

## A NOTE ON THE $L^p$ ANALOGUE OF THE "ZERO-TWO" LAW

DANIEL BEREND

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

**ABSTRACT.** It was proved by R. Wittmann [2] that, given a positive linear contraction of  $L^p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ),  $\sup_{\|f\|_p \leq 1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n f - T^{n+1} f\|$  is either  $\geq \alpha_p$  or 0; the (best possible) value of  $\alpha_p$  is the  $l_p$ -norm of a certain  $3 \times 3$  matrix. In this paper  $\alpha_p$  is explicitly expressed as a function of  $p$ .

Let  $T$  be a positive linear contraction of  $L^1$ . Ornstein and Sucheston [1] proved that  $\sup_{\|f\|_1 \leq 1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n f - T^{n+1} f\|_1$  is either 0 or 2. Wittmann [2] showed that, if  $T$  is a positive linear contraction of  $L^p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ), then the analogous expression is either  $\geq \alpha_p$  or 0. Here the constants  $\alpha_p$  are defined by

$$\alpha_p = \sup_{0 \leq x \leq y} \left( \frac{(1+x)^p + (1+y)^p + (y-x)^p}{1+x^p + y^p} \right)^{1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

and cannot be replaced by any smaller numbers. Equivalently [2, Ex. 3.1],  $\alpha_p$  is the operator norm of the linear transformation  $S$  of the space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , endowed with  $l_p$ -norm, given by

$$S(x, y, z) = (x - y, y - z, z - x), \quad (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

A lot of information was obtained regarding the  $\alpha_p$ 's, including [2, p. 21]:

- (a)  $\alpha_p \geq (1 + 2^{p-1})^{1/p}$ ,
- (b)  $\alpha_p = \alpha_q$ , ( $1 < p, q < \infty$ ,  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ ),
- (c)  $\alpha_2 = \sqrt{3}$ .

In this paper we determine the exact value of  $\alpha_p$ ; namely, we prove the following:

**Theorem.** *The constants  $\alpha_p$  are given by*

$$(1) \quad \alpha_p = \begin{cases} (1 + 2^{p-1})^{1/p}, & 1 \leq p \leq 2, \\ (1 + 2^{1/(p-1)})^{1-1/p}, & 2 \leq p < \infty. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* In view of the second definition of  $\alpha_p$  we have

$$\alpha_p = \max\{|x - y|^p + |y - z|^p + |z - x|^p : |x|^p + |y|^p + |z|^p = 1\}.$$

---

Received by the editors June 3, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 46E30, 47B38.

*Key words and phrases.* "Zero-two" law, linear contraction, positive contraction.

Denote by  $S_1$  the unit  $l_p$ -sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Define  $F: S_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$F(x, y, z) = |x - y|^p + |y - z|^p + |z - x|^p, \quad (x, y, z) \in S_1.$$

We are looking for the maximum of  $F$  on  $S_1$ . Inverting the signs of all variables if necessary, we may restrict our attention to points  $(x, y, z)$  with at least two nonnegative coordinates. Since  $F$  is symmetric, we may also assume  $y \geq x \geq z$ . If  $z > 0$  then clearly  $F(x, y, z) < F(x, y, -z)$ . It suffices therefore to find the maximum of  $F$  on the set

$$Q = \{(x, y, z) \in S_1 : y \geq x \geq 0 \geq z\}.$$

Note that on  $Q$  we have  $x^p + y^p + (-z)^p = 1$  and

$$F(x, y, z) = (y - x)^p + (y - z)^p + (x - z)^p.$$

Let us look first for local maxima of  $F$  in the interior  $\overset{\circ}{Q}$  of  $Q$ . Using Lagrange multipliers we see that if  $F$  has a local maximum at  $(x, y, z) \in \overset{\circ}{Q}$  then

$$(2) \quad -(y - x)^{p-1} + (x - z)^{p-1} = \lambda x^{p-1},$$

$$(3) \quad (y - x)^{p-1} + (y - z)^{p-1} = \lambda y^{p-1},$$

$$(4) \quad -(y - z)^{p-1} - (x - z)^{p-1} = -\lambda(-z)^{p-1},$$

for a certain  $\lambda$ . Adding up all three equations by sides we obtain

$$\lambda(x^{p-1} + y^{p-1} - (-z)^{p-1}) = 0.$$

From (3) it is clear that  $\lambda \neq 0$ , and hence the last equation yields

$$(5) \quad x^{p-1} + y^{p-1} = (-z)^{p-1}.$$

Now (2) and (3) imply

$$x^{p-1}[(y - x)^{p-1} + (y - z)^{p-1}] = y^{p-1}[-(y - x)^{p-1} + (x - z)^{p-1}].$$

Rearranging and applying (5) we get

$$x^{p-1}(y - z)^{p-1} + (-z)^{p-1}(y - x)^{p-1} = y^{p-1}(x - z)^{p-1}.$$

Setting  $u = x(y - z)$  and  $v = -z(y - x)$ , we can write this as

$$u^{p-1} + v^{p-1} = (u + v)^{p-1}.$$

However, as both  $u$  and  $v$  are strictly positive on  $\overset{\circ}{Q}$ , the last equation has no solution unless  $p = 2$ . Hence for  $p \neq 2$  the maximum is attained on the boundary  $\partial Q$  of  $Q$ .

