INTEGRAL MEANS, BOUNDED MEAN OSCILLATION, AND GELFER FUNCTIONS

DANIEL GIRELA

(Communicated by Clifford J. Earle, Jr.)

ABSTRACT. A Gelfer function f is a holomorphic function in the unit disc $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ such that f(0) = 1 and $f(z) + f(w) \neq 0$ for all z, w in D. The family G of Gelfer functions contains the family P of holomorphic functions f in D with f(0) = 1 and Re f > 0 in D. Yamashita has recently proved that if f is a Gelfer function then $f \in H^p$, $0 , while log <math>f \in BMOA$ and $\|\log f\|_{BMOA_2} \leq \pi/\sqrt{2}$. In this paper we prove that the function $\lambda(z) = (1 + z)/(1 - z)$ is extremal for a very large class of problems about integral means in the class G. This result in particular implies that $G \subset H^p$, $0 , and we use it also to obtain a new proof of a generalization of Yamashita's estimation of the BMOA norm of <math>\log f$, $f \in G$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Let D denote the unit disc $\{z : |z| < 1\}$. For p > 0 and g analytic in D, define

$$\begin{split} M_p(r, g) &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g(\mathrm{re}^{it})|^p \, dt\right)^{1/p}, \qquad 0 < r < 1, \\ M_{\infty}(r, g) &= \max_{|z|=r} |g(z)|, \qquad 0 < r < 1. \end{split}$$

The Hardy space H^p consists of those g analytic in D for which

$$\|g\|_{H^p} = \sup_{0 < r < 1} M_p(r, g) < \infty.$$

Let G be the class of functions f analytic in D with f(0) = 1 and having the Gelfer property

(1)
$$f(z) + f(w) \neq 0$$
 for all $z, w \in D$.

The class G was introduced by Gelfer [7] and we shall call a member of G a Gelfer function. We refer to [7; 8; 5, pp. 266-267; 9, vol. II, pp. 73-76, 82-83] for the theory of Gelfer functions.

©1991 American Mathematical Society 0002-9939/91 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Received by the editors November 6, 1989.

¹⁹⁸⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 30C45, 30D50.

Key words and phrases. Integral means, bounded mean oscillation, Gelfer functions, symmetrization.

An important subclass of G is the class P of functions f analytic in D with f(0) = 1 and Re f(z) > 0 for all z in D. The function

(2)
$$\lambda(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$$

is extremal for many problems in the classes P and G. It is well known that

$$P \subset \bigcap_{0$$

(see [4, p. 13]). S. Yamashita has recently proved [11, Theorem 2] that the same is true for the bigger class G. The first result in this paper asserts that the function λ is extremal for a large class of problems about integral means in the class G.

Theorem 1. Let f be a Gelfer function. Then for each convex function Φ on \mathbb{R} , we have

(3)
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi(\log |f(\mathrm{re}^{it})|) dt \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi(\log |\lambda(\mathrm{re}^{it})|) dt, \qquad 0 < r < 1.$$

In particular,

(4)
$$M_p(r, f) \le M_p(r, \lambda), \quad 0 < r < 1, \ 0 < p \le \infty.$$

Hence $f \in \bigcap_{0 and$

(5)
$$||f||_{H^p} \le ||\lambda||_{H^p}, \quad 0$$

Let BMOA be the space of functions f in H^1 whose boundary values have bounded mean oscillation on ∂D . There are many characterizations of BMOA-functions (see [2, 6, 10]). We are interested in the following.

Let 0 . A function g analytic in D is in BMOA if and only if

$$\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\varsigma}}\|_{\mathrm{BMOA}_p} = |\boldsymbol{g}(0)| + \sup_{w \in D} \|\boldsymbol{g}_w\|_{H^p} < \infty$$

where

$$f_w(z) = f\left(\frac{z+w}{1+\overline{w}z}\right) - f(w)$$

Yamashita [11, Theorem 3] has proved that if f is a Gelfer function then $\log f \in BMOA$ and

(6)
$$\|\log f\|_{\mathrm{BMOA}_2} \leq \|\log \lambda\|_{\mathrm{BMOA}_2} = \pi/\sqrt{2}.$$

