

## ON THE EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF FIXED POINTS FOR HOLOMORPHIC MAPS IN COMPLEX BANACH SPACES

KAZIMIERZ WŁODARCZYK

(Communicated by Clifford J. Earle, Jr.)

**ABSTRACT.** We consider the problem of the existence and uniqueness of fixed points in  $X$  of holomorphic maps  $F: X \rightarrow X$  of bounded open convex sets  $X$  in complex Banach spaces  $E$ . As a result of the Earle–Hamilton theorem, the problem in the case where  $F(X)$  lies strictly inside  $X$  (i.e.,  $\text{dist}[F(X), E \setminus X] > 0$ ) has a solution. In this article we show that this problem is also solved in the case where  $F(X)$  does not lie strictly inside  $X$  (i.e.,  $\text{dist}[F(X), E \setminus X] = 0$ ) whenever: (i)  $F$  is compact; (ii)  $F$  is continuous on  $\bar{X}$  and  $F(\bar{X}) \subset \bar{X}$ ; (iii)  $F$  has no fixed points on  $\partial X$ ; and (iv) for each  $x \in X$ , 1 is not contained in the spectrum of  $DF(x)$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a bounded open convex subset of a complex Banach space  $E$ . A subset  $X'$  of  $X$  lies strictly inside  $X$  if there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon$  whenever  $x \in X'$  and  $y \notin X$ .

The old papers of Wolff [30] and Denjoy [4] where  $X$  is the open unit disk in  $\mathbb{C}$  (see also Burckel [1]); and recent papers of Kubota [15], MacCluer [18], and Chen [2] where  $X$  is the open unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ; Goebel and Reich [7], Hayden and Suffridge [9], Kuczumow and Stachura [16], Stachura [23], Suffridge [24], and Vigué [26] where  $X$  is the unit Hilbert ball or the Cartesian product of  $n$  unit Hilbert balls; Ky Fan [6] for analytic maps of operators in the sense of functional calculus; and the author [28; 29] where  $X$  is a homogeneous domain in a  $J^*$ -algebra deal with a few questions concerning the existence of fixed points of holomorphic maps  $F: X \rightarrow X$  such that  $F(X)$  does not lie strictly inside  $X$ . In these papers the problem of the uniqueness of fixed points is not studied. This problem is entirely solved in the case where  $F(X)$  lies strictly inside  $X$  by Earle and Hamilton [5]:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a bounded open connected subset of a complex Banach space  $E$ . If a holomorphic map  $F: X \rightarrow X$  is such that  $F(X)$  lies strictly inside  $X$ , then  $F$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .*

Received by the editors January 25, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 46G20, 47H10.

*Key words and phrases.* Complex Banach spaces, holomorphic maps, bounded open convex sets, fixed points.

If  $E$  is infinite dimensional, then the above condition on  $F(X)$  is much weaker than the usual condition of the compact closure in  $X$ . When  $E = \mathbb{C}^n$  the two conditions coincide and Theorem 1.1 reduces to Hervé [11, p. 83] and Reiffen [20, p. 322].

This remarkable result is the kernel of the study of the fixed point theory of holomorphic maps. It was extended, applied, and studied by Harris [10, Appendix, pp. 102–104; 8], Hayden and Suffridge [10], Hervé [12], Vesentini [25], and others.

The purpose of his paper is to give a condition that guarantees the existence and uniqueness of fixed points of holomorphic maps  $F: X \rightarrow X$  of bounded open convex subsets  $X$  of arbitrary complex Banach spaces  $E$  in the case where  $F(X)$  does not lie strictly inside  $X$ ; i.e., when  $\text{dist}[F(X), E \setminus X] = 0$ . In the proof we use [27], properties of holomorphic maps, and degree theory in complex Banach spaces.

## 2. RESULT

To state our result, we need some conventions.

A map  $F$  is called holomorphic if the Fréchet derivative of  $F$  at  $x$  (denoted by  $DF(x)$ ) exists as a bounded complex linear map for each  $x$  in the domain of definition of  $F$ ; see Hille and Phillips [13, Chapters 3, 26] for details.

Let  $E$  be an infinite-dimensional complex Banach space. Denote the set of bounded linear operators on  $E$  by  $B(E)$ . Let  $\sigma(A)$  denote the spectrum of an element  $A$  of  $B(E)$ .

