

## DISTRIBUTIVE LATTICES HAVING $n$ -PERMUTABLE CONGRUENCES

M. E. ADAMS AND R. BEAZER

(Communicated by Louis J. Ratliff, Jr.)

**ABSTRACT.** Distributive lattices having  $n$ -permutable congruences are characterized by the property that they have no  $n$ -element chain in their poset of prime ideals.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

During the course of investigations into various congruence properties of distributive lattices,  $p$ -algebras, double  $p$ -algebras, and de Morgan algebras in [1, 2, 5], we are led to consider, for integers  $n$  with  $1 \leq n \leq 4$ , the class  $\mathcal{D}_n$  of all distributive lattices having no  $(n + 1)$ -element chain in their poset of prime ideals. In [2], the members of  $\mathcal{D}_n$ , for any  $n \geq 1$ , are characterized by a sentence in the first-order theory of distributive lattices (see Proposition 3.1).  $\mathcal{D}_n$  contains the lattice reducts of the members of several important varieties of algebras arising in the study of many-valued logics; including the variety of Lukasiewicz algebras of order  $n + 1$  and the variety  $\mathcal{L}_{n+1}$  of  $L$ -algebras generated by the  $(n + 1)$ -element chain algebra (see [3, 4]). Earlier research shows that those distributive lattices,  $p$ -algebras, and double  $p$ -algebras having permutable congruences are precisely the ones whose lattice reduct belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_2$ , and  $\mathcal{D}_3$ , respectively (see [2, 5]). Furthermore, those distributive lattices,  $p$ -algebras, double  $p$ -algebras, and de Morgan algebras whose compact congruences are all principal are precisely the ones whose lattice reduct belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_3$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_4$ , and  $\mathcal{D}_4$ , respectively (see [1, 2, 5]). It is natural to ask if the members of  $\mathcal{D}_n$  can be characterized by some property of their congruences. In this note we answer this question affirmatively by showing that  $\mathcal{D}_n$  is the class of all distributive lattices having  $(n + 1)$ -permutable congruences.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Henceforth,  $n$  will denote an arbitrary integer  $\geq 1$ . If  $\theta, \psi$  are binary relations on a set  $L$  then  $\theta \circ \psi$  will denote their relational product and  $\theta \circ^n \psi$  will stand for the compound relational product  $\theta \circ \psi \circ \theta \circ \dots$ , involving  $n$  factors, starting with  $\theta$  and thereafter alternating between  $\theta$  and  $\psi$ . In the event that

---

Received by the editors May 1, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 06D05, 06B10.

©1991 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/91 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

$n \geq 2$  and  $\theta \circ^n \psi = \psi \circ^n \theta$  we will say that  $\theta$  and  $\psi$   $n$ -permute (or are  $n$ -permutable). If  $\theta$  and  $\psi$  2-permute we will simply say that they permute (or are permutable). An algebra is said to have  $n$ -permutable congruences if every pair of congruences on it  $n$ -permute.

If  $L$  is a lattice  $a, b, c, d \in L$ ,  $b \leq a$  and  $d \leq c$  then we will indicate that the quotient  $a/b$  is perspective to the quotient  $c/d$  by writing  $a/b \sim c/d$  and denote by  $\theta(a, b)$  the principal congruence on  $L$  collapsing  $a/b$ .

For all other notation and terminology, we refer the reader to [6].

### 3. THE CLASS $\mathcal{D}_n$

The following was proved in [2]:

**Proposition 3.1.** *A distributive lattice  $L$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_n$  iff, given any  $x_i \in L$  with  $0 \leq i \leq n+1$  and  $x_0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_{n+1}$ , there exist  $x'_i \in L$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that*

$$x_0 = x_1 \wedge x'_1, \quad x_i \vee x'_i = x_{i+1} \wedge x'_{i+1}$$

when  $1 \leq i < n$  and  $x_n \vee x'_n = x_{n+1}$ .

