

A UNIQUENESS THEOREM FOR $y' = f(x, y)$, $y(x_0) = y_0$

ARMANDO MAJORANA

(Communicated by Kenneth R. Meyer)

ABSTRACT. Consider the initial value problem for a first-order differential equation

$$y' = f(x, y), \quad y(x_0) = y_0.$$

In this paper a new uniqueness criterion is proved. This criterion is related to the numeric equation

$$u = y_0 + (t - x_0)f(t, u).$$

It is also shown that some well-known uniqueness theorems are consequences of our result.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a first-order ordinary differential equation

$$(1) \quad y' = f(x, y)$$

with the initial condition

$$(2) \quad y(x_0) = y_0,$$

where x_0 and y_0 are given real numbers.

There are many uniqueness theorems which apply, assuming a variety of conditions on the function f . The usual assumption is some type of Lipschitz condition or generalization of one which specifically involves the difference $f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2)$. Examples of theorems are those of Osgood, Perron, Nagumo, Tonelli, Kamke, Cafiero and many others (see Cafiero [1], Hartman [2], and Piccinini et al. [3], which also contain many references). They assumed the existence of a suitable nonnegative function $\omega(x, |y|)$, with $\omega(x, 0) \equiv 0$, such that

$$|f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2)| \leq \omega(x, |y_1 - y_2|)$$

or

$$f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2) \leq \omega(x, |y_1 - y_2|), \quad y_1 > y_2.$$

Received by the editors November 20, 1989.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 34A10.

Key words and phrases. Ordinary differential equations, initial value problem, uniqueness.

It is often difficult to apply the above results because one has first to study the new initial value problem

$$v' = \omega(x, v), \quad v(0) = 0.$$

While the uniqueness for the problem (1)–(2) has been investigated in many papers, results dealing with nonuniqueness have been given only by a few authors (see, for example, Kalas [4], Rudin [5], and Samimi [6]).

The aim of the present paper is to establish a necessary condition for the nonuniqueness of the initial value problem (1)–(2) and a consequent new uniqueness criterion which does not require any special condition on the difference $f(x, y_2) - f(x, y_1)$. In fact, it will be shown that one can attempt to check the uniqueness by analyzing the following auxiliary (not differential) equation

$$(3) \quad u = y_0 + (t - x_0)f(t, u);$$

here u is the unknown and t a parameter whose role will be specified in the following. In a particular case (Theorem 2) it is also possible to dispense with the continuity of f . It is remarkable that our results contain, as corollaries, some well-known uniqueness theorems (see §3).

2. MAIN RESULTS

We assume f maps $S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where

$$(4) \quad S = I \times \mathbb{R}, \quad I = [x_0, x_0 + a],$$

a is a given positive number, and \mathbb{R} denote the real line.

By a solution to the initial value problem (1)–(2), we mean a real function $y(x)$ defined in some interval $[x_0, x_0 + d] \subseteq I$, satisfying condition (2) and such that the derivative $y'(x)$ exists and equals $f(x, y(x))$ at each point $x \in [x_0, x_0 + d]$.

Theorem 1. *Let the function f be continuous with respect to x , and such that $f(x, y_0) = 0$ for every $x \in I$. Assume that the initial value problem (1)–(2) admits two different solutions, defined in $[x_0, x_0 + d]$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $t \in]x_0, x_0 + d]$ such that (3) has at least two different roots u with $|u - y_0| < \epsilon$.*

Proof. It is obvious that equations (1) and (2) are satisfied by the constant function y_0 ; therefore, we assume that there exists a solution $z(x)$ of (1)–(2) different from the constant solution y_0 .

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Since $u = y_0$ is a solution of (3) for every $t \in I$, it is sufficient to show the existence of $t \in [x_0, x_0 + d]$, for which (3) is satisfied by some $u \neq y_0$, $|u - y_0| < \epsilon$.

Let a real function A be defined in $[x_0, x_0 + d]$ by putting $A(x_0) = 0$ and $A(x) = (z(x) - y_0)/(x - x_0)$ for $x \neq x_0$. Of course the function A is continuous

in $[x_0, x_0 + d]$, differentiable in $]x_0, x_0 + d[$, and we have

$$(5) \quad A'(x) = \frac{1}{(x - x_0)^2} [(x - x_0)f(x, z(x)) - z(x) + y_0]$$

for every $x \in]x_0, x_0 + d[$.

