

## AN ISOMORPHISM THEOREM FOR COMMUTATIVE MODULAR GROUP ALGEBRAS

WILLIAM ULLERY

(Communicated by Warren J. Wong)

**ABSTRACT.** For each positive integer  $n$  and limit ordinal  $\mu$ , a new class of abelian  $p$ -groups, called  $A_n(\mu)$ -groups, are introduced. These groups are shown to be uniquely determined up to isomorphism by numerical invariants which include, but are not restricted to, their Ulm-Kaplansky invariants. As an application of this uniqueness theorem, we prove an isomorphism result for group algebras: Let  $H$  be an  $A_n(\mu)$ -group and  $F$  a field of characteristic  $p$ . It is shown that if  $K$  is a group such that the group algebras  $FH$  and  $FK$  are  $F$ -isomorphic, then  $H$  and  $K$  are isomorphic.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose  $F$  is a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ , and let  $H$  be an abelian  $p$ -group. If  $K$  is a group such that the group algebras  $FH$  and  $FK$  are  $F$ -isomorphic, the question of whether  $H \cong K$  arises. It has been known for some time that the Ulm-Kaplansky invariants of  $H$  and  $K$  must be equal [1, 6]. Thus, if  $H$  is countable it follows that  $H \cong K$  by the well-known Ulm's Theorem for countable abelian  $p$ -groups.

Several generalizations of this basic result have been made over the last twenty years. In 1969, Berman and Mollov [2] showed that if  $H$  is an arbitrary direct sum of cyclic  $p$ -groups, then  $K$  must also be such a group, hence  $H \cong K$ . Later, this result was extended [7] to the case where  $H$  is totally projective of length less than the first uncountable ordinal. More recently, the cases where  $H$  is totally projective [8], an  $N$ -group [9, 10], and an elementary  $A$ -group [10] have been shown to imply  $H \cong K$ .

In this paper we further extend these known isomorphism results. In §2, for each positive integer  $n$  and limit ordinal  $\mu$ , we define a class of  $p$ -groups, which we call  $A_n(\mu)$ -groups. In the case  $n = 1$  these are the  $\mu$ -elementary  $A$ -groups of Hill [4]. Moreover, the class  $A_n(\mu)$  consists entirely of totally projective groups if and only if  $\mu$  is cofinal with  $\omega_0$  (Lemma 1). Thus, in case

---

Received by the editors March 13, 1989 and, in revised form, January 19, 1990.  
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 20K10; Secondary 20C07.  
*Key words and phrases.* Modular group algebras, invariants for abelian  $p$ -groups.

$n \geq 2$  and  $\mu$  is not cofinal with  $\omega_0$ , new classes of  $p$ -groups are obtained (see the example following Theorem 2).

In §3 we introduce cardinal invariants for  $A_n(\mu)$ -groups and prove a result (Theorem 2) which may be of independent interest; namely, two  $A_n(\mu)$ -groups are isomorphic if and only if their associated invariants are equal. We remark that these invariants include, but are not restricted to, the Ulm-Kaplansky invariants. Finally, in the last section, we apply the uniqueness theorem of §3 to extend the group algebra isomorphism results discussed above to the case where  $H$  is an  $A_n(\mu)$ -group, for any positive integer  $n$  and limit ordinal  $\mu$ .

Throughout,  $p$  is an arbitrary fixed prime and all groups considered are  $p$ -primary abelian groups, written multiplicatively. Abelian group terminology not explicitly defined herein is in agreement with Fuchs [3].

## 2. A CLASS OF $p$ -GROUPS

If  $G$  is a  $p$ -group and  $\sigma$  is an ordinal, we write  $G^\sigma$  for the subgroup of  $G$  consisting of the elements of  $G$  of heights  $\geq \sigma$ . If  $G$  has limit length  $\mu$ , an isotype subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is called an *almost balanced* subgroup of  $G$  provided that  $(G/H)^\sigma = G^\sigma H/H$  for every  $\sigma < \mu$ .

Fix a limit ordinal  $\mu$ . For each positive integer  $n$  we define a class of  $p$ -groups  $A_n(\mu)$  as follows. Call  $H$  an  $A_1(\mu)$ -group if there exists a totally projective group  $G$  of length  $\mu$  such that  $H$  is almost balanced in  $G$  and  $G/H$  is totally projective. For  $n \geq 2$ , call  $H$  an  $A_n(\mu)$ -group if there exists a totally projective group  $G$  of length  $\mu$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (a)  $H$  is an almost balanced subgroup of  $G$ .
- (b)  $(G/H)^\mu$  is an  $A_{n-1}(\mu)$ -group.
- (c)  $(G/H)/(G/H)^\mu$  is totally projective.

