

## THE NONLOCAL NATURE OF THE SUMMABILITY OF FOURIER SERIES BY CERTAIN ABSOLUTE RIESZ METHODS

DAVID BORWEIN

(Communicated by Andrew M. Bruckner)

**ABSTRACT.** It is proved that for a large class of sequences  $\{\lambda_n\}$  the summability at a point of a Fourier series  $\sum A_n(t)$  by the absolute Riesz method  $|R, \lambda_n, 1|$  is not a local property of the generating function. It is also proved, inter alia, that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the  $|R, \lambda_n, 1|$  summability of the factored series  $\sum A_n(t)\lambda_n^{-\varepsilon}$  at any point is always a local property of the generating function.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose throughout that, for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\mu_n > 0, \quad \lambda_n := \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and  $s_n := a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n$ . The series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable by the absolute Riesz method  $|R, \lambda_n, 1|$  if

$$c(w) := \frac{1}{w} \sum_{\lambda_n < w} (w - \lambda_n) a_n$$

is of bounded variation over  $(\lambda_1, \infty)$ , and it is said to be summable by the absolute weighted mean method  $|M, \mu_n|$  if the sequence of means  $\{t_n\}$  defined by

$$t_n := \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \mu_\nu s_\nu$$

is of bounded variation, that is if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta t_n| < \infty,$$

---

Received by the editors June 1, 1990; a summary of the contents of this paper was presented at a Conference on Approximation, Interpolation, and Summability, held in Tel-Aviv, June 4–8, 1990, in honor of Professor A. Jakimovski on the occasion of his sixty-fifth birthday.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 40E05.

*Key words and phrases.* Absolute summability, Riesz, weighted mean, Fourier series, local property.

This research was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

where  $\Delta t_n := t_n - t_{n+1}$ . It is well known, and easily verified, that these two methods are equivalent.

Let

$$\frac{1}{2}\alpha_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(t) := \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_n \cos nt + \beta_n \sin nt)$$

be the Fourier series generated by a periodic function  $F$  with period  $2\pi$  which is Lebesgue integrable over  $(-\pi, \pi)$ . It is familiar that the convergence of the Fourier series at  $t = x$  is a local property of  $F$  (i.e. depends only on the behaviour of  $F$  in an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of  $x$ ), and hence the summability of the Fourier series at  $t = x$  by any regular linear summability method is also a local property of  $F$ . On the other hand, Bosanquet and Kestleman [3] showed that the summability  $|C, 1|$  ( $= |M, 1|$ ) of the Fourier series at any point is not a local property of  $F$ , and Mohanty [7] subsequently showed that this is also the case with summability  $|R, \lambda_n, 1|$  when  $\lambda_n := \ell_k(n)$  for  $n$  sufficiently large, where

$$\ell_0(x) := x \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_k(x) := \log(\ell_{k-1}(x))$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $x$  sufficiently large. Mohanty also showed that the  $|R, \log n, 1|$  summability of the factored Fourier series

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n(t) / \log n$$

at any point is a local property of  $F$ , whereas the  $|C, 1|$  summability of this series is not. Matsumoto [5] improved the first of these results by showing that the  $|R, \log n, 1|$  summability of the series

$$\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} A_n(t) (\log \log n)^{-p}, \quad p > 1,$$

at any point is a local property of  $F$ , and Bhatt [1] went a step further by showing that the factor  $(\log \log n)^{-p}$  in the above series can be replaced by the more general factor  $\gamma_n \log n$  where  $\{\gamma_n\}$  is a convex sequence such that  $\sum \gamma_n/n$  is convergent. Mishra [6] proved that if  $\{\gamma_n\}$  is as above, and if

$$\lambda_n = O(n\mu_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_n \Delta \mu_n = O(\mu_n \mu_{n+1}),$$

then the summability  $|M, \mu_n|$  of the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(t) \gamma_n \frac{\lambda_n}{n\mu_n}$$

at any point is a local property of  $F$ . This does not directly generalize any of the above-mentioned results involving  $|R, \log n, 1|$  summability since the order relations are not satisfied by  $\mu_n := 1/n$ . Bor [2] recently showed that  $|M, \mu_n|$  in Mishra's result can be replaced by a more general summability method  $|M, \mu_n|_k$ . The object of this paper is to prove the following two theorems which include most of the above-mentioned results as special cases.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that  $a$  is a positive integer, and that  $f$  is a positive, unbounded function with an absolutely continuous positive derivative on  $[e^a, \infty)$  such that, on this interval,*

$$(1) \quad \frac{xf'(x)}{f(x)} \text{ decreases to } 0$$

and

$$(2) \quad xf''(x) = O(f'(x)).$$

Suppose also that

$$(3) \quad \lambda_n := f(e^n) \text{ for } n \geq a,$$

and that  $0 < \alpha < \beta < 2\pi$ . Then there is a function  $F$ , Lebesgue integrable over  $(\alpha, \beta)$  and zero in the remainder of  $(0, 2\pi)$ , whose Fourier series is not summable  $|R, \lambda_n, 1|$  at  $t = 0$ .

