

## TWO GENERALIZATIONS OF TITCHMARSH'S CONVOLUTION THEOREM

RAOUF DOSS

(Communicated by J. Marshall Ash)

**ABSTRACT.** Titchmarsh's convolution theorem states that if the functions  $f$ ,  $g$  vanish on  $(-\infty, 0)$  and if the convolution  $f * g(t) = 0$  on an interval  $(0, T)$ , then there are two numbers  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  such that  $\alpha + \beta = T$ ,  $f = 0$  a.e. on  $(0, \alpha)$ , and  $g = 0$  a.e. on  $(0, \beta)$ .  $T$  may be infinite. For the case  $T = \infty$  we prove that if  $f * g = 0$  on  $R$  and one of the two functions  $f$ ,  $g$  is 0 on  $(-\infty, 0)$ , then either  $f$  or  $g$  is 0 a.e. on  $R$ . Next we consider the integro-differential-difference equation  $f * g(t) + \sum \lambda_{\rho\sigma} f^{(\rho)}(t - a_{\rho\sigma}) = 0$  for  $t$  in  $(0, T)$ , where  $a_{\rho\sigma} \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda_{\rho\sigma}$  are constants. Conclusions similar to Titchmarsh's hold with the additional information that  $\alpha \geq T - a_{\rho\sigma}$  whenever  $\lambda_{\rho\sigma} \neq 0$ .

For  $f, g \in L^1(R)$  the convolution  $f * g$  is defined as

$$f * g(t) = \int_R f(t-x)g(x) dx.$$

Titchmarsh's theorem states that if  $f, g = 0$  on the interval  $(-\infty, 0)$  and if

$$f * g(t) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (0, T),$$

then there are numbers  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  with  $\alpha + \beta = T$  for which  $f(x) = 0$  for almost all  $x$  in  $(0, \alpha)$  and  $g(x) = 0$  for almost all  $x$  in  $(0, \beta)$ .  $T$  may be infinite.

There are many different proofs of this famous theorem; most of them, like Titchmarsh's [11], Crum [2], Dufresnoy [4], Boas [1], Koosis [7], and Lax [8], are based on the theory of analytic or harmonic functions; others, like Mikusinski [10], use real variable methods; still others, like Helson [5], Doss [3], rely on harmonic analysis. For an extension to functions of several variables see Lions [9] and to functions taking values in certain Banach algebras see Mikusinski [10].

---

Received by the editors February 21, 1989.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 42A85, 45E10, 45J.

\* This paper was completed a few days before Professor Doss' death in September, 1988.

©1990 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/90 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Our first generalization of Titchmarsh's theorem deals with the case  $T = \infty$ . We shall prove

**Theorem I.** *Suppose that  $f, g$  are integrable on  $R$ , that either  $f$  or  $g$  vanishes on  $(-\infty, 0)$  and that  $f * g = 0$  on  $R$ . Then either  $f = 0$  or  $g = 0$  a.e. on  $R$ .*

Observe that, for  $T = \infty$ , Titchmarsh's hypotheses also include  $f * g = 0$  on  $R$ .

It is well known that some restriction on the behavior of  $f$  or  $g$  is needed if we want the relation  $f * g = 0$  to imply that either  $f$  or  $g$  is 0 a.e. on  $R$ . For example, take an  $f \in L^1(R)$ , whose Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  has compact support  $K$ . The function  $g(x) = e^{i\lambda x} f(x)$  will have a transform  $\hat{g}$  whose support is  $K + \lambda$ ; if  $\lambda$  is large enough  $\hat{f}\hat{g}$  will be zero everywhere so that  $f * g = 0$  a.e. on  $R$ . Nevertheless, none of the functions  $f$  or  $g$  is 0 a.e. on  $R$ .

Our second generalization deals with an integro-differential-difference equation on a finite interval.

**Theorem II.** *Let  $f, g \in L^1(-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $f, g = 0$  on the interval  $(-\infty, 0)$  and consider the integro-differential-difference equation*

$$(1) \quad \int_0^y g(y-x)f(x) dx + \sum_{\rho=0}^r \sum_{\sigma=0}^s \lambda_{\rho\sigma} f^{(\rho)}(y - a_{\rho\sigma}) = 0$$

for  $y \in (0, T)$ , where  $\lambda_{\rho\sigma}$  are constants and for each  $\rho$ ,  $a_{\rho\sigma}$  are distinct non-negative constants. Then there are two numbers  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  such that

$$\alpha + \beta = T,$$

$$f(x) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, \alpha),$$

$$g(x) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, \beta),$$

and  $\alpha \geq T - a_{\rho\sigma}$  whenever  $\lambda_{\rho\sigma} \neq 0$ .