If  $G$  and  $H$  satisfy the conditions set forth above, for some positive integer  $n$ , we call  $(H, G)$  an  $A_n(\mu)$ -pair.

Several comments are in order. First, we do not require totally projective groups to be reduced. If desired, it is no great loss to consider only reduced  $A_n(\mu)$ -groups; however, we shall not do this. We also remark that our  $A_1(\mu)$ -groups are the  $\mu$ -elementary  $A$ -groups as defined in [4]. Thus, our introduction of the classes  $A_n(\mu)$  may be viewed as a modest attempt to extend P. Hill's theory of  $A$ -groups. Indeed, our results for  $A_n(\mu)$ -groups are direct extensions of known results for  $\mu$ -elementary  $A$ -groups. Since the class of  $\mu$ -elementary  $A$ -groups consists entirely of totally projective groups if and only if  $\mu$  is cofinal with  $\omega_0$  [4, Theorem 1], a routine induction yields our first result.

**Lemma 1.** *For each positive integer  $n$ , the class  $A_n(\mu)$  consists entirely of totally projective groups if and only if  $\mu$  is cofinal with  $\omega_0$ .*

In view of Lemma 1, we restrict our attention to the classes  $A_n(\mu)$  where  $\mu$  is not cofinal with  $\omega_0$  (this means that  $\mu$ , though a limit, is not the limit of a countable sequence of smaller ordinals).

3. INVARIANTS AND A UNIQUENESS THEOREM

Suppose  $(H, G)$  is an  $A_n(\mu)$ -pair where the limit ordinal  $\mu$  is not cofinal with  $\omega_0$ . It is well known that  $G$  is Hausdorff and complete in its  $\mu$ -topology (the topology obtained by taking the subgroups  $G^\sigma (\sigma < \mu)$  as the neighborhoods of the identity). Denoting by  $\overline{H}$  the closure of  $H$  in  $G$ , observe  $(G/H)^\mu = \overline{H}/H$ .

Throughout we adjoin  $\infty$  to the ordinals with the convention  $\sigma < \infty$  for every ordinal  $\sigma$ . For any  $p$ -group  $A$ , we denote by  $f_A$  the extended Ulm-Kaplansky function of  $A$ . That is, for every ordinal  $\sigma \leq \infty$ ,

$$f_A(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \dim(A^\sigma[p]/A^{\sigma+1}[p]), & \text{if } \sigma < \infty, \\ \dim(A^\infty[p]), & \text{if } \sigma = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Here  $A^\infty$  is simply the divisible part of  $A$  and it is understood that dimensions are computed over the field with  $p$  elements.

With the above notation, for each positive integer  $n$  we define a cardinal-valued function  $f_n^H$  of  $n + 1$  ordinal variables as follows:

$$f_1^H(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \begin{cases} f_H(\alpha_2), & \text{if } \alpha_1 = 0, \\ f_{\overline{H}/H}(\alpha_2), & \text{if } \alpha_1 = \mu, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For  $n \geq 2$ , we define

$$f_n^H(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) = \begin{cases} f_H(\alpha_{n+1}), & \text{if } \alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_n = 0, \\ f_{\overline{H}/H}(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}), & \text{if } \alpha_1 = \mu, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We refer to the function  $f_n^H$  as the  $A_n(\mu)$ -invariant of  $H$ . Since  $\overline{H}$  may be viewed as the completion of  $H$  in its  $\mu$ -topology, it is clear that each  $f_n^H$  is an isomorphism invariant of  $H$ , independent of the choice of a containing totally projective group  $G$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose  $\mu$  is a limit ordinal not cofinal with  $\omega_0$  and  $n$  is a positive integer. Two  $A_n(\mu)$ -groups  $H$  and  $K$  are isomorphic if and only if they have the same  $A_n(\mu)$ -invariants.*

*Proof.* In view of our observations above, we need only show that  $H \cong K$  provided that  $f_n^H = f_n^K$ . Since the case  $n = 1$  is the known result for  $\mu$ -elementary  $A$ -groups [4, Theorem 3], we may assume  $n \geq 2$  and proceed by induction on  $n$ .

