

**AN UPPER BOUND FOR THE SUM  $\sum_{n=a+1}^{a+H} f(n)$   
 FOR A CERTAIN CLASS OF FUNCTIONS  $f$**

EDWARD DOBROWOLSKI AND KENNETH S. WILLIAMS

(Communicated by William Adams)

**ABSTRACT.** For a certain class of functions  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  an upper bound is obtained for the sum  $\sum_{n=a+1}^{a+H} f(n)$ . This bound is used to give a proof of a classical inequality due to Pólya and Vinogradov that does not require the value of the modulus of the Gauss sum and to obtain an estimate of the sum of Legendre symbols  $\sum_{x=1}^H ((Rg^x + S)/p)$ , where  $g$  is a primitive root of the odd prime  $p$ ,  $1 \leq H \leq p - 1$  and  $RS$  is not divisible by  $p$ .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a function satisfying the following three conditions:

- (1.1) there exists a positive real number  $A$  such that  $|f(n)| \leq A$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;
- (1.2) there exists a positive integer  $k$  such that  $f(n + k) = f(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;
- (1.3) there exists a positive real number  $B$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^k \left| \sum_{r=1}^h f(n+r) \right|^2 \leq Bhk$$

for all positive integers  $h$ .

In §2 we show that such a function  $f$  satisfies the following inequality:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $a$  and  $H$  be integers with  $1 \leq H \leq k$ . Then, if  $f$  satisfies (1.1)–(1.3), we have*

$$(1.4) \quad \left| \sum_{n=a+1}^{a+H} f(n) \right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{B}}{2 \log 2} \sqrt{k} \log k + 3A\sqrt{k}.$$

---

Received by the editors May 30, 1990 and, in revised form, July 20, 1990.  
 1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 11L40, 26D15.  
*Key words and phrases.* Inequality, character sum, Pólya–Vinogradov inequality.

Research of the first author was supported by the Centre for Research in Algebra and Number Theory, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

Research of the second author was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Grant A-7233.

In §3 we apply Theorem 1 with  $f(n) = \chi(n)$ , where  $\chi$  is a nonprincipal Dirichlet character modulo  $k$ , to obtain the following form of the famous inequality first proved independently by Pólya [8] and Vinogradov [10] 70 years ago.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $a$  and  $H$  be integers with  $H \geq 1$ . If  $\chi$  is a nonprincipal character modulo  $k$  then*

$$(1.5) \quad \left| \sum_{n=a+1}^{a+H} \chi(n) \right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{k} \log k}{2 \log 2} + 3\sqrt{k}.$$

What is particularly interesting about our proof of Theorem 2 is that it does not require the value of the modulus of the Gauss sum for a primitive character  $\chi$  modulo  $k$ ; namely,

$$(1.6) \quad \left| \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \chi(n) \exp(2\pi i n/k) \right| = \sqrt{k}.$$

All that is required is for  $\chi$  to satisfy an inequality of the type (1.3). The result (1.6) is used in the proofs of the Pólya–Vinogradov inequality given in [5, 6, 8, 9, 10] (see also [1, Theorem 13.15, p. 299; 2, Theorem 5.1, p. 320]). No attempt has been made to find the best possible constants multiplying the terms  $\sqrt{k} \log k$  and  $\sqrt{k}$  in (1.4). It may therefore be possible to improve the constant  $1/(2 \log 2)$  in (1.5). The form of the Pólya–Vinogradov inequality having the smallest constant multiplying the term  $\sqrt{k} \log k$  is due to Hildebrand [5]. Under certain additional assumptions, Burgess [4] has significantly improved the estimate  $\sum_{n=a+1}^{a+H} \chi(n) = O(\sqrt{k} \log k)$ .

In §4 we use Theorem 1 to estimate the sum of Legendre symbols

$$\sum_{x=1}^H \left( \frac{Rg^x + S}{p} \right),$$

where  $H$  is an integer with  $1 \leq H \leq p-1$ ,  $p$  is an odd prime,  $g$  is a primitive root (mod  $p$ ), and  $R, S$  are integers with  $RS \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . We prove

**Theorem 3.**

$$\left| \sum_{x=1}^H \left( \frac{Rg^x + S}{p} \right) \right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{p-1} \log(p-1)}{2 \log 2} + 3\sqrt{p-1}.$$

We conclude the introduction by sketching briefly the idea of the proof of Theorem 1. Many values of  $h$  are chosen so that relatively many of the inner sums in the inequality (1.3) are partial sums of the sum (1.4) and can be combined to cover most of the range of summation of (1.4) a considerable number of times. An application of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality then gives inequality (1.4).

