

## A UNIQUENESS RESULT FOR A SEMILINEAR REACTION-DIFFUSION SYSTEM

M. ESCOBEDO AND M. A. HERRERO

(Communicated by Barbara L. Keyfitz)

ABSTRACT. Let  $(u(t, x), v(t, x))$  and  $(\bar{u}(t, x), \bar{v}(t, x))$  be two nonnegative classical solutions of

$$(S) \quad \begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u + v^p, & p > 0 \\ v_t = \Delta v + u^q, & q > 0 \end{cases}$$

in some strip  $S_T = (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ , where  $0 < T \leq \infty$ , and suppose that

$$u(0, x) = \bar{u}(0, x), \quad v(0, x) = \bar{v}(0, x),$$

where  $u(0, x)$  and  $v(0, x)$  are continuous, nonnegative, and bounded real functions, one of which is not identically zero. Then one has

$$u(t, x) = \bar{u}(t, x), \quad v(t, x) = \bar{v}(t, x) \quad \text{in } S_T.$$

If  $pq \geq 1$ , the result is also true if  $u(0, x) = v(0, x) = 0$ . On the other hand, when  $0 < pq < 1$ , the set of solutions of (S) with zero initial values is given by

$$u(t; s) = c_1(t-s)_+^{(p+1)/(1-pq)}, \quad v(t; s) = c_2(t-s)_+^{(q+1)/(1-pq)},$$

where  $0 \leq s \leq t$ ,  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are two positive constants depending only on  $p$  and  $q$ , and  $(\xi)_+ = \max\{\xi, 0\}$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we shall concern ourselves with the following initial-value problem:

$$(1.1a) \quad u_t = \Delta u + v^p; \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

$$(1.1b) \quad v_t = \Delta v + u^q; \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

with  $N \geq 1, p > 0, q > 0$  and

$$(1.2a) \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

$$(1.2b) \quad v(0, x) = v_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

Received by the editors November 30, 1989 and, in revised form, March 1, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 35K55, 35K57.

*Key words and phrases.* Reaction diffusion systems, uniqueness.

The first author's work was partially supported by Basque Government Grant G.V. 127310-1/87.

The second author's work was partially supported by CICYT Grant PB86-0112-C0202.

where  $u_0(x)$  and  $v_0(x)$  are nonnegative, continuous, and bounded real functions. Equations (1.1) represent a simple example of a reaction-diffusion system, and they can be viewed as a model to describe heat propagation in a two-component combustible mixture. System (1.1) has been analyzed by several authors in the case of bounded and unbounded domains (cf. [7], [8], [5], [4], [3], etc.). In particular, it has been shown in [3] that problem (1.1), (1.2) always has a classical solution in some strip  $S_T = [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $0 < T \leq \infty$ . By this we shall mean a pair of nonnegative functions, often denoted in the abridged way  $(u(t), v(t))$ , such that they belong to  $C^{2,1}(S_T)$ , satisfy (1.1) and (1.2), and remain bounded in any closed strip  $\bar{S}_\tau = [0, \tau] \times \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $0 < \tau < T$ . A number of properties of solutions of (1.1), (1.2) were derived in [3]. In particular (cf. [3, Theorems 2 and 3]) if  $pq > 1$  and

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{\gamma + 1}{pq - 1} \geq \frac{N}{2},$$

where  $\gamma = \max\{p, q\}$ , every nontrivial solution blows up in finite time, in the sense that it becomes unbounded at some  $t = T^* < +\infty$ . On the other hand, if  $pq > 1$  and (1.3) fails, solutions might be bounded in any strip  $S_T$  or have a finite blow-up time, according to the size of their initial values  $u_0, v_0$ . To complete this picture, let us point out that solutions are global in time if  $0 < pq \leq 1$  (cf. [3, Theorem 1]).