*Remarks.* It is implicitly assumed that the derivatives  $f^{(\rho)}$  appearing in (1) exist everywhere on  $(0, T)$ .

*Proof of Theorem I.* Let  $f, g$  be as in the hypotheses of Theorem I. We may suppose that  $f$  vanishes on  $(-\infty, 0)$ . Then the Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-isx} f(x) dx$$

extends to a continuous function on the closed half-plane  $\{\text{Im } s \leq 0\}$  which is analytic in  $\{\text{Im } s < 0\}$ . Therefore, if  $\hat{f} = 0$  on some nonempty open interval, then  $\hat{f} = 0$  identically, so  $f = 0$  a.e. [6].

Now suppose  $g$  is a nonzero function. Then  $\hat{g}$  is nonvanishing on some nonempty open interval  $I$ . Since  $\hat{f}\hat{g} = 0$  identically by the hypotheses, it follows that  $\hat{f} = 0$  on  $I$ . Hence  $f = 0$  a.e. as desired.

*Remark.* In Theorem I the vanishing interval  $(-\infty, 0)$  for  $f$  or  $g$  can be replaced by an interval of the form  $(-\infty, a)$ , or  $(a, \infty)$ , where  $a$  is any real number.

*Proof of Theorem II.* We may assume  $a_{\rho\sigma} \leq T$ , for if  $T < a_{\rho\sigma}$  then the corresponding term  $\lambda_{\rho\sigma} f^{(\rho)}(y - a_{\rho\sigma})$  is 0 on the considered interval  $(0, T)$  while the relation  $\alpha \geq T - a_{\rho\sigma}$  is redundant since we already have  $\alpha \geq 0$ .

Now let  $(-\infty, \alpha)$  be the largest interval on which  $f$  vanishes almost everywhere, so that  $\alpha \geq 0$ . In case  $\alpha \geq T$ , the desired conclusions hold with  $\alpha$  replaced by  $T$  and  $\beta = 0$ . Therefore we may suppose  $\alpha < T$ .

*Particular case:*  $r = 0$ . The equation is

$$(2) \quad \int_0^y g(y-x)f(x) dx + \sum_{\sigma=0}^s \lambda_{\sigma} f(y-a_{\sigma}) = 0 \quad \text{for } y \in (0, T).$$

Let  $\chi^{\delta}$  be the characteristic function of the interval  $(0, \delta)$ , where  $\delta > 0$  is so small that the intervals  $(a_{\sigma}, a_{\sigma} + \delta)$  do not overlap. For  $a \geq 0$  and real numbers  $t, y$ , we have

$$\chi^{\delta}(t-a-y) = 1 \quad \text{iff } y \in (t-a-\delta, t-a).$$

Hence

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{t-\delta}^t \lambda f(y-a) dy &= \int_{t-a-\delta}^{t-a} \lambda f(y) dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^t \lambda \chi^{\delta}(t-a-y) f(y) dy = \int_0^t \lambda \chi_a^{\delta}(t-y) f(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\chi_a^{\delta}$  is the  $a$ -translate of  $\chi^{\delta}$ :  $\chi_a^{\delta}(u) = \chi^{\delta}(u-a)$ .

Next, put

$$G(t) = \int_0^t g(y) dy \quad \text{and} \quad G^{\delta}(t) = G(t) - G(t-\delta).$$

We have, by Fubini's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_0^y g(y-x)f(x) dx dy &= \int_0^t \left( \int_x^t g(y-x) dy \right) f(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^t G(t-x) f(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\int_0^{t-\delta} \int_0^y g(y-x)f(x) dx dy = \int_0^{t-\delta} G(t-\delta-x) f(x) dx.$$

Hence

$$(4) \quad \int_{t-\delta}^t \int_0^y g(y-x)f(x) dx dy = \int_0^t G^{\delta}(t-x) f(x) dx.$$

