

COMMUTATORS AND Π -SUBGROUPS

ROLF BRANDL

(Communicated by Warren J. Wong)

Dedicated to Professor B. H. Neumann on the occasion of his 80th birthday

ABSTRACT. The following statement is shown to be true for a large class of groups G : if every commutator in G is a Π -element, then G' is a Π -group

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that not every element contained in the commutator subgroup G' of a group G necessarily is a commutator. The smallest example is a group of order 96 (see [2, 3]). In fact, the nonabelian free groups show that, in general, there is no bound for the number of commutators needed to express an element of G' (see [7, p. 55]). This situation can occur even when G' is cyclic [8]. These examples show that G' can be much 'larger' than the set of all commutators. Indeed, the situation as described above seems to be the rule rather the exception. Although a long standing question of O. Ore [11] asks to show that every element in a finite simple group G is a commutator (using [4] this is easy once the character table of G is known), Marty Isaacs [6] has constructed perfect groups for which this is not true.

A positive result in this context could be something like: a 'good' property of the commutators prevails for the commutator subgroup. Apart from the trivial remark that if every commutator is the identity, then G is abelian, there seems to exist only the (highly nontrivial) result of I. D. Macdonald [9] saying that if all commutators in G are of order ≤ 2 , then G' is of exponent 4. The groups constructed by B. H. Neumann [10] show that $\exp(G') = 4$ indeed can happen.

Macdonald comments in [9, p. 279] that "no one seems to have decided whether a group in which all commutators have finite, or bounded, order can have a nonperiodic commutator subgroup." A partial answer to this is the following main result of this paper:

Theorem. *Let G be hyper-(torsionfree or locally finite) and let Π be a set of primes. If every commutator in G is a Π -element, then G' is a Π -group. In particular, if every commutator has finite order, then G' is a torsion group.*

Received by the editors May 1, 1989 and, in revised form, July 10, 1989.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 20D20, 20F14.

Key words and phrases. Commutators, commutator subgroup.

We shall use standard notation throughout, see [5] or [12]. Moreover, a group G is called hyper-(torsionfree or locally finite) if every nonidentity factor group of G either has a nontrivial torsionfree or a nontrivial locally finite normal subgroup. This class of groups contains all finite groups and all soluble groups, for example. A group is called Π -closed if the set of all its Π -elements forms a subgroup.

2. THE PROOFS

For reasons of clarity, we first prove some special cases of the theorem. A weaker version of Proposition 1 can be found in [1].

Proposition 1. *Let G be a finite group, let Π be a set of primes and let r be a positive integer. If $[x, {}_r y]$ is a Π -element for all $x, y \in G$, then $\gamma_\infty(G)$ is a Π -group. If $r = 1$, then G' is a Π -group.*

Proof. The hypothesis on G clearly is inherited by subgroups and quotients. Let p be a prime not contained in Π . We first show that G is p -nilpotent. Let G be a counterexample of least possible order. A result of Itô [5, p. 434] implies that G is a split extension of a normal p -subgroup P by a p' -group Q . By hypothesis, $z = [x, {}_r y]$ is a p' -element for all $x \in P, y \in Q$. As $z \in P$, we have $z = 1$. By [5, p. 350], this implies $[x, y] = 1$ and so $G = P \times Q$ is p -nilpotent, a contradiction.

Thus G is p -nilpotent for all primes p not contained in Π . So G is Π -closed and $G/O_\Pi(G)$ is nilpotent. This proves the first assertion. If $r = 1$, then every commutator in G belongs to $O_\Pi(G)$ and so G' is a Π -group as claimed. \square

The following shows that in Proposition 1 there does not exist a positive integer k such that $\gamma_k(G)$ is a Π -group for every G .

Example. Let Π be a set of odd primes and let G be the wreath product of a group of order 2 by an infinite elementary abelian 2-group. Then $[x, {}_3 y] = 1$ is a Π -element for all $x, y \in G$, but $\gamma_k(G)$ is a nontrivial 2-group for every k .