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

If  $1 \leq k \leq 9$  then inequality (1.4) is trivial as

$$\left| \sum_{n=a+1}^{a+H} f(n) \right| \leq \sum_{n=a+1}^{a+H} |f(n)| \leq AH \leq Ak \leq 3A\sqrt{k} \leq \frac{\sqrt{B}}{2 \log 2} \sqrt{k} \log k + 3A\sqrt{k}.$$

Thus we may suppose that  $k \geq 10$ . If  $H \leq \sqrt{k}$  then inequality (1.4) is again trivial as

$$\left| \sum_{n=a+1}^{a+H} f(n) \right| \leq AH \leq A\sqrt{k} < 3A\sqrt{k} \leq \frac{k\sqrt{B}}{2\log 2} \sqrt{k} \log k + 3A\sqrt{k}.$$

Thus we may also suppose that  $H > \sqrt{k}$ . Let

$$(2.1) \quad S = \{a+1, a+2, \dots, a+H\},$$

and set

$$(2.2) \quad s = \left\lceil \frac{\log k}{2\log 2} \right\rceil, \quad c = \frac{H}{2^s},$$

$$(2.3) \quad h_j = 2^{s-j-1}[c], \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, s-1.$$

We note that  $s \geq \lceil \log 10 / (2\log 2) \rceil = 1$ , and that

$$(2.4) \quad 2^s \leq \sqrt{k} < 2^{s+1}, \quad 1 < c < 2\sqrt{k}.$$

From (2.2)–(2.4), we see that the  $h_j$  are positive integers satisfying

$$(2.5) \quad H \geq 2h_0, \quad H - h_0 - h_1 - \dots - h_{j-1} \geq 2h_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, s-1).$$

Next we construct  $(H - h_0 + 1)s$  sets  $S_{i,j}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, H - h_0 + 1$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, s$ ) such that for  $k = 1, \dots, s$ ,

$$(2.6) \quad S_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup S_{i,k} \text{ consists of exactly } h_0 + \dots + h_{k-1} \text{ consecutive integers of } S.$$

For  $i = 1, \dots, H - h_0 + 1$  we set

$$(2.7) \quad S_{i,1} = \{a+i, a+i+1, \dots, a+i+h_0-1\}.$$

Clearly each  $S_{i,1}$  is a sequence of  $h_0$  consecutive integers of  $S$ . Now suppose that  $S_{i,1}, S_{i,2}, \dots, S_{i,k}$ , where  $k$  is an integer satisfying  $1 \leq k \leq s-1$ , have been constructed such that for  $j = 1, \dots, k$  the set  $S_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup S_{i,j}$  consists of exactly  $h_0 + \dots + h_{j-1}$  consecutive integers of  $S$ . We show how to construct  $S_{i,k+1}$  so that  $S_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup S_{i,k+1}$  consists of exactly  $h_0 + \dots + h_k$  consecutive integers of  $S$ . Let

$$L = \{s \in S: s < \min(S_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup S_{i,k})\},$$

$$R = \{s \in S: s > \max(S_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup S_{i,k})\}.$$

Clearly we have

$$|L| + |R| = |S| - |S_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup S_{i,k}|$$

$$= H - (h_0 + h_1 + \dots + h_{k-1}) \geq 2h_k,$$

so that  $\max(|L|, |R|) \geq h_k$ . If  $|L| \geq |R|$ , so that  $|L| \geq h_k$ , we set

$$S_{i,k+1} = \{\min(S_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup S_{i,k}) - h_k, \dots, \min(S_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup S_{i,k}) - 1\},$$

whereas, if  $|L| < |R|$ , so that  $|R| \geq h_k$ , we set

$$S_{i,k+1} = \{\max(S_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup S_{i,k}) + 1, \dots, \max(S_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup S_{i,k}) + h_k\}.$$

In both cases  $S_{i,k+1}$  consists of  $h_k$  consecutive integers of  $S$  such that  $S_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup S_{i,k+1}$  comprises  $h_0 + \dots + h_k$  consecutive integers of  $S$ . This completes the required construction.

Next, for  $i = 1, \dots, H - h_0 + 1$ , we set

$$S_i = S_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup S_{i,s},$$

and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |S - S_i| &= \left| S - \bigcup_{j=1}^s S_{i,j} \right| \\ &= H - (h_0 + h_1 + \dots + h_{s-1}) \\ &= c2^s - (2^{s-1} + 2^{s-2} + \dots + 1)[c] \\ &= c2^s - (2^s - 1)[c] = (c - [c])2^s + [c] \\ &< 2^s + c < \sqrt{k} + 2\sqrt{k}, \quad (\text{by (2.4)}) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(2.8) \quad |S - S_i| < 3\sqrt{k}, \quad i = 1, \dots, H - h_0 + 1.$$

Now, for  $i = 1, \dots, H - h_0 + 1$ , we have

$$\left| \sum_{n=a+1}^{a+H} f(n) \right| = \left| \sum_{n \in S} f(n) \right| \leq \left| \sum_{n \in S_i} f(n) \right| + \left| \sum_{n \in S - S_i} f(n) \right|,$$

so, appealing to (1.1) and (2.8), we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \left| \sum_{n=a+1}^{a+H} f(n) \right| \leq \left| \sum_{n \in S_i} f(n) \right| + 3A\sqrt{k} \quad (i = 1, \dots, H - h_0 + 1).$$

Summing (2.9) over  $i$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (H - h_0 + 1) \left| \sum_{n=a+1}^{a+H} f(n) \right| &= \sum_{i=1}^{H-h_0+1} \left| \sum_{n=a+1}^{a+H} f(n) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{H-h_0+1} \left( \left| \sum_{n \in S_i} f(n) \right| + 3A\sqrt{k} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{H-h_0+1} \left| \sum_{n \in S_i} f(n) \right| + 3A\sqrt{k}(H - h_0 + 1), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(2.10) \quad \left| \sum_{n=a+1}^{a+H} f(n) \right| \leq \frac{1}{H - h_0 + 1} \sum_{i=1}^{H-h_0+1} \left| \sum_{n \in S_i} f(n) \right| + 3A\sqrt{k}.$$

Next, making use of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{H-h_0+1} \left| \sum_{n \in S_i} f(n) \right| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{H-h_0+1} \sum_{j=1}^s \left| \sum_{n \in S_{i,j}} f(n) \right| \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{H-h_0+1} \sum_{j=1}^s 1^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{H-h_0+1} \sum_{j=1}^s \left| \sum_{n \in S_{i,j}} f(n) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \sqrt{(H-h_0+1)s} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{H-h_0+1} \sum_{j=1}^s \left| \sum_{n \in S_{i,j}} f(n) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

(2.11)

$$\frac{1}{H-h_0+1} \sum_{i=1}^{H-h_0+1} \left| \sum_{n \in S_i} f(n) \right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{s}}{\sqrt{H-h_0+1}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{H-h_0+1} \sum_{j=1}^s \left| \sum_{n \in S_{i,j}} f(n) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{H-h_0+1} \sum_{j=1}^s \left| \sum_{n \in S_{i,j}} f(n) \right|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{H-h_0+1} \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{b=a}^{a+H-h_{j-1}} \left| \sum_{\substack{n \in S_{i,j} \\ S_{i,j} = \{b+1, \dots, b+h_{j-1}\}}} f(n) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{b=a}^{a+H-h_{j-1}} N_j(b) \left| \sum_{r=1}^{h_{j-1}} f(b+r) \right|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where  $N_j(b)$  = number of  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq H-h_0+1$ ) such that  $S_{i,j} = \{b+1, \dots, b+h_{j-1}\}$ . As  $N_j(b) \leq 2^{j-1}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, s$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{b=a}^{a+H-h_{j-1}} N_j(b) \left| \sum_{r=1}^{h_{j-1}} f(b+r) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^s 2^{j-1} \sum_{b=a}^{a+H-h_{j-1}} \left| \sum_{r=1}^{h_{j-1}} f(b+r) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^s 2^{j-1} \sum_{b=a}^{a+k} \left| \sum_{r=1}^{h_{j-1}} f(b+r) \right|^2 \quad (\text{as } H-h_{j-1} < H \leq k) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^s 2^{j-1} \sum_{b=1}^k \left| \sum_{r=1}^{h_{j-1}} f(b+r) \right|^2 \quad (\text{by (1.2)}) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^s 2^{j-1} B h_{j-1} k \quad (\text{by (1.3)}), \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{b=a}^{a+H-h_{j-1}} N_j(b) \left| \sum_{r=1}^{h_{j-1}} f(b+r) \right|^2 \leq sBk2^{s-1}[c].$$

Hence, by (2.10)–(2.13), (2.5), (2.3), and (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=a+1}^{a+H} f(n) \right| &\leq \frac{\sqrt{s}}{\sqrt{H-h_0+1}} \sqrt{sBk2^{s-1}[c]} + 3A\sqrt{k} \\ &= \frac{s}{\sqrt{H-h_0+1}} \sqrt{B}\sqrt{k}2^{(s-1)/2} \sqrt{[c]} + 3A\sqrt{k} \\ &\leq \frac{s}{\sqrt{2h_1}} \sqrt{B}\sqrt{k}2^{(s-1)/2} \sqrt{[c]} + 3A\sqrt{k} \\ &= \frac{s\sqrt{B}\sqrt{k}2^{(s-1)/2}}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{h_1}} \frac{\sqrt{h_1}}{2^{(s/2)-1}} + 3A\sqrt{k} \\ &= s\sqrt{B}\sqrt{k} + 3A\sqrt{k} \leq \sqrt{B}\sqrt{k} \frac{\log k}{2 \log 2} + 3A\sqrt{k}, \end{aligned}$$

as asserted.