For the scalar equation

$$(1.4) \quad u_t = \Delta u + u^p; \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

it is well known that there is a finite-time blow-up of nontrivial solutions if

$$(1.5) \quad 1 < p \leq 1 + \frac{2}{N},$$

whereas global continuation and blow-up are both possible if  $p > 1 + \frac{2}{N}$  (cf., for instance, [8], [2], [9]). Indeed, every solution is global if  $0 < p \leq 1$ . Notice that (1.3) coincides with (1.5) when  $p = q$ , in which case (1.1), (1.2) reduce to the Cauchy problem for (1.4) if  $u_0 = v_0$ .

As recalled in [3], local existence for problem (1.1), (1.2) is rather standard, as can be seen by, say, fixed-point arguments. Uniqueness, however, is not a priori clear except in the straightforward case  $p \geq 1$  and  $q \geq 1$ , and was left open in that paper. For instance, one readily checks that, if  $0 < pq < 1$ , functions

$$(1.6a) \quad u_1(t) = c_1 t^\alpha \quad \text{with } \alpha = \frac{p+1}{1-pq}, \quad c_1^{1-pq} = (1-pq)^{p+1} (p+1)^{-1} (q+1)^{-p}$$

and

$$(1.6b) \quad v_1(t) = c_2 t^\beta \quad \text{with } \beta = \frac{q+1}{1-pq}, \quad c_2 \beta = c_1^q$$

solve (1.1) and are such that  $u_1(0) = v_1(0) = 0$ . We show here the following

result:

**Theorem.** Assume that  $p$  and  $q$  are different from zero and  $p < 1$  or  $q < 1$ . Then

- (a) If  $0 < pq < 1$  and  $(u_0, v_0) \neq (0, 0)$ , problem (1.1), (1.2) has a unique solution.  
 (b) If  $0 < pq < 1$  and  $(u_0, v_0) = (0, 0)$ , the set of nontrivial nonnegative solutions of (1.1), (1.2) is given by

$$u(t; s) = c_1(t-s)_+^\alpha, \quad v(t; s) = c_2(t-s)_+^\beta,$$

where  $(r)_+ = \max\{r, 0\}$ ,  $s$  is any nonnegative real constant, and  $c_1, c_2, \alpha$ , and  $\beta$  are as in (1.6).

- (c) If  $pq \geq 1$ , there is a unique solution of (1.1), (1.2).

## 2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

We begin by recalling an auxiliary result already proved in [3, Lemma 2.4]:

**Lemma 1.** Let  $(u_0, v_0) \neq (0, 0)$ , and let  $(u(t, x), v(t, x))$  be a solution of (1.1), (1.2). Then for any  $\tau > 0$  there exist constants  $c > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$(2.1a) \quad u(\tau, x) \geq c \exp(-\alpha|x|^2),$$

$$(2.1b) \quad v(\tau, x) \geq c \exp(-\alpha|x|^2).$$

*Proof.* We just sketch, for completeness, the case where  $u_0 \neq 0$  and  $q \geq 1$ . Solutions of (1.1), (1.2) satisfy

$$(2.2a) \quad u(t) = S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)v^p(s) ds$$

$$(2.2b) \quad v(t) = S(t)v_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)u^q(s) ds,$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad S(t)f \equiv S(t)f(x) = (4\pi t)^{-N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-\xi|^2}{4t}\right) f(\xi) d\xi.$$

We may assume without loss of generality that  $u_0 > 0$  in some ball centered at the origin. Then there exists  $R > 0$  such that  $\nu = \inf\{u_0(\xi) : |\tau| \leq R\} > 0$  and, by (2.2a) and (2.3),

$$u(t, x) \geq S(t)u_0 \geq \nu \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2t}\right) (4\pi t)^{-N/2} \int_{|y| \leq R} \exp\left(-\frac{|y|^2}{2t}\right) dy,$$

whence (2.1a) with  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2\tau}$  and  $c = \nu(4\pi\tau)^{-N/2} \int_{|y| \leq R} \exp\left(-\frac{|y|^2}{2\tau}\right) dy$ . On the other hand, using (2.2b) and Jensen's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} v(t, x) &\geq \int_0^t S(t-s)(S(s)u_0)^q ds \geq \int_0^t (S(t-s)S(s)u_0)^q ds \\ &= \int_0^t (S(t)u_0)^q ds, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$(2.4) \quad v(t, x) \geq t(S(t)u_0)^q.$$

From this (2.1b) follows, with perhaps a different choice of  $c$  and  $\alpha$ .