Proposition 2. *The theorem is true if $G/Z(G)$ is locally finite.*

Proof. Obviously, we can assume that G is finitely generated and so $Z = Z(G)$ has finite index in G . Thus Z is finitely generated and hence has a torsionfree subgroup S of finite index. Also, by a result of Schur (see [12, Theorem 4.12]), G' is finite. Thus G' and S have trivial intersection and hence $(G/S)'$ is isomorphic to G' . The result now follows from Proposition 1. \square

Proof of the theorem. We can assume that G has no normal Π -subgroups. Let S be a normal subgroup of G maximal with respect to containing no Π -elements. This exists by Zorn's Lemma. By hypothesis, S is contained in the centre of

G . If $S = G$, then G is abelian and we are done. Otherwise, there exists a normal subgroup R of G properly containing S with R/S either torsionfree or locally finite. The maximality of S yields that R/S cannot be torsionfree, so R/S is locally finite. Here, Proposition 2 implies that R' is a Π -subgroup. Thus R' is a normal Π -subgroup of G , and hence it must be trivial. Thus R is abelian. The Π -elements of R form a normal subgroup of G , and so R does not contain any Π -elements. But this contradicts the choice of S . \square

We are indebted to the referee for pointing out the following consequence of our result.

Corollary. *Let G be a group and assume that one of the following conditions hold. (a) G is linear, or (b) G is residually finite and G' is a torsion group.*

Then the theorem holds for G .

Proof. (a) If G is linear, then by Tits' alternative [13], either G contains a nonabelian free subgroup or G is soluble-by-finite. In the first case there are commutators of infinite order while in the second case the theorem applies.

(b) If G' is not a Π -group, then there exists an element $g \in G'$ of prime order p not in Π . Now let N be a normal subgroup of finite index in G that does not contain g . Then $gN \in (G/N)'$ and so $(G/N)'$ is not a Π -group, against the theorem. \square

We add some obvious questions:

Remark. (a) By Macdonald's result [9], if $[x, y]^2 = 1$ for all $x, y \in G$, then G is centre-by-metabelian. It is not known whether $[x, y]^3 = 1$ for all $x, y \in G$ implies that G is soluble.

(b) It is not known whether there is a function f on the positive integers with the following property. Let G be a (finite) group and assume that $[x, y]^n = 1$ for all $x, y \in G$. Then $z^{f(n)} = 1$ for all $z \in G'$. Trivially, $f(1) = 1$ and Macdonald's result shows that one can take $f(2) = 4$. Does $f(3)$ exist?

REFERENCES

1. R. M. Guralnick, *Triangularization of sets of matrices*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **9** (1980), 133–140.
2. —, *Expressing group elements as commutators*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **10** (1980), 651–654.
3. —, *Commutators and the commutator subgroup*, Adv. in Math. **45** (1982), 319–330.
4. K. Honda, *On commutators in finite groups*, Comment. Univ. Sancti Pauli **2** (1953), 9–12.
5. B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer, Berlin, 1967.
6. I. M. Isaacs, *Commutators and the commutator subgroup*, Amer. Math. Monthly **84** (1977), 720–722.
7. R. C. Lyndon and P. E. Schupp, *Combinatorial group theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
8. I. D. Macdonald, *On cyclic commutator subgroups*, J. London Math. Soc. **38** (1963), 419–422.
9. —, *On certain varieties of groups*, Math. Z. **76** (1976), 270–282.

10. B. H. Neumann, *On a conjecture of Hanna Neumann*, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. **3** (1956), 13–17.
11. O. Ore, *Some remarks on commutators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1951), 307–314.
12. D. J. S. Robinson, *Finiteness conditions and generalized soluble groups, Part I*, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
13. J. Tits, *Free subgroups in linear groups*, J. Algebra **20** (1972), 250–270.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, AM HUBLAND 12, D-8700 WÜRZBURG, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY