

## INVERSE-CLOSED CARLEMAN ALGEBRAS OF INFINITELY DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS

JAMIL A. SIDDIQI

(Communicated by John B. Conway)

**ABSTRACT.** We characterize the classes  $\mathcal{E}_M(I)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_M^*(I)$  of infinitely differentiable functions which are inverse-closed thereby giving a complete solution to a problem first posed by W. Rudin [11] and solved by him and J. Boman and L. Hörmander [2] for classes  $\mathcal{E}_M(\mathbf{R})$  alone.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a positive sequence  $M = \{M_n\}$  and an interval  $I$ , let  $\mathcal{E}_M(I)$  denote the Carleman class of all infinitely differentiable complex functions  $f$  defined on  $I$  for which  $\sup_n \{\|f^{(n)}\|_\infty / M_n\}^{1/n} < \infty$ . A class  $\mathcal{E}_M(I)$  is said to be inverse-closed if  $f^{-1}$  is in  $\mathcal{E}_M(I)$  whenever  $f$  is in  $\mathcal{E}_M(I)$  and is bounded away from zero. More generally, analytic functions are said to operate on  $\mathcal{E}_M(I)$  if for any  $f$  in  $\mathcal{E}_M(I)$  and for any  $g$  analytic in an open set containing the closure of the range of  $f$ ,  $g \circ f$  is in  $\mathcal{E}_M(I)$ . A sequence  $M$  is called log-convex if  $M_n^2 \leq M_{n-1}M_{n+1}$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

In his paper on the symbolic calculus for the algebra of real functions which are Fourier transforms of functions in  $L^1(G)$ , P. Malliavin [8] (see J.-P. Kahane [7] for this and other related results) proved the following:

**Theorem A.** *If  $M$  is a log-convex sequence and the sequence  $A \equiv \{A_n = (M_n/n!)^{1/n}\}$  is almost increasing in the sense that there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that  $A_m \leq KA_n$  for each  $m$  and  $n$  with  $m < n$ , then the class  $\mathcal{E}_M(I)$  is inverse-closed.*

The problem as to whether the converse of Theorem A holds was first taken up by W. Rudin [11] who proved that it is so if  $\mathcal{E}_M(\mathbf{R})$  is a non-quasi-analytic class of  $2\pi$ -periodic functions, a restriction that was later removed by J. Boman and

---

Received by the editors May 4, 1989.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 46E25; Secondary 30D60.

*Key words and phrases.* Carleman and local Carleman classes, inverse-closed algebras, log-convex sequences, almost increasing sequences.

This research was supported by NSERC of Canada and FCAR of the Ministry of Education, Québec.

L Hörmander [2]. Thus even when the Carleman classes on  $I \neq \mathbf{R}$  are defined by log-convex sequences, the converse of Theorem A is not known.

It is clear that Malliavin's sufficiency condition is applicable only to classes  $\mathcal{E}_M(I)$  which are defined by log-convex sequences. However there exist classes  $\mathcal{E}_M(I)$  which cannot be so defined. In fact, H. Cartan [5] has shown that if  $I$  is closed, then  $\mathcal{E}_M(I) \neq \mathcal{E}_{M^c}(I)$  even if  $\mathcal{E}_{n!}(I) \subseteq \mathcal{E}_M(I)$ , where  $M^c$  denotes the largest log-convex minorant of  $M$ . Thus for classes  $\mathcal{E}_M(I)$  not defined by log-convex sequences, the problem of finding necessary and sufficient condition on  $M$  in order that they be inverse-closed over arbitrary  $I$ , has remained open so far (see, however, [12]). The same holds for local Carleman classes  $\mathcal{E}_M^*(I)$  of functions which belong to class  $\mathcal{E}_M(J)$  for each compact subinterval  $J$  of  $I$ .

In this paper, we give a complete solution of the problem for these classes. Instead of limiting a priori to classes defined by log-convex sequences  $M$ , we consider arbitrary classes which, as is well known (see [10]), can also be defined by regularized sequences  $M^r$  which vary according to the nature of the interval  $I$ . Using either the characteristic functions of these classes or Baire's category theorem applied to certain Fréchet spaces, we are able to show that they are inverse-closed if and only if  $\{(M_n^r/n!)^{1/n}\}$  is almost increasing. The techniques employed here are different. They are simpler than those used for  $I = \mathbf{R}$  in [11] and [2] and enable us to solve, in particular, the problem of characterization of inverse-closed algebras  $\mathcal{E}_M^{2\pi}([-\pi, \pi])$  of  $2\pi$ -periodic functions, posed by W. Rudin in [11].