In order to pave the way for our new characterization of the members of  $\mathcal{D}_n$  we first prove the following:

**Lemma 3.2.** *Congruences  $\theta$  and  $\psi$  of a lattice  $(n+1)$ -permute iff the binary relations  $\theta \cap \leq$  and  $\psi \cap \leq$   $(n+1)$ -permute.*

*Proof.* Throughout, let

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \theta, & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \psi, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha' \in \{\theta, \psi\} \setminus \{\alpha\}.$$

Suppose that  $\theta$  and  $\psi$   $(n+1)$ -permute and let  $(x, y) \in (\theta \cap \leq) \circ^{n+1} (\psi \cap \leq)$ . Then there exist  $x_i \in L$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , such that  $x \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq y$  and  $x \equiv x_1(\theta)$ ,  $x_1 \equiv x_2(\psi)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $x_n \equiv y(\alpha)$ . Therefore there exist  $x'_i \in L$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , such that

$$x \equiv x'_1(\psi), \quad x'_1 \equiv x'_2(\theta), \quad \dots, \quad x'_n \equiv y(\alpha').$$

For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , define  $\bar{x}_i \in [x, y]$  by  $\bar{x}_i = x \vee (x'_i \wedge y)$ . Clearly,  $x \equiv \bar{x}_1(\psi)$ ,  $\bar{x}_1 \equiv \bar{x}_2(\theta)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\bar{x}_n \equiv y(\alpha')$ . Now define elements  $X'_i \in L$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , by  $X'_1 = \bar{x}_1$  and  $X'_{k+1} = X'_k \vee \bar{x}_{k+1}$  whenever  $1 \leq k < n$ . Clearly

$$x \leq X'_1 \leq X'_2 \leq \dots \leq X'_n \leq y$$

and  $x \equiv X'_1(\psi)$ ,  $X'_1 \equiv X'_2(\theta)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $X'_n \equiv y(\alpha')$ . Therefore  $(x, y) \in (\psi \cap \leq) \circ^{n+1} (\theta \cap \leq)$ . Similarly,  $(\psi \cap \leq) \circ^{n+1} (\theta \cap \leq) \subseteq (\theta \cap \leq) \circ^{n+1} (\psi \cap \leq)$ .

Suppose now that  $\theta \cap \leq$  and  $\psi \cap \leq$   $(n+1)$ -permute. Let  $(x, y) \in \theta \circ^{n+1} \psi$ . Then there exist  $x_i \in L$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , such that  $x \equiv x_1(\theta)$ ,  $x_1 \equiv x_2(\psi)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $x_n \equiv y(\alpha)$ . Define elements  $X_i \in L$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , by  $X_1 = x \vee x_1$  and  $X_{k+1} = X_k \vee x_{k+1}$ , whenever  $1 \leq k < n$ , and let  $Y = X_n \vee y$ . Clearly,

$$x \leq X_1 \leq X_2 \leq \dots \leq X_n \leq Y$$

and  $x \equiv X_1(\theta)$ ,  $X_1 \equiv X_2(\psi)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $X_n \equiv Y(\alpha)$ ; in other words,  $(x, Y) \in (\theta \cap \leq) \circ^{n+1} (\psi \cap \leq)$ . Therefore  $(x, Y) \in (\psi \cap \leq) \circ^{n+1} (\theta \cap \leq)$  and so there exist  $x'_i \in L$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , such that

$$x \leq x'_1 \leq x'_2 \leq \dots \leq x'_n \leq Y$$

and  $x \equiv x'_1(\psi)$ ,  $x'_1 \equiv x'_2(\theta)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $x'_n \equiv Y(\alpha')$ . Now define elements  $Y_i \in L$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , by  $Y_1 = x_n \vee y$  and  $Y_{k+1} = x_{n-k} \vee Y_k$  whenever  $1 \leq k < n$ . Observe that  $Y = x \vee Y_n$ ,