We now specialize to the case  $0 < pq < 1$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $(u(t, x), v(t, x))$  be a nontrivial solution of (1.1) with  $0 < pq < 1$ . Then*

$$(2.5a) \quad u(t, x) \geq c_1 t^\alpha$$

$$(2.5b) \quad v(t, x) \geq c_2 t^\beta,$$

where  $c_1, c_2, \alpha$ , and  $\beta$  are given in (1.6).

*Proof.* Assume first that  $u_0(x) \geq c \exp(-\alpha|x|^2)$  for some  $c > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . Suppose, for definiteness, that  $0 < p, q < 1$ . Since

$$(2.6) \quad S(t) \exp(-\alpha|x|^2) = (1 + 4\alpha t)^{-N/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha|x|^2}{1 + 4\alpha t}\right),$$

it follows that

$$u(t, x) \geq S(t)u_0 \geq c(1 + 4\alpha t)^{-N/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha|x|^2}{1 + 4\alpha t}\right)$$

and, by (2.2b),

$$v(t, x) \geq \int_0^t S(t-s)(u(s, x))^q ds,$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} v(t, x) &\geq c^q \int_0^t (1 + 4\alpha s)^{-N/2(q-1)} (1 + 4\alpha s + 4\alpha q(t-s))^{-N/2} \\ &\quad \times \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha q|x|^2}{1 + 4\alpha s + 4\alpha q(t-s)}\right) ds \\ &\geq c^q (1 + 4\alpha t)^{-N/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha q|x|^2}{1 + 4\alpha qt}\right) t. \end{aligned}$$

We substitute this inequality in (2.2a) and use (2.6) to get

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) &\geq c^{pq} \int_0^t (1 + 4\alpha s)^{-Np/2} s^p (1 + 4\alpha qs + 4\alpha pq(t-s))^{-N/2} (1 + 4\alpha qs)^{N/2} \\ &\quad \times \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha pq|x|^2}{1 + 4\alpha qs + 4\alpha pq(t-s)}\right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$u(t, x) \geq c^{pq} (1 + 4\alpha t)^{-Np/2} (1 + 4\alpha qt)^{-N/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha pq|x|^2}{1 + 4\alpha pqt}\right) \frac{t^{p+1}}{p+1}.$$

By induction, we obtain, for  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$(2.7) \quad u(t, x) \geq c^{(pq)^{j+1}} f_j(t) \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha(pq)^{j+1}|x|^2}{1+4\alpha(pq)^{j+1}t}\right) B_{j+1} A_{j+1} t^{(p+1)} \\ \times [(pq)^j + (pq)^{j-1} + \dots + pq + 1],$$

where

(2.8a)

$$f_j(t) = (1+4\alpha t)^{-(N/2)p^{j+1}q^j} (1+4\alpha qt)^{-(N/2)p^j q^j} (1+4\alpha pqt)^{-(N/2)p^j q^{j-1}} \\ \dots (1+4\alpha p^j q^{j+1}t)^{-N/2}$$