The inverse-closed algebras  $\mathcal{E}_M(I)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_M^*(I)$  are, respectively, inductive limits of Banach and Fréchet spaces. Although, with the usual seminorms, they are not locally convex algebras (see [9] for relevant definitions), we can describe their (algebraic) maximal ideals and complex homomorphisms. Thus every maximal ideal of the inverse-closed algebra  $\mathcal{E}_M(I)$  is of the form  $\mathcal{I}_x = \{f \in \mathcal{E}_M(I) : f(x) = 0\}$  for some  $x \in I$  and every complex homeomorphism is a point evaluation.

We may remark that J. Bruna [3] has proved that if  $M$  is log-convex, then the differentiable Beurling classes and their projective limits are inverse-closed if and only if  $\{(M_n/n!)^{1/n}\}$  is almost increasing.

## 2. INVERSE-CLOSED CARLEMAN CLASSES

A Carleman class  $X = \mathcal{E}_M(\mathbf{R})$  is always an algebra. In fact, if

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n^{1/n} = 0, \quad X \equiv \{\text{const}\}$$

and if

$$0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n^{1/n} < \infty, \quad X \equiv \mathcal{E}_1(\mathbf{R}).$$

In both these cases  $X$  is an algebra. Suppose now that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n^{1/n} = \infty$ . If

we set

$$T_M(r) = \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{r^n}{M_n},$$

then

$$(1) \quad M_n^c = \sup_{r \geq 1} \frac{r^n}{T_{sc}(r)}, \quad T_{sc}(r) = \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{r^n}{M_n^c} = T_{M^c}(r).$$

Since  $X \equiv \mathcal{E}_{M^c}(\mathbf{R})$  (see [10], p. 226), and  $M^c$  is log-convex, using the Leibnitz formula for successive derivatives of a product, it is easily seen that  $X$  is an algebra.

Similarly  $X = \mathcal{E}_M(\mathbf{R}^+)$  is always an algebra. In fact, if  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} nM_n^{1/n} = 0$ ,  $X \equiv \{\text{const}\}$  and if  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} nM_n^{1/n} < \infty$  then  $X \equiv \mathcal{E}_{n^{-n}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . Suppose now that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nM_n^{1/n} = \infty$ . Then  $X \equiv \mathcal{E}_{M^d}(\mathbf{R}_+)$  (see [10], p. 226), where  $M^d \equiv \{M_n^d\}$  is defined by setting  $n^n M_n^d = (n^n M_n)^c$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). If we put

$$T_M^*(r) = \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{r^n}{n^n M_n} \quad (r \geq 1),$$

then

$$(2) \quad n^n M_n^d = \sup_{r \geq 1} \frac{r^n}{T_{sc}^*(r)} \quad \text{and} \quad T_{sc}^*(r) = \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{r^n}{n^n M_n^d} = T_{M^d}^*(r).$$

Here, as before, in all the above three cases  $\mathcal{E}_M(\mathbf{R}_+)$  is an algebra.

A Carleman class  $\mathcal{E}_M(I)$  consisting of the constants alone is always inverse-closed. For nontrivial inverse-closed Carleman classes, we have the following characterization.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X = \mathcal{E}_M(\mathbf{R})$  or  $\mathcal{E}_M(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . If  $X$  is nontrivial, then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n^{1/n} = \infty$  and the sequence  $A$  is almost increasing.
- (b) Analytic functions operate on  $X$ .
- (c)  $X$  is an inverse-closed algebra.

Here  $A = \{A_n\}$ , where  $A_n = (M_n^c/n!)^{1/n}$  or  $(M_n^d/n!)^{1/n}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) according as  $X = \mathcal{E}_M(\mathbf{R})$  or  $\mathcal{E}_M(\mathbf{R}_+)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $X = \mathcal{E}_M(\mathbf{R})$ . Suppose that (a) holds. Then  $X \equiv \mathcal{E}_{M^c}(\mathbf{R})$ . That analytic functions operate on  $X$  now follows since  $A$  is almost increasing, if we use Faà di Bruno's formula viz.,

$$(3) \quad (g \circ f)^{(n)}(x) = \sum \frac{n!}{k_1! \cdots k_n!} g^{(k)}(f(x)) \left( \frac{f'(x)}{1!} \right)^{k_1} \cdots \left( \frac{f^{(n)}(x)}{n!} \right)^{k_n},$$

where

$$2^{n-1} = \sum \frac{k!}{k_1! \cdots k_n!},$$

and the summation in the two cases is over all  $n$ -tuples  $(k_1, \dots, k_n)$  such that  $k_1 + \dots + k_n = k$  and  $k_1 + 2k_2 + \dots + nk_n = n$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n$ ). Thus (b) holds.

Trivially (b) implies (c). It remains to be shown that (c) implies (a). Let (c) hold. Since  $X$  is nontrivial, we cannot have  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n^{1/n} = 0$ , for otherwise, we would have  $\mathcal{E}_M(\mathbf{R}) \equiv \{\text{const}\}$ . We cannot have  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n^{1/n} < \infty$  either, for then we would have  $\mathcal{E}_M(\mathbf{R}) \equiv \mathcal{E}_1(\mathbf{R})$  which is not inverse-closed contradicting the hypothesis, since  $f$ , where  $f(x) = 2 + \sin x$  is in  $\mathcal{E}_1(\mathbf{R})$  but not its inverse (see [1], p. 25). Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n^{1/n} = \infty$ . It follows from (1) that there exists a positive sequence  $\{r_n\}$  such that  $r_n^n = M_n^c T_{M^c}(r_n)$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). The function

$$(4) \quad f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ir_j x}}{2^j T_{M^c}(r_j)},$$

is a characteristic function of  $X$  since it is easily seen that

- 1° .  $|f^{(n)}(x)| \leq M_n^c$  ( $n \geq 0; x \in \mathbf{R}$ ),
- 2° .  $f^{(n)}(0) = i^n s_n$  ( $n \geq 0$ ), where  $s_n \geq 2^{-n} M_n^c$ .

If  $\lambda > 1 + \|f\|_{\infty}$ , then  $\lambda - f \in X$  and since it does not vanish on  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $X$  is inverse-closed,  $(\lambda - f)^{-1}$  belongs to  $X$ . From (3), we get

$$\sum \frac{k!}{k_1! \dots k_n!} (\lambda - f(0))^{-k-1} \left(\frac{M_1^c}{1!2}\right)^{k_1} \dots \left(\frac{M_n^c}{n!2^n}\right)^{k_n} \leq AB^n \frac{M_n^c}{n!}.$$

Let  $p > 1$  be a fixed integer and suppose that  $n = pk$ . The term in the above inequality that corresponds to the choice  $k_p = k$  and  $k_q = 0$  for  $q \neq p$  does not exceed that on the right so that

$$\left(\frac{M_p^c}{p!}\right)^{1/p} \leq K_1 \left(\frac{M_n^c}{n!}\right)^{1/n}.$$

If  $n$  is not a multiple of  $p$ , let  $pm \leq n \leq p(m + 1)$ . Since  $\{(M_n^c)^{1/n}\}$  is increasing, we get

$$\left(\frac{M_n^c}{n!}\right)^{1/n} \geq \left(\frac{M_{pm}^c}{(pm)!}\right)^{1/pm} \frac{((pm)!)^{1/pm}}{(n!)^{1/n}} \geq \frac{1}{K_2} \left(\frac{M_p^c}{p!}\right)^{1/p}$$

so that (a) holds.

(ii) Let  $X = \mathcal{E}_M(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . Suppose that (a) holds. Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nM_n^{1/n} = \infty$ ,  $X \equiv \mathcal{E}_{M^d}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . As in (i), (a) implies (b) and (b) implies (c). Suppose that (c) holds. Since  $X$  is nontrivial, we cannot have  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} nM_n^{1/n} = 0$ . We cannot have  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} nM_n^{1/n} < \infty$ . For then  $X \equiv \mathcal{E}_{n^{-n}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$  which is not inverse-closed. In fact, let

$$h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^k}{(2k + 3)!}, \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}_+).$$

Using the properties of Mittag-Leffler function, we prove that

$$(5) \quad |h^{(n)}(x)| \leq 2e^n n^{-n} \quad (n \geq 1), \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}_+),$$

so that  $h \in X$ . Choosing  $\lambda > 1 + \|h\|_\infty$  and applying (3), we get

$$[(\lambda - h(x))^{-1}]_{x=0}^{(2m)} \geq \frac{(2m)!}{(7!)^m m! (\lambda - h(0))^{m+1}}.$$