Yamashita's proof of (6) is based on the fact that if f is a univalent Gelfer function then f^2 is univalent and hence Theorems 4 and 5 of [8] together with Danikas' computation of the BMOA₂-norm of $\log(1 - z)$ [3] imply (6) for any univalent Gelfer function. The result for a general Gelfer function follows from the fact that a Gelfer function is subordinate to a univalent Gelfer function and that subordination decreases the BMOA₂-norm. Let us notice that this argument works if we consider the BMOA_n-norms, 0 . We have: Let f be a Gelfer function. Then

(7) $\|\log f\|_{\mathrm{BMOA}_{p}} \le \|\log \lambda\|_{\mathrm{BMOA}_{p}}, \qquad 0$

We shall give a new proof of this result based on Theorem 1 and the results of [8]. This proof applies directly to an arbitrary Gelfer function; it does not need to use the fact that a Gelfer function is subordinate to a univalent Gelfer function.

2. Proofs of the results

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following elementary observation: Let f be a Gelfer function. For $0 < r < \infty$, let

$$R(r) = \{t \in [-\pi, \pi]: re^{it} \in f(D)\}$$

and let m(r) denote the Lebesgue measure of R(r). Then the Gelfer condition (1) implies

(8)
$$m(r) \leq \pi, \quad 0 < r < \infty.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let f be a Gelfer function and set R = f(D). Let R^* be the circular symmetrization of R (see [1, pp. 141-142]). Then (8) implies

(9)
$$R^* \subset \{z: \operatorname{Re} z > 0\},$$

and then, since $\lambda(z) = (1 + z)/(1 - z)$ is a conformal mapping of D onto $\{z: \text{Re } z > 0\}$ with $\lambda(0) = f(0) = 1$, Theorem 6 of [1] implies (3) for every convex increasing function Φ on \mathbb{R} .

Now, it is a simple exercise to show that a convex function on \mathbb{R} can be written as the sum of a convex increasing function on \mathbb{R} and a convex decreasing function on \mathbb{R} . Therefore we need to show that (3) holds for every convex decreasing function Φ on \mathbb{R} . To prove this observe that if $f \in G$ then $1/f \in G$ and, hence

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Psi(-\log |f(re^{it})|) \, dt \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Psi(\log |\lambda(re^{it})|) \, dt \,, \qquad 0 < r < 1 \,,$$

for every convex increasing function Ψ on R. Notice that $|\lambda(re^{it})|$ and $1/|\lambda(re^{it})|$ are equidistributed on $[-\pi, \pi]$ and hence

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Psi(\log|\lambda(re^{it})|) dt = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Psi(-\log|\lambda(re^{it})| dt.$$

Consequently, we have

(10)
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Psi(-\log |f(re^{it})|) dt \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\Psi(-\log |\lambda(re^{it})|) dt, \qquad 0 < r < 1,$$

for every convex increasing function Ψ on \mathbb{R} .

Now, if $\Phi(x)$ is a convex decreasing function on \mathbb{R} then $\Phi(-x)$ is a convex increasing function on \mathbb{R} and then (10) shows that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi(\log |f(re^{it})|) \, dt \le \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi(\log |\lambda(re^{it})|) \, dt \,, \qquad 0 < r < 1.$$

This finishes the proof of (3) for any convex function Φ on \mathbb{R} .

The other assertions of Theorem 1 follow easily from (3).

We turn now to study the integral means of $\log f$, $f \in G$. First of all let us notice that if $f \in P$ then $\log f$ is subordinate to $\log \lambda$ and the Littlewood subordination theorem [4, p. 10] shows that, for 0 ,

$$M_n(r, \log f) \le M_n(r, \log \lambda), \qquad 0 < r < 1.$$

In Theorem 2 we shall prove that this is true for $f \in G$ and 0 and we shall see that (7) follows easily from this result.

Theorem 2. Let f be a Gelfer function. Then, for 0 ,

(11)
$$M_p(r, \log f) \le M_p(r, \log \lambda), \qquad 0 < r < 1,$$

and

(12)
$$\|\log f\|_{BMOA_p} \le \|\log \lambda\|_{BMOA_p}$$

Proof. First let us notice that, for p = 2, (11) follows trivially from Theorem 1. In fact, if we take $\Phi(x) = x^2$ in (3), we obtain

$$M_2(r, \text{Re } \log f) \le M_2(r, \text{Re } \log \lambda), \qquad 0 < r < 1,$$

