

## ARRAY CONVERGENCE OF FUNCTIONS OF THE FIRST BAIRE CLASS

HELMUT KNAUST

(Communicated by William J. Davis)

**ABSTRACT.** We show that every array  $(x(i, j): 1 \leq i < j < \infty)$  of elements in a pointwise compact subset of the Baire-1 functions on a Polish space, whose iterated pointwise limit  $\lim_i \lim_j x(i, j)$  exists, is converging Ramsey-uniformly. An array  $(x(i, j))_{i < j}$  in a Hausdorff space  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to converge Ramsey-uniformly to some  $x$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , if every subsequence of the positive integers has a further subsequence  $(m_i)$  such that every open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  contains all elements  $x(m_i, m_j)$  with  $i < j$  except for finitely many  $i$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

It is a well-known consequence of Ramsey's theorem that every array  $(a_{ij})_{i < j}$  of real numbers with  $\lim_i \lim_j a_{ij} = a$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  has the following property: There is a subsequence  $(m_i)$  so that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|a_{m_i, m_j} - a| < \varepsilon$  for all  $n < m_i < m_j$ . This result generalizes easily to Hausdorff spaces which satisfy the first countability axiom.

The purpose of our note is to show that a corresponding result holds for the space of functions of the first Baire class  $\mathcal{B}_1(\Omega)$  on a Polish space  $\Omega$ , given the topology of pointwise convergence.

Let us say that an array  $(x(i, j): 1 \leq i < j < \infty)$  of elements in a Hausdorff space  $\mathcal{F}$  converges *Ramsey-uniformly* to some  $x \in \mathcal{F}$ , if every subsequence of  $\mathbb{N}$  has a further subsequence  $(m_i)$  such that for every open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  there is an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $x(m_i, m_j) \in U$  for all  $n < m_i < m_j$ .

With this notation we can state our main result as follows:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a Polish space and let  $K$  be a pointwise compact subset of  $\mathcal{B}_1(\Omega)$ . If  $x$  and  $(x(i, j))_{i < j}$  are elements in  $K$  with  $\lim_i \lim_j x(i, j) = x$ , then  $(x(i, j))$  converges Ramsey-uniformly to  $x$ .*

A topological space  $\Omega$  is *Polish*, if it is homeomorphic to a complete separable metric space. A real-valued function is *of the first Baire class* on  $\Omega$ , if it is the pointwise limit of a sequence of continuous functions on  $\Omega$ .

Received by the editors December 20, 1989 and, in revised form, May 31, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 46E15; Secondary 46B20.

*Key words and phrases.* First Baire class, array convergence, Ramsey theory.

It is a fundamental result of Bourgain, Fremlin, and Talagrand [2] that  $\mathcal{B}_1(\Omega)$  is an angelic space, if  $\Omega$  is Polish. A Hausdorff space  $\mathcal{T}$  is *angelic*, if for every relatively compact subset  $A$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  each point in the closure of  $A$  is the limit of a sequence in  $A$  and if relatively countably compact sets in  $\mathcal{T}$  are relatively compact. In angelic spaces the notions of (relative) compactness, (relative) countable compactness, and (relative) sequential compactness coincide. Further basic results about angelic spaces can be found in [7].

Theorem 1 strengthens—in the case of functions of the first Baire class on a Polish space—a result of Boehme and Rosenfeld [1, Theorem 1], which we phrase for our purposes as follows:

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be an angelic space, and let  $x$  and  $(x(i, j))_{i < j}$  be elements in a compact subset of  $\mathcal{T}$  with  $\lim_i \lim_j x(i, j) = x$ . Then there is a subsequence  $(m_i)$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  with  $\lim_k x(m_{2k-1}, m_{2k}) = x$ .*

Lemma 2 was also obtained independently, in the  $\mathcal{B}_1(\Omega)$ -setting, by Rosenthal [8, Theorem 3.6].

