

## A NEW FAMILY OF ENNEPER TYPE MINIMAL SURFACES

YI FANG

(Communicated by Jonathan M. Rosenberg)

**ABSTRACT.** An Enneper type surface is a complete immersed minimal surface in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  with only one end and finite total curvature. In this paper we construct a family of Enneper type surfaces of genus 1, total curvature  $-8(2n+1)\pi$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . We use the Weierstrass  $\wp$  elliptic function as a tool and also prove some results about  $\wp$  on a square torus.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

An Enneper type minimal surface is a complete immersed minimal surface with finite total Gauss curvature and only one end; (i.e., conformally it is a closed genus  $k$  Riemannian surface with one puncture). The simplest example is Enneper's surface. It has genus 0 and total curvature  $-4\pi$ . There is also a family of genus 0 examples with total curvature  $-4n\pi$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . In [2] Chen and Gackstatter constructed genus 1 and genus 2 examples with total curvature  $-8\pi$  and  $-12\pi$ . In [6] Wohlgemuth constructed a family of genus 1 examples with total curvature  $-4\pi(2n+1)$  for  $n \geq 1$ . In this paper we will construct a family of genus 1 examples with total curvature  $-8\pi(2n+1)$  for  $n \geq 0$ . Our main tools are Weierstrass representations for minimal surfaces and the Weierstrass elliptic function  $\wp$  associated to a lattice  $L = [1, \tau]$  in the complex plane  $\mathbf{C}$ . The by-products of this study are some properties of the Weierstrass  $\wp$  function. Having not seen these properties in publication, we list them as a theorem in this paper.

### 2. WEIERSTRASS REPRESENTATION

A very important tool used in the construction of minimal surfaces is the Weierstrass representation formula. Here we state one version of it; for details see [4] and [5].

---

Received by the editors January 23, 1989 and, in revised form, August 22, 1989.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 53A10; Secondary 30C15.

The research described in this paper was supported by research grant DE-FG02-86ER250125 of the Applied Mathematical Science subprogram of the Office of Energy Research, U. S. Department of Energy, and National Science Foundation grant DMS-8802858.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\overline{M}$  be a compact Riemann surface and  $M = \overline{M} - \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ . Suppose  $\overline{g}: \overline{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  is a meromorphic function and  $\eta$  is a meromorphic 1-form such that whenever  $g = \overline{g}|_M$  has a pole of order  $k$ , then  $\eta$  has a zero of order  $2k$  and  $\eta$  has no other zeros on  $M$ . Let

$$\omega_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1 - g^2)\eta, \quad \omega_2 = \frac{i}{2}(1 + g^2)\eta, \quad \omega_3 = g\eta.$$

If for any closed curve  $\gamma$  in  $M$ ,

$$(1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \int_{\gamma} \omega_i = 0, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3,$$

then the surface  $S$ , defined by  $X: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ , is a regular minimal surface, where

$$X(z) = \operatorname{Re} \left( \int_{z_0}^z \omega_1, \int_{z_0}^z \omega_2, \int_{z_0}^x \omega_3 \right).$$

Here,  $z_0$  is a fixed point of  $M$ . Moreover, if at any deleted point  $p_i$ , one of  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$  has a pole of order at least 2, then  $S$  is also complete. The total curvature of  $S$  is

$$C(S) = -4\pi m,$$

where  $m$  is the degree of  $\overline{g}$ .

*Proof.* See, for example, [4, pp. 112–113] and [5, p. 82], Theorem 9.2.  $\square$

### 3. WEIERSTRASS $\wp$ ELLIPTIC FUNCTION

Let  $L = [\omega_1, \omega_2]$  be a lattice  $\mathbf{C}$ . Associated to each  $L$  there is a doubly periodic meromorphic function, the Weierstrass  $\wp$  function. It is

$$\wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\omega \neq 0} \left( \frac{1}{(z - \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right),$$

where  $\omega = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2$  for all  $(m, n) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}$  and  $(m, n) \neq (0, 0)$ . It is easy to see  $\wp$  is an even function. Moreover, we have