It follows that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \left( \max_{x \in \mathbf{R}_+} | \{ (\lambda - h(x))^{-1} \}^{(n)} | \right)^{1/n} = \infty.$$

Hence  $(\lambda - h)^{-1} \notin X$ , i.e.  $X$  is not inverse-closed contradicting the hypothesis. Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nM_n^{1/n} = \infty$  and  $X \equiv \mathcal{E}_{M^d}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . It follows from (2) that there exists a positive sequence  $\{r_n\}$  such that  $r_n^n = n^n M_n^d T_{M^d}^*(r_n)$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). The function

$$(6) \quad f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{h(r_j x)}{2^j T_{M^d}^*(r_j)}$$

is a characteristic function of  $X$  since, by (5),

- 1° .  $|f^{(n)}(x)| \leq 2e^n M_n^d$  ( $n \geq 0; x \in \mathbf{R}_+$ ),
- 2° .  $f^{(n)}(0) = (-1)^n s_n$ , where  $s_n \geq \mu^n M_n^d$  ( $\mu > 0$ ).

If we choose  $\lambda > 1 + \|f\|_\infty$ , then reasoning as in (i), we conclude that if  $p > 1$  is a fixed integer and  $n$  is a multiple of  $p$ , say,  $n = pk$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{(\lambda - f(0))^{k+1}} \left( \frac{p^p p! M_p^d}{(2p+3)! 2^p} \right)^k \leq AB^n \frac{M_n^d}{n!}$$

and consequently

$$\left( \frac{M_p^d}{p!} \right)^{1/p} \leq K \left( \frac{M_n^d}{n!} \right)^{1/n}.$$

The result for arbitrary  $n$  now follows as in (i) if we remember that  $\{(n^n M_n^d)^{1/n}\}$  is increasing.

### 3. INVERSE-CLOSED LOCAL CARLEMAN CLASSES

We now proceed to characterize the inverse-closed local Carleman classes.

If  $I$  is a finite or infinite open interval, then  $\mathcal{E}_M^*(I) \equiv \mathcal{E}_{M^0}^*(I)$  (see [10], p. 223), where  $M^0 = \{M_n^0\}$  is defined by setting

$$S_M(r) = \max_{n \leq r} \frac{r^n}{M_n}, \quad M_n^0 = \sup_{r \geq n} \frac{r^n}{S_M(r)}.$$

Then  $S_M(r) = S_{M^0}(r)$ . But if  $I$  is an arbitrary interval then  $\mathcal{E}_M^*(I) \equiv \mathcal{E}_{M^f}^*(I)$  (see [10], p. 223 and [4], p. 718), where  $M^f = \{M_n^f\}$  is defined by setting

$$U_{sc}(r) = \max_{n \leq r} \frac{r^{2n}}{n^n M_n} \quad \text{and} \quad n^n M_n^f = \sup_{r \geq n} \frac{r^{2n}}{U_M(r)}.$$

Then  $U_M(r) = U_{M^f}(r)$ .

A local Carleman class  $X = \mathcal{E}_M^*(I)$  is not always an algebra (see [6], p. 337). However, it is so if it contains the local analytic class. In fact, if  $I$  is open, then, as shown by H. Cartan (see [5], p. 7),  $X \equiv \mathcal{E}_{M^c}^*(I)$  so that  $X \equiv \mathcal{E}_{M^0}^*(I) \equiv \mathcal{E}_{M^c}^*(I)$  is an algebra. The same is true for local Carleman class  $\mathcal{E}_M^*(I)$  defined on a closed or a semi-closed interval  $I$ . However in this case the following analogue of Cartan's result holds.

**Lemma I.** *If  $X = \mathcal{E}_M^*(I) \supseteq \mathcal{E}_{n!}^*(I)$ , then  $X \equiv \mathcal{E}_{M^f}^*(I) \equiv \mathcal{E}_{M^d}^*(I)$  for any interval  $I$ . Consequently  $X$  is an algebra.*

*Proof.* Since  $X \equiv \mathcal{E}_M^*(I) \supseteq \mathcal{E}_{n!}^*(I)$ , it follows that  $M_n \geq k^n M_0 n!$  ( $n \geq 0$ ). Choose  $f \in \mathcal{E}_M^*(I)$ . Let  $J$  be a compact subinterval of  $I$  and let  $I'$  be a compact subinterval of  $I$  such that each point  $x$  of  $J$  is in a subinterval of fixed length  $\lambda$  ( $< 1$ ) contained in  $I'$ , where  $|f^{(n)}(x)| \leq K \sigma^n M_n$  ( $n \geq 0$ ).