From Theorem 1 and a result by Odell and Rosenthal [6] we obtain the following Banach space corollary:

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space not containing  $l_1$ . If  $x^{**}$  and  $(x^{**}(i, j))_{i < j}$  are elements in a bounded subset of  $X^{**}$  with*

$$\omega^* \text{-} \lim_i \omega^* \text{-} \lim_j x^{**}(i, j) = x^{**},$$

*then  $(x^{**}(i, j))$  converges Ramsey-uniformly to  $x^{**}$  in the  $\omega^*$ -topology.*

The proof of Theorem 1 utilizes Lemma 2 to extract “nice” converging subsequences out of the given array  $(x(i, j))$ . We use Ramsey theory to produce the subarray for which one obtains Ramsey-uniform convergence.

If  $M$  is an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}^\infty(M)$  will denote the set of all infinite subsets of  $M$ . We give  $\mathcal{P}^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  the topology, which is inherited by considering  $\mathcal{P}^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  as a subspace of  $\{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$  endowed with the product topology.

A subset  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  is called a *Ramsey set*, if for all  $L \in \mathcal{P}^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  there is an  $M \in \mathcal{P}^\infty(L)$  such that either  $\mathcal{P}^\infty(M) \subset \mathcal{A}$  or  $\mathcal{P}^\infty(M) \cap \mathcal{A} = \emptyset$ . It is known that analytic (and coanalytic) subsets of  $\mathcal{P}^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  are Ramsey sets [3, 9]. For a proof of this result, some history and more general results, see [5].

I would like to thank D. Alspach, E. Odell, and H. P. Rosenthal for useful discussions.

## 2. PROOF

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $x$  and  $x(i, j)$  with  $1 \leq i < j < \infty$  be elements in  $K$  such that  $\lim_i \lim_j x(i, j) = x$ . We let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{M = (m_i) \in \mathcal{P}^\infty(\mathbb{N}) : (x(m_{2k-1}, m_{2k}))_{k=1}^\infty \text{ is pointwise convergent}\}.$$

**Lemma 4.**  $\mathcal{A}$  is coanalytic.

We postpone the proof of the lemma and proceed with the proof of the theorem. Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is coanalytic,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Ramsey set. Let  $L \in \mathcal{P}^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ . We can thus find  $M = (m_i)_{i=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{P}^\infty(L)$  so that  $\mathcal{P}^\infty(M) \subset \mathcal{A}$  or  $\mathcal{P}^\infty(M) \cap \mathcal{A} = \emptyset$ . Lemma 2 shows that the first alternative holds. Moreover, Lemma 2 asserts that  $\lim_k x(m'_{2k-1}, m'_{2k}) = x$  for some  $M' = (m'_i) \in \mathcal{P}^\infty(M)$ .

Suppose now the conclusion of Theorem 1 fails for  $M'$ . Then there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  and a subsequence  $M'' \subset M'$  with

$$x(m''_{2k-1}, m''_{2k}) \notin U \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since  $M'' \in \mathcal{P}^\infty(M)$ , we have  $M'' \in \mathcal{A}$  and thus  $\lim_k x(m''_{2k-1}, m''_{2k}) = y$  for some  $y \in \mathcal{B}_1(\Omega)$ . Note that  $y \neq x$ .

We now construct a subsequence  $N = (n_i) \in \mathcal{P}^\infty(M)$  inductively as follows: Let  $n_1 = m'_1$  and  $n_2 = m'_2$ . Once  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{2k}$  have been chosen, we define  $n_{2k+1}$  and  $n_{2k+2}$  as follows: If  $k$  is odd, we choose an  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $m''_{2l-1} > n_{2k}$  and let  $n_{2k+1} = m''_{2l-1}$ ,  $n_{2k+2} = m''_{2l}$ . If  $k$  is even, we can find an  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m'_{2l-1} > n_{2k}$  and then let  $n_{2k+1} = m'_{2l-1}$ ,  $n_{2k+2} = m'_{2l}$ . On the one hand the sequence  $(x(n_{2k-1}, n_{2k}))$  is pointwise convergent, on the other hand it contains two subsequences converging to  $x$  and  $y$  respectively. This yields a contradiction.

