

## FLAT SURFACES WITH MEAN CURVATURE VECTOR OF CONSTANT LENGTH IN EUCLIDEAN SPACES

KAZUYUKI ENOMOTO

(Communicated by Jonathan M. Rosenberg)

**ABSTRACT.** Complete flat surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are studied under the condition that the normal connection is flat and the length of the mean curvature vector is constant. It is shown that such a surface must be the product of two curves of constant geodesic curvature.

In this paper we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem.** *Let  $M$  be a complete  $C^\infty$  flat surface in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that the normal connection of  $M$  is flat and the length of the mean curvature vector is constant. Then there exist curves of constant geodesic curvature  $C_1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  and  $C_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-r}$  ( $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ ) such that  $M$  is congruent to the Riemannian product of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ .*

A surface is called *flat* if the Gaussian curvature is zero at every point.

Let  $M$  be a surface in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We denote the standard inner product and the covariant differentiation of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and  $\bar{D}$  respectively. For tangent vector fields  $X, Y$ , and a normal vector field  $\xi$  of  $M$ , we write  $\bar{D}_X Y = D_X Y + B(X, Y)$  and  $\bar{D}_X \xi = -A_\xi X + D_X^\perp \xi$ , where  $D_X Y$  (resp.  $-A_\xi X$ ) is the tangential component of  $\bar{D}_X Y$  (resp.  $\bar{D}_X \xi$ ), and  $B(X, Y)$  (resp.  $D_X^\perp \xi$ ) is the normal component of  $\bar{D}_X Y$  (resp.  $\bar{D}_X \xi$ ). Let  $\{e_i\}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be a local orthonormal frame field of the tangent bundle  $TM$  of  $M$  and  $\{e_\alpha\}$  ( $\alpha = 3, \dots, n$ ) be a local orthonormal frame field of the normal bundle  $T^\perp M$  of  $M$ . We define  $\omega_{AB}(X) = \langle \bar{D}_X e_A, e_B \rangle$  ( $A, B = 1, \dots, n$ ) for  $X$  in  $TM$ . We say that a point  $p$  in  $M$  is *umbilical* with respect to a normal vector  $\xi$  at  $p$  if  $A_\xi$  is proportional to the identity transformation of the tangent space  $T_p M$  of  $M$  at  $p$ . If  $K$  denotes the Gaussian curvature of  $M$ , the Gauss equation is given by

$$(1) \quad K = \langle B(e_1, e_1), B(e_2, e_2) \rangle - \langle B(e_1, e_2), B(e_1, e_2) \rangle.$$

---

Received by the editors July 28, 1989 and, in revised form, October 19, 1989.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 53C42; Secondary 53A07.

*Key words and phrases.* Flat surface, mean curvature vector, product surface.

The Codazzi equation is given by

$$(2) \quad (D_X B)(Y, Z) - (D_Y B)(X, Z) = 0$$

for tangent vector fields  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$ .

**Lemma 1.** *If  $M$  is flat and the normal connection of  $M$  is flat, then there exists an orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  of  $T_p M$  for each  $p$  in  $M$  such that  $B(e_1, e_2) = B(e_2, e_1) = 0$  and  $\langle B(e_1, e_1), B(e_2, e_2) \rangle = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since the normal connection is flat, all  $A_\xi$ 's ( $\xi \in T_p^\perp M$ ) are simultaneously diagonalizable. Hence there exists an orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  of  $T_p M$  such that  $\langle A_\xi e_1, e_2 \rangle = \langle A_\xi e_2, e_1 \rangle = 0$  for all  $\xi$ . Then we have  $B(e_1, e_2) = B(e_2, e_1) = 0$ . Since the Gaussian curvature is zero, it follows from (1) and  $B(e_1, e_2) = 0$  that  $\langle B(e_1, e_1), B(e_2, e_2) \rangle = 0$ .

Let  $M$  be a surface in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which satisfies the conditions in the theorem. Since the length of the mean curvature vector is constant, we have  $\|B(e_1, e_1)\|^2 + \|B(e_2, e_2)\|^2 = c^2$  for some constant  $c$ , where  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  is the orthonormal basis of  $T_p M$  given in Lemma 1.

If  $c = 0$ , then  $M$  is totally geodesic. In the following argument, we assume  $c \neq 0$ .

Let  $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$  be the universal covering of  $M$ . Since  $M$  is complete and flat,  $\widetilde{M}$  is isometric to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  equipped with the standard flat metric.