Choose  $\sigma$  so large that  $\lambda \sigma \geq k^{-1}$ . Clearly  $n!k M_0 \leq K(\lambda \sigma)^n M_n$  ( $n \geq 0$ ). Let  $\{n_i\}$  be the sequence of principal indices for  $M' = \{K \sigma^n M_n\}$  and let  $n_i < n < n_{i+1}$ . Since  $(K \sigma^n n^n M_n^d)$  is log-convex, applying Cartan-Gorny inequalities (see [10], p. 219), we conclude that for any  $x$  in  $J$

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{(n)}(x)| &\leq 2(e^2 r p^{-1})^p (K(\lambda \sigma)^{n_i} M_{n_i})^{q/r} (K(\lambda \sigma)^{n_{i+1}} M_{n_{i+1}})^{p/r} \\ &\leq 2K(e^2 r p^{-1})^p n_i^{-n_i q/r} n_{i+1}^{-n_{i+1} p/r} (\lambda \sigma n)^n M_n^d, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p = n - n_i$ ,  $q = n_{i+1} - n$ ,  $r = n_{i+1} - n_i$ . Set  $u = n_{i+1}/n_i$ . Then

$$n_i^{-n_i q/r} n_{i+1}^{-n_{i+1} p/r} = (u^{u/(u-1)}(u-1))^{n-n_i} \leq 1.$$

Hence

$$|f^{(n)}(x)| \leq \mu^n M_n^d \quad (x \in J).$$

Since  $M_{n_i} = M_{n_i}^d$ , this inequality holds for all  $n \geq 0$ . Thus  $f \in \mathcal{E}_{M^d}^*(I)$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{E}_{M^d}^*(I)$  is an algebra.

Thus a local Carleman algebra  $X = \mathcal{E}_M^*(I) \supseteq \mathcal{E}_{n!}^*(I)$  has two regularizations viz.  $X \equiv \mathcal{E}_{M^0}^*(I) \equiv \mathcal{E}_{M^c}^*(I)$  or  $X \equiv \mathcal{E}_{M^f}^*(I) \equiv \mathcal{E}_{M^d}^*(I)$  according as  $I$  is open or arbitrary.

Although, in general, it is not true, the equivalence of classes in these two cases does imply that the sequences  $M^0$  and  $M^c$  and the sequences  $M^f$  and  $M^d$  are equivalent in the sense that for some constants  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$

$$(7) \quad (a) \beta^n M_n^0 \leq M_n^c \leq \alpha^n M_n^0, \quad (b) \beta^n M_n^f \leq M_n^d \leq \alpha^n M_n^f \quad (n \geq 1).$$

The second halves of (7)(a) and (b) are obviously true with  $\alpha = 1$ . For  $I$  finite, (7) follows from the inclusion theorems of H. Cartan and S. Mandelbrojt (see [10], p. 238). The arguments used by these authors fail when  $I$  is infinite. However, using Baire's category theorem, we get the same result valid in all cases.

**Lemma II.** *For any finite or infinite open interval  $I$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_M^*(I) \subseteq \mathcal{E}_N^*(I)$  if and only if  $(M_n^0)^{1/n} = O[(N_n^0)^{1/n}]$  or  $(M_n^0)^{1/n} = O[(N_n)^{1/n}]$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{E}_M^*(I) \equiv \mathcal{E}_{M^0}^*(I)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_N^*(I) \equiv \mathcal{E}_{N^0}^*(I)$ , the sufficiency of the conditions is obvious. So we prove that they are necessary. We may suppose, without loss of generality, that  $I = ]-1, 1[$  or  $] -1, \infty[$  or  $\mathbf{R}$ . For each  $n$ , choose an integer  $h_n \geq n$  such that

$$(8) \quad \frac{h_n^n}{S_{M^0}(r)} \geq \frac{M_n^0}{e}.$$

For  $n \geq 2$ , set  $I_n = [-1 + (1/h_n), 1 - (1/h_n)]$  or  $[-1 + (1/h_n), h_n]$  or  $[-h_n, h_n]$  according as  $I = ]-1, 1[$  or  $] -1, \infty[$  or  $[-\infty, \infty[$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the class of functions  $f \in \mathcal{E}^\infty(I)$  such that

$$p_k(f) = \sup_{n \geq 0} \max_{x \in I_k} \frac{|f^{(n)}(x)|}{M_n^0}, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots$$

