

## $h_0$ -TORSION BOUNDS IN THE COHOMOLOGY OF THE STEENROD ALGEBRA

KENNETH G. MONKS

(Communicated by Frederick R. Cohen)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we use a technique of M. Hopkins to prove that the cohomology of the finite Hopf subalgebra of the mod 2 Steenrod algebra generated by  $Sq(2^i)$  with  $i \leq n$ , has  $h_0$ -torsion bound  $2^{n+1} - n - 2$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

### I. INTRODUCTION

Let  $R$  be any commutative algebra and  $x \in R$ . An element  $y \in R$  is said to be  $x$ -torsion if  $x^k y = 0$  for some  $k$ .  $R$  has  $x$ -torsion bound  $m$  if  $x^m y = 0$  for any  $x$ -torsion  $y \in R$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_n$  be the Hopf subalgebra of the mod 2 Steenrod algebra generated by  $Sq(2^i)$  with  $i \leq n$ . For any graded  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -algebra  $\Gamma$ , define the cohomology of  $\Gamma$  to be  $H^{*,*}(\Gamma) \equiv \text{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{*,*}(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . Let  $h_0$  be the unique nonzero element in  $H^{1,1}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ . In this paper we prove:

**Theorem.**  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  has  $h_0$ -torsion bound  $2^{n+1} - n - 2$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

Davis [1] proved that  $h_0^{2^i} h_{i+1} = 0$  and  $h_0^{2^i - 1} h_{i+1} \neq 0$  in  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{A}_{i+1})$ . So  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  must have an  $h_0$ -torsion bound  $\geq 2^{n-1}$ . Thus the best possible  $h_0$ -torsion bound must lie somewhere between  $2^{n-1}$  and  $2^{n+1} - n - 2$ . While the result of this paper does not provide the best possible bound, it dramatically improves on those previously obtainable from the global torsion bounds computed in [6] (see Table 1). The theorem correctly predicts the best possible  $h_0$ -torsion bound for  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{A}_1)$ . For  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{A}_2)$  the theorem gives a bound of 4, while the best possible value is 3 (which can be determined from the description of  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{A}_2)$  given in [2]). The best possible  $h_0$ -torsion bounds for  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  are not known for  $n \geq 3$ . These bounds can be interpreted as upper bounds on the height of finite  $h_0$ -towers in the usual diagram of  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  [2]. It is hoped that this result will be valuable to others in computing the stable homotopy groups of spheres via the Adams spectral sequence, whose  $E_2$ -term is isomorphic to

---

Received by the editors August 20, 1990; presented at the AMS Special Session in Algebraic Topology, Pennsylvania State University April 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 16A61, 55S10; Secondary 16A24.

TABLE 1.  $h_0$ -torsion bounds for  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ .

$n$	Bound from [6]	Bound from Theorem I
0	1	—
1	3	1
2	9	4
3	96	11
4	3,294	26
5	267,282	57
6	60,896,016	120

the  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  through a range of dimensions [4].

## II. BACKGROUND

Let  $E_n$  be the normal exterior Hopf subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}_n$  generated by  $\{Q_i : i \leq n\}$  where  $Q_i = \text{Sq}(0, \dots, 0, 1)$  (the 1 in the  $(i+1)$ st place, e.g.  $Q_0 = \text{Sq}(1)$ ) in the Milnor basis for  $\mathcal{A}$  [5]. Then  $H^{*,*}(E_n)$  is a polynomial algebra on generators  $v_i$ ,  $i \leq n$  (corresponding to the  $Q_i$ ). Since  $E_n$  is normal in  $\mathcal{A}_n$ , we can form the quotient algebra,  $\mathcal{A}_n/E_n \cong \mathcal{A}_n/(\overline{\mathcal{A}}E_n)$ . This is isomorphic as an algebra to  $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}$  via the map (of algebras, not graded algebras)

$$D: \mathcal{A}_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n/E_n$$

$$\text{Sq}(r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots) \mapsto [\text{Sq}(2r_1, 2r_2, 2r_3, \dots)],$$

where  $[x]$  means the equivalence class of the element  $x$ . The map is extended linearly to an arbitrary element of  $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}$ .

There is a change of rings map

$$\phi: \text{Ext}_{E_n}^{*,*}(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_n}^{*,*}(\mathcal{A}_n/E_n, \mathbb{Z}_2),$$

which is an isomorphism of graded  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -modules. Thus  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_n}^{*,*}(\mathcal{A}_n/E_n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is also isomorphic as a graded  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -vector space to the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -polynomial algebra on generators  $v_i$ ,  $i \leq n$  (corresponding to the  $Q_i$  in  $E_n$ ). Additionally, it is an  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_n}^{*,*}(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ -module with free  $h_0$  action. All of this material can be found in [3].

