

## HARMONIC FUNCTIONS HAVING NO TANGENTIAL LIMITS

HIROAKI AIKAWA

(Communicated by Irwin Kra)

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $C_0$  be a tangential curve in  $D = \{|z| < 1\}$  which ends at 1 and let  $C_\theta$  be its rotation about the origin through an angle  $\theta$ . We construct a bounded harmonic function in  $D$  which fails to have limits along  $C_\theta$  for all  $\theta$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Fatou theorem asserts that if  $f$  is a bounded holomorphic function in the unit disc  $D = \{|z| < 1\}$ , then  $f$  has nontangential boundary values at almost every point on the unit circle  $\partial D = \{|z| = 1\}$ . The Fatou theorem has been generalized in several directions; a bounded (or more generally, positive) harmonic function in  $D$  has nontangential boundary values at almost every point on  $\partial D$ . In 1926, Littlewood [3] showed that the Fatou theorem is best possible in the following sense: Let  $C_0$  be an arbitrary tangential curve in  $D$  which ends at  $z = 1$  and let  $C_\theta$  be the curve  $C_0$  rotated about the origin through an angle  $\theta$ , so that  $C_\theta$  touches  $\partial D$  internally at  $e^{i\theta}$ .

**Theorem A.** *There exists a bounded harmonic function  $h$  in  $D$  such that*

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1, z \in C_\theta} h(z)$$

*does not exist for almost all  $\theta$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ . In particular, there exists a bounded holomorphic function  $f$  in  $D$  such that*

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1, z \in C_\theta} f(z)$$

*does not exist for almost all  $\theta$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ .*

The second half of Theorem A, in fact, readily follows from the first; if  $h^*$  is a harmonic conjugate function of  $h$ , then  $\exp(h + ih^*)$  is a bounded outer function in  $D$  with the property holding for almost all  $\theta$ . In 1957, Lohwater and Piranian [4] (see also Collingwood and Lohwater [2; Theorem 2.22]) improved the second half of Theorem A by giving the next theorem.

---

Received by the editors September 26, 1988 and, in revised form, April 11, 1989.  
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 31A20, 30D40.  
*Key words and phrases.* Fatou theorem, harmonic functions, tangential limits.

©1990 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/90 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

**Theorem B.** *There exists a Blaschke product  $B$  in  $D$  such that*

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1, z \in C_\theta} B(z)$$

*does not exist for all  $\theta$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ .*

Since the logarithm of the modulus of a Blaschke product is a Green potential of a measure distributed on the zeros of the Blaschke product, we may, from the potential theoretical point of view, regard Theorem B as the existence of a Green potential which fails to have limits along  $C_\theta$  for all  $\theta$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ . The existence of a bounded harmonic function with the property holding for all  $\theta$ , however, seems to be unknown. In 1980, Barth (see [1; p. 551]) raised a somewhat weaker question. Does there exist a *positive* harmonic function which fails to have limits along  $C_\theta$  for all  $\theta$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ ?

The purpose of this paper is to show

**Theorem.** *There exists a bounded harmonic function  $h$  in  $D$  such that*

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1, z \in C_\theta} h(z)$$

*does not exist for all  $\theta$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ . In particular, if  $h^*$  is a harmonic conjugate function of  $h$ , then  $\exp(h + ih^*)$  is a bounded outer function in  $D$  which fails to have limits along  $C_\theta$  for all  $\theta$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ .*

Obviously, the above theorem automatically answers Barth's question in the affirmative. However, we note that Barth's question itself can be solved more easily. We shall, in fact, find a positive *unbounded* harmonic function with the required property (see Remark in §3).