For a set  $X$ ,  $\bar{X}$  and  $\partial X$  stand for the closure of  $X$  and the boundary of  $X$ , respectively. A subset  $X'$  of  $X$  lies strictly inside  $X$  if there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $x + y \in X$  for all  $x \in X'$  and  $\|y\| < \varepsilon$ .

A map  $F: X \rightarrow X$  is said to be compact if  $F(X)$  is contained in a compact subset of  $E$ .

Our theorem is as follows:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose  $X \subset E$  is a bounded open convex set and  $F: X \rightarrow X$  a holomorphic map in  $X$  such that  $F(X)$  does not lie strictly inside  $X$ . If (i)  $F$  is compact; (ii)  $F$  is continuous on  $\bar{X}$  and  $F(\bar{X}) \subset \bar{X}$ ; (iii)  $F$  has no fixed points on  $\partial X$ ; and (iv) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $1$  is not contained in  $\sigma(DF(x))$ , then  $F$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .*

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

Write  $G = I - F$ ,  $I$  being the identity on  $E$ . If  $\Omega$  denotes the set of all zeros of  $G$  in  $X$ , then  $\Omega$  is nonempty by [27] and (iii). It is sufficient to show that  $\Omega$  contains one element.

We first show that  $\Omega$  is finite. Towards a contradiction, let  $x, x_m \in \Omega$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , be such that  $\|x_m - x\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ; by the compactness of  $F$ , the results of [27], and assumptions (i)–(iii), such a point  $x$  and a sequence  $(x_m)$  exist. Now since the map  $u \rightarrow DG(u)$  is a holomorphic map of  $X$  into

$\mathcal{L}(E, E)$  (see Nachbin [19, Proposition 3, p. 29]), by the inverse map theorem for complex Banach spaces (see Rudin [21, p. 243]), the map  $G$  is locally biholomorphic in  $X$ ; i.e., in particular, there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $X$  that  $G(U) = V$  is open in  $E$ ,  $G^{-1}$  exists, and  $G^{-1}$  is holomorphic in  $V$ . If  $m$  is sufficiently large, however,  $x_m \in U$ . This yields a contradiction.

If  $\Omega = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  then by Schwartz [22],

$$(3.1) \quad \deg(G, X, 0) = \deg(G, X \setminus D, 0) = \sum_{k=1}^n \deg(G, D_k, 0),$$

where  $D_k$  are small neighbourhoods of  $x_k$  such that the sets  $\bar{D}_k$  are pairwise disjoint,  $\bar{D}_k \subset X$ , and  $D = \bar{X} \setminus (\bigcup_{k=1}^n D_k)$ .

Without loss of generality we assume that  $0 \in X$ . (Otherwise we replace the map  $F$  and the set  $X$  by the map  $F_0$  and the set  $X - a$ , where  $F_0: X - a \rightarrow X - a$  is defined by  $F_0(u) = F(u + a) - a$ ,  $a \in X$  is arbitrary and fixed.)

Since the implications  $tF(\bar{X}) \subset \bar{X}$  hold for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , we clearly have

$$\{0(1 - t) + tF(u)\} \cap \partial X = \emptyset \quad \text{for } u \in \partial X \text{ and } 0 \leq t < 1.$$

With (iii) this leads to

$$\{0\} \cap h_t(\partial x) = \emptyset \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

where

$$h_t(u) = u - tF(u), \quad u \in \bar{X}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Applying the homotopy property to  $h_t$  (see Cronin [3], Lloyd [17], Schwartz [22]), we get

$$(3.2) \quad \deg(G, X, 0) = \deg(I, X, 0) = 1.$$

Further, since  $E$  is complex, the multiplicity of each eigenvalue of  $DF(x_k)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , is even. By Krasnoselskii [14, Theorem 4.7] this gives

$$(3.3) \quad \deg(G, D_k, 0) = 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

From (3.1)–(3.3) we deduce that  $n = 1$ . This completes the proof.

#### 4. EXAMPLES

Let  $E = \mathbb{C}$  and  $X = \{x \in \mathbb{C}: |x| < 1\}$ .

(a) If  $F$  is not assumed to satisfy (iii) or (iv), the result of Theorem 2.1 need not hold. In fact, we have constructed a simple example of  $F$ ,

$$F(x) = (x^2 + 1)/2, \quad x \in X,$$

that maps  $X$  into  $X$ , where  $F(X)$  does not lie strictly inside  $X$ , and  $F$  is nonexpansive and compact in  $X$ , holomorphic in some neighbourhood of  $X$ , but has no fixed points in  $X$ .