**Lemma 2.** *There exists a global  $C^\infty$  orthonormal frame field  $\{\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2\}$  on  $\widetilde{M}$  such that, for any  $\tilde{p}$  in  $\widetilde{M}$ ,  $d\pi(\tilde{e}_1)$  and  $d\pi(\tilde{e}_2)$  are eigenvectors of  $A_\xi$  for all  $\xi$  in the normal space of  $M$  at  $\pi(\tilde{p})$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $c \neq 0$ , there does not exist a point on  $M$  which is umbilical with respect to all normal vectors. Hence for each point  $p$  of  $M$  there exists a simply connected neighborhood  $U$  and a  $C^\infty$  normal vector field  $\xi$  defined on  $U$  such that every point in  $U$  is not umbilical with respect to  $\xi$ . Let  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  be an orthonormal frame field of  $TM|_U$  which consists of eigenvectors of  $A_\xi$ . By a result in [6],  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  is a  $C^\infty$  frame field on  $U$ . For  $q$  in  $M$ , let  $V, \eta, \{f_1, f_2\}$  have the same properties as  $U, \xi, \{e_1, e_2\}$  respectively. Suppose that  $U \cap V$  is nonempty and connected. Since the normal connection of  $M$  is flat,  $A_\xi$  and  $A_\eta$  have common eigenvectors on  $U \cap V$ . Hence we can take  $\{f_1, f_2\}$  so that  $e_1 = f_1$  and  $e_2 = f_2$  on  $U \cap V$ . Since  $\widetilde{M}$  is simply connected, this continuation method and the standard monodromy argument allows us to define a global  $C^\infty$  orthonormal frame field  $\{\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2\}$  on  $\widetilde{M}$  which has the desired property.

By Lemma 2,  $B(d\pi(\tilde{e}_i), d\pi(\tilde{e}_i))$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is a  $C^\infty$  normal vector field of  $M$  which satisfies  $\|B(d\pi(\tilde{e}_1), d\pi(\tilde{e}_1))\|^2 + \|B(d\pi(\tilde{e}_2), d\pi(\tilde{e}_2))\|^2 = c^2$ . We use the Codazzi equation (2) to obtain

$$(3) \quad D_{e_2}^\perp(B(e_1, e_1)) = \omega_{12}(e_1)(B(e_1, e_1) - B(e_2, e_2))$$

and

$$(4) \quad D_{\tilde{e}_1}^\perp(B(e_2, e_2)) = \omega_{21}(e_2)(B(e_2, e_2) - B(e_1, e_1)),$$

where  $e_i = d\pi(\tilde{e}_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . We define subsets  $\widetilde{M}_0, \widetilde{M}_1, \widetilde{M}_2$  of  $\widetilde{M}$  by  $\widetilde{M}_i = \{\tilde{p} \in \widetilde{M} : B(d\pi(\tilde{e}_i), d\pi(\tilde{e}_i)) = 0\}$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $\widetilde{M}_0 = \widetilde{M} \setminus (\widetilde{M}_1 \cup \widetilde{M}_2)$ . For  $\tilde{p}$  in  $\widetilde{M}$  let  $\tilde{\sigma}_i(t)$  be the maximal integral curve of  $\tilde{e}_i$  with  $\tilde{\sigma}_i(0) = \tilde{p}$ .

**Lemma 3.** *If  $\tilde{p}$  lies in  $\widetilde{M}_1$  (resp.  $\widetilde{M}_2$ ), then  $\tilde{\sigma}_2$  (resp.  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$ ) is entirely contained in  $\widetilde{M}_1$  (resp.  $\widetilde{M}_2$ ). Moreover,  $\tilde{\sigma}_2$  (resp.  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$ ) is a geodesic of  $\widetilde{M}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\tilde{p}$  lies in  $\widetilde{M}_1$ . Set

$$f(t) = \|B(d\pi(\tilde{e}_1(\tilde{\sigma}_2(t))), d\pi(\tilde{e}_1(\tilde{\sigma}_2(t))))\|^2.$$

Using (3), we see that  $f(t)$  satisfies a differential equation

$$(5) \quad df/dt = \psi(t)f(t),$$

where  $\psi(t) = 2\langle \overline{D}_{\tilde{e}_1} \tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2 \rangle(\tilde{\sigma}_2(t))$ . Since, by Lemma 2,  $\psi$  is a  $C^\infty$  function defined for all  $t$  and  $f(0) = 0$ , (5) implies that  $f(t) = 0$  for all  $t$ . Thus  $\tilde{\sigma}_2(t)$  is contained in  $\widetilde{M}_1$  for all  $t$ .