## 2. LEMMAS

For an integrable function  $f$  on  $[0, 2\pi]$  we let

$$\text{PI}(f, z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|z - e^{i\varphi}|^2} f(\varphi) d\varphi$$

be the Poisson integral of  $f$ . We identify the left end 0 and the right end  $2\pi$  of the interval  $[0, 2\pi]$ . If an interval  $[\theta_1, \theta_2]$  is not contained in  $[0, 2\pi]$ , we replace it by

$$(*) \quad \left\{ \Theta = \theta - 2n\pi; \theta_1 \leq \theta \leq \theta_2 \text{ and } n = \left[ \frac{\theta}{2\pi} \right] \right\}.$$

Here  $[\cdot]$  denotes the greatest integer function. We shall continue to refer to sets defined by  $(*)$  as intervals.

Let us begin with some elementary estimates of the Poisson integrals.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $m > \pi/2$ ,  $0 < c < 1$  and  $\eta \in [0, 2\pi]$ . Suppose  $f$  is measurable on  $[0, 2\pi]$ ,  $|f| \leq 1$  on  $[0, 2\pi]$  and  $f(\varphi) = 0$  for  $|\varphi - \eta| < mc$ . Then*

$$|\text{PI}(f, re^{i\eta})| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \frac{2}{\pi} - \frac{1}{m} \right)^{-2} m^{-1} \quad \text{for } 1 - c \leq r < 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $1 - c \leq r < 1$ . We observe that if  $mc \leq |\varphi - \eta| \leq \pi$ , then

$$|re^{i\eta} - e^{i\varphi}| \geq |e^{i\varphi} - e^{i\eta}| - (1 - r) \geq 2 \left| \sin \frac{\varphi - \eta}{2} \right| - \frac{1}{m} |\varphi - \eta| \geq \left( \frac{2}{\pi} - \frac{1}{m} \right) |\varphi - \eta|.$$

Hence

$$|\text{PI}(f, re^{i\eta})| \leq \frac{1 - r^2}{2\pi} \left( \frac{2}{\pi} - \frac{1}{m} \right)^{-2} \int_{|\varphi - \eta| \geq mc} \frac{d\varphi}{|\varphi - \eta|^2},$$

which implies the desired inequality.

**Lemma 2** (cf. [3; Lemma 2]). *Let  $m_1 > \pi/2$  be a constant such that*

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \left( \frac{2}{\pi} - \frac{1}{m_1} \right)^{-2} m_1^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

*Let  $0 < c < 1$  and  $\eta \in [0, 2\pi]$ . Suppose  $f$  is measurable on  $[0, 2\pi]$ ,  $|f| \leq 1$  on  $[0, 2\pi]$  and  $f(\varphi) = 1$  for  $|\varphi - \eta| < m_1 c$ . Then*

$$\text{PI}(f, re^{i\eta}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } 1 - c \leq r < 1.$$

*Proof.* We write  $\text{PI}(f, re^{i\eta}) = 1 + 2\text{PI}(\frac{1}{2}(f - 1), re^{i\eta})$  and apply Lemma 1 to  $\frac{1}{2}(f - 1)$  to obtain

$$\text{PI}(f, re^{i\eta}) \geq 1 - 2 \cdot \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \frac{2}{\pi} - \frac{1}{m_1} \right)^{-2} m_1^{-1} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}$  and  $0 < c < 1$ . Suppose  $f$  is measurable on  $[0, 2\pi]$ ,  $|f| \leq 1$  on  $[0, 2\pi]$  and*

$$(1) \quad c^{-1} \int_{|\varphi - \eta| < c} |f(\varphi)| d\varphi \leq \varepsilon$$

*for all  $\eta \in [0, 2\pi]$ . Then*

$$\sup_{|z| \leq 1 - c} |\text{PI}(f, z)| \leq m_2 \sqrt{\varepsilon},$$

*where  $m_2 = 2/\pi + (2/\pi)(2/\pi - 1/2)^{-2}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $r = 1 - c$  and take an arbitrary point  $re^{i\eta}$  on the circle  $\{|z| = r\}$ . We decompose  $f$  into  $f_1 + f_2$ , where  $f_1 = f\chi_{|\varphi - \eta| < c/\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{PI}(f_1, re^{i\eta})| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|\varphi - \eta| < c/\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \frac{1 - r^2}{(r - 1)^2} |f(\varphi)| d\varphi \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{2}{c} \cdot c\varepsilon(1 + [1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}]) \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $[1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}]$  stands for the greatest integer not greater than  $1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ . Here the second inequality follows from (1) and the fact that the interval  $\{|\varphi - \eta| < c/\sqrt{\varepsilon}\}$