Select totally projective groups  $G$  and  $T$ , each of length  $\mu$ , such that  $(H, G)$  and  $(K, T)$  are  $A_n(\mu)$ -pairs. Since  $(G/H)^\mu$  and  $(T/K)^\mu$  are  $A_{n-1}(\mu)$ -groups with the same  $A_{n-1}(\mu)$ -invariants, the induction hypothesis implies  $(G/H)^\mu \cong (T/K)^\mu$ . Set

$$A = \bigoplus_{\aleph_0} \{G \oplus T \oplus (G/H)/(G/H)^\mu \oplus (T/K)/(T/K)^\mu\},$$

and let  $L = G \oplus T \oplus A$ , a totally projective group of length  $\mu$ . Identifying  $G$  (respectively,  $T$ ) with the first (respectively, second) direct factor of  $L$ , note that

$$(L/H)/(L/H)^\mu \cong (L/K)/(L/K)^\mu$$

and that both of these groups are totally projective. In view of the isomorphism  $(G/H)^\mu \cong (T/K)^\mu$ , we have  $(L/H)^\mu \cong (L/K)^\mu$ . Thus, this latter isomorphism extends to an isomorphism  $L/H \cong L/K$ , by virtue of Zippin's Theorem for totally projective groups. Note  $f_n^H = f_n^K$  includes equality of the Ulm-Kaplansky invariants  $f_H$  and  $f_K$ . Therefore, since  $H$  and  $K$  are almost balanced subgroups of  $L$ , the main theorem in [5] implies  $H \cong K$ .  $\square$

To see that Theorem 2 actually gives us something new, the following example shows that there exist  $A_2(\mu)$ -groups which are not  $\mu$ -elementary  $A$ -groups. The reference for the theory of  $c$ -valuations used below is [5].

**Example.** Let  $\mu$  be a limit ordinal not cofinal with  $\omega_0$ , and let  $E$  be a  $\mu$ -elementary  $A$ -group which is not totally projective. Select a  $p$ -group  $A$  such that  $A^\mu \cong E$  and  $A/A^\mu$  is totally projective. Such an  $A$  may be seen to exist, for example, by adapting the portion of the proof of Theorem 4 in [4, p. 516]. Define a  $c$ -valuation on  $A$  by

$$\|a\| = \begin{cases} \alpha + 1, & \text{if } \alpha < \mu \text{ and } a \in A^\alpha \setminus A^{\alpha+1}, \\ \mu, & \text{if } a \in A^\mu \text{ and } a \neq 0, \\ \infty, & \text{if } a = 0, \end{cases}$$

for every  $a \in A$ . By Theorem 2.8 in [5], there exists a totally projective group  $G$  and an isotype subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $G/H \cong A$  as  $c$ -valuated groups, where the  $c$ -valuation on  $G/H$  is the coset valuation. It now easily follows that  $(H, G)$  is an  $A_2(\mu)$ -pair. Moreover,  $H$  is not an  $A_1(\mu)$ -group, since otherwise  $\overline{H}/H \cong E$  would be totally projective.

#### 4. AN APPLICATION TO MODULAR GROUP ALGEBRAS

Suppose  $F$  is a field of characteristic  $p > 0$  and  $H$  and  $K$  are  $p$ -groups such that the group algebras  $FH$  and  $FK$  are  $F$ -isomorphic. As an application of Theorem 2, we prove that if  $H$  (but not a priori  $K$ ) is an  $A_n(\mu)$ -group, for some positive integer  $n$  and limit ordinal  $\mu$ , then  $H \cong K$ .

Suppose  $A$  is a  $p$ -group. Letting  $\text{aug}: FA \rightarrow F$  denote the augmentation map, we set  $I(FA) = \{x \in FA: \text{aug}(x) = 0\}$  and  $V(FA) = \{x \in FA: \text{aug}(x) = 1\}$ . Note that  $V(FA)$  is a  $p$ -group and a subgroup of the group of units of  $FA$ . Moreover, if  $H$  is a subgroup of  $V(FA)$  and  $V(FA)/(1 + FA \cdot I(FH)) \cong V(F(A/H))$ . As a direct corollary of Lemmas 1 and 2 in the author's paper [9], we obtain the following result.

**Lemma 3.** *Suppose  $F$  is a perfect field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . If  $H$  is a subgroup of a  $p$ -group  $A$  of limit length  $\mu$ , then  $V(FA)$  has length  $\mu$  and  $H$*

is almost balanced in  $A$  if and only if  $1 + FA \cdot I(FH)$  is almost balanced in  $V(FA)$ .

We are now in position to prove our isomorphism result. We state a slightly more general version than is indicated above. As we shall see, this causes no difficulties in the proof.