Observe that (2) is valid even for  $y < 0$ . Integrating (2) with respect to  $y$  on  $(t - \delta, t)$ , we get, using (4) and relations similar to (3)

$$(5) \quad \int_0^t \left[ G^\delta(t-x) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^s \lambda_\sigma \chi_{a_\sigma}^\delta(t-x) \right] f(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (0, T).$$

Since  $G^\delta(x) = 0$  and  $\chi_{a_\sigma}^\delta(x) = 0$  for  $x < 0$ , we deduce from (5) and Titchmarsh's convolution theorem that there are two numbers  $\alpha', \beta' \geq 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha' + \beta' &= T, \\ f(x) &= 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, \alpha'), \text{ and} \\ G^\delta(x) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^s \lambda_\sigma \chi_{a_\sigma}^\delta(x) &= 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, \beta'). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\alpha' \leq \alpha, \beta' = T - \alpha' \geq T - \alpha = \beta$  (say). Thus we have

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha + \beta &= T, \\ f(x) &= 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, \alpha), \\ G^\delta(x) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^s \lambda_\sigma \chi_{a_\sigma}^\delta(x) &= 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, \beta), \end{aligned}$$

and (6) is valid for any  $\delta > 0$ . Multiplying (6) by  $\delta^{-1}$  and making  $\delta$  tend to 0 we get

$$g(x) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, \beta).$$

Now assume  $\beta > a_\sigma, \lambda_\sigma \neq 0$  for some particular  $\sigma = 0, 1, \dots, s$ . Then, by (6) we have

$$G^\delta(x) + \lambda_\sigma \chi_{a_\sigma}^\delta(x) = 0$$

in some neighborhood of  $a_\sigma$ . Hence

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a_\sigma^-} G^\delta(x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow a_\sigma^+} G^\delta(x) = -\lambda_\sigma.$$

This is impossible since  $G^\delta$  is continuous. Thus  $\lambda_\sigma \neq 0$  implies  $\beta \leq a_\sigma$ , that is  $T - \alpha \leq a_\sigma$  and finally  $\alpha \geq T - a_\sigma$ .

The case  $r = 0$  is now proved.

*General case:*  $r > 0$ . The proof is by induction on  $r$ . So suppose that Theorem II is true for  $r - 1$ .

Integrating (1) with respect to  $y$  on  $(t - \delta, t)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \left[ G^\delta(t-x) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^s \lambda_{0\sigma} \chi_{a_{0\sigma}}^\delta(t-x) \right] f(x) dx \\ &+ \sum_{\rho=1}^r \sum_{\sigma=0}^s \lambda_{\rho\sigma} [f^{(\rho-1)}(t - a_{\rho\sigma}) - f^{(\rho-1)}(t - \delta - a_{\rho\sigma})] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \in (0, T)$ . By the induction hypothesis and the proof for the case  $r = 0$ , we have

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} f &= 0 && \text{a.e. on } (0, \alpha), \\ g &= 0 && \text{a.e. on } (0, T - \alpha), \text{ and} \\ \alpha &\geq T - a_{\rho\sigma} && \text{whenever } \lambda_{\rho\sigma} \neq 0, \quad \rho = 0, \dots, r, \quad \sigma = 0, \dots, s. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction process.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. P. Boas, *Entire functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1954.
2. M. M. Crum, *On the resultant of two functions*, Quarterly J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **12** (1941), 108–111.
3. R. Doss, *An elementary proof of Titchmarsh's convolution theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), 181–184.
4. J. Dufresnoy, *Sur le produit de composition de deux fonctions*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **225** (1947), 857–859.
5. H. Helson, *Harmonic analysis*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1983.
6. K. Hoffman, *Analytic functions and logmodular Banach algebras*, Acta Math. **108** (1962), 271–317.
7. P. Koosis, *On functions which are mean-periodic on a half plane*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **10** (1957), 133–149.
8. P. Lax, *Translation invariant subspaces*, Acta Math. **101** (1959), 163–178.
9. J. L. Lions, *Supports de produits de composition*. I and II, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **232** (1951), 1530–1532 and 1622–1624.
10. J. Mikusinski, *The Bochner integral*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
11. E. C. Titchmarsh, *The zeros of certain integral functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **25** (1926), 283–302.

c/o LOUIS PIGNO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY, MANHATTAN, KANSAS 66506