(2.8b)

$$B_{j+1} = \left(\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{(pq)^j} \left(\frac{1}{(p+1)(pq+1)}\right) (pq)^{j-1} \\ \dots \left(\frac{1}{(p+1)((pq)^j + (pq)^{j-1} + \dots + 1)}\right)$$

$$(2.8c) \quad A_{j+1} = \left[\left(\frac{1}{(p+1)(q+1)}\right)^{q^{j-1}p^{j-1}} \left(\frac{1}{((p+1)(pq+1)q+1)}\right)^{q^{j-2}p^{j-2}} \\ \dots \left(\frac{1}{((p+1)((pq)^{j-1} + (pq)^{j-2} + \dots + 1)q+1)}\right)\right]^p.$$

Notice that

(2.9)

$$B_{j+1} = \left(\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{(1-(pq)^{j+1})/(1-pq)} [(1+pq)^{-(pq)^{j-1}} \dots ((pq)^j + (pq)^{j-1} + \dots + 1)^{-1}] \\ \geq \left(\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{1/(1-pq)} (1-pq)^{1/(1-pq)},$$

whereas

$$A_{j+1} = \left(\prod_{k=0}^{j-1} ((p+1)((pq)^k + (pq)^{k-1} + \dots + pq + 1)q + 1)^{-(pq)^{j-1-k}}\right)^p \\ = \left(\prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \left((p+1) \left(\frac{1-(pq)^{k+1}}{1-pq}\right) q + 1\right)^{-(pq)^{j-1-k}}\right)^p \\ \geq \left(\prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \left(\frac{(p+1)q}{1-pq} + 1\right)^{-(pq)^{j-1-k}}\right)^p = \left(\prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \left(\frac{q+1}{1-pq}\right)^{-(pq)^{j-1-k}}\right)^p,$$

so that

$$(2.10) \quad A_{j+1} \geq \left(\frac{1-pq}{q+1}\right)^{p/(1-pq)}.$$

Finally,

$$f_j(t) = \left( \prod_{k=0}^j (1 + 4\alpha(pq)^k t)^{-(N/2)p(pq)^{j-k}} \right) \left( \prod_{k=0}^j (1 + 4\alpha p^k q^{k+1} t)^{-(N/2)(pq)^{j-k}} \right) \\ \equiv (f_j^1(t))(f_j^2(t))$$

and, since

$$4\alpha t(pq)^j(j+1) = \sum_{k=0}^j (pq)^{j-k} 4\alpha(pq)^k t \geq \sum_{k=0}^j (pq)^{j-k} \log(1 + 4\alpha(pq)^k t),$$

we deduce that

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j^{(i)}(t) \geq 1 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Taking into account (2.8)–(2.11), we let  $j \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.7) to obtain (2.5a) under our current assumptions. Estimate (2.5b) can be obtained in an analogous way. The case where  $p$  or  $q$  are larger than or equal to 1 is similarly dealt with.

As to the general case, we take  $\varepsilon > 0$  but otherwise arbitrary, and set  $u_\varepsilon(t) \equiv u(t + \varepsilon)$ . One then has

$$u_\varepsilon(t) = S(t)u_\varepsilon(0) + \int_0^t S(t-s)v_\varepsilon^p(s) ds,$$

where, by Lemma 1,  $u_\varepsilon(0) \geq c \exp(-\alpha|x|^2)$  for some  $c$  and  $\alpha$ . Therefore  $u_\varepsilon(t) \geq c_1 t^\alpha$ , and accordingly

$$u(t) = u(\varepsilon + (t - \varepsilon)) \geq c_1(t - \varepsilon)^\alpha,$$

whence the result, since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary.