Since  $\|B(d\pi(\tilde{e}_2), d\pi(\tilde{e}_2))\|^2$  attains its maximum ( $= c^2$ ) along  $\tilde{\sigma}_2(t)$ , we have

$$(6) \quad \tilde{e}_1(\|B(d\pi(\tilde{e}_2), d\pi(\tilde{e}_2))\|^2) = 0$$

at every point of  $\tilde{\sigma}_2(t)$ . Equations (4) and (6) yield  $\omega_{21}(e_2) = 0$ , which implies that  $\tilde{\sigma}_2(t)$  is a geodesic of  $\widetilde{M}$ . The proof is similar when  $\tilde{p}$  lies in  $\widetilde{M}_2$ .

We define continuous unit vector fields  $\tilde{Y}_1$  and  $\tilde{Y}_2$  on  $\widetilde{M}$  by

$$\tilde{Y}_1 = (\|B(d\pi(\tilde{e}_1), d\pi(\tilde{e}_1))\|/c)\tilde{e}_1 - (\|B(d\pi(\tilde{e}_2), d\pi(\tilde{e}_2))\|/c)\tilde{e}_2$$

and

$$\tilde{Y}_2 = (\|B(d\pi(\tilde{e}_1), d\pi(\tilde{e}_1))\|/c)\tilde{e}_1 + (\|B(d\pi(\tilde{e}_2), d\pi(\tilde{e}_2))\|/c)\tilde{e}_2.$$

$\tilde{Y}_1$  and  $\tilde{Y}_2$  are  $C^\infty$  on  $\widetilde{M}_0$ . Moreover, using (3) and (4) together with  $\|B(d\pi(\tilde{e}_1), d\pi(\tilde{e}_1))\|^2 + \|B(d\pi(\tilde{e}_2), d\pi(\tilde{e}_2))\|^2 = c^2$ , one can show that  $D_{\tilde{Y}_i} \tilde{Y}_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus we have

**Lemma 4.** *Every integral curve of  $\tilde{Y}_i|_{\widetilde{M}_0}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is geodesic.*

Let  $\tilde{p}$  be a point in  $\widetilde{M}_0$  and let  $\tilde{\gamma}_i(t)$  be an integral curve of  $\tilde{Y}_i|_{\widetilde{M}_0}$  with  $\tilde{\gamma}_i(0) = \tilde{p}$ .

**Lemma 5.**  $\tilde{\gamma}_i$  is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\tilde{\gamma}_i$  is defined for all  $t \in [0, T)$  but not for  $t = T$ . Then  $\tilde{q} = \lim_{t \rightarrow T} \tilde{\gamma}_i(t)$  is contained in either  $\widetilde{M}_1$  or  $\widetilde{M}_2$ . Suppose  $\tilde{q}$  lies in  $\widetilde{M}_1$ . By

Lemma 4,  $\tilde{\gamma}_i([0, T))$  is a geodesic segment on  $\tilde{M}$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T} (d\tilde{\gamma}_i/dt) = \lim_{t \rightarrow T} \tilde{Y}_i(\tilde{\gamma}_i(t)) = \pm \tilde{e}_2(\tilde{q})$ . By Lemma 3, the geodesic of  $\tilde{M}$  which passes through  $\tilde{q} \in \tilde{M}_1$  and has the tangent vector  $\pm \tilde{e}_2$  at  $\tilde{q}$  is entirely contained in  $\tilde{M}_1$ . This implies that  $\tilde{\gamma}_i([0, T))$  is contained in  $\tilde{M}_1$ , which is contradiction.

*Proof of theorem.* If  $c = 0$ ,  $M$  is totally geodesic, i.e., a two-dimensional affine subspace in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Suppose  $c \neq 0$  and  $\tilde{M}_0 \neq \phi$ . By Lemmas 4 and 5, every integral curve of  $\tilde{Y}_i|_{\tilde{M}_0}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is a complete geodesic. Since  $\tilde{M}$  is isometric to the Euclidean plane, all integral curves of  $\tilde{Y}_i|_{\tilde{M}_0}$  for each  $i$  must be parallel lines. This implies that  $\tilde{M}_0 = \tilde{M}$  and that the angle between  $\tilde{Y}_1$  and  $\tilde{Y}_2$  is constant on  $\tilde{M}$ . Then, by the definition of  $\tilde{Y}_i$ , we see that all integral curves of  $\tilde{e}_i$  are parallel lines on  $\tilde{M}$  for each  $i$ . In the case when  $\tilde{M}_0 = \phi$  (i.e.,  $\tilde{M} = \tilde{M}_1$  or  $\tilde{M} = \tilde{M}_2$ ), we use Lemma 3 to observe that all integral curves of  $\tilde{e}_i$  are parallel lines on  $\tilde{M}$ . Therefore, there is a Cartesian coordinate system  $(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2)$  on  $\tilde{M}$  ( $= \mathbb{R}^2$ ) such that  $\partial/\partial \tilde{u}_i = \tilde{e}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $\tilde{X}(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2)$  be the position vector of the point on  $\tilde{M}$  whose coordinate is  $(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2)$ . Then we have

$$\partial^2 \tilde{X} / \partial \tilde{u}_1 \partial \tilde{u}_2 = \overline{D}_{\tilde{e}_1} \tilde{e}_2 = \overline{D}_{\tilde{e}_1} e_2 = D_{e_1} e_2 + B(e_1, e_2) = 0.$$

