

TWO GENERALIZATIONS OF TITCHMARSH'S CONVOLUTION THEOREM

RAOUF DOSS

(Communicated by J. Marshall Ash)

ABSTRACT. Titchmarsh's convolution theorem states that if the functions f , g vanish on $(-\infty, 0)$ and if the convolution $f * g(t) = 0$ on an interval $(0, T)$, then there are two numbers $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ such that $\alpha + \beta = T$, $f = 0$ a.e. on $(0, \alpha)$, and $g = 0$ a.e. on $(0, \beta)$. T may be infinite. For the case $T = \infty$ we prove that if $f * g = 0$ on R and one of the two functions f , g is 0 on $(-\infty, 0)$, then either f or g is 0 a.e. on R . Next we consider the integro-differential-difference equation $f * g(t) + \sum \lambda_{\rho\sigma} f^{(\rho)}(t - a_{\rho\sigma}) = 0$ for t in $(0, T)$, where $a_{\rho\sigma} \geq 0$, $\lambda_{\rho\sigma}$ are constants. Conclusions similar to Titchmarsh's hold with the additional information that $\alpha \geq T - a_{\rho\sigma}$ whenever $\lambda_{\rho\sigma} \neq 0$.

For $f, g \in L^1(R)$ the convolution $f * g$ is defined as

$$f * g(t) = \int_R f(t-x)g(x) dx.$$

Titchmarsh's theorem states that if $f, g = 0$ on the interval $(-\infty, 0)$ and if

$$f * g(t) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (0, T),$$

then there are numbers $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = T$ for which $f(x) = 0$ for almost all x in $(0, \alpha)$ and $g(x) = 0$ for almost all x in $(0, \beta)$. T may be infinite.

There are many different proofs of this famous theorem; most of them, like Titchmarsh's [11], Crum [2], Dufresnoy [4], Boas [1], Koosis [7], and Lax [8], are based on the theory of analytic or harmonic functions; others, like Mikusinski [10], use real variable methods; still others, like Helson [5], Doss [3], rely on harmonic analysis. For an extension to functions of several variables see Lions [9] and to functions taking values in certain Banach algebras see Mikusinski [10].

Received by the editors February 21, 1989.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 42A85, 45E10, 45J.

* This paper was completed a few days before Professor Doss' death in September, 1988.

©1990 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/90 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Our first generalization of Titchmarsh's theorem deals with the case $T = \infty$. We shall prove

Theorem I. *Suppose that f, g are integrable on R , that either f or g vanishes on $(-\infty, 0)$ and that $f * g = 0$ on R . Then either $f = 0$ or $g = 0$ a.e. on R .*

Observe that, for $T = \infty$, Titchmarsh's hypotheses also include $f * g = 0$ on R .

It is well known that some restriction on the behavior of f or g is needed if we want the relation $f * g = 0$ to imply that either f or g is 0 a.e. on R . For example, take an $f \in L^1(R)$, whose Fourier transform \hat{f} has compact support K . The function $g(x) = e^{i\lambda x} f(x)$ will have a transform \hat{g} whose support is $K + \lambda$; if λ is large enough $\hat{f}\hat{g}$ will be zero everywhere so that $f * g = 0$ a.e. on R . Nevertheless, none of the functions f or g is 0 a.e. on R .

Our second generalization deals with an integro-differential-difference equation on a finite interval.

Theorem II. *Let $f, g \in L^1(-\infty, \infty)$, $f, g = 0$ on the interval $(-\infty, 0)$ and consider the integro-differential-difference equation*

$$(1) \quad \int_0^y g(y-x)f(x) dx + \sum_{\rho=0}^r \sum_{\sigma=0}^s \lambda_{\rho\sigma} f^{(\rho)}(y - a_{\rho\sigma}) = 0$$

for $y \in (0, T)$, where $\lambda_{\rho\sigma}$ are constants and for each ρ , $a_{\rho\sigma}$ are distinct non-negative constants. Then there are two numbers $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ such that

$$\alpha + \beta = T,$$

$$f(x) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, \alpha),$$

$$g(x) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, \beta),$$

and $\alpha \geq T - a_{\rho\sigma}$ whenever $\lambda_{\rho\sigma} \neq 0$.