$$y \leq Y_1 \leq Y_2 \leq \dots \leq Y_n \leq Y$$

and  $y \equiv Y_1(\alpha)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $Y_{n-1} \equiv Y_n(\psi)$ ,  $Y_n \equiv Y(\theta)$ . Therefore there exist  $y'_i \in L$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , such that

$$y \leq y'_1 \leq \dots \leq y'_{n-1} \leq y'_n \leq Y$$

and

$$y \equiv y'_1(\alpha'), \dots, y'_{n-1} \equiv y'_n(\theta), y'_n \equiv Y(\psi).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x \wedge Y \equiv x'_1 \wedge y'_n(\psi), \\ x'_1 \wedge y'_n &\equiv x'_2 \wedge y'_{n-1}(\theta), \\ x'_2 \wedge y'_{n-1} &\equiv x'_3 \wedge y'_{n-2}(\psi), \\ &\vdots \\ x'_{n-1} \wedge y'_2 &\equiv x'_n \wedge y'_1(\alpha), \quad \text{and} \\ x'_n \wedge y'_1 &\equiv Y \wedge y(\alpha') = y. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(x, y) \in \psi \circ^{n+1} \theta$ . Similarly, we can show that  $\psi \circ^{n+1} \theta \subseteq \theta \circ^{n+1} \psi$  and so  $\theta$  and  $\psi$   $(n+1)$ -permute.  $\square$

A well-known consequence of Proposition 3.1 is that a distributive lattice belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_1$  iff it is relatively complemented. It is also known that a distributive lattice has permutable congruences iff it is relatively complemented. This yields the case where  $n = 1$  in the following:

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $L$  be a distributive lattice. Then  $L \in \mathcal{D}_n$  iff it has  $(n+1)$ -permutable congruences.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $n \geq 2$  and  $L \in \mathcal{D}_n$ . Let  $\theta, \psi$  be congruences of  $L$  and  $(x, y) \in (\theta \cap \leq) \circ^{n+1} (\psi \cap \leq)$ . For the sake of notational convenience, let us suppose that  $n$  is odd. Then there exist  $x_i \in L$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that

$$x = x_0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq x_{n+1} = y$$

and

$$x_0 \equiv x_1(\theta), x_1 \equiv x_2(\psi), \dots, x_n \equiv x_{n+1}(\psi).$$

By Proposition 3.1, there are  $x'_i \in L$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that  $x = x_0 = x_1 \wedge x'_1$ ,  $x_i \vee x'_i = x_{i+1} \wedge x'_{i+1}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $x_n \vee x'_n = x_{n+1} = y$ . Let us write

$z_0 = x$  and  $z_i = x_i \vee x'_i$  when  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Observe that  $z_1/x_1 \sim x'_1/x$  and  $x_1 \equiv z_1(\psi)$ , so that  $x \equiv x'_1(\psi)$ , and furthermore,  $x_n/z_{n-1} \sim y/x'_n$  and  $z_{n-1} \equiv x_n(\theta)$ , so that  $x_n \equiv y(\theta)$ . Suppose now that  $i$  is odd and  $3 \leq i \leq n$ . Then  $x_{i-1}/z_{i-2} \sim z_{i-1}/x'_{i-1}$  and  $z_{i-2} \equiv x_{i-1}(\psi)$  so that  $x'_{i-1} \equiv z_{i-1}(\psi)$ , and furthermore  $z_i/x_i \sim x'_i/z_{i-1}$  and  $x_i \equiv z_i(\psi)$  so that  $z_{i-1} \equiv x'_i(\psi)$ . Therefore  $x'_{i-1} \equiv x'_i(\psi)$ . It follows that

$$x \equiv x'_1(\psi), x'_2 \equiv x'_3(\psi), \dots, x'_{n-1} \equiv x'_n(\psi).$$

In the event that  $i$  is even and  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ , a similar argument yields  $x'_{i-1} \equiv x'_i(\theta)$ . Consequently,

$$x'_1 \equiv x'_2(\theta), x'_3 \equiv x'_4(\theta), \dots, x'_n \equiv y(\theta).$$

