}$ . By the hypotheses,  $(\overline{U_p} \cap \overline{E}_n)^{E_p} \subset E_{m(n)}$ , so  $U_p \cap \overline{E}_n^{E_p} \subset E_{m(n)}$ . Thus  $\overline{E}_n^{E_p} = E_p \cap \overline{E}_n^{E_p} = (\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} kU_p) \cap \overline{E}_n^{E_p} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} k(U_p \cap \overline{E}_n^{E_p}) \subset E_{m(n)}$ . By Theorem 1, (DST) holds.

**Corollary 2.** *Let all  $(E_n, \xi_n)$  be Fréchet spaces. Then (DST) holds provided that for any  $n \in N$  there is  $m(n) \in N$  such that  $\overline{E}_n^F \subset E_{m(n)}$ , where  $\overline{E}_n^F$  is the quasi-closure [7, p. 296] of  $E_n$  in  $(E, \xi)$ .*

**Counterexample.** Put  $W(x) = \sqrt{1+x^2}$ ,  $x \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ , and  $E_n = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}): \|f\|_n^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |W^{-n} f|^2 dx < +\infty\}$ . The norm  $\|\cdot\|_n$  makes  $E_n$  into a Hilbert space. Let  $\mathcal{D}[-n, n] = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}): \text{supp } f \subset [-n, n]\}$  and  $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}[-n, n]$ , then  $\mathcal{D}$  is dense in each  $E_n$ , so we have  $E_{n+p} = \overline{\mathcal{D}}^{E_{n+p}} \subset \overline{E}_n^{E_{n+p}}$

and the hypothesis in Theorem 1 does not hold. But, by Theorem 4 in [3], (DST) holds.

The counterexample shows that the hypothesis in Theorem 1 is not a necessary condition for (DST). However, for strict inductive limits of metrizable spaces, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(E, \xi) = \text{ind lim}(E_n, \xi_n)$  be a strict inductive limit of metrizable spaces. Then (DST) holds if and only if for any  $n \in N$  there is  $m(n) \in N$  such that  $\overline{E}_n^{E_p} \subset E_{m(n)}$  for all  $p \geq m(n)$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2 in [5], if (DST) holds then for any  $n \in N$  there is  $m(n) \in N$  such that  $\overline{E}_n^E \subset E_{m(n)}$ . Since  $\overline{E}_n^{E_p} \subset \overline{E}_n^E$  for all  $p \geq m(n)$ , the necessity is proved.

Conversely, assume that the condition is satisfied and there is a set  $B$  bounded in  $(E, \xi)$  which is not contained in any  $E_n$ . Choose a sequence  $1 = n_1 \leq m(n_1) < n_2 \leq m(n_2) < n_3 \leq m(n_3) < n_4 \leq \dots$  such that  $\overline{E}_{n_k}^{E_p} \subset E_{m(n_k)} \subset E_{n_{k+1}}$  and  $b_k \in B \cap (E_{n_{k+1}} \setminus \overline{E}_{n_k}^{E_p})$  for all  $p \geq m(n_k)$ ,  $k \in N$ . Since  $(1/k)b_k \in E_{n_{k+1}} \setminus \overline{E}_{n_k}^{E_{n_{k+1}}}$  and  $(E_{n_k}, \xi_{n_k})$  is a topological subspace of  $(E_{n_{k+1}}, \xi_{n_{k+1}})$ , there is an absolutely convex neighborhood  $U_{n_k}$  of 0 in  $(E_{n_k}, \xi_{n_k})$  such that  $U_{n_{k+1}} \cap E_{n_k} = U_{n_k}$  and  $(1/k)b_k \notin U_{n_{k+1}}$ ; see [8, Lemma 13-3-2]. Put  $U = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} U_{n_k}$ , then  $U$  is a neighborhood of 0 in  $(E, \xi)$  which does not contain any  $(1/k)b_k$ , a contradiction. Thus  $B$  is contained in some  $E_n$  and (DS) holds. Since  $\xi|_{E_n} = (E_n, \xi_n)$  for any  $n \in N$ , we have (DST) holds.

2. Next we shall give some interesting results for bounded sets in inductive limits of Fréchet spaces.

An absolutely convex subset  $B$  of a locally convex space is called a barrelled disk (respectively, Banach disk) if  $\text{sp}[B]$  with the gauge of  $B$  is a barrelled space (respectively, Banach space). Obviously each Banach disk is a barrelled disk. But the converse is not true.

