

A FRÉCHET-SCHWARTZ SPACE WITH BASIS HAVING A COMPLEMENTED SUBSPACE WITHOUT BASIS

JARI TASKINEN

(Communicated by William J. Davis)

ABSTRACT. Using a method introduced by Pełczyński we show that for a nuclear Fréchet space E without basis we can find a Fréchet-Schwartz space F with basis containing a complemented, isomorphic copy of E .

We consider the famous problem of whether a complemented subspace of a Fréchet space with basis has a basis. For nuclear Fréchet spaces this question was asked by Pełczyński, and it still remains open; for Banach spaces the problem was solved by Szarek [S]. This paper contains the solution in the case of Fréchet-Schwartz spaces.

For nuclear and Schwartz spaces several partial positive results have been obtained by Mityagin, Henkin, Vogt, Dubinsky, Fachinger, and Krone (see [D1, D2, F, K, M1, M2, M3, V]).

Our proof is a combination of the fact that there exists a nuclear Fréchet space without a basis but having a suitable finite-dimensional decomposition, with the ideas of the elegant construction of Pełczyński [P] showing that each Fréchet space with the bounded approximation property is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of a Fréchet space having a basis. (The same strategy also leads to a solution in the case of Banach spaces; see [S].)

We now state the main result:

Theorem. *There exists a Fréchet-Schwartz space F with a basis having a complemented nuclear subspace E without basis.*

Proof. We shall use the method of [P]. For our purposes some nontrivial changes to [P] are necessary, so we give the whole construction in detail.

Recall ([J, §21.10]) that there exists a nuclear Fréchet space E without basis, the topology of which is determined by the norms

$$(1) \quad \|x\|_k := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} \|x_n\|_k^{(n)},$$

Received by the editors March 12, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 46A35; Secondary 46A06, 46A12.

where $x = (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in E$ and $x_n \in \mathbf{K}^2$ ($\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}$ or \mathbf{C} , the scalar field) and $\|\cdot\|_k^{(n)}$ is for all n, k a norm in \mathbf{K}^2 such that $\|\cdot\|_k^{(n)} \leq \|\cdot\|_{k+1}^{(n)}$ for all n, k . The space E consists exactly of all sequences (x_n) such that (1) is finite for all k . For all n , let us denote by $M_n \cong \mathbf{K}^2$ the n th coordinate space of E . (We conjecture that it should be possible to carry out the following construction using any nuclear Fréchet space without a basis but with a finite-dimensional decomposition, instead of this special E .)

Let us denote by P_1 and P_2 the projections $P_j: x \mapsto (x|e_j)$, $j = 1, 2$, in \mathbf{K}^2 where (e_1, e_2) is the canonical basis of \mathbf{K}^2 and $(\cdot|\cdot)$ denotes the scalar product. We consider the projection also in each M_n and choose for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ the natural numbers $\rho(n)$ such that $\rho(0) = 0$, $\rho(n) \geq 1$ for $n \geq 1$, and

$$(2) \quad \|P_j x\|_k^{(n)} \leq \rho(n) \|x\|_k^{(n)}$$

for $j = 1, 2$, all k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, and all $x \in M_n$. We define the operators $Q_i^{(n)} \in L(M_n)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2\rho(n)$, by

$$(3) \quad Q_i^{(n)} := \rho(n)^{-1} P_j$$

if $i = 2t + j$ for some $t = 0, \dots, \rho(n) - 1$ and $j = 1, 2$. We have then, for all $x \in M_n$,