Remarks. It is implicitly assumed that the derivatives $f^{(\rho)}$ appearing in (1) exist everywhere on $(0, T)$.

Proof of Theorem I. Let f, g be as in the hypotheses of Theorem I. We may suppose that f vanishes on $(-\infty, 0)$. Then the Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-isx} f(x) dx$$

extends to a continuous function on the closed half-plane $\{\text{Im } s \leq 0\}$ which is analytic in $\{\text{Im } s < 0\}$. Therefore, if $\hat{f} = 0$ on some nonempty open interval, then $\hat{f} = 0$ identically, so $f = 0$ a.e. [6].

Now suppose g is a nonzero function. Then \hat{g} is nonvanishing on some nonempty open interval I . Since $\hat{f}\hat{g} = 0$ identically by the hypotheses, it follows that $\hat{f} = 0$ on I . Hence $f = 0$ a.e. as desired.

Remark. In Theorem I the vanishing interval $(-\infty, 0)$ for f or g can be replaced by an interval of the form $(-\infty, a)$, or (a, ∞) , where a is any real number.

Proof of Theorem II. We may assume $a_{\rho\sigma} \leq T$, for if $T < a_{\rho\sigma}$ then the corresponding term $\lambda_{\rho\sigma} f^{(\rho)}(y - a_{\rho\sigma})$ is 0 on the considered interval $(0, T)$ while the relation $\alpha \geq T - a_{\rho\sigma}$ is redundant since we already have $\alpha \geq 0$.

Now let $(-\infty, \alpha)$ be the largest interval on which f vanishes almost everywhere, so that $\alpha \geq 0$. In case $\alpha \geq T$, the desired conclusions hold with α replaced by T and $\beta = 0$. Therefore we may suppose $\alpha < T$.

Particular case: $r = 0$. The equation is

$$(2) \quad \int_0^y g(y-x)f(x) dx + \sum_{\sigma=0}^s \lambda_{\sigma} f(y-a_{\sigma}) = 0 \quad \text{for } y \in (0, T).$$

Let χ^{δ} be the characteristic function of the interval $(0, \delta)$, where $\delta > 0$ is so small that the intervals $(a_{\sigma}, a_{\sigma} + \delta)$ do not overlap. For $a \geq 0$ and real numbers t, y , we have

$$\chi^{\delta}(t-a-y) = 1 \quad \text{iff } y \in (t-a-\delta, t-a).$$

Hence

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{t-\delta}^t \lambda f(y-a) dy &= \int_{t-a-\delta}^{t-a} \lambda f(y) dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^t \lambda \chi^{\delta}(t-a-y) f(y) dy = \int_0^t \lambda \chi_a^{\delta}(t-y) f(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where χ_a^{δ} is the a -translate of χ^{δ} : $\chi_a^{\delta}(u) = \chi^{\delta}(u-a)$.

Next, put

$$G(t) = \int_0^t g(y) dy \quad \text{and} \quad G^{\delta}(t) = G(t) - G(t-\delta).$$

We have, by Fubini's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_0^y g(y-x)f(x) dx dy &= \int_0^t \left(\int_x^t g(y-x) dy \right) f(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^t G(t-x) f(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\int_0^{t-\delta} \int_0^y g(y-x)f(x) dx dy = \int_0^{t-\delta} G(t-\delta-x) f(x) dx.$$

Hence

$$(4) \quad \int_{t-\delta}^t \int_0^y g(y-x)f(x) dx dy = \int_0^t G^{\delta}(t-x) f(x) dx.$$

Observe that (2) is valid even for $y < 0$. Integrating (2) with respect to y on $(t - \delta, t)$, we get, using (4) and relations similar to (3)

$$(5) \quad \int_0^t \left[G^\delta(t-x) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^s \lambda_\sigma \chi_{a_\sigma}^\delta(t-x) \right] f(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (0, T).$$