**Lemma 1.**

1.  $\wp^{(2k)}$  is an even function,  $\wp^{(2k+1)}$  is an odd function, where  $\wp^{(n)}$  denotes the  $n$ th derivative of  $\wp$ ,  $n \geq 0$ .
2.  $\wp^{(2k)} = P_k(\wp)$  and  $\wp^{(2k+1)} = Q_k(\wp)\wp'$ . Where  $P_k$  and  $Q_k$  are polynomials.
3. If  $L = [1, \tau]$  and  $\tau \in i\mathbf{R}$  then  $\wp(\overline{z} + i) = \overline{\wp(z)}$  and  $\wp(-\overline{z} + 1) = \overline{\wp(z)}$ . Also,

$$\int_0^1 [\wp^{(n)}]^2 \left( \frac{\tau}{2} + t \right) dt > 0,$$

for any  $n \geq 0$ . Furthermore,

$$\int_0^1 [\wp^{(n)}]^2 \left( \frac{\tau}{2} + t \right) \wp^m \left( \frac{\tau}{2} + t \right) dt$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \wp^m \left( \frac{\tau}{2} + t \right) dt$$

are nonzero real numbers, for any  $n, m \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $n \geq 0$ .

4. If  $L = [1, i], \omega = \frac{1+i}{2}$ , then  $\overline{\wp(\omega + i\bar{z})} = \overline{\wp(\omega + z)}, \wp(\omega - i\bar{z}) = -\overline{\wp(\omega + z)}$ , and  $\overline{\wp(\omega + \bar{z})} = \overline{\wp(\omega + z)}$ .  $\wp$  has a double pole at 0, a double zero at  $\omega$ , and no other zeros or poles. Furthermore,

$$(2) \quad \wp^{(n)} \left( \frac{i}{2} + t \right) = -i^n \wp^{(n)} \left( \frac{1}{2} + it \right).$$

$$(3) \quad [\wp^{(n)}]^2 \left( \frac{i}{2} + t \right) = (-1)^n [\wp^{(n)}]^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + it \right) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

*Proof.* See [1, pp. 658 and 631], and [6, pp. 17-18].  $\square$

MINIMAL SURFACES OF ENNEPER TYPE WITH GENUS 1

**Theorem 1.** *There is a family of Enneper type surfaces of genus 1 and total curvature  $-8\pi(2n + 1)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .*

*Proof.* We consider the square lattice  $L = [1, i]$  in the complex plane  $\mathbf{C}$ . By Lemma 1, we have  $\wp^{(2k+1)}(z) = Q_k(\wp(z))\wp'(z)$ , where  $Q_k(x)$  is a polynomial. Let  $Q_k(x) = x^n R_k(x)$  such that  $R_k(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_lx^l$  and  $a_0 \neq 0$ . Then the degree of  $Q_k$  is  $n + l = d$ . We will denote  $n = n(k)$  to emphasize that  $n$  depends on  $k$ . Since  $\wp^{(2k+1)}$  has only one pole at 0 of order  $2k + 3$ , and  $Q_k(\wp)\wp'$  has only one pole at 0 of order  $2d + 3$ ; hence  $d = k$  and  $n(k) \leq k$ . Let  $M = T - \{0\} = \mathbf{C}/L - \{0\}$ . We choose

$$(4) \quad g(z) = a \frac{\wp^{(2k+1)}(z)}{\wp^m(z)},$$

where  $a \in \mathbf{C}$  is a nonzero constant to be determined and  $0 \leq n(k) < m \leq k + 1, k \geq 0$ . Then  $g$  has only poles at 0 of order  $2(k - m) + 3$  and at  $\frac{1+i}{2}$  of order  $2(m - n(k)) - 1$ . Hence we know that the degree of  $g$  is  $2(k - m) + 3 + 2(m - n(k)) - 1 = 2(k - n(k)) + 2$ . We choose

$$(5) \quad \eta = \wp^{2(m-n(k))-1}(z) dz.$$

Then  $\eta$  is never zero on  $M$  except at  $\omega = \frac{1+i}{2}$ , where  $g$  has a pole of order  $l = 2(m - n(k)) - 1$ , and  $\eta$  has an zero of order  $4(m - n(k)) - 2 = 2l$ . Let

$$(6) \quad \Psi = \frac{[\wp^{(2k+1)}]^2(z)}{\wp^{2n(k)+1}} dz = \frac{1}{a^2} g^2(z)\eta.$$