As a next step, we show

**Lemma 3.** *Assume that  $0 < pq < 1$ , and suppose that  $(u_0, v_0) \neq (0, 0)$ . Then there exists at most one solution of (1.1), (1.2).*

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $0 < p < 1$  and  $0 < q < 1$ . We shall argue by contradiction, thus assuming that for some  $(u_0, v_0) \neq (0, 0)$  there exist two different solutions  $(u(t), v(t))$  and  $(\bar{u}(t), \bar{v}(t))$  defined in some strip  $S_T$ . It then follows from (2.2), (2.5), and the mean value theorem that

$$(2.12) \quad (u(t) - \bar{u}(t))_+ \leq \int_0^t S(t-s)(v^p(s) - \bar{v}^p(s))_+ ds \\ \leq pc_2^{p-1} \int_0^t S(t-s)(v(s) - \bar{v}(s))_+ s^{(p-1)(q+1)/(1-pq)} ds.$$

In a similar way, we get

$$(2.13) \quad (v(t) - \bar{v}(t))_+ \leq qc_1^{q-1} \int_0^t S(t-s)(u(s) - \bar{u}(s))_+ s^{(q-1)(p+1)/(1-pq)} ds.$$

From (2.12) and (2.13), it follows that, setting  $\|f(t, \cdot)\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f(t, \cdot)|$ ,

(2.14)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(u - \bar{u})_+(t)\|_\infty \\ & \leq pq c_1^{q-1} c_2^{p-1} \int_0^t s^{(q+1)(p-1)/(1-pq)} \left( \int_0^s \tau^{(p+1)(q-1)/(1-pq)} \|(u - \bar{u})_+(\tau)\|_\infty d\tau \right) ds \\ & = pq(1-pq)^{-2}(p+1)(q+1) \\ & \quad \times \int_0^t s^{(q+1)(p-1)/(1-pq)} \left( \int_0^s \tau^{(p+1)(q-1)/(1-pq)} \|(u - \bar{u})_+(\tau)\| d\tau \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

We next show that the integrand above is indeed locally integrable. To this end, we first notice that, since  $p$  and  $q$  are less than one,

(2.15)

$$\|(u - \bar{u})_+(t)\| \leq \int_0^t \|(v - \bar{v})_+(s)\|_\infty^p ds \leq \int_0^t \left( \int_0^s \|(u - \bar{u})_+(\tau)\|_\infty^q d\tau \right)^p ds,$$

so that

$$(2.16) \quad \|(u - \bar{u})_+(t)\|_\infty \leq (p+1)^{-1/(1-pq)} t^{(p+1)/(1-pq)}.$$

This implies that the right-hand side in (2.14) is convergent. Moreover, substituting (2.16) in (2.14) yields

$$(2.17) \quad \|(u - \bar{u})_+(t)\|_\infty \leq pq(p+1)^{-1/(1-pq)} t^{(p+1)/(1-pq)}.$$

We may now use (2.17) to obtain a new bound for  $\|(u - \bar{u})_+(t)\|_\infty$  via (2.14). Iterating this procedure  $k$  times, we obtain

$$(2.18) \quad \|(u - \bar{u})_+(t)\|_\infty \leq (pq)^k (p+1)^{-1/(1-pq)} t^{(p+1)/(1-pq)}.$$

Now letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that  $u \equiv \bar{u}$ , whence  $v \equiv \bar{v}$ .

It remains to consider yet the situation where one of the exponents  $p, q$  is larger than or equal to one. Assume for instance that  $q > 1$ , and set  $f(s) = s^p$ ,  $g(v) = S(s)v_0 + \int_0^s S(s-\tau)v^q(\tau)d\tau$ . Using (2.2) as well as the mean value theorem for  $(f \circ g)$ , it follows that for some  $w = \theta u + (1-\theta)\bar{u}$  with  $\theta \equiv \theta(\tau)$  and  $0 < \theta < 1$ ,