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2\rho(n)} Q_i^{(n)} x = \sum_{i=1}^{\rho(n)} \rho(n)^{-1} P_1(x) + \rho(n)^{-1} P_2(x) = x,$$

and for all k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, for all q , $1 \leq q \leq 2\rho(n)$, by (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^q Q_i^{(n)} \right\|_{L(M_n, k)} &\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{q^*} Q_i^{(n)} \right\|_{L(M_n, k)} + \|Q_q^{(n)}\|_{L(M_n, k)} \\ &= \|2^{-1} q^* \rho(n)^{-1} id_{M_n}\|_{L(M_n, k)} + 1 \leq 2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{L(M_n, k)}$ the operator norm with respect to the Banach space $(M_n, \|\cdot\|_k^{(n)})$ and by q^* the largest even number not greater than q . As a consequence, we see that for all k there exists a $C_k > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and for all q , $1 \leq q \leq 2\rho(n)$,

$$(5) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^q Q_i^{(n)} \right\|_{L(M_n, k)} \leq C_k.$$

For all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2\rho(n)$, we now define the 1-dimensional subspace $M_{n,i}$ of E by $Q_i^{(n)} \widehat{Q}_n(E)$, where \widehat{Q}_n is the canonical projection from E onto M_n .

We define F as the space

$$(6) \quad F := \{(x_n, i)_{n \in \mathbf{N}, 1 \leq i \leq 2\rho(n)} | x_{n,i} \in M_{n,i}, q_k((x_n, i)) < \infty\},$$

where the norms $q_k, k \in \mathbf{N}$ in F are defined by

$$(7) \quad q_k((x_{n,i})) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{1 \leq m \leq 2\rho(n)} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m x_{n,i} \right\|_k .$$

Clearly $q_k \leq q_{k+1}$ for all k . We leave it to the reader to verify that F becomes a Fréchet space when endowed with the norms (7). Note that if $(x_{n,i}) \in F$, then the sum

$$(8) \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} y_n ,$$

where $y_n = \sum_{i=1}^{2\rho(n)} x_{n,i}$, converges in E , as easily seen from the definition of F . It is also clear from definitions that, for all $x \in E$,

$$(9) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2\rho(n)} Q_i^{(n)} \widehat{Q}_n x = x ,$$

where the convergence is as in (8).

We now prove the essential statements.

1. *The space F admits a basis.* Indeed, we pick a nonzero element $x_{n,i}$ in each $M_{n,i}$. Let for all $m \in \mathbf{N}$ the element y_m be equal to $x_{n,i}$, where $m = 2\rho(0) + 2\rho(1) + \dots + 2\rho(n-1) + i (1 \leq i \leq 2\rho(n))$. It is an immediate consequence of the definition of F that the linear combinations of the elements y_m are dense in F . On the other hand it is easy to see, using the definitions, that, for all m and k and for all sequences of scalars $(a_t)_{t=1}^{\infty}$,

$$(10) \quad q_k \left(\sum_{t=1}^m a_t y_t \right) \leq q_k \left(\sum_{t=1}^{m+1} a_t y_t \right) .$$

This implies by [J, 14.3.6] that (y_m) is a basis.

2. *The space E is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of F .* We define the mapping $T: E \rightarrow F$ by $Tx = (Q_i^{(n)} \widehat{Q}_n x)_{n \in \mathbf{N}, 1 \leq i \leq 2\rho(n)}$. Then by (5) and (9) we get, for $x \in E$,

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \|x\|_k &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2\rho(n)} Q_i^{(n)} \widehat{Q}_n x \right\|_k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{2\rho(n)} Q_i^{(n)} \widehat{Q}_n x \right\|_k \\ &\leq \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \sup_{m \leq 2\rho(n)} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m Q_i^{(n)} \widehat{Q}_n x \right\|_k \\ &= q_k(Tx) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} C_k \|\widehat{Q}_n x\|_k = C_k \|x\|_k . \end{aligned}$$