(b) Let

$$F(x) = -(x - c)/(1 - \bar{c}x), \quad 0 < |c| < 1, \quad x \in X.$$

If  $x \in X$  is arbitrary and fixed, then  $DF(x)h - h = 0$  whenever  $h = 0$ . Thus  $F$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.1. We have

$$\text{Fix } F = \{c[1 + (1 - |c|^2)^{1/2}]^{-1}\} \subset X, \quad 0 < |c| < 1.$$

#### REFERENCES

1. R. B. Burckel, *Iterating analytic self-maps of disc*, Amer. Math. Monthly **88** (1981), 396–407.
2. G.-N. Chen, *Iteration for holomorphic maps of the open unit ball and the generalized upper half-plane of  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **98** (1984), 305–313.
3. J. Cronin, *Fixed points and topological degree in nonlinear analysis*, Math. Surveys, vol. 11, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1964.
4. A. Denjoy, *Sur l'iteration des fonctions analytiques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **182** (1926), 255–257.
5. C. J. Earle and R. S. Hamilton, *A fixed point theorem for holomorphic mappings*, Global analysis (Berkeley, Calif., 1968), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 16, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1970, pp. 61–65.
6. K. Fan, *Iteration of analytic functions of operators*, Math. Z. **179** (1982), 293–298.
7. K. Goebel and S. Reich, *Uniform convexity, hyperbolic geometry and nonexpansive mappings*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1984.
8. L. A. Harris, *Schwarz-Pick systems of pseudometrics for domains in normed linear spaces*, Advances in Holomorphy (J. A. Barroso, ed.), North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 34, Amsterdam, 1979, pp. 345–406.
9. T. L. Hayden and T. J. Suffridge, *Biholomorphic maps in Hilbert space have a fixed point*, Pacific J. Math. **38** (1971), 419–422.
10. ———, *Fixed points of holomorphic maps in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **60** (1976), 95–105.
11. M. Hervé, *Several complex variables, local theory*, Oxford Univ. Press and Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, Bombay, 1963.
12. ———, *Some properties of the images of analytic maps*, North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 12, Amsterdam, 1977, pp. 217–229.
13. E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semigroups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1957.
14. M. A. Krasnoselskii, *Topological methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations*, Macmillan, New York, 1964.
15. Y. Kubota, *Iteration of holomorphic maps of the unit ball into itself*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1983), 476–480.
16. T. Kuczumow and S. Stachura, *Fixed points of holomorphic mappings in the Cartesian product of  $n$  unit Hilbert balls*, Canad. Math. Bull. **29** (1986), 281–286.
17. N. G. Lloyd, *Degree theory*, Cambridge Tracts in Math., vol. 73, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge and New York, 1978.
18. B. D. MacCluer, *Iterates of holomorphic self-maps of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^N$* , Michigan Math. J. **30** (1983), 97–106.
19. L. Nachbin, *Topology on spaces of holomorphic mappings*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, and New York, 1969.
20. H.-J. Reiffen, *Die Carathéodorysche Distanz und ihre zugehörige Differentialmetrik*, Math. Ann. **161** (1965), 315–324.
21. W. Rudin, *Functional analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973.
22. J. T. Schwartz, *Nonlinear functional analysis*, Gordon and Breach, New York, London, and Paris, 1969.

23. A. Stachura, *Iterates of holomorphic self-maps of the unit ball in Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **93** (1985), 88–90.
24. T. J. Suffridge, *Common fixed points of commuting holomorphic maps of the hyperball*, Michigan Math. J. **21** (1974), 309–314.
25. E. Vesentini, *Invariant distances and invariant differential metric in locally convex spaces*, Spectral Theory, Banach Center Publ., no. 8, Polish Scientific, Warsaw, 1982, pp. 493–512.
26. J.-P. Vigué, *Points fixes d'applications holomorphes dans un produit fini de boules unités d'espaces de Hilbert*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **137** (1984), 245–256.
27. K. Włodarczyk, *Approximative fixed points of compact holomorphic maps in complex Banach spaces*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena **36** (1988), 153–157.
28. —, *Julia's lemma and Wolff's theorem for  $J^*$ -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **99** (1987), 472–476.
29. —, *Studies of iterations of holomorphic maps in  $J^*$ -algebras and complex Hilbert spaces*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford **37** (1986), 245–256.
30. J. Wolff, *Sur une généralisation d'un théorème de Schwarz*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **182** (1926), 918–920; **183** (1926), 500–502.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ, BANACHA 22, 90-238 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND