

**SPECTRAL ASYMPTOTICS FOR TOEPLITZ MATRICES GENERATED
 BY THE POISSON-CHARLIER POLYNOMIALS**

GEORGI E. KARADZHOV

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. A conjecture of Grenander and Szegő for the traces of Toeplitz matrices generated by the Poisson-Charlier polynomials is proved.

The Poisson-Charlier polynomials $\{p_m(x)\}$, $m \geq 0$, are defined on the set X of all nonnegative integers [6, p. 34]. This is a complete system of orthonormal polynomials in the space $l^2 = l^2(X, j(x))$, where $j(x) = e^{-a} a^x (x!)^{-1}$, $a > 0$, is the Poisson weight: $\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} p_k(x) p_m(x) j(x) = \delta_{km}$.

Let $q(x)$ be a real almost periodic function (in the Bohr sense) on X . Then the matrix

$$M_n(q) = \left\{ \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} p_k(x) p_m(x) q(x) j(x); k, m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \right\}$$

is called the Toeplitz matrix, generated by the polynomials $\{p_m\}$ and the function q .

Here we prove the following conjecture of Grenander and Szegő [2, p. 174]:

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \text{trace} [M_n(q)]^k = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x=0}^{n-1} [q(x)]^k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

As a consequence one obtains the asymptotic distribution of the spectrum of the matrix $M_n(q)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Namely, let $N(\alpha, \beta, n)$ be the number of all eigenvalues of $M_n(q)$ lying on the segment $[\alpha, \beta]$. Define the distribution function $D(\alpha)$ by the lower limit: $D(\alpha) = \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x=0}^{n-1} \chi(\alpha - q(x))$ where χ is the characteristic function of the interval $(0, \infty)$. Then we have

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} N(\alpha, \beta, n) = D(\beta) - D(\alpha)$$

if α and β are points of continuity for D .

Proof of (1). Let E_n be the operator in l^2 with kernel

$$(3) \quad e(n, x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} p_m(x) p_m(y)$$

Received by the editors June 20, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 47B35, 47B37; Secondary 47B10, 39A12.

and let Q be the operator of multiplication by q . Then we have

$$(4) \quad \text{trace } [M_n(q)]^k = \text{trace } Q_n^k$$

where $Q_n = E_n Q E_n$. Indeed, if T is the infinite matrix $M_\infty(q)$, considered as an operator in l^2 , then it is not hard to see that

$$(5) \quad \text{trace } [M_n(q)]^k = \text{trace } T_n^k$$

where $T_n = P_n T P_n$ and P_n is the operator of multiplication by the characteristic function of the set $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. On the other hand, the operators T_n and Q_n are unitary equivalent: $Q_n = F^{-1} T_n F$ where F is the Fourier transform with respect to the complete system $\{p_m\}$, that is, $(Fu)(m) = \sum_{x=0}^\infty p_m(x)u(x)j(x)$. Thus (4) follows from (5).

Further, the operator Q_n has a kernel

$$Q_n(x, y) = \sum_{z=0}^\infty e(n, x, z)e(n, z, y)q(z)j(z)$$

and E_n is an orthogonal projection. Therefore

$$\text{trace } Q_n = \sum_{x=0}^\infty e(n, x, x)q(x)j(x).$$

Since $Q_n^2 = E_n Q^2 E_n - S_n^* S_n$ where $S_n = (\text{id} - E_n)Q E_n$, it follows that

$$\text{trace } Q_n^2 = \sum_{x=0}^\infty e(n, x, x)q^2(x)j(x) - \|S_n\|_2^2$$

where $\|\cdot\|_2$ stands for the Hilbert-Schmidt norm [1]. Analogously, $Q_n^k = E_n Q^k E_n + S_{k,n}$ where $S_{k,n}$ is a sum of $2^{k-1} - 1$ terms, each of them containing as a cofactor S_n^* and S_n . Therefore