This shows that T is an isomorphic embedding. The operator P , defined by

$$(12) \quad P((x_{n,i})_{n,i}) = \left(Q_i^{(n)} \widehat{Q}_n \left(\sum_{n'=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i'=1}^{2\rho(n')} x_{n',i'} \right) \right)_{n,i} ,$$

is a projection from F onto $T(E)$; the fact that P is the identity on $T(E)$ is a consequence of (9). The continuity of P follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
 & q_k \left(\left(Q_i^{(n)} \widehat{Q}_n \left(\sum_{n'} \sum_{i'} x_{n', i'} \right) \right)_{n, i} \right) \\
 &= \sum_n \sup_{m \leq 2\rho(n)} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m Q_i^{(n)} \widehat{Q}_n \left(\sum_{n'} \sum_{i'} x_{n', i'} \right) \right\|_k \\
 (13) \quad &= \sum_n \sup_{m \leq 2\rho(n)} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m Q_i^{(n)} \left(\sum_{i'=1}^{2\rho(n)} x_{n, i'} \right) \right\|_k \\
 &\leq \sum_n \sup_m \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m Q_i^{(n)} \right\|_{L(M_n, k)} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{2\rho(n)} x_{n, i} \right\|_k \\
 &\leq \sum_n C_k \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{2\rho(n)} x_{n, i} \right\|_k \leq C_k q_k((x_{n, i})).
 \end{aligned}$$

3. *The space F is Schwartz.* To prove this statement, we denote by N_{n_0} the subspace of F spanned by the elements $(x_{n, i})$ for which only the coordinates $x_{n_0, i}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2\rho(n_0)$ are nonzero. Let S_n be the natural projection from F onto N_n . Note that if $(x_{n, i}) \in N_{n_0}$, then

$$(14) \quad \sum_{n, i} x_{n, i} \in M_{n_0} \subset E.$$

We can write

$$(15) \quad q_k(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_k(S_n x).$$

Moreover, it follows from the definition of the norms $\|\cdot\|_k$ in (1) and (14) that, for $n \geq k+1$ and all $x \in N_n \subset F$ and for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$(16) \quad \frac{q_k(x)}{q_{k+1}(x)} \leq \frac{n^{k-1}}{n^k}.$$

Now a standard argument shows that F is a Schwartz space: if $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ are given, we choose $n_0 > k$ such that $n^{k-1}/n^k < \varepsilon/2$ for $n > n_0$; then (15) and (16) imply that

$$U_{k+1} \cap \bigoplus_{n > n_0} N_n \subset \frac{\varepsilon}{2} U_k,$$

where U_k is the closed unit ball of q_k . The fact that each N_n , $n \leq n_0$, is finite-dimensional then implies the existence of a finite number of elements $a_i \in F$ with

$$U_{k+1} \subset \bigcup_i (a_i + \varepsilon U_k).$$

REFERENCES

- [D1] E. Dubinsky, *The structure of nuclear Fréchet spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 720, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, and New York, 1979.
- [D2] —, *Bases in complemented subspaces of power series spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. **34** (1986), 65–67.
- [F] U. Fachinger, Diplomarbeit, Wuppertal, 1985.
- [J] H. Jarchow, *Locally convex spaces*, Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981.
- [K] J. Krone, *Existence of bases and the dual splitting relation for Fréchet spaces*, preprint.
- [M1] B. Mityagin, *Sur l'équivalence des bases inconditionnelles dans les échelles de Hilbert*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **269** (1969), 426–428.
- [M2] —, *Equivalence of bases in Hilbert scales*, Studia Math. **37** (1970/71), 111–137.
- [M3] B. Mityagin and G. Henkin, *Linear problems in complex analysis*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **26** (1971), 93–152.
- [P] A. Pełczyński, *Any separable Banach space with the bounded approximation property is a complemented subspace of a Banach space with a basis*, Studia Math. **40** (1971), 239–243.
- [S] S. Szarek, *A Banach space without a basis which has the bounded approximation property*, Acta Math. **159** (1987), 81–98.
- [V] D. Vogt, *Tame spaces and power series spaces*, Math. Z. **196** (1987), 523–536.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, HALLITUSKATU 15, SF-00100
HELSINKI, FINLAND