**Theorem 4.** *Suppose  $R$  is a commutative ring with 1 and  $p$  is a prime number such that  $p \cdot 1$  is not a unit in  $R$ . Suppose further that  $H$  is a  $p$ -group and  $K$  is an abelian group with  $RH \cong RK$  as  $R$ -algebras. Then, if  $H$  is an  $A_n(\mu)$ -group for some positive integer  $n$  and limit ordinal  $\mu$ ,  $H \cong K$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal of  $R$  containing  $p$ , and let  $F$  be an algebraic closure of  $R/M$ . Consequently,  $F$  is a perfect field of characteristic  $p$  and  $FH \cong FK$ . Since there is an augmentation-preserving isomorphism  $FK \rightarrow FH$ ,  $V(FH)$  contains an  $F$ -basis for  $FH$  isomorphic to  $K$ . Therefore, we may assume  $K \leq V(FH)$ ,  $FH = FK$  and  $I(FH) = I(FK)$ .

We now proceed by induction on  $n$ . Since the case  $n = 1$  is the theorem in [10], we may assume  $n \geq 2$ . Moreover, since  $H \cong K$  whenever  $H$  is totally projective by [8, Corollary to Theorem 3], we may assume  $\mu$  is not cofinal with  $\omega_0$  by Lemma 1.

Let  $G$  be a totally projective group of length  $\mu$  such that  $(H, G)$  is an  $A_n(\mu)$ -pair. By Theorems 1 and 2 in [8],  $A = V(FG)$  is totally projective of length  $\mu$  and contains  $G$  as a direct factor. Thus,  $(H, A)$  is an  $A_n(\mu)$ -pair and  $1 + FA \cdot I(FH) = 1 + FA \cdot I(FK)$  is almost balanced in  $V(FA)$  by Lemma 3. Thus, a further application of Lemma 3 shows that  $K$  is almost balanced in  $A$ . Note that  $F(A/H) \cong FA/FA \cdot I(FH) = FA/FA \cdot I(FK) \cong F(A/K)$ . Thus,  $F$  perfect implies  $F((A/H)^\mu) \cong F((A/K)^\mu)$  and, since  $A/(H)^\mu$  is an  $A_{n-1}(\mu)$ -group, the induction hypothesis implies  $(A/H)^\mu \cong (A/K)^\mu$ . Moreover,  $f_H = f_K$  by [6, Proposition 5]. Therefore, if  $K$  were an  $A_n(\mu)$ -group,  $H$  and  $K$  would have the same  $A_n(\mu)$ -invariants. Thus, in view of Theorem 2, the proof will be complete once we have shown that  $K$  is an  $A_n(\mu)$ -group.

As observed above,  $K$  is almost balanced in  $A$  and  $(A/K)^\mu \cong (A/H)^\mu$  is an  $A_{n-1}(\mu)$ -group. Moreover, the isomorphism  $F(A/H) \rightarrow F(A/K)$  may be assumed augmentation-preserving. Any such isomorphism restricts to an isomorphism of  $F((A/H)^\mu)$  onto  $F((A/K)^\mu)$  and maps  $I(F(A/H)^\mu)$  onto  $I(F(A/K)^\mu)$ . Therefore,  $F((A/H)/(A/H)^\mu) \cong F(A/H)/(F(A/H) \cdot I(F(A/H)^\mu)) \cong F(A/K)/(F(A/K) \cdot I(F(A/K)^\mu)) \cong F((A/K)/(A/K)^\mu)$ . Since  $(A/H)/(A/H)^\mu$  is totally projective, a further application of the corollary to Theorem 3 in [8] implies  $(A/K)/(A/K)^\mu$  is totally projective. Therefore,  $(K, A)$  is an  $A_n(\mu)$ -pair, and the proof is complete.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. S. D. Berman, *Group algebras of countable abelian  $p$ -groups*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **14** (1967), 365–405.
2. S. D. Berman and T. Zh. Mollov, *The group rings of abelian  $p$ -groups of arbitrary power*, Mat. Zametki **6** (1969), 381–392; Math. Notes **6** (1969), 686–692. (Russian)

3. L. Fuchs, *Infinite abelian groups*, vol. II. Academic Press, New York, 1973.
4. P. Hill, *On the structure of abelian  $p$ -groups*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **288** (1985), 505–525.
5. P. Hill and C. Megibben, *On the theory and classification of abelian  $p$ -groups*, *Math. Z.* **190** (1985), 17–38.
6. W. May, *Commutative group algebras*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (1969), 139–149.
7. —, *Modular group algebras of totally projective  $p$ -primary groups*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **76** (1979), 31–34.
8. —, *Modular group algebras of simply presented abelian groups*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104** (1988), 403–409.
9. W. Ullery, *Modular group algebras of  $N$ -groups*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **103** (1988), 1053–1057.
10. —, *Modular group algebras of isotype subgroups of totally projective  $p$ -groups*, *Comm. Algebra* (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AUBURN, ALABAMA 36849