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} u(t) - \bar{u}(t) & \leq pq \int_0^t S(t-s) \left( \int_0^s S(s-\tau)w^q(\tau) d\tau \right)^{p-1} \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_0^s S(s-\tau)w^{q-1}(\tau)(u - \bar{u})(\tau) d\tau \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^s S(s-\tau)w^{q-1}(\tau)(u - \bar{u})(\tau) d\tau \\ & \leq \left( \int_0^s S(s-\tau)|w(\tau)|^q d\tau \right)^{(q-1)/q} \left( \int_0^s S(s-\tau)|(u - \bar{u})(\tau)|^q d\tau \right)^{1/q}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u - \bar{u})_+(t)\|_\infty &\leq pq \int_0^t \left( \int_0^s S(s - \tau) |w(\tau)|^q d\tau \right)^{p-1+(q-1)/q} \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_0^s S(s - \tau) |(u - \bar{u})(\tau)|^q d\tau \right)^{1/q} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Taking (2.5) into account again, we obtain

$$(2.20) \quad \|(u - \bar{u})_+(t)\| \leq c \int_0^t s^{-(q+1)/q} \left( \int_0^s \|(u - \bar{u})_+(\tau)\|_\infty^q d\tau \right)^{1/q} ds,$$

where  $c = pq(1 - pq)^{-(q+1)/q} (q + 1)^{1/q} (p + 1)$ . We now claim that

$$(2.21) \quad v^p(t) - \bar{v}^p(t) \leq \left( \int_0^t S(t - s) (u - \bar{u})_+^q(s) ds \right)^p.$$

Assuming this inequality for the moment, the proof is complete, since (2.12) implies that

$$\|(u - \bar{u})_+(t)\|_\infty \leq \int_0^t \|v^p(s) - \bar{v}^p(s)\|_\infty ds \leq \int_0^t \left( \int_0^s \|(u - \bar{u})_+(\tau)\|_\infty^q d\tau \right)^p ds,$$

so that (2.16) holds, and the result follows at once from (2.20) and (2.16), as in the previous case. To show (2.21), let us write

$$K_t(x) = (4\pi t)^{-N/2} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}\right).$$

For arbitrary  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , one then has

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) &= S(t)v_0 + \int_0^t S(t - s)u^q(s) ds = S(t)v_0 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K_{t-s}(x - y)u^q(s, y) dy ds \\ &= S(t)v_0 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K_{t-s}^{(q-\alpha)/q}(x - y)u^{q-\alpha}(s, y)K_{t-s}^{\alpha/q}(x - y)u^\alpha(s, y) dy ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u = \bar{u} + (u - \bar{u}) \leq \bar{u} + (u - \bar{u})_+$  and  $u^\alpha \leq \bar{u}^\alpha + (u - \bar{u})_+^\alpha$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) &\leq S(t)v_0 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K_{t-s}^{(q-\alpha)/q}(x - y)u^{q-\alpha}(s, y) \cdot K_{t-s}^{\alpha/q}(x - y)\bar{u}^\alpha(s, y) dy ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K_{t-s}^{(q-\alpha)/q}(x - y)u^{q-\alpha}(s, y)K_{t-s}^{\alpha/q}(x - y)(u - \bar{u})_+^\alpha(s, y) dy ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 v(t) &\leq S(t)v_0 + \int_0^t \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K_{t-s}(x-y)u^q(s,y)dy \right)^{(q-\alpha)/q} \\
 &\quad \times \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K_{t-s}(x-y)\bar{u}^q(s,y)dy \right)^{\alpha/q} ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K_{t-s}(x-y)u^q(s,y)dy \right)^{(q-\alpha)/q} \\
 &\quad \times \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K_{t-s}(x-y)(u-\bar{u})_+^q(s,y)dy \right)^{\alpha/q} ds \\
 &\leq S(t)v_0 + \left( \int_0^t S(t-s)u^q(s)ds \right)^{(q-\alpha)/q} \\
 &\quad \times \left( \int_0^t S(t-s)\bar{u}^q(s)ds \right)^{\alpha/q} \\
 &\quad + \left( \int_0^t S(t-s)u^q(s)ds \right)^{(q-\alpha)/q} \left( \int_0^t S(t-s)(u-\bar{u})_+^q(s)ds \right)^{\alpha/q},
 \end{aligned}$$

so that, using the fact that for any nonnegative  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  and any  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$a + b^{1-\theta}c^\theta \leq (a+b)^{1-\theta}(a+c)^\theta,$$

one arrives at

$$v(t) \leq v(t)^{(q-\alpha)/q}\bar{v}(t)^{\alpha/q} + v(t)^{(q-\alpha)/q} \left( \int_0^t S(t-s)(u-\bar{u})_+^q(s)ds \right)^{\alpha/q}$$

and, setting  $\alpha = pq$ , (2.21) follows.