Thus,  $(x, y) \in (\psi \cap \leq) \circ^{n+1} (\theta \cap \leq)$  under the assumption that  $n$  is odd. The case where  $n$  is even is dealt with similarly and so, for any  $n \geq 2$  and arbitrary congruences  $\theta, \psi$  on  $L$ ,  $(\theta \cap \leq) \circ^{n+1} (\psi \cap \leq) \subseteq (\psi \cap \leq) \circ^{n+1} (\theta \cap \leq)$ . Therefore, by Lemma 3.2,  $L$  has  $(n+1)$ -permutable congruences.

Suppose now that  $L$  has  $(n+1)$ -permutable congruences. For  $0 \leq i \leq n+1$ , let  $x_i \in L$  satisfy  $x_0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq x_{n+1}$ . Initially, let us suppose that  $n$  is odd and so  $n = 2k+1$ , for some  $k \geq 1$ . Define congruences  $\theta$  and  $\psi$  of  $L$  by

$$\theta = \bigvee_{i=0}^k \theta(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = \bigvee_{j=0}^k \theta(x_{2j+1}, x_{2j+2}).$$

First, observe that  $\theta \wedge \psi = \bigvee_{i,j=0}^k \theta(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) \wedge \theta(x_{2j+1}, x_{2j+2})$ , since the congruence lattice of  $L$  is distributive, that  $x_{2i} \leq x_{2i+1} \leq x_{2j+1} \leq x_{2j+2}$  when  $i \leq j$ , and that  $x_{2j+1} \leq x_{2j+2} \leq x_{2i} \leq x_{2i+1}$  when  $i > j$ . However, it is easy to see, using the well-known description of principal congruences of distributive lattices, that if  $a, b, c, d \in L$  and  $a \leq b \leq c \leq d$  then  $\theta(a, b) \wedge \theta(c, d) = \omega$ . Therefore  $\theta \wedge \psi = \omega$ . Now,  $x_0 \equiv x_1(\theta)$ ,  $x_1 \equiv x_2(\psi)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $x_n \equiv x_{n+1}(\psi)$  and so there exist  $x'_i \in L$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , such that

$$x_0 \leq x'_1 \leq \dots \leq x'_n \leq x_{n+1}$$

and  $x_0 \equiv x'_1(\psi)$ ,  $x'_1 \equiv x'_2(\theta)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $x'_n \equiv x_{n+1}(\theta)$ , by Lemma 3.2. Let us define, for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $X'_i = (x_{i-1} \vee x'_i) \wedge x_{i+1}$  and note that  $X'_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}]$ .

We claim that  $x_1 \wedge X'_1 = x_0$ . Indeed,  $x_1 \wedge X'_1 = x_1 \wedge [(x_0 \vee x'_1) \wedge x_2] = (x_0 \vee x'_1) \wedge x_1 = x'_1 \wedge x_1$  so that  $x'_1 \wedge X'_1 \equiv x'_1 \wedge x_0(\theta) = x_0$  and  $x_1 \wedge X'_1 \equiv x_0 \wedge x_1(\psi) = x_0$ . Therefore  $x_1 \wedge X'_1 \equiv x_0(\theta \wedge \psi)$  and so  $x_1 \wedge X'_1 = x_0$ .

Next we show that  $x_n \vee X'_n = x_{n+1}$ . Indeed,  $x_n \vee X'_n = x_n \vee [(x_{n-1} \vee x'_n) \wedge x_{n+1}] = x_n \vee x'_n$  so  $x_n \vee X'_n \equiv x_{n+1} \vee x'_n(\psi) = x_{n+1}$  and  $x_n \vee X'_n \equiv x_n \vee x_{n+1}(\theta) = x_{n+1}$ . Therefore  $x_n \vee X'_n \equiv x_{n+1}(\theta \wedge \psi)$  and so  $x_n \vee X'_n = x_{n+1}$ .