$$(6) \quad \text{trace } Q_n^k = \sum_{x=0}^\infty e(n, x, x)q^k(x)j(x) + R_{n,k}, \quad k \geq 1,$$

$$(7) \quad |R_{n,k}| \leq (2^{k-1} - 1)\|q\|^{k-2}\|S_n\|_2^2, \quad R_{n,1} = 0$$

where $\|q\| = \sup_{x \in X} |q(x)|$. Further, the operator S_n has a kernel

$$S_n(x, y) = \sum_{z=0}^\infty (q(x) - q(z))e(n, x, z)e(n, z, y)j(z),$$

hence

$$(8) \quad \|S_n\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x=0}^\infty \sum_{y=0}^\infty (q(x) - q(y))^2 |e(n, x, y)|^2 j(x)j(y).$$

Thus, the formulas (6)–(8) show that it suffices to know the asymptotics of the function $e(n, x, x)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and an estimate of $e(n, x, y)$ if $x \neq y$ and $n \rightarrow +\infty$. We shall prove the following uniform asymptotics and estimates, which are sufficient for our purposes:

Case 1. $0 \leq x \leq n(1 - n^{-\delta})$, where $0 < \delta < 1/4$.

$$(9) \quad e(n, x, x)j(x) = 1 + e^{-\sqrt{n}}O(1), \quad n \rightarrow +\infty;$$

Case 2: $x \geq n(1 + n^{-\delta})$.

$$(10) \quad e(n, x, x)j(x) = n^\delta \exp\left(\frac{n}{1 + n^\delta} - \frac{xn^\delta}{(1 + n^\delta)^2}\right), \quad n \rightarrow +\infty;$$

Case 3. $0 \leq y < x \leq n(1 - n^{-\delta})$.

$$(11) \quad ((x - y)e(n, x, y))^2 j(x)j(y) = ne^{-\sqrt{n}}O(1), \quad n \rightarrow +\infty;$$

Case 4. For all x and n

$$(12) \quad e(n, x, x)j(x) < 1.$$

Before proving these properties, we shall show that (4) and (6)–(12) imply (1). Namely, it is not hard to see that

$$(13) \quad \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} e(n, x, x)q^k(x)j(x) = \sum_{x=0}^{n-1} q^k(x) + \|q\|^k o(n), \quad n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_n\|_2^2 &\leq \sum_{x=1}^{n(1-n^{-\delta})} \sum_{y=0}^{x-1} (q(x) - q(y))^2 |e(n, x, y)|^2 j(x)j(y) \\ &\quad + 4\|q\|^2 \sum_{x=n(1-n^{-\delta})}^{\infty} e(n, x, x)j(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if q is a Lipschitz function with a norm

$$\|q\|_1 = \sup_{x, y} |q(x) - q(y)| |x - y|^{-1} + \|q\|,$$

we obtain

$$(14) \quad \|S_n\|_2^2 = \|q\|_1^2 o(n), \quad n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Thus (6)–(8), (13), and (14) yield the asymptotics

$$(15) \quad \text{trace } Q_n^k = \sum_{x=0}^{n-1} q^k(x) + 2^k \|q\|^{k-2} \|q\|_1^2 o(n), \quad n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence, (15) and (4) imply (1) if q is a Lipschitz function. It remains to notice that the Lipschitz class is a dense set in the space of all almost periodic functions with respect to the supremum norm $\|q\|$. Thus (1) is proved.