This shows that, subject to the hypotheses of the theorem, the summability  $|R, \lambda_n, 1|$  of a Fourier series at any point is not a local property of its generating function. Since the hypotheses are satisfied by  $f(x) := \ell_k(x)$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , Bosanquet and Kestleman's result, and also Mohanty's result, on the nonlocal nature of the summability of a Fourier series by certain absolute methods are special cases of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose that the sequence  $\{c_n\}$  is such that*

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{\lambda_n} |c_n| < \infty$$

and

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta c_n| < \infty.$$

Then the summability  $|R, \lambda_n, 1|$  of the factored Fourier series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(t) c_n$$

at any point is a local property of the generating function  $F$ .

This theorem generalizes Bhatt's above-mentioned result, since it is known (see [1] for references) that if  $\{\gamma_n\}$  is a convex sequence such that  $\sum \gamma_n/n$  is convergent, then

$$\gamma_n \geq \gamma_{n+1} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log n \Delta \gamma_n < \infty,$$

and so (4) and (5) are satisfied by  $\mu_n := 1/n$ ,  $c_n := \gamma_n \log n$ . Since, by Dini's theorem,  $\sum \mu_n \lambda_n^{-1-\varepsilon}$  is convergent whenever  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have the following corollary of Theorem 2.

**Corollary.** For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the summability  $|R, \lambda_n, 1|$  of the factored Fourier series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(t) \lambda_n^{-\varepsilon}$$

at any point is a local property of the generating function  $F$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

**Lemma 1.** Suppose that the function  $f$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1 and that  $g$  is its inverse function. Let  $\lambda_n := f(e^n)$ , and

$$h(w) := \frac{g(w)}{w g'(w)} \quad \text{on } +[b, \infty),$$

where  $b := f(e^a)$ . Then

$$(6) \quad h(w) \text{ decreases to } 0$$

and

$$(7) \quad w h'(w) = O(1)$$

on  $[b, \infty)$ . Further,

$$(8) \quad \sum_{n=a}^{\infty} h(\lambda_n) = \infty.$$

Finally, if  $\sum a_n$  is summable  $|R, \lambda_n, 1|$ , then  $\sum a_n h(\lambda_n)$  is absolutely convergent.

*Proof.* Let  $w = f(x)$  for  $x \geq e^a$ . Then  $x = g(w)$  and  $1 = g'(w)f'(x)$ , whence  $h(w) = x f'(x)/f(x)$ . Thus (6) is a consequence of (1). Next,

$$0 = g''(w)f'(x)^2 + g'(w)f''(x) = \frac{g''(w)}{g'(w)} f'(x) + g'(w)f''(x)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} w h'(w) &= w h(w) \left( \frac{g'(w)}{g(w)} - \frac{1}{w} - \frac{g''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) = 1 - h(w) - \frac{w g(w) g''(w)}{g'(w)^2} \\ &= 1 - h(w) + \frac{x f''(x)}{f'(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (7) is a consequence of (2) and (6).

In order to establish (8), let  $\lambda(x) := f(e^x)$ , so that  $\lambda_n = \lambda(n)$ . Then, for  $x \geq a$ , we have  $g(\lambda(x)) = e^x$  so that  $g'(\lambda(x))\lambda'(x) = e^x$ , and hence

$$h(\lambda(x)) = \frac{g(\lambda(x))}{\lambda(x)g'(\lambda(x))} = \frac{\lambda'(x)}{\lambda(x)}.$$

Therefore

$$\int_a^y h(\lambda(x)) dx = \log(\lambda(y)) - \log(\lambda(a)) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow \infty.$$

Conclusion (8) follows, by the integral test.