Hence there exist  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued functions  $\tilde{C}_1(\tilde{u}_1)$  and  $\tilde{C}_2(\tilde{u}_2)$  such that  $\tilde{X}(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2) = \tilde{C}_1(\tilde{u}_1) + \tilde{C}_2(\tilde{u}_2)$ . Since  $\tilde{e}_i = \partial \tilde{X} / \partial \tilde{u}_i = d\tilde{C}_i / d\tilde{u}_i$  and  $\langle \tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2 \rangle = 0$ , we have

$$(7) \quad \langle d\tilde{C}_1 / d\tilde{u}_1, d\tilde{C}_2 / d\tilde{u}_2 \rangle = 0$$

for any  $(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Let  $P_i$  be the affine subspace of the lowest dimension which contains  $\tilde{C}_i$ . Equation (7) implies that  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are orthogonal. Since  $\tilde{X}(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2) = \tilde{C}_1(\tilde{u}_1) + \tilde{C}_2(\tilde{u}_2)$ ,  $\tilde{M}$  is the Riemannian product of  $\tilde{C}_1$  and  $\tilde{C}_2$ . Since  $\tilde{M}$  is complete,  $\tilde{C}_i$  is either a curve of infinite length without endpoints or a covering of a closed curve  $C_i$ . Then  $M$  is the Riemannian product of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . (We set  $C_i = \tilde{C}_i$  if  $\tilde{C}_i$  is not a covering of a closed curve.) The geodesic curvature of  $\tilde{C}_i$  is given by  $\|B(d\pi(\tilde{e}_i), d\pi(\tilde{e}_i))\|$ , which is constant since the angle between  $\tilde{Y}_1$  and  $\tilde{Y}_2$  is constant on  $\tilde{M}$ . Hence  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  have constant geodesic curvatures.

**Corollary.** *Let  $M$  be a compact flat surface in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . If the normal connection of  $M$  is flat and the length of the mean curvature vector is constant, then  $M$  is congruent to the Riemannian product of two circles,  $S^1(r_1) \times S^1(r_2)$ .*

*Remark 1.* Our theorem is global (i.e., completeness is necessary), as we see in the following example: Let  $S = \{(x, y, z) = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, r) : 1 < r < 2, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi\}$ .  $S$  is a flat surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  whose mean curvature is  $1/2\sqrt{2}r$ . Let  $\phi(s) = (\phi_1(s), \phi_2(s))$  be a curve in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  parameterized by arc-length  $s$  whose

curvature is  $\sqrt{2(1-s^{-2})}$ . Define an isometric immersion  $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$  by  $\Phi(x, y, z) = (x, y, \phi_1(z), \phi_2(z))$ . Then  $M = \Phi(S)$  is a flat surface in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  having a mean curvature vector of constant length and flat normal connection. But clearly,  $M$  is not a Riemannian product of two curves.

*Remark 2.* If the mean curvature vector is parallel with respect to the normal connection, the length of the mean curvature vector is constant. Moreover, the normal connection is flat if  $M$  is in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and not minimal. A flat surface in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  with parallel mean curvature vector is *locally* the Riemannian product of two circles [4].

*Remark 3.* There are many compact flat surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  with flat normal connection which are not congruent to the Riemannian product of two plane curves [3, 5].

*Remark 4.* A similar problem is studied in [1] for surfaces of positive constant curvature.

#### REFERENCES

1. K. Enomoto, *Umbilical points on surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^N$* , Nagoya Math. J. **100** (1985), 135–143.
2. —, *The Gauss image of flat surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^4$* , Kodai Math. J. **9** (1986), 19–32.
3. —, *Global properties of the Gauss image of flat surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^4$* , Kodai Math. J. **10** (1987), 272–284.
4. D. A. Hoffmann, *Surfaces of constant mean curvature in manifolds of constant curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **8** (1973), 161–176.
5. U. Pinkall, *Hopf tori in  $S^3$* , Invent. Math. **81** (1985), 379–386.
6. H. Reckziegel, *Completeness of curvature surfaces of an isometric immersion*, J. Differential Geom. **14** (1979), 7–20.

FACULTY OF INDUSTRIAL SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, OS-  
HAMANBE, HOKKAIDO, 049-35 JAPAN