Concerning the case where  $u_0 = v_0 = 0$ , we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.** *Assume that  $0 < pq < 1$  and  $u_0 = v_0 = 0$ . The set of nontrivial nonnegative solutions of (1.1), (1.2) is then given by the family*

$$u(t; s) = c_1(t-s)_+^{(p+1)/(1-pq)}, \quad v(t; s) = c_2(t-s)_+^{(q+1)/(1-pq)},$$

where  $0 \leq s < t$  and  $c_1, c_2$  are the constants in (1.6).

*Proof.* The proof consists of a slight modification of the corresponding result for the scalar equation (1.4) (cf. [1]). Let  $(u, v)$  be a nontrivial solution of (1.1), (1.2) under our current hypotheses. Then, by (2.2)

$$\|u(t)\|_\infty \leq \int_0^t \left( \int_0^s \|u(\tau)\|_\infty^q d\tau \right)^p ds, \quad \|v(t)\|_\infty \leq \int_0^t \left( \int_0^s \|v(\tau)\|_\infty^p d\tau \right)^q ds,$$

so that

$$(2.22) \quad \|u(t)\|_\infty \leq c_1 t^{(p+1)/(1-pq)}, \quad \|v(t)\|_\infty \leq c_2 t^{(q+1)/(1-pq)},$$

with  $c_1, c_2$  as in (1.6). By hypothesis, there exist  $t > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $u(t, x) > 0$  or  $v(t, x) > 0$ . Assume, for definiteness, that  $u(t, x) > 0$ , and define  $\tau$  as follows

$$\tau = \inf\{t > 0 : u(t, x) > 0\}.$$

By standard results,  $u(t, x) > 0$  and  $v(t, x) > 0$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $t > \tau$ . Now take  $\bar{t} > \tau$  and set

$$\bar{u}(t, x) = u(t + \bar{t}, x), \quad \bar{v}(t, x) = v(t + \bar{t}, x).$$

Then  $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$  solves (1.1) and  $\bar{u}(0, x) > 0, \bar{v}(0, x) > 0$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2,

$$u(t + \bar{t}, x) \geq c_1 t^{(p+1)/(1-pq)}, \quad v(t + \bar{t}, x) \geq c_2 t^{(q+1)/(1-pq)}$$

for any  $t \geq 0$ . This implies that

$$(2.23) \quad u(t, x) \geq c_1 (t - \tau)_+^{(p+1)/(1-pq)}, \quad v(t, x) \geq c_2 (t - \tau)_+^{(q+1)/(1-pq)}$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t \geq 0$ . Now choose  $\underline{t} < \tau$  and define

$$\underline{u}(t, x) = u(t + \underline{t}, x), \quad \underline{v}(t, x) = v(t + \underline{t}, x).$$

By our choice of  $\tau, (\underline{u}, \underline{v})$  solves (1.1), (1.2) with  $\underline{u}(0) = \underline{v}(0) = 0$ . Therefore, by (2.22)

$$u(t + \underline{t}, x) \leq c_1 t^{p+1/1-pq}, \quad v(t + \underline{t}, x) \leq c_2 t^{(q+1)/(1-pq)}$$

for any  $t \geq 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , and this implies

$$(2.23) \quad u(t, x) \leq c_1 (t - \tau)_+^{(p+1)/(1-pq)}, \quad v(t, x) \leq c_2 (t - \tau)_+^{(q+1)/(1-pq)}$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t \geq 0$ , and the conclusion follows from (2.22) and (2.23).