Proof of (9)–(12). We shall use the formula

$$(16) \quad e(\lambda, x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\varepsilon - i\pi}^{\varepsilon + i\pi} e^{\lambda w} U(w, x, y) H(\lambda, w) dw, \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

where $e(\lambda, x, y)$ is the step function: $e(\lambda, x, y) = e(n, x, y)$ if $n \leq \lambda < n + 1$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and $e(\lambda, x, y) = 0$ if $\lambda < 1$. Here

$$U(w, x, y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda w} de(\lambda, x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-w(n+1)} p_n(x) p_n(y)$$

is an entire $2i\pi$ -periodic function with respect to w , and moreover (see [5]) for $y \geq x$ we have:

$$U(w, x, y) = \exp(ae^{-w}) \sum_{k=0}^x \binom{x}{k} \binom{y}{k} k! a^{-k} e^{-wk} (1 - e^{-w})^{x+y-2k}.$$

In particular, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n^2(x) = U(0, x, x) = 1/j(x)$, whence the estimate (12) follows immediately. Finally, the function $s \rightarrow H(s, w)$ is 1-periodic and $H(s, w) = \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{sh} \frac{w}{2})^{-1} \exp(\frac{1}{2} - s)w$ if $0 \leq s < 1$.

For proving (16), we use the relation

$$(17) \quad w^{-1}U(w, x, y) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda w} e(\lambda, x, y) d\lambda.$$

Since the function $\lambda \rightarrow e(\lambda, x, y)$ is continuous only from the right, we pass to the average:

$$e_h(\lambda, x, y) = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h e(\lambda + \mu, x, y) d\mu, \quad h > 0.$$

Notice that $e_h(\lambda, x, y) \rightarrow e(\lambda, x, y)$ as $h \rightarrow +0$ for every (λ, x, y) . From (17) it follows that

$$\frac{e^{hw} - 1}{h} \frac{U(w, x, y)}{w^2} = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda w} e_h(\lambda, x, y) d\lambda, \quad \operatorname{Re} w > 0,$$

so the inverse Laplace formula gives the equality

$$e_h(\lambda, x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\varepsilon - i\infty}^{\varepsilon + i\infty} e^{\lambda w} \frac{e^{hw} - 1}{h} \frac{U(w, x, y)}{w^2} dw, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Taking into account the periodicity of the function $w \rightarrow U(w, x, y)$, we obtain

$$(18) \quad e_h(\lambda, x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\varepsilon - i\pi}^{\varepsilon + i\pi} e^{\lambda w} U(w, x, y) \frac{g(h, w) - g(0, w)}{h} dw$$

where $g(s, w) = e^{ws} f(\lambda + s, w)$ and $f(s, w) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{i2sk\pi} / (w + 2ik\pi)^2)$. It is clear that the function $s \rightarrow f(s, w)$ is 1-periodic and

$$f(s, w) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\operatorname{sh} \frac{w}{2} \right)^{-1} \left(\operatorname{cth} \frac{w}{2} - 1 + 2s \right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} - s \right) w \quad \text{if } 0 \leq s < 1.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h^{-1}(g(h, w) - g(0, w)) = H(\lambda, w)$, and the Lebesgue limit theorem is applicable. So (16) follows from (18).

Further, we have the estimate

$$(19) \quad |j(x)U(w, x, x)| \leq \exp(4\sqrt{ax}|\operatorname{sh} \frac{w}{2}| - x\operatorname{Re} w) \exp(ae^{-\operatorname{Re} w} - a).$$

Indeed, it is obvious that

$$U(w, x, x) = \exp(ae^{-w}) a^{-x} x! e^{-wx} \sum_{k=0}^x \binom{x}{k} \frac{1}{k!} \left(2\sqrt{a} \operatorname{sh} \frac{w}{2} \right)^{2k}.$$

Since $\binom{x}{k} \leq x^k (k!)^{-1}$ and $\sum_{k=0}^x (k!)^{-2} (2\sqrt{ax}|\operatorname{sh} \frac{w}{2}|)^{2k} \leq \exp(4\sqrt{ax}|\operatorname{sh} \frac{w}{2}|)$, the estimate (19) holds.