of length  $2c/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$  is covered by at most  $1 + [1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}]$  intervals of length  $2c$ . Applying Lemma 1 to  $f = f_2$  and  $m = 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ , we obtain

$$|\text{PI}(f_2, re^{i\eta})| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \frac{2}{\pi} - \sqrt{\varepsilon} \right)^{-2} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \frac{2}{\pi} - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-2} \sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Therefore  $|\text{PI}(f, z)| \leq m_2\sqrt{\varepsilon}$  on the circle  $\{|z| = 1 - c\}$ , and hence the maximum principle proves the lemma.

We define the set valued mapping  $T$  from  $D$  to  $[0, 2\pi]$  by

$$Tz = \{\theta; z \in C_\theta\}.$$

If none of  $C_\theta$  contains  $z$ , then  $Tz = \emptyset$ . Let  $M$  be a subset of  $D$ . We observe that  $T(M) = [0, 2\pi]$  if and only if every curve  $C_\theta$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ , meets the set  $M$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a subcurve of  $C_0$  and let  $\gamma_\theta$  be its rotation about the origin through  $\theta$ . Therefore  $\gamma = \gamma_0$ . We define the set valued mapping  $T_\gamma$  by

$$T_\gamma z = \{\theta; z \in \gamma_\theta\}.$$

Obviously,  $T_\gamma z \subset Tz$ . We denote by  $\gamma^*$  the radial projection of  $\gamma$  onto  $\partial D$ . It follows from the connectedness of  $\gamma$  that  $\gamma^*$  is a circular interval on  $\partial D$  or a singleton set. If  $\gamma$  contains both the end points, then  $\gamma^*$  is a closed circular interval or a singleton set. We denote by  $l(\gamma^*)$  the length of  $\gamma^*$ . Note that the curve  $\gamma$  itself may not be rectifiable.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\gamma$  be a subcurve of  $C_0$  connecting  $ae^{i\alpha}$  and  $be^{i\beta}$ ,  $0 < a < b \leq 1$ , such that if  $z \in \gamma$ , then  $a \leq |z| \leq b$ . Put  $M(\eta) = \{re^{i\eta}; a \leq r \leq b\}$ . Then  $T_\gamma(M(\eta))$  is a closed interval of length  $l(\gamma^*)$ . More precisely, if  $\gamma^* = \{e^{i\theta}; \theta_1 \leq \theta \leq \theta_2\}$ , then  $T_\gamma(M(\eta)) = [\eta - \theta_2, \eta - \theta_1]$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\gamma^* = \{e^{i\theta}; \theta_1 \leq \theta \leq \theta_2\}$ . For each  $\theta \in [\theta_1, \theta_2]$  we find  $r_\theta$ ,  $a \leq r_\theta \leq b$ , such that  $r_\theta e^{i\theta} \in \gamma$ , or equivalently  $r_\theta e^{i\eta} \in \gamma_{\eta-\theta}$ . Hence  $\eta - \theta \in T_\gamma(M(\eta))$ , so that  $[\eta - \theta_2, \eta - \theta_1] \subset T_\gamma(M(\eta))$ . Conversely, if  $\theta \in T_\gamma(M(\eta))$ , then there is  $r_\theta$ ,  $a \leq r_\theta \leq b$ , such that  $r_\theta e^{i\eta} \in \gamma_\theta$ . Hence  $r_\theta e^{i(\eta-\theta)} \in \gamma$ , so that  $\theta_1 \leq \eta - \theta \leq \theta_2$ , or equivalently  $\theta \in [\eta - \theta_2, \eta - \theta_1]$ . Therefore  $T_\gamma(M(\eta)) \subset [\eta - \theta_2, \eta - \theta_1]$ .