Our last step consists of this lemma:

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $p > 0$  and  $q > 0$  be such that  $pq \geq 1$ . Then, if  $(u(t), v(t))$  and  $(\bar{u}(t), \bar{v}(t))$  are solutions of (1.1), (1.2) in some strip  $S_T$ , it follows that  $u(t) = \bar{u}(t)$  and  $v(t) = \bar{v}(t)$  in  $S_T$ .*

*Proof.* The result is rather classical if  $p \geq 1$  and  $q \geq 1$ . Assume for instance that  $0 < p < 1 \leq q$ . As in the proof of Lemma 3, we set  $f(s) = s^p, g(v)(s) = S(s)v_0 + \int_0^s S(s-\tau)v^q(\tau)d\tau$  and use the Mean Value Theorem to get (2.19). We now set

$$F(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|(u - \bar{u})(s)\|_\infty, \quad 0 < t < T.$$

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^s S(s-\tau)w^{q-1}(\tau)(u-\bar{u})(\tau) d\tau \\ & \leq F(t) \int_0^s S(s-\tau)w^{q-1}(\tau) d\tau \\ & \leq F(t) \left( \int_0^s S(s-\tau)w^q(\tau) d\tau \right)^{(q-1)/q} \\ & \leq F(t) \left\| \int_0^s S(s-\tau)w^q(\tau) d\tau \right\|_\infty^{(q-1)/q+p-1} \cdot \left( \int_0^s S(s-\tau)w^q(\tau) d\tau \right)^{1-p}, \end{aligned}$$

and substituting this into (2.19) yields

$$\| (u - \bar{u})(t) \|_\infty \leq pqF(t) \int_0^t S(t-s) \left\| \int_0^s S(s-\tau)w^q(\tau) \right\|_\infty^{p-1/q} ds.$$

As  $pq \geq 1$ , one is thus led to

$$(2.25) \quad F(t) \leq KtF(t) \quad \text{for } t \text{ small enough,}$$

for some constant  $K$  depending on  $p, q, T$  and the bounds on  $u$  and  $\bar{u}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, t]$ . Since (2.25) implies  $F(t) \equiv 0$  for  $t$  small enough, the result follows by a suitable iteration of the previous argument. The case  $0 < q < 1 \leq p$  is similar.

## REFERENCES

1. J. Aguirre and M. Escobedo, *A Cauchy problem for  $u_t - \Delta u = u^p$  with  $0 < p < 1$ : Asymptotic behaviour of solutions*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse **8** (1986–87), 175–203.
2. D. G. Aronson and H. F. Weinberger, *Multidimensional nonlinear diffusion arising in population genetics*, Adv. in Math. **30** (1978), 33–76.
3. M. Escobedo and M. A. Herrero, *Boundedness and blow-up for a semilinear reaction diffusion system*, J. Differential Equations (to appear).
4. M. Floater and J. B. McLeod, in preparation.
5. A. Friedman and Y. Giga, *A single point blow up for solutions of semilinear parabolic systems*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. of Tokyo, Sect. I **34** (1987), 65–79.
6. H. Fujita, *On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for  $u_t - \Delta u = u^{1+\alpha}$* , J. Fac. Sci. Univ. of Tokyo, Sect. I **13** (1960), 109–124.
7. V. A. Galaktionov, S. P. Kurdyumov and A. A. Samarskii, *A parabolic system of quasilinear equations I*, Differential Equations **19** (1983), 2123–2143.
8. —, *A parabolic system of quasilinear equations II*, Differential Equations **21** (1985), 1544–1559.
9. F. B. Weissler, *Existence and nonexistence of global solutions for a semilinear heat equation*, Israel J. of Math. **38** (1981), 29–40.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DEL PAIS VASCO, APARTADO 644, 48080 BILBAO, SPAIN

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA APLICADA, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE, 28040 MADRID, SPAIN