It remains to show that  $x_i \vee X'_i = x_{i+1} \wedge X'_{i+1}$ , for  $1 \leq i < n$ . Observe that, for  $1 \leq i < n$ ,  $x_i \vee X'_i = x_i \vee [(x_{i-1} \vee x'_i) \wedge x_{i+1}] = (x_i \vee x'_i) \wedge x_{i+1}$

and suppose that  $i$  is odd. Then  $x_i \equiv x'_{i+1}(\psi)$  and  $x_i \equiv x'_{i+1}(\theta)$ . Therefore,  $x_i \vee X'_i \equiv (x_i \vee x'_{i+1}) \wedge x_{i+1}(\theta) = x_{i+1} \wedge [(x_i \vee x'_{i+1}) \wedge x_{i+2}] = x_{i+1} \wedge X'_{i+1}$ ; that is,  $x_i \vee X'_i \equiv x_{i+1} \wedge X'_{i+1}(\theta)$ . Furthermore,  $x_i \vee X'_i \equiv (x_i \vee x'_i) \wedge x_i(\psi) = x_i$  and  $x_{i+1} \wedge X'_{i+1} = x_{i+1} \wedge [(x_i \vee x_{i+1}) \wedge x_{i+2}] = x_{i+1} \wedge (x'_i \vee x_{i+1}) \equiv x_i \wedge (x_i \vee x'_{i+1})(\psi) = x_i$  so  $x_i \vee X'_i \equiv x_{i+1} \wedge X'_{i+1}(\psi)$ . Therefore,  $x_i \vee X'_i \equiv x_{i+1} \wedge X'_{i+1}(\theta \wedge \psi)$  and so  $x_i \vee X'_i = x_{i+1} \wedge X'_{i+1}$ . When  $i$  is even and  $1 \leq i < n$  we have  $x_i \equiv x_{i+1}(\theta)$  and  $x'_i \equiv x'_{i+1}(\psi)$  and an argument similar to the one where  $i$  is odd also yields  $x_i \vee X'_i \equiv x_{i+1} \wedge X'_{i+1}(\theta \wedge \psi)$ . Thus,  $x_i \vee X'_i = x_{i+1} \wedge X'_{i+1}$ , for any  $i$  with  $1 \leq i < n$ .

In the event that  $n$  is even, so that  $n = 2k$  for some  $k \geq 1$ , we define

$$\theta = \bigvee_{i=0}^k \theta(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = \bigvee_{j=1}^k \theta(x_{2j-1}, x_{2j}).$$

Again we can show that  $\theta \wedge \psi = \omega$ , proceeding in a manner similar to that for which  $n$  is odd and draw the same conclusions. In any case,  $L \in \mathcal{D}_n$  by Proposition 3.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *The length of the poset of prime ideals of a distributive lattice is  $n$  iff  $L$  has  $(n+2)$ -permutable but not  $(n+1)$ -permutable congruences.*

**Corollary 3.5.** *Every compact congruence of a distributive lattice is principal iff it has 3-permutable congruences.*

## REFERENCES

1. M. E. Adams and R. Beazer, *Congruence relations on de Morgan algebras*, Algebra Universalis **26** (1989), 103–125.
2. —, *Congruence properties of distributive double  $p$ -algebras*, Czech. Math. J. (to appear).
3. R. Balbes and P. Dwinger, *Distributive lattices*, Univ. of Missouri Press, Columbia, Missouri, 1974.
4. R. Beazer, *Hierarchies of distributive lattices satisfying annihilator conditions*, J. London Math. Soc. **11** (1975), 216–222.
5. —, *Principal congruence properties of some algebras with pseudocomplementation*, Portugal. Math. (to appear).
6. G. Grätzer, *General lattice theory*, Birkhäuser, Basel and Stuttgart, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, NEW PALTZ, NEW YORK 12561

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW, GLASGOW, SCOTLAND G12 8QW