$\mathcal{F}$  is a Fréchet space with seminorms  $\{p_k\}$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{M^0}^*(I)$ . Set

$$V_j = \{f \in \mathcal{F} : |f^{(n)}(0)| \leq j^{n+1} N_n^0 \ (n \geq 0)\}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

If  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{E}_{M^0}^*(I) \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{N^0}^*(I)$ . Hence  $f \in V_j$  for some  $j$ . Thus  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_1^\infty V_j$ . Clearly,  $V_j$ 's are closed in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Hence, by Baire's category theorem, there exists a seminorm  $p_r$ , a  $\delta > 0$  and a  $V_s$  such that  $p_r(f) \leq \delta$  implies that  $f \in V_s$ . Set  $\alpha = 1/6h_r$  and let

$$f(x) = \frac{\delta Z_{h_n}(\alpha x)}{2S_{M^0}(h_n)},$$

where

$$Z_n(x) = (-1)^{[n/2]} T_n(x) + (-1)^{[(n-1)/2]} T_{n-1}(x),$$

$T_n(x)$  denoting the Chebyshev polynomial of degree  $n$  and  $[t]$ , the integral part of  $t$ . For  $x \in I_q$ ,  $f^{(k)}(x) \equiv 0$  if  $k > h_n$ , and

$$|f^{(k)}(x)| = \frac{1}{2} \delta \alpha^k \frac{|Z_{h_n}^{(k)}(\alpha x)|}{S_{M^0}(h_n)} \leq A_q M_k^0$$

if  $1 \leq k \leq h_n$ , since

$$(9) \quad |T_n^{(k)}(x)| \leq (4n)^k e^{4ne|x|}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Thus  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $x \in I_r$ , then

$$|f^{(k)}(x)| \leq \delta \frac{h_n^k}{S_{M^0}(h_n)} \frac{3^k \alpha^k}{(1 - \alpha^2 h_r^2)^k} \leq \delta M_k^0$$

since (see [10], p. 206) for  $-1 < x < 1$ :

$$|Z_n^{(k)}(x)| \leq \frac{2 \cdot 3^k n^k}{(1 - x^2)^k} \quad (0 \leq k \leq n).$$

Hence  $p_r(f) \leq \delta$ . Then  $f \in V_s$  and so for every  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \delta \alpha^k \frac{|Z_{h_n}^{(k)}(0)|}{S_{M^0}(h_n)} \leq s^{k+1} N_k^0.$$

Since

$$(n/e)^k \leq |Z_n^{(k)}(0)| \leq n^k,$$

it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \delta \frac{\alpha^k}{e^k} \frac{h_n^k}{S_{M^0}(h_n)} \leq s^{k+1} N_k^0.$$

Choosing  $k = n$  and using (8), we see that, for some  $\beta > 0$ ,

$$\beta^n M_n^0 \leq N_n^0 \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Thus the first condition is necessary and so also the second.

If we apply this lemma with  $N_n = M_n^0$ , we get the first half of (7)(a). To prove (7)(b), we need the following:

**Lemma III.** *If  $I$  is not an open interval, then  $\mathcal{E}_M^*(I) \subseteq \mathcal{E}_N^*(I)$  if and only if  $(M_n^f)^{1/n} = O[(N_n^f)^{1/n}]$  or  $(M_n^f)^{1/n} = O[(N_n)^{1/n}]$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{E}_M^*(I) \equiv \mathcal{E}_{M^f}^*(I)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_N^*(I) \equiv \mathcal{E}_{N^f}^*(I)$ , we need prove only the necessity part of the lemma. Suppose  $I = \mathbf{R}_+$ . Let  $I_h = [0, h]$  ( $h \geq 2$ ) and let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the class of functions  $f \in \mathcal{E}^\infty(I)$  such that for each  $h \geq 2$ ,

$$p_h(f) = \sup_{n \geq 0} \left( \max_{x \in I_h} |f^{(n)}(x)| / M_n^f \right) < \infty.$$

$\{p_h\}$  is a family of seminorms on  $\mathcal{F}$  making  $\mathcal{F}$  a Fréchet space. Let

$$V_j = \{f \in \mathcal{F} : |f^{(n)}(1)| \leq j^{n+1} N_n^f \quad (n \geq 1), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