**Theorem 3.** *Let all  $(E_n, \xi_n)$  be Fréchet spaces and a set  $B$  contained and closed in some  $(E_n, \xi_n)$ . If  $B$  is a barrelled disk (or Banach disk) then  $B$  is bounded in  $(E_n, \xi_n)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U_n^{(1)} \supset U_n^{(2)} \supset U_n^{(3)} \supset \dots$  be a base of closed absolutely convex neighborhoods of 0 in  $(E_n, \xi_n)$ . Put  $E_B = \text{sp}[B]$ , then  $E_B \subset E_n$ . The collection of all sets  $(B/2^{k-1}) \cap U_n^{(k)}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , forms a base of neighborhoods of 0 for some locally convex topology on  $E_B$ . We denote the topology on  $E_B$  by  $\eta$ . Obviously  $(E_B, \eta)$  is metrizable. Let  $\{x_i\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(E_B, \eta)$ , then  $\{x_i\}$  is also a Cauchy sequence in  $(E_n, \xi_n)$ . Since  $(E_n, \xi_n)$  is complete, there is  $x_0 \in E_n$  such that  $x_i \xrightarrow{i} x_0$  in  $(E_n, \xi_n)$ . For any fixed  $k \in N$ , there is  $i_k \in N$  such that  $x_i - x_j \in (B/2^{k-1}) \cap U_n^{(k)}$  for  $i, j \geq i_k$ .

Since  $(B/2^{k-1} \cap U_n^{(k)})$  is closed in  $(E_n, \xi_n)$  and  $x_j \xrightarrow{j} x_0$  in  $(E_n, \xi_n)$ , we have  $x_i - x_0 \in (B/2^{k-1}) \cap U_n^{(k)}$  for  $i \geq i_k$ . Thus  $x_i \xrightarrow{i} x_0$  in  $(E_B, \eta)$ . Hence  $(E_B, \eta)$  is a Fréchet space. Suppose that  $p_B$  is the gauge of  $B$ , then  $(E_B, p_B)$  is a barrelled space. Evidently the identity mapping  $\text{id}: (E_B, \eta) \rightarrow (E_B, p_B)$  is continuous. By the open mapping theorem,  $\text{id}$  is open. Hence for each  $k \in N$ , there is  $\lambda_k > 0$  such that  $\lambda_k B \subset (B/2^{k-1}) \cap U_n^{(k)} \subset U_n^{(k)}$ . Namely  $B$  is absorbed by each  $U_n^{(k)}$ , so  $B$  is bounded in  $(E_n, \xi_n)$ . This completes the proof.

Let  $(E, \xi) = \text{ind lim}(E_n, \xi_n)$  be an inductive limit of locally convex spaces and a set  $B$  contained in some  $E_n$ . If  $B$  is  $\xi_n$ -bounded then  $B$  is  $\xi_m$ -bounded for any  $m > n$ . However  $B$   $\xi_m$ -bounded (for some  $m > n$ ) does not imply  $B$   $\xi_n$ -bounded because the topology  $\xi_n$  is stronger than  $\xi_m|E_n$ .

Using Theorem 3, we obtain the following two elegant results.

**Theorem 4.** *Let all  $(E_n, \xi_n)$  be Fréchet spaces and an absolutely convex set  $B$  contained in  $E_n$ . If there is  $m > n$  such that  $B$  is bounded and closed in  $(E_m, \xi_m)$ , then  $B$  is bounded and closed in  $(E_n, \xi_n)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $B$  is closed in  $(E_m, \xi_m)$  and the topology  $\xi_n$  is stronger than  $\xi_m|E_n$ ,  $B$  is closed in  $(E_n, \xi_n)$ . By the hypothesis, there is  $m > n$  such that  $B$  is bounded and closed in the Fréchet space  $(E_m, \xi_m)$ , so  $B$  is a Banach disk. By Theorem 3,  $B$  is bounded in  $(E_n, \xi_n)$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Let all  $(E_n, \xi_n)$  be Fréchet spaces and (DST) hold. If an absolutely convex set  $B \subset E_n$  is bounded and closed in  $(E, \xi)$ , then  $B$  is bounded and closed in  $(E_n, \xi_n)$ .*

*Proof.* Since (DST) holds,  $B$  is bounded in  $(E_m, \xi_m)$  for some  $m \geq n$ . By the hypotheses,  $B$  is  $\xi$ -closed, hence also  $\xi_m$ -closed. By Theorem 4,  $B$  is bounded and closed in  $(E_n, \xi_n)$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to thank the referee for his helpful suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Horváth, *Topological vector spaces and distributions*, Vol. 1, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1966.
2. J. Kucera and K. McKennon, *Bounded sets in inductive limits*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1978), 62-64.
3. —, *Dieudonné-Schwartz theorem on bounded sets in inductive limits*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1980), 366-368.
4. J. Kucera and C. Bosch, *Dieudonné-Schwartz theorem on bounded sets in inductive limits II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **86** (1982), 392-394.
5. Qiu Jing Hui, *Dieudonné-Schwartz theorem in inductive limits of metrizable spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **92** (1984), 255-257.
6. A. P. Robertson and W. J. Robertson, *Topological vector spaces*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1964.

7. G. Köthe, *Topological vector spaces I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1983.
8. A. Wilansky, *Modern methods in topological vector spaces*, Blaisdell, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUZHOU UNIVERSITY, SUZHOU, JIANGSU, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*Current address:* Beijing University of Iron & Steel Technology, Room 404, Building No. 10, Beijing, People's Republic of China