Now, fix a point $x_2 \in]x_0, x_0 + d[$ with $z(x_2) \neq y_0$, such that $|z(x) - y_0| < \epsilon$ for every $x \in [x_0, x_2]$, and denote

$$x_1 = \sup\{x \in [x_0, x_2] : A(x) = 0\}.$$

We note that $z(x_1) = y_0$ and $z(x) \neq y_0$ for every $x \in]x_1, x_2[$.

At this point there are two possibilities.

If there exists $t \in]x_1, x_2[$ such that $A'(t) = 0$, then it is clear from (5) that for such a t , (3) is satisfied by $u = z(t)$. Hence the proof is accomplished just by taking these t and u .

Otherwise, if $A'(x) \neq 0$ for every $x \in]x_1, x_2[$ (hence $A'(x)$ has constant sign in $]x_1, x_2[$), then we put $u = z(x_2)$ ($\neq y_0$), and define

$$g(x) = (x - x_0)f(x, u) - u + y_0$$

for every $x \in [x_0, x_0 + d]$. Next, we notice that if $A'(x) > 0$ for every $x \in]x_1, x_2[$, then $A(x_2) > A(x_1) = 0$, hence $u > y_0$ and consequently $g(x_0) = -u + y_0 < 0$. On the other hand, we have $g(x_2) = (x_2 - x_0)^2 A'(x_2) > 0$. Therefore, there exists $t \in]x_0, x_2[$ such that $g(t) = 0$, and the proof is accomplished. The case $A'(x) < 0$ for every $x \in]x_1, x_2[$ is similar to the previous one. This concludes the proof. \square

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1 is the following uniqueness criterion:

Theorem 2. *Let f be continuous with respect to x and satisfy $f(x, y_0) = 0$ for every $x \in I$. Assume that there exist $\epsilon_* > 0$ and $x_* \in I$ ($x_* > x_0$) such that $u = y_0$ is the only root of (3) with $|u - y_0| < \epsilon_*$ for every $t \in [x_0, x_*]$. Then the initial value problem expressed in (1)–(2) admits in the interval $[x_0, x_*]$ only the constant solution y_0 .*

A crucial point in the previous theorems is the assumption $f(x, y_0) = 0$; that is, the assumption that the set of equations (1)–(2) admits a constant solution. It follows that we can use these theorems in the general case if we know a solution $\phi(x)$ of equations (1)–(2). In fact, by means of the change of variable $y = p + \phi(x) - y_0$, equations (1)–(2) become

$$(6) \quad p' = F(x, p),$$

$$(7) \quad p(x_0) = y_0,$$

where $F(x, p)$ is defined by

$$(8) \quad F(x, p) = f(x, p + \phi(x) - y_0) - f(x, \phi(x)),$$

and it is clear that any two different solutions of (1)–(2) are mapped to different solutions of (6)–(7). Moreover equations (6) and (7) admit the constant solution y_0 , which corresponds to the solution $\phi(x)$ of (1)–(2). Thus, replacing (3) with (9)

$$u = y_0 + (t - x_0)F(t, u),$$

with F as given by (8), we get the following theorems:

Theorem 3. *Let f be continuous in S , and let $\phi(x)$ be a solution of equations (1)–(2). Assume that the initial value problem expressed by (1) and (2) admits two different solutions, defined in $[x_0, x_0+d]$. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $t \in]x_0, x_0+d]$ such that (9) has at least two distinct roots u with $|u - y_0| < \epsilon$.*

Theorem 4. *Let f be continuous in S and let $\phi(x)$ be a solution of (1) and (2). Assume that there exist $\epsilon_* > 0$ and $x_* \in I$ ($x_* > x_0$) such that $u = y_0$ is the only root of (9) with $|u - y_0| < \epsilon_*$ for every $t \in [x_0, x_*]$. Then the initial value problem expressed in (1)–(2) admits in the interval $[x_0, x_*]$ only the solution $\phi(x)$.*

3. REMARKS AND EXAMPLES

We have already mentioned, in the Introduction, that Theorem 4 above is general enough to cover the classical case when f satisfies a Lipschitz condition with respect to y as well as the case when f is decreasing in y .