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let  $a$  and  $H$  be integers with  $H \geq 1$ , and let  $\chi$  be a nonprincipal character modulo  $k$ . Now  $\sum_n \chi(n) = 0$  if  $n$  runs through any complete residue system modulo  $k$ , so that  $\sum_{n=a+1}^{a+H} \chi(n) = \sum_{n=a+1}^{a+H-k[H/k]} \chi(n)$ . Hence we may assume that  $H \leq k$ . The function  $f(n) = \chi(n)$  satisfies (1.1) with  $A = 1$ , (1.2), and (1.3) with  $B = 1$  (see [3]). Theorem 2 now follows immediately from Theorem 1.

### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Let  $p$  be an odd prime,  $g$  a primitive root (mod  $p$ ),  $R$  and  $S$  integers with  $RS \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , and  $H$  an integer satisfying  $1 \leq H \leq p-1$ . Next we define

$$(4.1) \quad f(n) = \left( \frac{Rg^n + S}{p} \right),$$

where  $(\cdot/p)$  denotes the Legendre symbol, so that  $f: Z \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ . Clearly  $f$  satisfies (1.1) with  $A = 1$  and (1.2) with  $k = p-1$ . Next we show that  $f$  satisfies (1.3) with  $B = 1$ . First we recall that for  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  we have

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \left( \frac{am^2 + bm + c}{p} \right) = \begin{cases} -(a/p), & \text{if } b^2 \not\equiv 4ac \pmod{p}, \\ (p-1)(a/p), & \text{if } b^2 \equiv 4ac \pmod{p}. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \left| \sum_{r=1}^h f(n+r) \right|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \sum_{r=1}^h \sum_{s=1}^h \left( \frac{Rg^{n+r} + S}{p} \right) \left( \frac{Rg^{n+s} + S}{p} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{r,s=1}^h \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \left( \frac{(R^2 g^{r+s})g^{2n} + (RS(g^r + g^s))g^n + S^2}{p} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{r,s=1}^h \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \left( \frac{(R^2 g^{r+s})m^2 + (RS(g^r + g^s))m + S^2}{p} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{r,s=1}^h \left( \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \left( \frac{(R^2 g^{r+s})m^2 + (RS(g^r + g^s))m + S^2}{p} \right) - 1 \right) \\
 &= \sum_{r=1}^h (p-1) + \sum_{\substack{r,s=1 \\ r \neq s}}^h (-(-1)^{r+s}) - h^2 \\
 &= h(p-1) - \left( \sum_{r,s=1}^h (-1)^{r+s} - \sum_{\substack{r,s=1 \\ r=s}}^h (-1)^{r+s} \right) - h^2 \\
 &= h(p-1) - (2[h/2] - h)^2 + h - h^2 \\
 &= hp - h^2 - \begin{cases} 0, & h \text{ even} \\ 1, & h \text{ odd} \end{cases} \\
 &\leq h(p-1),
 \end{aligned}$$

so that (1.3) holds with  $B = 1$ . Theorem 3 now follows from Theorem 1.

#### REFERENCES

1. Tom M. Apostol, *Introduction to analytic number theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
2. R. Ayoub, *An introduction to the analytic theory of numbers*, Math. Surveys Monogr. vol. 10, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1963.
3. D. A. Burgess, *On a conjecture of Norton*, Acta Arith. **27** (1975), 265–277.
4. —, *On character sums and L-series*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **12** (1962), 193–206.
5. Adolf Hildebrand, *On the constant in the Pólya-Vinogradov inequality*, Canad. Math. Bull. **31** (1988), 347–352.
6. E. Landau, *Abschätzungen von Charaktersummen, Einheiten und Klassenzahlen*, Nachrichten Königl. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen (1918), 79–97.
7. K. K. Norton, *On character sums and power residues*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **167** (1972), 203–226.
8. G. Pólya, *Über die Verteilung der quadratischen Reste und Nichtreste*, Gött. Nachr. (1918), 21–29.
9. I. Schur, *Einige Bemerkungen zur vorstehenden Arbeit des Herrn G. Pólya*, Nachrichten Königl. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen (1918), 30–36.
10. I. M. Vinogradov, *Über die Verteilung der quadratischen Reste und Nichtreste*, J. Soc. Phys. Math. Univ. Permi **2** (1919), 1–4.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CARLETON UNIVERSITY, OTTAWA, ONTARIO, CANADA K1S 5B6

*Current address*, E. Dobrowolski: Department of Mathematics, College of New Caledonia, Prince George, British Columbia, Canada V2N 1P8