Since  $C_0$  is tangential, it follows that  $C_0$  eventually lies outside any Stoltz region with vertex at the point 1, so that

$$\frac{l(C_0(z)^*)}{1 - |z|} \rightarrow \infty$$

as  $z \in C_0$  tends to 1, where  $C_0(z)$  is the subcurve of  $C_0$  that connects the points  $z$  and 1. We have from this fact

**Lemma 5.** *For each  $m > 1$  we can choose a sequence of subcurves  $\gamma_j$  of  $C_0$  with the following properties:*

- (i)  $\gamma_j$  connects  $a_j e^{i\alpha_j}$  and  $b_j e^{i\beta_j}$ .

- (ii) If  $z \in \gamma_j$ , then  $a_j \leq |z| \leq b_j$ .
- (iii)  $l(\gamma_j^*) > j(1 - a_j)$ .
- (iv)  $0 < 1 - b_j < 1 - a_j < (1 - b_{j-1})/m < (1 - a_{j-1})/m$ .

*Proof.* We shall choose  $\gamma_j$  inductively. Assume we have already gotten  $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{j-1}$  with the properties (i)–(iv). By the observation before the lemma we can take  $a_j$  such that

$$0 < 1 - a_j < (1 - b_{j-1})/m, \quad l(\gamma_j'^*) > 2j(1 - a_j),$$

where  $\gamma_j'$  is the subcurve of  $C_0$  that connects the point 1 and the point  $a_j e^{i\alpha_j}$  at which  $C_0$  meets the circle  $\{|z| = a_j\}$  for the last time. Let  $\gamma_j''$  be a subcurve of  $\gamma_j'$  which connects  $a_j e^{i\alpha_j}$  and a point near 1 such that  $l(\gamma_j''^*) > \frac{1}{2}l(\gamma_j'^*)$ . Now take  $b_j$  such that

$$\sup_{z \in \gamma_j''} |z| < b_j < 1.$$

Let  $\gamma_j$  be the subcurve of  $C_0$  that connects  $a_j e^{i\alpha_j}$  and the point  $b_j e^{i\beta_j}$  at which  $C_0$  meets the circle  $\{|z| = b_j\}$  for the first time. Then we see that  $0 < 1 - b_j < 1 - a_j$  and that  $a_j \leq |z| \leq b_j$  for  $z \in \gamma_j$ . Moreover  $\gamma_j''$  is included in  $\gamma_j$ , so that

$$l(\gamma_j^*) > j(1 - a_j).$$

Thus we can choose a sequence of subcurves  $\gamma_j$  with the properties (i)–(iv).

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM

*Proof of theorem.* For  $m = m_1$ , the constant appearing in Lemma 2, we choose a sequence of subcurves  $\gamma_j$  as in Lemma 5. For a moment we fix  $j$ . Let  $a_j$  and  $b_j$  be as in Lemma 5. By  $M_j(\eta)$  we denote the radial line segment  $\{re^{i\eta}; a_j \leq r \leq b_j\}$ . We obtain from Lemma 4 applied to  $\gamma = \gamma_j$  and  $M(\eta) = M_j(\eta)$  that  $T_{\gamma_j}(M_j(\eta))$  is a closed interval of length  $l(\gamma_j^*)$ , where we recall that  $T_{\gamma_j}$  is the set valued mapping defined before Lemma 4. Let  $N$  be the integer such that

$$(2) \quad 2\pi/l(\gamma_j^*) \leq N < 1 + 2\pi/l(\gamma_j^*)$$

and let  $\eta_k = 2\pi k/N$ . Since  $|\eta_k - \eta_{k+1}| \leq l(\gamma_j^*)$ , it follows that

$$[0, 2\pi] = \bigcup_{k=1}^N T_{\gamma_j}(M_j(\eta_k)),$$

so that  $M_j = \bigcup_{k=1}^N M_j(\eta_k)$  satisfies  $T(M_j) = [0, 2\pi]$  by the fact that  $T_{\gamma_j} z \subset Tz$  for  $z \in D$ . Let

$$I_k = [\eta_k - m_1(1 - a_j), \eta_k + m_1(1 - a_j)],$$

$$E_j = \bigcup_{k=1}^N I_k,$$

$$g_j = \chi_{E_j}.$$

Secondly, we vary the index  $j$  and extract a subsequence of  $\gamma_j$  satisfying the following (3) and (4). We see from (2) that  $|E_j| = 2m_1(1 - a_j)N \leq 2m_1(1 - a_j) \cdot 4\pi/l(\gamma_j^*)$ , so that  $|E_j|$  tends to 0 by (iii) of Lemma 5. Hence, taking a subsequence of  $\gamma_j$  if necessary, we may assume that

$$(3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |E_j| < \infty.$$

We claim that  $g_j$  satisfies an inequality of type (1). We observe from (2) that an interval of length  $4(1 - b_{j-1})$  in  $[0, 2\pi]$  contains at most  $\nu$  points  $\eta_k$ , where

$$\nu \leq 1 + \frac{4(1 - b_{j-1})}{2\pi/N} \leq \frac{4}{\pi}(1 - b_{j-1}) \left( 1 + \frac{2\pi}{l(\gamma_j^*)} \right) \leq 16 \frac{1 - b_{j-1}}{l(\gamma_j^*)}.$$

The length of  $I_k$  is equal to  $2m_1(1 - a_j) < 2(1 - b_{j-1})$  by (iv) of Lemma 5. Hence if  $I$  is an interval of length  $2(1 - b_{j-1})$  in  $[0, 2\pi]$ , then the number of  $I_k$  which intersect  $I$  is bounded by  $16(1 - b_{j-1})/l(\gamma_j^*)$ . Therefore  $g_j$  satisfies

$$\frac{1}{1 - b_{j-1}} \int_{|\varphi - \eta| < 1 - b_{j-1}} g_j(\varphi) d\varphi \leq 32m_1 \frac{1 - a_j}{l(\gamma_j^*)}$$

for all  $\eta \in [0, 2\pi]$ . Since the right hand side tends to 0 by (iii) of Lemma 5, it follows from Lemma 3 with  $f = g_j$  and  $c = 1 - b_{j-1}$  that

$$\sup_{|z| \leq b_{j-1}} \text{PI}(g_j, z) \leq m_2 \sqrt{32m_1} \sqrt{(1 - a_j)/l(\gamma_j^*)}$$

for sufficiently large  $j$ . Hence, taking a subsequence of  $\gamma_j$  if necessary, we may assume that

$$(4) \quad \sup_{|z| \leq b_{j-1}} \text{PI}(g_j, z) \leq 9^{-j}.$$

Thirdly, we form two sequences  $\{F_j\}$  and  $\{G_j\}$  of sets inductively. Let  $F_1 = \emptyset$ ,  $G_1 = E_1$  and let

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2j} &= F_{2j-1} \cup E_{2j}, & G_{2j} &= G_{2j-1} \setminus E_{2j}, \\ F_{2j+1} &= F_{2j} \setminus E_{2j+1}, & G_{2j+1} &= G_{2j} \cup E_{2j+1} \end{aligned}$$

for  $j \geq 1$ . We see that  $F_j \cap G_j = \emptyset$ ,  $F_j \cup G_j = \bigcup_{k=1}^j E_k$  and  $E_j \subset F_j$  if  $j$  is even;  $E_j \subset G_j$  if  $j$  is odd. Let

$$f_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } F_j, \\ -1 & \text{on } G_j, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

We observe that if  $\varphi \notin \limsup E_j$ , then  $f_j(\varphi)$  converges to the limit

$$f(\varphi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \varphi \in E_j \text{ with even } j \text{ for the last time,} \\ -1 & \text{if } \varphi \in E_j \text{ with odd } j \text{ for the last time,} \\ 0 & \text{if } \varphi \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j. \end{cases}$$