Indeed, if the function f is Lipschitzian with respect to y (with constant L), then for any two solutions u_1 and u_2 of (9) corresponding to the same t , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |u_1 - u_2| &= (t - x_0)|F(t, u_1) - F(t, u_2)| \\ &= (t - x_0)|f(t, u_1 + \phi(t) - y_0) - f(t, u_2 + \phi(t) - y_0)| \\ &\leq L(t - x_0)|u_1 - u_2|; \end{aligned}$$

hence $u_1 = u_2$, provided that $L(t - x_0) < 1$. It follows by Theorem 4 that equations (1) and (2) have a unique solution for $(x - x_0) < 1/L$. By iterating the application of Theorem 4 (if needed), we get global uniqueness. One can see, essentially by the same argument, that Theorem 4 also covers some cases when relaxed Lipschitz conditions of f are assumed.

If $f(x, y)$ is continuous in S and decreasing in y , then it is well known that equations (1) and (2) have a unique solution [1], [2], [3]. Since

$$h(t, u) = y_0 + (t - x_0)F(t, u) - u$$

is decreasing in u , it is clear that this result is a consequence of our Theorem 4.

We remark that uniqueness conditions usually require that the function f be continuous. It is worth noticing, in this connection, that Theorem 2 can also be applied to discontinue functions. This is shown by the following easy example:

$$f(x, y) = \frac{1}{y_0 - y} \quad \text{for } y \neq y_0 \text{ and } f(x, y_0) = 0.$$

We conclude this section by proving, with an example, that the converse of Theorem 1 does not hold; that is, if the Cauchy problem expressed by (1) and (2) admits only one solution (3) can admit more solutions. The same example shows also a further application of our results. Let $f = f_\alpha$ ($0 < \alpha < 2$) given by

$$(10) \quad f_\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} |y|^\alpha \sin(1/y) & y \neq 0 \\ 0 & y = 0 \end{cases}$$

The initial value problem

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} y' = f_\alpha(x, y) \\ y(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

is satisfied only by the zero solution. This can be proved by a simple application of classical results on scalar differential inequalities [7, pp. 7–10], since

$$y = \frac{1}{k\pi}, \quad k = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots$$

are underfunctions with respect to (11) if $k > 0$ and overfunctions if $k < 0$. In this example, the numeric equation (3) is

$$(12) \quad u = t|u|^\alpha \sin(1/u) \quad \text{for } u \neq 0$$

and $u = 0$ otherwise.

If $\alpha = 1/2$, one can verify that

$$(13) \quad u = \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 2n\pi\right)^{-1}, \quad t = \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 2n\pi\right)^{-1/2}, \quad n \in N$$

are solutions of (12). This shows that uniqueness of initial value problem does not imply uniqueness for (3).

If $\alpha = 1$, (12) becomes

$$\operatorname{sgn}(u) = t \sin(u),$$

which cannot be satisfied if $t < 1$. This shows an application of Theorem 2 when f is neither Lipschitzian nor decreasing in y .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is pleased to thank Professor A. Villani (University of Messina, Italy) for helping him in several discussions.

REFERENCES

1. F. Cafero, *Sui teoremi d'unicita relativi ad un'equazione differenziale ordinaria del primo ordine*, Giorn. Mat. Battaglini **78** (1948), 10–41, 193–215.
2. P. Hartman, *Ordinary differential equations*, Wiley, New York, 1964.
3. L. Piccinini, G. Stampacchia, and G. Vidossich, *Ordinary differential equations in \mathbf{R}^n : problems and methods*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
4. J. Kalas, *Nonuniqueness for the solutions of ordinary differential equations*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **29** (1979), 105–112.

5. W. Rudin, *Nonuniqueness and growth in the first-order differential equations*, Amer. Math. Monthly **89** (1982), 241–244.
6. M. Samimi, *Nonuniqueness criteria for ordinary differential equations*, Appl. Anal. **13** (1982), 291–296.
7. V. Lakshmikantham and S. Leela, *Differential and integral inequalities : theory and application*, Academic Press, New York, 1969.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI CATANIA, CATANIA, ITALY