Since  $\wp^{2(m-n(k))-1}(z)$  and  $[\wp^{(2k+1)}]^2(z)/\wp^{2n(k)+1}(z)$  are both even functions, they have no residues at 0. Now in the Weierstrass representation formula with

these  $g$  and  $\eta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(\eta - a^2\Psi), \\
 (7) \quad \omega_2 &= \frac{i}{2}(\eta + a^2\Psi), \\
 \omega_3 &= g\eta = a\wp^{(2k+1)}(z)\wp^{m-2n(k)-1}(z) dz \\
 &= a\wp^{(2k+1)}(z)\wp^{m-2n(k)-1}(z) dz = a\wp^{m-n(k)-1}(z)R_k(\wp(z))\wp'(z) dz.
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $\omega_3$  has a pole at 0 of order greater or equal to 3. By Proposition 1, this Weierstrass representation will generate an Enneper type minimal surface if equation (1) of Proposition 1 is satisfied. Since  $\omega_3$  is exact, we do not need to worry about its periods. Now let  $\gamma_1(t) = i/2 + t$ ,  $\gamma_2(t) = 1/2 + it$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Then  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are generators of the fundamental group of  $T = \mathbb{C}/L$ . Hence it is enough to prove that we can choose an  $a$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{\gamma_i} \omega_j = 0,$$

for  $i, j = 1, 2$ . Notice that by Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\wp^{(2k+1)}]'^2 \left( \frac{i}{2} + t \right) &= -[\wp^{(2k+1)}]'^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + it \right), \\
 \wp' \left( \frac{i}{2} + t \right) &= (-1)^l \wp' \left( \frac{1}{2} + it \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\gamma_2} \eta &= \int_0^1 \wp^{2(m-n(k))-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} + it \right) idt \\
 &= -i \int_0^1 \wp^{2(m-n(k))-1} \left( \frac{i}{2} + t \right) dt = -i \int_{\gamma_1} \eta,
 \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(8) \quad \int_{\gamma_2} \eta = -i \int_{\gamma_1} \eta.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\gamma_2} \Psi &= \int_0^1 \frac{[\wp^{(2k+1)}]'^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + it \right)}{\wp^{2n(k)+1} \left( \frac{1}{2} + it \right)} idt \\
 &= i \int_0^1 \frac{[\wp^{(2k+1)}]'^2 \left( \frac{i}{2} + t \right)}{\wp^{2n(k)+1} \left( \frac{i}{2} + t \right)} dt = i \int_{\gamma_1} \Psi,
 \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(9) \quad \int_{\gamma_2} \Psi = i \int_{\gamma_1} \Psi.$$

By Lemma 1, we know that  $\int_{\gamma_1} \eta$  and  $\int_{\gamma_1} \Psi$  are nonzero real numbers, so let  $a^2 = \int_{\gamma_1} \eta / \int_{\gamma_1} \Psi$ ; then  $a^2 \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $a^2 \neq 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_{\gamma_1} \omega_1 &= \int_{\gamma_1} \eta - a^2 \int_{\gamma_1} \Psi = 0, \\ 2 \int_{\gamma_2} \omega_1 &= -i \int_{\gamma_1} \eta - ia^2 \int_{\gamma_1} \Psi \in i\mathbf{R}, \\ 2 \int_{\gamma_1} \omega_2 &= i \int_{\gamma_1} \eta + ia^2 \int_{\gamma_1} \Psi \in i\mathbf{R}, \\ 2 \int_{\gamma_2} \omega_2 &= i \int_{\gamma_2} \eta + ia^2 \int_{\gamma_2} \Psi = \int_{\gamma_1} \eta - a^2 \int_{\gamma_1} \Psi = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\text{Re} \int_{\gamma_i} \omega_j = 0$ . By Proposition 1 we get a complete minimal surface with genus 1 and one end. Since the degree of  $g$  is  $2(k - n(k)) + 2$ , the total curvature is  $C(S) = -4\pi(2(k - n(k)) + 2)$ . Let  $d = k - n(k) + 1 \geq 1$ . The only thing that remains to be proved is that  $d$  can be any odd positive integer. The next proposition will complete the proof of this theorem.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *The  $n(k)$  defined in Theorem 2 satisfies*

$$(10) \quad n(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ 1 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* First we look at the formula in Lemma 1 stating that

$$\wp^{(2k)} = P_k(\wp), \quad \wp^{(2k+1)} = Q_k(\wp)\wp'.$$

$P_k$  and  $Q_k$  are polynomials. Let  $Q_k(x) = a_0^k + a_1^k x + \dots + a_k^k x^k$ . We claim that