Suppose now that  $\sum a_n$  is  $|R, \lambda_n, 1|$  summable. Since  $g(\lambda_n) = e^n$ , it follows from (6) and (7), by a result due to Dikshit [4], that  $\sum a_n h(\lambda_n)$  is

$|R, e^n, 1|$  summable, and Mohanty [8, Lemma 4] has shown this to be equivalent to  $\sum a_n h(\lambda_n)$  being absolutely convergent.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose that the sequence  $\{c_n\}$  satisfies conditions (4) and (5) of Theorem 2, and that  $\{s_n\}$  is bounded. Then*

$$(9) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n c_n$$

is summable  $|R, \lambda_n, 1|$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{T_n\}$  be the sequence of  $(M, \mu_n)$  means of series (9), that is

$$T_n := \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \mu_{\nu} \sum_{r=1}^{\nu} a_r c_r = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{r=1}^n (\lambda_n - \lambda_{r-1}) a_r c_r$$

where  $\lambda_0 := 0$ . We wish to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta t_n| < \infty.$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n+1} - T_n &= \frac{\mu_{n+1}}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n+1}} \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} \lambda_{r-1} a_r c_r \\ &= \frac{\mu_{n+1}}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n+1}} \sum_{r=1}^n s_r (\lambda_r \Delta c_r - \mu_r c_r) + \frac{\mu_{n+1} c_{n+1} s_{n+1}}{\lambda_{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we suppose that  $|s_n| \leq 1$ , as we may without loss of generality, we see that

$$|\Delta t_n| \leq \frac{\mu_{n+1}}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n+1}} \sum_{r=1}^n (\lambda_r |\Delta c_r| + \mu_r |c_r|) + \frac{\mu_{n+1}}{\lambda_{n+1}} |c_{n+1}|,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta t_n| &\leq \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_r |\Delta c_r| + \mu_r |c_r|) \sum_{n=r}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_{n+1}}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n+1}} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{\lambda_n} |c_n| \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left( |\Delta c_r| + \frac{\mu_r}{\lambda_r} |c_r| \right) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{\lambda_n} |c_n| < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

by (4) and (5).  $\square$

### 3. PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS

*Proof of Theorem 1.* By Lemma 1, it suffices to show that there is a function  $F$ , Lebesgue integrable over  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , such that

$$\sum_{n=a}^{\infty} \left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} h(\lambda_n) F(t) \cos nt \, dt \right| = \infty,$$

where the function  $h$  is as in the lemma. For  $0 < t < 2\pi$ ,  $t \neq \pi$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=a}^{\infty} h(\lambda_n) |\cos nt| &\geq \sum_{n=a}^{\infty} h(\lambda_n) \cos^2 nt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=a}^{\infty} h(\lambda_n) - \frac{1}{2} \left| \sum_{n=a}^{\infty} h(\lambda_n) \cos 2nt \right| = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1, the final sum being convergent because the sequence  $\{h(\lambda_n)\}$  decreases to 0. The required result now follows from a theorem due to Bosanquet and Kestleman [3, Theorem 1].  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Since the convergence of the Fourier series at a point is a local property of its generating function  $F$ , Theorem 2 follows immediately from Lemma 2.  $\square$

*Remark* (added November 9, 1990). After this paper was accepted for publication I found out that Theorem 2 is in fact a special case of Theorem 3 in S. Baron's paper, *Local property of absolute summability of a Fourier series and the conjugate series*, Tartu Riikl. Ül. Toimetised Vih. **253** (1970), 212–228. My proof, however, is somewhat simpler and more direct than Baron's, partly because he deals with more general summability methods.

#### REFERENCES

1. S. N. Bhatt, *An aspect of local property of  $|R, \log n, 1|$  summability of factored Fourier series*, Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India **26** (1960), 69–73.
2. H. Bor, *Local property of  $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$  summability of factored Fourier series*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **17** (1989), 165–170.
3. L. S. Bosanquet and H. Kestleman, *The absolute convergence of series of integrals*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **45** (1939), 88–97.
4. G. D. Dikshit, *On the absolute Riesz summability factors of infinite series (I)*, Indian J. Math. **1** (1958), 33–40.
5. K. Matsumoto, *Local property of summability  $|R, \lambda_n, 1|$* , Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **8** (1956), 114–124.
6. K. N. Mishra, *Multipliers for  $|\bar{N}, p_n|$  summability of Fourier series*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **14** (1986), 431–438.
7. R. Mohanty, *On the summability  $|R, \log \omega, 1|$  of a Fourier series*, J. London Math. Soc. **25** (1950), 67–72.
8. ———, *On the absolute Riesz summability of Fourier series and allied series*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **52** (1951), 295–321.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO, LONDON, ONTARIO, N6A 5B7 CANADA