To prove the asymptotic bound (10), we use formula (16) with $\varepsilon = (1+n^\delta)^{-1}$ where $0 < \delta < 1/4$. Since $x > n(1-\varepsilon)^{-1}$, we have $x > 16a\varepsilon^{-4}\text{ch}^2\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ if $n^{1-4\delta} > (1+n^{-\delta})^3 16a\text{ch}^2\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, therefore (19) yields

$$(20) \quad |j(x)U(w, x, x)| \leq e^{-\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)x} \quad \text{if } x > n(1+n^{-\delta}), \quad n > C(\delta, a).$$

Taking into account the bound $|H(n, w)| \leq C\varepsilon^{-1}$ if $\text{Re } w = \varepsilon$, we obtain (10) from (16) and (20).

For proving (9), we notice that the function $w \rightarrow e^{\lambda w}U(w, x, y)H(\lambda, w)$ is $2i\pi$ -periodic, so the Cauchy formula and (16) imply

$$(21) \quad e(n, x, x) = U(0, x, x) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1-i\pi}^{-1+i\pi} e^{nw}U(w, x, x)H(n, w)dw.$$

Since $x \leq n(1-n^{-\delta})$, $0 < \delta < 1/4$, we have $4\sqrt{ax}|\text{sh}\frac{w}{2}| + x - n \leq -\sqrt{n}$ if $n > C(\delta, a)$, $\text{Re } w = -1$, so the estimate (19) with $\text{Re } w = -1$ shows that

$$|j(x)U(w, x, x)| \leq \exp(ae - a)e^{-\sqrt{n}} \quad \text{if } x \leq n(1-n^{-\delta}).$$

Thus (9) follows from (21), since $j(x)U(0, x, x) = 1$.

The proof of the estimate (11) is based on the Christoffel-Darboux formula:

$$(22) \quad (x-y)e(n, x, y) = \sqrt{an}(p_n(x)p_{n-1}(y) - p_{n-1}(x)p_n(y)),$$

which follows from the recurrence relation [5]:

$$\lambda_n p_{n+1}(x) = (x - a - n)p_n(x) - \lambda_{n-1}p_{n-1}(x), \quad \lambda_{n-1} = \sqrt{an}.$$

According to (9) we have

$$(23) \quad j(x)p_n^2(x) = e^{-\sqrt{n}}O(1), \quad n \rightarrow +\infty \text{ if } 0 \leq x \leq n(1-n^{-\delta}), \quad 0 < \delta < \frac{1}{4}.$$

Therefore the estimate (11) is a consequence of (22) and (23).

Proof of (2). It is sufficient to consider the points α, β on the segment $[m, M]$, where $m = \inf q(x)$, $M = \sup q(x)$. For the sequence of distribution functions $D_n(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x=0}^{n-1} \chi(\alpha - q(x))$ the inequalities $0 \leq D_n(\alpha) \leq 1$ hold, hence there exists a limit $D_1(\alpha) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} D_n(\alpha)$. Then formula (1) can be written in the form:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \text{trace } [M_n(q)]^k = \int_m^M \alpha^k dD_1(\alpha).$$

Therefore, we can apply the method of Grenander and Szegő [2, p. 129] and conclude that

$$(24) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} N(\alpha, \beta, n) = D_1(\beta) - D_1(\alpha)$$

if α and β are points of continuity for $D_1(\alpha)$. Since the functions $D_1(\alpha)$ and $D(\alpha)$ coincide on the set of the points $\{\alpha\}$ of continuity [4], formula (2) follows from (24).

REFERENCES

1. I. Gohberg and M. Krein, *Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. of Math. Monographs, vol. 18, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1969.
2. U. Grenander and G. Szegő, *Toeplitz forms and their applications*, Moscow, 1961.

3. V. Guillemin, *Some classical theorems in spectral theory revisited*, Ann. of Math. Stud. **91** (1979), 219–259.
4. B. Levitan, *Almost periodic functions*, Moscow, 1953.
5. J. Meixner, *Erzeugende Funktionen der Charlierschen Polynome*, Math. Z. **44** (1938), 531–535.
6. G. Szegő, *Orthogonal polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1959.
7. H. Widom, *Eigenvalue distribution theorems for certain homogeneous spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **32** (1979), 139–147.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 1113 SOFIA, BULGARIA