## III. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Define  $t_n$  to be the top-dimensional class in  $\mathcal{A}_n$ , i.e.

$$t_n = \text{Sq}(2^{n+1} - 1, 2^n - 1, \dots, 7, 3, 1).$$

Then by the doubling isomorphism the highest nonzero grading in  $\mathcal{A}_n/E_n$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  generated by

$$D(t_{n-1}) = [\text{Sq}(2(2^n - 1), 2(2^{n-1} - 1), \dots, 14, 6, 2)].$$

Let  $H(n) = |D(t_{n-1})| = 2 \cdot \sum_{i+j=n+1} (2^i - 1)(2^j - 1)$ . Then we have nontrivial maps

$$\Sigma^{H(n)} \mathcal{A}_n // E_n \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Sigma^{H(n)} \mathbb{Z}_2 \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{A}_n // E_n,$$

where  $\Sigma$  denotes suspension. Define  $\gamma$  to be the composition  $\beta \circ \alpha$ . These maps induce

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_n}^{*,*}(\mathcal{A}_n // E_n, \mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{\beta^*} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_n}^{*,*}(\Sigma^{H(n)} \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_n}^{*,*}(\Sigma^{H(n)} \mathcal{A}_n // E_n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

and the composite  $\gamma^* = \alpha^* \circ \beta^*$ .

Suppose there is some  $x \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_n}^{*,*}(\mathcal{A}_n // E_n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  such that  $\gamma^*(x) = h_0^m$  (and thus  $\beta^*(x) = h_0^m$ ) and that  $y \in H^{*,*}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  is  $h_0$ -torsion, that is, there is some  $k$  such that  $h_0^k y = 0$ . Then

$$h_0^k \cdot (y \cdot x) = h_0^k y \cdot x = 0 \cdot x = 0.$$

But  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_n}^{*,*}(\mathcal{A}_n // E_n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  has free  $h_0$  action, so  $h_0^k \cdot (y \cdot x) = 0 \Rightarrow y \cdot x = 0$ . Therefore,

$$h_0^m y = y h_0^m = y \cdot h_0^m = y \cdot \beta^*(x) = \beta^*(y \cdot x) = \beta^*(0) = 0,$$

where ‘ $\cdot$ ’ indicates the action of an element on the module structure. So  $m$  is an  $h_0$ -torsion bound for  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ . Thus, to prove the theorem, it suffices to show that for a given  $n$ ,  $h_0^m$  is in the image of  $\gamma^*$ , where  $m = 2^{n+1} - n - 2$ .

Consider the minimal  $\mathcal{A}_n$ -resolution of  $\mathcal{A}_n // E_n$ :  $\mathcal{A}_n // E_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}} C_0 \xrightarrow{\delta_0} C_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \dots$  where  $C_s$  is a free  $\mathcal{A}_n$ -module on generators denoted by all symbols of the form  $g(m_0, \dots, m_n)$  satisfying  $\sum m_j = s$  and  $m_i \geq 0$ , the map  $\mathcal{Q}$  is just the quotient map (since  $C_0 = \mathcal{A}_n$ ), and the maps  $\delta_s$  are given by  $\delta_s(g(m_0, \dots, m_n)) = \sum_{i=0}^n Q_i g(m_0, \dots, m_i - 1, \dots, m_n)$  (and extended  $\mathcal{A}_n$ -linearly). We can also suspend this resolution to obtain a resolution for  $\Sigma^{H(n)} \mathcal{A}_n // E_n$  and will refer to the suspended generators by the same names as the original generators, as the meaning will be clear from context. Notice that in these resolutions the generator  $g(s)$  yields the element  $h_0^s$  in Ext. Thus it suffices to show that there is a map of resolutions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Sigma^{H(n)} \mathcal{A}_n // E_n & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}} & \Sigma^{H(n)} C_0 & \xrightarrow{\delta_0} & \Sigma^{H(n)} C_1 & \xrightarrow{\delta_1} & \dots \\ \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \gamma_0 & & \downarrow \gamma_1 & & \\ \mathcal{A}_n // E_n & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}} & C_0 & \xrightarrow{\delta_0} & C_1 & \xrightarrow{\delta_1} & \dots \end{array}$$

such that for some  $m_0, \dots, m_n$ ,  $\gamma_m(g(m)) = g(m_0, \dots, m_n) + \text{other terms}$ , where  $m = 2^{n+1} - n - 2$ .

Let  $\chi$  be the canonical antiautomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$  [5]. Define  $\text{Sq}(r_1, \dots, r_n) = 0$  if  $r_i < 0$  for some  $i$ . Then it suffices to prove:

**Lemma 1.** *There is a map of the above resolutions such that*

$$\gamma_s(g(s)) = \sum_{\Sigma m_j = s} \chi \text{Sq}(2r_1 - 2m_1, \dots, 2r_n - 2m_n) g(m_0, \dots, m_n),$$

where  $r_i = 2^{n+1-i} - 1$ .