*Proof of Lemma 4.* The proof of Lemma 4 uses techniques similar to those employed in [10].

Let  $Y$  be the set of all real-valued arrays  $(a(i, j))_{i < j}$ , endowed with the topology of pointwise convergence. We set  $Z = \mathcal{P}^\infty(\mathbb{N}) \times Y$  and denote by  $\phi: \Omega \rightarrow Y$  the canonical map defined by  $\phi(\omega) = (x(i, j)(\omega))_{i < j}$ . Since  $\phi$  is a Borel-measurable map and  $\Omega$  is Polish,  $\phi(\Omega)$  is analytic in  $Y$  (see [4, §38]). Consequently  $Z_1 := \mathcal{P}^\infty(\mathbb{N}) \times \phi(\Omega)$  is analytic in  $Z$ .

We define a set  $Z_2 \subset \mathcal{P}^\infty(\mathbb{N}) \times Y$  as follows:

$$Z_2 = \{(M, (a(i, j))) : (a(m_{2k-1}, m_{2k}))_{k=1}^\infty \text{ is not Cauchy}\}.$$

Observing that the set

$$Z_2^{l, N} := \{(M, (a(i, j))) : \text{there are } k_1, k_2 > N \text{ with } |a(m_{2k_1-1}, m_{2k_1}) - a(m_{2k_2-1}, m_{2k_2})| > 2^{-l}\}$$

is open, and that

$$Z_2 = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{N \in \mathbb{N}} Z_2^{l, N},$$

we obtain that  $Z_2$  is a  $G_{\delta\sigma}$ -set in  $Z$ .

Consequently  $Z_1 \cap Z_2$  is analytic in  $Z$ . We let  $P: Z \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  be the projection of  $Z$  onto its first coordinate. One can see easily that the complement of  $\mathcal{A}$  is equal to  $P(Z_1 \cap Z_2)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{P}^\infty(\mathbb{N}) \setminus \mathcal{A}$  is analytic in  $\mathcal{P}^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  as the continuous image of an analytic set in  $Z$  (see [4, §38]).

*Problem.* Does Theorem 1 hold for arbitrary angelic spaces? Lemma 2 reduces this problem to the apparently open question, whether the set  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ , defined at the beginning of the proof, is still a Ramsey set for arbitrary angelic spaces.

#### REFERENCES

1. T. K. Boehme and M. Rosenfeld, *An example of two compact Hausdorff Fréchet spaces whose product is not Fréchet*, J. London Math. Soc. **8** (1974), 339–344.
2. J. Bourgain, D. Fremlin, and M. Talagrand, *Pointwise compact sets of Baire-measurable functions*, Amer. J. Math. **100** (1978), 845–886.
3. E. E. Ellentuck, *A new proof that analytic sets are Ramsey*, J. Symbolic Logic **39** (1974), 163–165.
4. K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
5. E. Odell, *Applications of Ramsey theorems to Banach space theory*, Notes in Banach Spaces (H. E. Lacey, ed.), Univ. Texas Press, Austin, 1980, pp. 379–404.
6. E. Odell and H. P. Rosenthal, *A double dual characterization of separable Banach spaces containing  $l^1$* , Israel J. Math. **20** (1975), 375–384.
7. J. D. Pryce, *A device of R. J. Whitley's applied to pointwise compactness in spaces of continuous functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **23** (1971), 532–546.
8. H. P. Rosenthal, *Some remarks concerning unconditional basic sequences*, Longhorn Notes 1982–83, The University of Texas, Austin, pp. 15–48.
9. J. Silver, *Every analytic set is Ramsey*, J. Symbolic Logic **35** (1970), 60–64.
10. J. Stern, *A Ramsey theorem for trees, with an application to Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **29** (1978), 179–188.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA 74078