1.  $a_j^k = 0$  if  $k \not\equiv j \pmod 2$ , and  $a_j^k \in \mathbf{R}$ ,
2.  $a_0^{2k} \neq 0, a_1^{2k+1} \neq 0$ .

Clearly claim 1 and claim 2 imply the proposition.

Now  $\wp'^2 = 4\wp^3 - g_2\wp - g_3$ , where  $g_2$  and  $g_3$  depend on the lattice  $L = [1, \tau]$ . When  $\tau = i$ , we have  $g_3 = 0$ , and  $g_2 \in \mathbf{R}, g_2 \neq 0$  (see [3], Corollary 3, p. 40). We prove claim 1 by induction. Since  $\wp' = 1 \cdot \wp'$ , so  $Q_0 = 1$ , and  $\wp'' = 6\wp^2 - g_2/2, \wp''' = 12\wp\wp'$ , so that  $Q_1(x) = 12x$ . Thus claim 1 is true for  $k = 0$  and  $k = 1$ . Suppose claim 1 is true for  $k = 2n$  and  $2n + 1, n \geq 0$ . Then

$$\wp^{(4n+1)} = \wp' \sum_{j=0}^n a_{2j}^{2n} \wp^{2j}, \quad \wp^{(4n+3)} = \wp' \sum_{j=0}^n a_{2j+1}^{2n+1} \wp^{2j+1}.$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \wp^{(4n+4)} &= \wp'^2 \sum_{j=0}^n (2j+1)a_{2j+1}^{2n+1} \wp^{2j} + \wp'' \sum_{j=0}^n a_{2j+1}^{2n+1} \wp^{2j+1} \\ &= (4\wp^3 - g_2\wp) \sum_{j=0}^n (2j+1)a_{2j+1}^{2n+1} \wp^{2j} + (6\wp^2 - g_2/2) \sum_{j=0}^n a_{2j+1}^{2n+1} \wp^{2j+1} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n (4(2j+1) + 6)a_{2j+1}^{2n+1} \wp^{2j+3} - \frac{g_2}{2} \sum_{j=0}^n (2(2j+1) + 1)a_{2j+1}^{2n+1} \wp^{2j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \wp^{(4n+5)} &= \wp' \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^n (2j+3)(8j+10)a_{2j+1}^{2n+1} \wp^{2j+2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{g_2}{2} \sum_{j=0}^n (2j+1)(4j+3)a_{2j+1}^{2n+1} \wp^{2j} \right\} \\ &= \wp' \left\{ -\frac{3g_2}{2} a_1^{2n+1} + \sum_{j=1}^n (2j+1) \left[ (8j+2)a_{2j-1}^{2n+1} - \frac{g_2}{2}(4j+3)a_{2j+1}^{2n+1} \right] \wp^{2j} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (2n+3)(8n+10)a_{2n+1}^{2n+1} \wp^{2n+2} \right\} \\ &= \wp' \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} a_{2j}^{2n+2} \wp^{2j}. \end{aligned}$$

Since there are only even terms and all the  $a_j^{2n+1}$  and  $j$  are real, so  $a_j^{2n+2}$  is real and claim 1 is true for  $k = 2n + 2$ . Also we can see by the computation that  $a_0^{2n+2} = -\frac{3}{2}g_2 a_1^{2n+1}$ . Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \wp^{(4n+6)} &= \wp'^2 \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} 2ja_{2j}^{2n+2} \wp^{2j-1} + \wp'' \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} a_{2j}^{2n+2} \wp^{2j} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} (8j+6)a_{2j}^{2n+2} \wp^{2j+2} - \frac{g_2}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} (8j+1)a_{2j}^{2n+2} \wp^{2j} = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} b_{2j+2} \wp^{2j+2}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\wp^{(4n+7)} = \wp' \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} (2j+2)b_{2j+2} \wp^{2j+1} = \wp' \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} a_{2j+1}^{2n+3} \wp^{2j+1}.$$

Hence  $k = 2n + 3$  is true for claim 1. This completes the proof of claim 1.