*Proof.* We will proceed by induction on  $s$ . Let  $g$  be the generator of  $\mathcal{A}_n // E_n$ . Then  $\gamma(g) = [2t_{n-1}] = [\chi 2t_{n-1}]$  and so we can define  $\gamma_0(g(0)) = \chi 2t_{n-1} g(0) =$

$\chi \text{Sq}(2r_1, \dots, 2r_n)g(0)$  where  $r_i = 2^{n+1-i} - 1$ . So the lemma is true for  $s = 0$ . Now assume that the lemma is true for  $\gamma_{s-1}$ .

It is easy to see from the multiplication formula that

$$\text{Sq}(2r_1, \dots, 2r_n)Q_0 = \sum_{i=0}^n Q_i \text{Sq}(2r_1, \dots, 2r_i - 2, \dots, 2r_n),$$

(where we take  $\text{Sq}(s_1, \dots, s_k) = 0$  if  $s_j < 0$  for some  $j$ ). Applying  $\chi$  to this relation (and using the facts that  $\chi(ab) = \chi(b)\chi(a)$  and  $\chi(Q_j) = Q_j$  for any  $j$ ) we obtain

$$Q_0\chi \text{Sq}(2r_1, \dots, 2r_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n \chi \text{Sq}(2r_1, \dots, 2r_i - 2, \dots, 2r_n)Q_i.$$

So for example

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0\chi \text{Sq}(4, 6, 2) \\ = \chi \text{Sq}(4, 6, 2)Q_0 + \chi \text{Sq}(2, 6, 2)Q_1 + \chi \text{Sq}(4, 4, 2)Q_2 + \chi \text{Sq}(4, 6)Q_3. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{s-1} \circ \delta_{s-1}(g(s)) &= \gamma_{s-1}(Q_0g(s)) = Q_0(\gamma_{s-1}(g(s))) \\ &= Q_0 \sum_{\Sigma m_j = s-1} \chi \text{Sq}(2r_1 - 2m_1, \dots, 2r_n - 2m_n)g(m_0, \dots, m_n) \\ &= \sum_{\Sigma m_j = s-1} Q_0\chi \text{Sq}(2r_1 - 2m_1, \dots, 2r_n - 2m_n)g(m_0, \dots, m_n) \\ &= \sum_{\Sigma m_j = s-1} \sum_{i=0}^n \chi \text{Sq}(2r_1 - 2m_1, \dots, 2r_i - 2m_i - 2, \dots, 2r_n - 2m_n) \\ &\quad \times Q_i g(m_0, \dots, m_n) \\ &= \sum_{\Sigma n_j = s} \chi \text{Sq}(2r_1 - 2n_1, \dots, 2r_n - 2n_n) \sum_{i=0}^n Q_i g(n_0, \dots, n_i - 1, \dots, n_n) \\ &= \sum_{\Sigma n_j = s} \chi \text{Sq}(2r_1 - 2n_1, \dots, 2r_n - 2n_n) \delta_{s-1}(g(n_0, \dots, n_n)) \\ &= \delta_{s-1} \left( \sum_{\Sigma n_j = s} \chi \text{Sq}(2r_1 - 2n_1, \dots, 2r_n - 2n_n)(g(n_0, \dots, n_n)) \right) \\ &= \delta_{s-1} \circ \gamma_s(g(s)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\gamma_m(g(m)) = g(0, r_1, \dots, r_n) + \text{other terms for}$

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (2^{n+1-i} - 1) = 2^{n+1} - n - 2. \quad \square$$

Notice that there are no smaller powers of  $h_0$  in the image of  $\gamma^*$ , and so our bounds are the best possible in that sense.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to express sincere appreciation to M. Hopkins for suggesting this problem and for showing me the mathematical approach that is used herein

in the proof of this result. I would also like to thank D. Davis for many useful mathematical insights, conversations, and suggestions. This paper certainly would not exist without their help and support.

## REFERENCES

1. D. M. Davis, *An infinite family in the cohomology of the Steenrod algebra*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **21** (1981), 145–150.
2. D. M. Davis and M. Mahowald, *Ext over the subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_2$  of the Steenrod algebra for stunted projective spaces*, Proc. Conf. (Current Trends in Algebraic Topology), Canad. Math. Soc., Vol. 2, Part 1, 1982.
3. H. R. Margolis, *Spectra and the Steenrod algebra*, North Holland Math. Library, vol. 29, 1983.
4. John McCleary, *User's guide to spectral sequences*, Publish or Perish, Inc., Math. Lecture Series, no. 12, 1985.
5. John Milnor, *The Steenrod algebra and its dual*, Ann. of Math. **67** (1958), 150–171.
6. Kenneth G. Monks, *Nilpotence and torsion in the cohomology of the Steenrod algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCRANTON, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 18510