Since $G^\delta(x) = 0$ and $\chi_{a_\sigma}^\delta(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$, we deduce from (5) and Titchmarsh's convolution theorem that there are two numbers $\alpha', \beta' \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha' + \beta' &= T, \\ f(x) &= 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, \alpha'), \text{ and} \\ G^\delta(x) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^s \lambda_\sigma \chi_{a_\sigma}^\delta(x) &= 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, \beta'). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\alpha' \leq \alpha, \beta' = T - \alpha' \geq T - \alpha = \beta$ (say). Thus we have

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha + \beta &= T, \\ f(x) &= 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, \alpha), \\ G^\delta(x) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^s \lambda_\sigma \chi_{a_\sigma}^\delta(x) &= 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, \beta), \end{aligned}$$

and (6) is valid for any $\delta > 0$. Multiplying (6) by δ^{-1} and making δ tend to 0 we get

$$g(x) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, \beta).$$

Now assume $\beta > a_\sigma, \lambda_\sigma \neq 0$ for some particular $\sigma = 0, 1, \dots, s$. Then, by (6) we have

$$G^\delta(x) + \lambda_\sigma \chi_{a_\sigma}^\delta(x) = 0$$

in some neighborhood of a_σ . Hence

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a_\sigma^-} G^\delta(x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow a_\sigma^+} G^\delta(x) = -\lambda_\sigma.$$

This is impossible since G^δ is continuous. Thus $\lambda_\sigma \neq 0$ implies $\beta \leq a_\sigma$, that is $T - \alpha \leq a_\sigma$ and finally $\alpha \geq T - a_\sigma$.

The case $r = 0$ is now proved.

General case: $r > 0$. The proof is by induction on r . So suppose that Theorem II is true for $r - 1$.

Integrating (1) with respect to y on $(t - \delta, t)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \left[G^\delta(t-x) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^s \lambda_{0\sigma} \chi_{a_{0\sigma}}^\delta(t-x) \right] f(x) dx \\ &+ \sum_{\rho=1}^r \sum_{\sigma=0}^s \lambda_{\rho\sigma} [f^{(\rho-1)}(t - a_{\rho\sigma}) - f^{(\rho-1)}(t - \delta - a_{\rho\sigma})] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in (0, T)$. By the induction hypothesis and the proof for the case $r = 0$, we have

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} f &= 0 && \text{a.e. on } (0, \alpha), \\ g &= 0 && \text{a.e. on } (0, T - \alpha), \text{ and} \\ \alpha &\geq T - a_{\rho\sigma} && \text{whenever } \lambda_{\rho\sigma} \neq 0, \quad \rho = 0, \dots, r, \quad \sigma = 0, \dots, s. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction process.

REFERENCES

1. R. P. Boas, *Entire functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1954.
2. M. M. Crum, *On the resultant of two functions*, Quarterly J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **12** (1941), 108–111.
3. R. Doss, *An elementary proof of Titchmarsh's convolution theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), 181–184.
4. J. Dufresnoy, *Sur le produit de composition de deux fonctions*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **225** (1947), 857–859.
5. H. Helson, *Harmonic analysis*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1983.
6. K. Hoffman, *Analytic functions and logmodular Banach algebras*, Acta Math. **108** (1962), 271–317.
7. P. Koosis, *On functions which are mean-periodic on a half plane*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **10** (1957), 133–149.
8. P. Lax, *Translation invariant subspaces*, Acta Math. **101** (1959), 163–178.
9. J. L. Lions, *Supports de produits de composition*. I and II, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **232** (1951), 1530–1532 and 1622–1624.
10. J. Mikusinski, *The Bochner integral*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
11. E. C. Titchmarsh, *The zeros of certain integral functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **25** (1926), 283–302.

c/o LOUIS PIGNO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY, MANHATTAN, KANSAS 66506