Notice that  $a_0^0 = 1$ ,  $a_1^1 = 12$ , and  $a_0^{2n} = -\frac{3g_2}{2}a_1^{2n-1}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . So we need only to prove that  $a_0^{2n} \neq 0$ . Let  $\omega = \frac{1+i}{2}$ . Since  $\wp(\omega) = \wp'(\omega) = 0$ ,

$\varphi''(\omega) \neq 0$ , so  $\omega$  is a double zero of  $\varphi$ , a single zero of  $\varphi'$ . In (2) of Lemma 1 set  $t = 1/2$  and  $n = 4k$ . We get  $\varphi^{(4k)}(\omega) = -\varphi^{(4k)}(\omega)$ , so  $\varphi^{(4k)}(\omega) = 0$ . Also by  $\varphi^{(2k+1)} = \varphi' Q_k(\varphi)$  we know that  $\varphi^{(2k+1)}(\omega) = \varphi^{(2k+1)}(\omega + \frac{1}{2}) = 0$ . If  $a_0^{2n} = 0$ , then  $\omega$  will be a zero of  $\varphi^{(4n+1)}$  of order at least 3. So if we prove that  $\varphi^{(4n+2)}(\omega) \neq 0$ , then  $\varphi^{(4n+1)}$  will have only a single zero at  $\omega$  and thus  $a_0^{2n} \neq 0$ . We will count the number of zeros for  $\varphi^{(4n+2)}$ . Let  $I$  be the open interval  $(0, 1/2)$ . Then on  $\omega + I$  (for  $\omega + I$  we mean the interval  $1/2 + i/2 + t$ ,  $0 < t < 1/2$ , similarly  $\omega + iI$  means the interval  $1/2 + i/2 + it$ ,  $0 < t < 1/2$ ),  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi'$  are real, and  $\varphi(\omega + \bar{z}) = \overline{\varphi(\omega + z)}$ ,  $\varphi'(\omega + \bar{z}) = \overline{\varphi'(\omega + z)}$ . By claim 1, any  $\varphi^{(k)}$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , has these properties. If  $z = x + iy$ , then

$$\left. \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} \varphi^{(k)}(\omega + z)}{\partial y} \right|_{y=0} = 0$$

on  $\omega + I$ . For a holomorphic function  $f$ ,

$$f' = 2(\operatorname{Re} f)_z = \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} f}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} f}{\partial y},$$

on  $\omega + I$  we have

$$\varphi^{(k+1)}(\omega + x) = \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} \varphi^{(k)}(\omega + x)}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} \varphi^{(k)}(\omega + x)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \varphi^{(k)}(\omega + x)}{\partial x}$$

for all  $x \in I$ . We claim that for any  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\varphi^{(4n+2)}$  has at least  $n + 1$  different zeros on  $\omega + I$ . Since  $\varphi'(\omega + 1/2) = \varphi'(\omega) = 0$ , by Rolle's theorem there is at least one  $x_0 \in I$  such that  $\varphi''(\omega + x_0) = 0$ . Hence for  $n = 0$  the claim is true.