Clearly  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_1^\infty V_j$  and  $V_j$ 's are closed. Applying Baire's category theorem, we get a seminorm  $p_r$ , a  $\delta > 0$  and a  $V_s$  such that  $p_r(f) \leq \delta$  implies that  $f \in V_s$ . Let

$$(10) \quad f(x) = \frac{\delta T_{k_n}(x/r)}{U_{M^f}(k_n)},$$

where  $T_n$  denotes the Chebyshev polynomial of degree  $n$  and  $\{k_n\}$  a sequence of integers chosen such that  $k_n \geq n$  and

$$(11) \quad \frac{k_n^{2n}}{U_{M^f}(k_n)} \geq \frac{n^n M_n^f}{e^2}.$$

$f \in \mathcal{F}$  since  $f^{(m)}(x) = 0$  for  $x \in I_h$  and  $m > k_n$  and, by (9),

$$|f^{(m)}(x)| \leq A_h M_n^f, \quad x \in I_h, \quad m \leq k_n.$$

Since, for  $x \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $|T_n^{(j)}(x)| \leq (en^2/2j)^j$ , we have

$$p_r(f) \leq \frac{\delta}{U_{M^f}(k_n)} \sup_{m \leq k_n} \frac{k_n^{2m}}{m^m M_m^f} \leq \delta,$$

Therefore  $f \in V_s$  and so for each  $m \geq 1$ .

$$\delta r^{-m} \left(\frac{2}{em}\right)^m \frac{k_n^{2m}}{U_{M^f}(k_n)} \leq s^{m+1} N_m.$$

Since  $T_n^{(j)}(1) \geq (2n^2/ej)^j$ . Choosing  $m = n$ , we get from (11)

$$\delta r^{-n} \left(\frac{2}{en}\right)^n e^{-2} n^n M_n^f \leq s^{n+1} N_n^f.$$

Thus  $(M_n^f)^{1/n} = O[(N_n^f)^{1/n}]$  and so also  $(M_n^f)^{1/n} = O[(N_n^f)^{1/n}]$ .

If  $I$  is finite, we may take it to be  $[-1, 1]$  or  $] - 1, 1[$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the Banach space of functions  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(I)$  such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \sup_{n \geq 0} \left( \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |f^{(n)}(x)| / M_n^f \right) < \infty.$$

Clearly  $\mathcal{B}$  is a union of the closed sets  $V_j$ , where

$$V_j = \{f \in \mathcal{B} : |f^{(n)}(1)| \leq j^{n+1} N_n^f \quad (n \geq 1), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

Arguing as before with  $r = (e/2)$  in (10), we complete the proof.

If  $X = \mathcal{E}_M^*(I) \supseteq \mathcal{E}_{n!}^*(I)$ , we choose  $N_n = M_n^d$  in Lemma III, and get the first half of (7)(b).

The following theorem characterizes the inverse-closed local Carleman classes:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $X = \mathcal{E}_M^*(I)$ . The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a) *The sequence  $A = \{A_n\}$  is almost increasing.*
- (b) *Analytic functions operate on  $X$ .*
- (c)  *$X$  is an inverse-closed algebra.*

Here  $A_n = (M_n^0/n!)^{1/n}$  or  $(M_n^f/n!)^{1/n}$  according as  $I$  is open or not.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $X \equiv \mathcal{E}_M^*(I)$ , where  $I$  is an open interval which we may suppose, without loss of generality, to be  $] - 1, 1[$  or  $] - 1, \infty[$  or  $\mathbf{R}$ . Let (a) hold. Since  $A$  is almost increasing, from (2), we conclude that analytic functions operate on  $X$ . This trivially implies that  $X$  is an algebra. Thus (b) holds.

Suppose that (c) holds. If we choose  $f(x) = 1 + x^2$ , then  $f$  and consequently  $f^{-1}$  belongs to  $X$  so that  $n! \leq AB^n M_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). Thus  $\mathcal{E}_{n!}^*(I) \subseteq X$  and  $X \equiv \mathcal{E}_{M^c}^*(I)$ . Moreover, reasoning as in (i) of the proof of Theorem 1 and using the function  $f$  constructed there, we see that  $\{(M_n^c/n!)^{1/n}\}$  is almost increasing. But then, by (7),  $A$  is also almost increasing. Thus (c) holds.