Obviously,  $\partial Q = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3$ , where  $B_1 = Q \cap \{x = 0\}$ ,  $B_2 = Q \cap \{x = y\}$ , and  $B_3 = Q \cap \{z = 0\}$ . The restriction of  $F$  to each of the  $B_i$ 's can be readily expressed as a function of a single variable and its maximum is easily found. Thus:

$$\max_{(x, y, z) \in B_1} F(x, y, z) = F(0, 2^{-1/p}, -2^{-1/p}) = 1 + 2^{p-1}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{(x, y, z) \in B_2} F(x, y, z) &= F(1/(2 + 2^{p/(p-1)})^{1/p}, 1/(2 + 2^{p/(p-1)})^{1/p}, \\ &\quad -1/(1 + 2^{-1/(p-1)})^{1/p}) \\ &= (1 + 2^{1/(p-1)})^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\max_{(x, y, z) \in B_3} F(x, y, z) = F(0, 1, 0) = 2.$$

Except for  $p = 1$  (where the maximum on  $B_2$  should be interpreted as 2), the third of these maxima is clearly inferior to the former two. Hence to conclude the proof of the theorem it suffices to show that

$$(6) \quad \max\{1 + 2^{p-1}, (1 + 2^{1/(p-1)})^{p-1}\} = \begin{cases} 1 + 2^{p-1}, & 1 < p \leq 2, \\ (1 + 2^{1/(p-1)})^{p-1}, & 2 \leq p < \infty. \end{cases}$$

In fact, suppose  $p > 2$ . Write  $t = p - 1$ . For appropriately chosen  $\alpha > 2$  and  $0 < \beta < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + 2^{1/(p-1)})^{p-1} - (1 + 2^{p-1}) \\ &= (1 + 2^{1/t})^t - 2^t - 1 = (1 + 2^{1/t} - 2) \cdot t\alpha^{t-1} - 1 \\ &> t2^{t-1}(2^{1/t} - 1) - 1 = t2^{t-1}((1 + 1)^{1/t} - 1) - 1 \\ &= t2^{t-1} \left( 1 + \binom{1/t}{1} + \binom{1/t}{2} + \binom{1/t}{3} \right) (1 + \beta)^{1/t} - 1 \\ &\geq 2^{t-1}(1/2 + 1/2t) - 1 = (2^{t-1}(1 + t) - 2t)/2t \\ &\geq (2^{t-1} \cdot 2\sqrt{t} - 2t)/2t = (2^{t-1} - \sqrt{t})/\sqrt{t} \\ &\geq (4^{t-1} - t)/(2^{t-1} + \sqrt{t})t \geq (e^{t-1} - t)/(2^t + t)t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

For  $1 < p < 2$  define  $q$  by  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Since  $q > 2$  the last inequality implies

$$1 + 2^{p-1} = 1 + 2^{1/(q-1)} > (1 + 2^{q-1})^{1/(q-1)} = (1 + 2^{1/(p-1)})^{p-1},$$

which completes the proof of (6). This concludes the proof of the theorem for  $p \neq 2$ , and by continuity for  $p = 2$  as well.

*Remark.* As seen from the theorem,  $\alpha_p$  is not differentiable as a function of  $p$  at the point 2. The reason for this is that, whereas for  $p < 2$  the maximum of  $F$  is attained on  $B_1$ , for  $p > 2$  it is attained on  $B_2$ . For  $p = 2$

$$(x - y)^2 + (y - z)^2 + (z - x)^2 = 2 - 2xy - 2xz - 2yz = 3 - (x + y + z)^2,$$

so the maximum is attained along the whole circle formed by the intersection of the unit sphere  $S^2$  and the plane  $x + y + z = 0$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to express his gratitude to R. Wittmann for bringing this problem to his attention and for numerous discussions on this topic, and to the referee for pointing out an error in the first draft of the paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. D. Ornstein and L. Sucheston, *An operator theorem on  $L^1$  convergence to zero with applications to Markov kernels*, Ann. Math. Statist. **41** (1970), 1631–1639.
2. R. Wittmann, *Analogues of the "zero-two" law for positive linear contractions in  $L^p$  and  $C(X)$* , Israel J. Math. **59** (1987), 8–28.