We now apply induction. Suppose for  $n = k \geq 0$ ,  $\varphi^{(4k+2)}$  has at least  $k + 1$  different zero points on  $\omega + I$ . Then by Rolle's theorem,  $\varphi^{(4k+3)}$  has at least  $k$  different zero points on  $\omega + I$ . Note  $\varphi^{(4k+3)}(\omega) = \varphi^{(4k+3)}(\omega + 1/2) = 0$ , so on the closure of  $\omega + I$ ,  $\varphi^{(4k+3)}$  has at least  $k + 2$  different zeros. Again by Rolle's theorem  $\varphi^{(4k+4)}$  has at least  $k + 1$  different zeros on  $\omega + I$ . Because  $\varphi^{(4k+4)}(\omega) = 0$ , on the closure of  $\omega + I$ ,  $\varphi^{(4k+4)}$  has at least  $k + 2$  different zeros. Hence  $\varphi^{(4k+5)}$  has at least  $k + 1$  different zeros on  $\omega + I$ . Again,  $\varphi^{(4k+5)}(\omega) = \varphi^{(4k+5)}(\omega + 1/2) = 0$ , so that  $\varphi^{(4k+6)}$  has at least  $k + 2$  different zeros on  $\omega + I$ . Hence we have thus proved this claim. For  $x \in I$ ,  $\varphi(\omega + x) = \varphi(\omega - x)$ ,  $\varphi(\omega + x) = \varphi(\omega + ix)$ ,  $\varphi(\omega + ix) = \varphi(\omega - ix)$ . Hence  $\varphi^{(4n+2)}$  has at least  $4n + 4$  different zeros. But  $\deg \varphi^{(4n+2)} = 4n + 4$ , so  $\varphi^{(4n+2)}$  can have only  $4n + 4$  zeros. Thus we have found all of the zeros of  $\varphi^{(4n+2)}$ . Because these zeros are in  $\omega + I$ ,  $\omega - I$ ,  $\omega + iI$ ,  $\omega - iI$ , we conclude that  $\varphi^{(4n+2)}(\omega) \neq 0$ . Thus the proof of this proposition is complete.  $\square$

*Remark 1.* When setting  $k = 0$ , we get Chen and Gackstater's genus 1 example.

*Remark 2.* By the proof of Proposition 2, we get some properties of the Weierstrass  $\varphi$  function associated to  $L = [1, i]$ . We list these properties as a separate theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *The Weierstrass  $\wp$  function associated with  $[1, i]$  has the following properties:*

1. *For  $n \geq 0$ , all the zeros of  $\wp^{(n)}$  are in the two lines  $\gamma_1(t) = i/2 + t$ ,  $\gamma_2(t) = 1/2 + it$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . The zeros are symmetric about  $\omega = \frac{1+i}{2}$ .*
2. *For  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\wp^{(4n)}$  has a double zero at  $\omega$ ,  $\wp^{(4n+3)}$  has a triple zero at  $\omega$ . Any other zeros of  $\wp^{(n)}$  are simple.*
3.  *$\wp^{(4n)}(\omega) = \wp^{(4n+1)}(\omega) = \wp^{(4n+3)}(\omega) = 0$  and  $\wp^{(4n+2)}(\omega) \neq 0$  for  $n \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Just as in the proof of Proposition 1 count the number of zeros on  $\omega + I$ , using the three symmetries and  $\deg \wp^{(k)} = k + 2$ . Note that because  $\wp^{(4n-1)}(\omega) = \wp^{(4n)}(\omega) = \wp^{(4n+1)}(\omega) = 0$ ,  $\wp^{(4n+2)}(\omega) \neq 0$ , we get claim 2.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Professors D. Hoffman and W. Meeks for their invaluable help. It is from them that the author has learned what a minimal surface is. The author's thanks also go to the referee for patient reading and recommendations, and to James Riordan for correcting grammar and spelling mistakes.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. Abramovitz and I. Stegun, ed., *Handbook of mathematical functions*, Advanced Mathematics, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1972, chapter 18.
2. C. C. Chen and F. Gackstatter, *Elliptische und hyperelliptische Funktionen und vollstandige Minimalflachen vom Enneperschen Typ.*, Math. Ann. **259** (1982), 359–369.
3. S. Lang, *Elliptic functions*, 2nd ed., Dover Publications, New York, 1987,
4. H. B. Lawson, Jr., *Lectures on minimal submanifolds*. Publish or Perish Press, Berkeley, 1971.
5. R. Osserman, *A Survey of minimal surfaces*, 2nd ed., Dover Publications, New York, 1986.
6. M. Wohlgemuth, *Abelsche Minimalflachen*, Diplomarbeit, Universitat Bonn, 1988.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS AT AMHERST, AMHERST, MASSACHUSETTS 01003