(ii) Let  $X \equiv \mathcal{E}_M^*(I)$ , where  $I$  is not open. Then  $X \equiv \mathcal{E}_{M^f}^*(I)$ . Here we take  $A_n = (M_n^f/n!)^{1/n}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). As in (i), (a) implies (b) and (b) implies (c). We only need show that (c) implies (a).

Without loss of generality, we may suppose that  $I = [0, 1]$  or  $]0, 1]$  or  $\mathbf{R}_+$ . Since  $X$  is inverse-closed and  $f \in X$ , where  $f(x) = 1 + x$ , it follows that  $f^{-1} \in X$  so that  $X \supseteq \mathcal{E}_{n!}^*(I)$ . But then, by Lemma I,  $X \equiv \mathcal{E}_{M^d}^*(I)$ . Hence the function  $f$  constructed in (ii) of the proof of Theorem 1 or its restriction is in  $X$  and so also its inverse  $f^{-1}$ . From this point on the same proof with obvious modifications goes through and we conclude that  $\{(M_n^d/n!)^{1/n}\}$  is almost increasing. But then, by (7),  $A$  is almost increasing.

#### 4. REMARKS

We make a few concluding remarks.

1°. The following theorem which completes Theorem A characterizes inverse-closed Carleman and local Carleman algebras defined by log-convex sequences  $M$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $X = \mathcal{E}_M(I)$  or  $\mathcal{E}_M^*(I)$ , where  $M$  is log-convex. The following assertions are equivalent.*

- (a)  $\{(M_n/n!)^{1/n}\}$  is almost increasing.
- (b) Analytic functions operate on  $X$ .
- (c)  $X$  is an inverse-closed algebra.

*Proof.* It suffices to note that (c) implies (a) as in (i) of the proof of Theorem 1 since, by trivial modifications, the characteristic function constructed there becomes such a function for any class  $\mathcal{E}_M(I)$  or  $\mathcal{E}_M^*(I)$  with the desired properties.

2°. Theorems 1 and 2 characterize all inverse-closed Carleman and local Carleman algebras if we note that  $\mathcal{E}_M(I) \equiv \mathcal{E}_M(\bar{I})$  and that for finite  $I$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_M(I) \equiv \mathcal{E}_M(\bar{I}) \equiv \mathcal{E}_M^*(I)$ .

3°. If we repeat the proof of Theorem 1 for the class  $X \equiv \mathcal{E}_M^{2\pi}([0, 2\pi])$ , replacing the function  $f$  used there by the function

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i[r_j]x}}{2^j T_{M^c}(r_j)},$$

where  $[t]$  denotes, as usual, the integral part of  $t$ , we conclude in response to the question raised by W. Rudin [11] that  $X$  is inverse-closed if and only if  $\{(M_n^c/n!)^{1/n}\}$  is almost increasing.

## REFERENCES

1. T. Bang, *Om quasianalytiske funktioner*, Nyt Nordisk Forlag, Copenhagen, 1946.
2. J. Boman and L. Hörmander, *Classes of infinitely differentiable functions*, (mimeographed notes) (Swedish), Stockholm, 1962.
3. J. Bruna, *On inverse-closed algebras of infinitely differentiable functions*, *Studia Math.* **69** (1980), 61–67.
4. H. Cartan, *Solution d'une problème de Carleman pour un intervalle fermé fini*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **208** (1939), 716–718.
5. ———, *Sur les classes de fonctions définies par des inégalités portant sur les dérivées successives*, Herman, Paris, 1940.
6. A. Gorny, *Contributions à l'études des fonctions dérivables d'une variable réelle*, *Acta Math.* **71** (1939), 317–358.
7. J.-P. Kahane, *Séries de Fourier absolument convergentes*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.
8. P. Malliavin, *Calcul symbolique et sous-algèbres de  $L^1(G)$* , *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **87** (1959), 181–186.
9. A. Mallios, *Topological algebras. Selected topics*, North-Holland Math. Studies, **124**, Amsterdam, 1986.
10. S. Mandelbrojt, *Séries adhérentes, régularisation des suites, applications*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1952.
11. W. Rudin, *Division in algebras of infinitely differentiable functions*, *J. Math. Mech.* **11** (1962), 797–809.
12. J. A. Siddiqi, *Sur les classe de fonctions différentiables fermées par passage à l'inverse*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **305** (1987), 9–12.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET DE STATISTIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ LAVAL, CITÉ UNIVERSITAIRE, QUÉBEC, P.Q. G1K 7P4 CANADA