which, with Parseval equality [4, p. 54], gives

$$M_2(r, \log f) \le M_2(r, \log \lambda), \qquad 0 < r < 1.$$

In order to obtain (11) for 0 , we use the methods and results of [8]. Recall [1] that if <math>u is a real valued function defined in D such that, for 0 < r < 1, $u(re^{it})$ is integrable on $[-\pi, \pi]$, the function u^* is defined in $D^+ = D \cap \{\text{Im } z > 0\}$ by

$$u^*(\mathrm{re}^{it}) = \sup_{|E|=2t} \int_E u(\mathrm{re}^{is}) \, ds \,, \qquad 0 < r < 1 \,, \ 0 < t < \pi \,,$$

where |E| denotes the Lebesgue measure of E. Let $f \in G$ and 0 < r < 1. Set

(13)
$$g(z) = \log f(rz), \qquad z \in \overline{D},$$

(14)
$$h(z) = \log \lambda(rz), \qquad z \in \overline{D}.$$

Then g and h are analytic in \overline{D} and g(0) = h(0) = 0. According to [1, Proposition 3], the inequality (3) for every convex increasing function Φ on \mathbb{R} implies

(15)
$$(\operatorname{Re} g)^* \leq (\operatorname{Re} h)^* \quad in \ D^+$$

while (3) for every convex decreasing function Φ on R gives

(16)
$$(-\operatorname{Re} g)^* \leq (-\operatorname{Re} h)^* \quad in \ D^+.$$

368

369

Notice that (15) implies

(17)
$$\max_{z \in D} \operatorname{Re} g(z) \leq \max_{z \in D} \operatorname{Re} h(z)$$

and (16) implies

(18)
$$\min_{z \in D} \operatorname{Re} h(z) \leq \min_{z \in D} \operatorname{Re} g(z).$$

Moreover, h is univalent and an argument like that used in the proof of Lemma 1 of [8] shows that h(D) is a Steiner symmetric domain.

Hence, Proposition 6 of [8] implies

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g(e^{it})|^p dt \le \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |h(e^{it})|^p dt, \qquad 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$M_p(r, \log f) \leq M_p(r, \log \lambda), \qquad 0$$

To prove (12), observe that (11) implies that if f is a Gelfer function then (19) $\|\log f\|_{H^p} \le \|\log \lambda\|_{H^p}$, 0 .

Now, if $f \in G$, $w \in D$, and

$$g(z) = f\left(\frac{z+w}{1+\overline{w}z}\right) \middle/ f(w),$$

then $g \in G$ and hence

(20)
$$\|\log g\|_{H^p} \le \|\log \lambda\|_{H^p}, \quad 0$$

But notice that

$$\log g = (\log f)_w$$

and then (20) implies that, for 0 ,

$$\|\log f\|_{H^{p}} = \sup_{w \in D} \|(\log f)_{w}\|_{H^{p}} \le \|\log \lambda\|_{H^{p}} = \|\log \lambda\|_{\mathrm{BMOA}_{p}}.$$

This proves (12), finishing the proof of Theorem 2.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to thank S. Yamashita for sending me a reprint of his paper [11].

References

- 1. A. Baernstein, Integral means, univalent functions and circular symmetrization, Acta Math. 133 (1974), 139–169.
- _____, Analytic functions of bounded mean oscillation, Aspects of contemporary complex analysis (D. Brannan and J. Clunie, eds.), Academic Press, London, 1980, pp. 3–36.
- 3. N. Danikas, Über die BMOA-norm von log(1 z), Arch. Math. 42 (1984), 74–75.
- 4. P. L. Duren, Theory of H^p spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- 5. ____, Univalent functions, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- 6. J. B. Garnett, Bounded analytic functions, Academic Press, New York, 1981.

DANIEL GIRELA

- 7. S. A. Gelfer, On the class of regular functions assuming no pair of values w and -w, Mat. Sbornik (N. S.) 19(61) (1946), 33-46. (Russian)
- 8. D. Girela, Integral means and BMOA-norms of logarithms of univalent functions, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 33 (1986), 117-132.
- 9. A. W. Goodman, Univalent functions, I, II, Mariner, Tampa, 1983.
- 10. D. Sarason, Function theory on the unit circle, Lecture Notes, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 1978.
- 11. S. Yamashita, Gelfer functions, integral means, bounded mean oscillation and univalency, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **321** (1990), 245-259.

Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Málaga, 29071 Málaga, Spain