

THE CESÀRO OPERATOR IS BOUNDED ON H^1

ARISTOMENIS G. SISKAKIS

(Communicated by Paul S. Muhly)

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this note is to give a direct proof that the Cesàro operator is bounded on the Hardy space H^1 .

1. INTRODUCTION

On the Hardy spaces H^p of the unit disc, the Cesàro operator is

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{C}(f)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \right) z^n,$$

where $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ is in H^p . It is known that \mathcal{C} is bounded on H^p for $1 \leq p < \infty$. For $1 < p < \infty$ this can be obtained by using the Hardy result [1] concerning trigonometric series and M. Riesz's theorem, but this proof does not cover the case $p = 1$. The boundedness of \mathcal{C} on H^1 was obtained as a byproduct in [3], where, for the purpose of finding the norm of \mathcal{C} on H^p , a related strongly continuous semigroup of weighted composition operators was studied. The purpose of this note is to give a direct proof of the boundedness of \mathcal{C} on H^1 , avoiding the semigroups.

We use the usual notation $M_p(r, f)$ for the integral means on $|z| = r$ of an analytic f , and will make use of the following Hardy-Littlewood result which we state as a lemma.

Lemma [2, p. 412]. *If $f \in H^1$ and $q > 1$ then*

$$\int_0^1 M_q(r, f)(1-r)^{-1/q} dr \leq k \|f\|_1$$

and the constant k depends only on q .

Received by the editors October 27, 1989.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 47B38; Secondary 30D55.

Key words and phrases. Cesàro operator, Hardy spaces.

2. THE PROOF

A computation shows that (1) can be written

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(f)(z) &= \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z f(\zeta) \frac{1}{1-\zeta} d\zeta \\ &= \int_0^1 f(tz) \frac{1}{1-tz} dt.\end{aligned}$$

For $0 < r < 1$ and $f \in H^1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}M_1(r, \mathcal{E}(f)) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \int_0^1 f(rte^{i\theta}) \frac{1}{1-rte^{i\theta}} dt \right| d\theta \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(rte^{i\theta})| \frac{1}{|1-rte^{i\theta}|} d\theta dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(rte^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{1}{1-rte^{i\theta}} \right|^2 d\theta \right)^{1/2} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 M_2(rt, f) \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{1-r^2t^2} P(rt, \theta) d\theta \right)^{1/2} dt,\end{aligned}$$

where Hölder's inequality was used in the third step and $P(r, \theta)$ is the Poisson kernel. Since $(1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} P(r, \theta) d\theta = 1$, the last integral is dominated by

$$\int_0^1 M_2(rt, f) (1-r^2t^2)^{-1/2} dt \leq \int_0^1 M_2(t, f) (1-t)^{-1/2} dt \leq k \|f\|_1,$$

by applying the Lemma with $q = 2$ in the last step. This finishes the proof.

It is interesting to see, upon close examination of the argument, that the proof cannot be adapted for other values $0 < p < 1$ or $1 < p < \infty$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to take this opportunity to express his appreciation to his earliest mathematics teacher, Mr. Zacharias Psimarnis (now retired), whose zest for mathematics and gentlemanly manner should be an example for all high school teachers.

REFERENCES

1. G. H. Hardy, *Notes on some points in the integral calculus* LXVI, Messenger of Math. **58** (1929), 50-52.
2. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Some properties of fractional integrals*. II, Math. Z. **34** (1932), 403-439.
3. A. G. Siskakis, *Composition semigroups and the Cesàro operator on H^p* , J. London Math. Soc. (2) **36** (1987), 153-164.