It follows from (3) that  $\limsup E_j$  is of measure zero, so that  $f_j$  converges to  $f$  almost everywhere on  $[0, 2\pi]$ . We note that

- (5)  $f_j = (-1)^j$  on  $E_j$ ,
- (6)  $|f_j| \leq 1$  on  $[0, 2\pi]$ ,
- (7)  $|f_j - f_{j+1}| \leq 2g_{j+1}$  on  $[0, 2\pi]$ .

We are now in the final stage. We claim that  $h(z) = \text{PI}(f, z)$  is the required bounded harmonic function. It is clear that  $|h| \leq 1$  on  $D$ . Let  $h_j(z) = \text{PI}(f_j, z)$ . Note that  $h_j$  converges to  $h$  uniformly on every compact set in  $D$  by the dominated convergence theorem. We infer from (5), (6) and Lemma 2 with  $f = f_j$ ,  $\eta = \eta_k$  and  $c = 1 - a_j$  that if  $j$  is even, then

$$h_j(z) \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } z \in M_j;$$

if  $j$  is odd, then

$$h_j(z) \leq -\frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } z \in M_j.$$

We infer from (4) and (7) that

$$\sup_{|z| \leq b_j} |h_j(z) - h_{j+1}(z)| \leq 2 \sup_{|z| \leq b_j} \text{PI}(g_{j+1}, z) \leq 2/9^{j+1}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \sup_{|z| \leq b_j} |h_k(z) - h_{k+1}(z)| \leq \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{2}{9^{k+1}} \leq \frac{1}{4},$$

Therefore, if  $j$  is even, then

$$h(z) = h_j(z) + (h_{j+1}(z) - h_j(z)) + \dots \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{for } z \in M_j;$$

if  $j$  is odd, then

$$h(z) \leq -\frac{1}{4} \quad \text{for } z \in M_j.$$

Since  $T(M_j) = [0, 2\pi]$ , it follows that if  $j$  is even, then

$$\sup_{a_j \leq |z| \leq b_j, z \in C_\theta} h(z) \geq \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{for all } \theta \in [0, 2\pi];$$

if  $j$  is odd, then

$$\inf_{a_j \leq |z| \leq b_j, z \in C_\theta} h(z) \leq -\frac{1}{4} \quad \text{for all } \theta \in [0, 2\pi].$$

Consequently,

$$\liminf_{|z| \rightarrow 1, z \in C_\theta} h(z) \leq -\frac{1}{4} < \frac{1}{4} \leq \limsup_{|z| \rightarrow 1, z \in C_\theta} h(z) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in [0, 2\pi].$$

Thus this  $h$  satisfies the required property. The proof is complete.

*Remark.* Let  $E_j$  be as in the above proof. Since  $|E_j| \rightarrow 0$ , we can take a nonnegative sequence  $\{p_j\}$  such that  $\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} p_j = \infty$  and  $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j \chi_{E_j}$  is integrable. In view of Lemma 2 and  $T(M_j) = [0, 2\pi]$ , we obtain that  $h = \text{PI}(f, z)$  is a positive harmonic function such that

$$\limsup_{|z| \rightarrow 1, z \in C_\theta} h(z) = \infty \quad \text{for all } \theta \in [0, 2\pi].$$

## REFERENCES

1. D. A. Brannan and J. G. Clunie, *Aspects of contemporary complex analysis*, Academic Press, London, 1980.
2. F. E. Collingwood and A. J. Lohwater, *The theory of cluster sets*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1966.
3. J. E. Littlewood, *On a theorem of Fatou*, J. London Math. Soc. **2** (1927), 172–176.
4. A. J. Lohwater and G. Piranian, *The boundary behavior of functions analytic in a disk*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I, no. 239 (1957).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, GUNMA UNIVERSITY, KIRYU 376,  
JAPAN