

## MAKING A HOLE IN THE SPACE

KAZIMIERZ GOEBEL AND JACEK WOŚKO

(Communicated by Paul S. Muhly)

**ABSTRACT.** The paper provides a simple recipe for a construction of homeomorphisms “removing” convex bodies from nonreflexive Banach spaces.

Let  $X$  be a Banach space. A set  $K \subset X$  is said to be removable (or deleting) from  $X$  if there exists a homeomorphism  $T$  mapping  $X$  onto  $X \setminus K$ . We say also that  $T$  removes  $K$  from  $X$ .

The study of removable sets was initiated by Klee [1] with the observation that if  $X$  is of infinite dimension then whole space is homeomorphic to the punctured space  $X \setminus \{0\}$ . Detailed discussion of the theory can be found in Bessaga and Pełczyński's book [2].

For the newcomer to the theory some facts as mentioned above may seem surprising, especially that the methods used in proofs are often “nonconstructive.”

Below we present a hopefully unknown recipe for a construction of homeomorphisms removing from the spaces a closed and bounded convex body, a ball or a point. Our construction works, however, only in nonreflexive Banach spaces.

Let  $X$  be nonreflexive and let  $B$  and  $S$  stand for the closed unit ball and the unit sphere, respectively.

Since  $X$  is nonreflexive, there exists a linear functional  $f \in X^*$  such that  $\|f\| = 1$  which does not achieve its maximum on  $B$ , which means that  $|f(x)| < \|x\|$  for all  $x \neq 0$ .

Consider the function  $\phi$  defined on  $X$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= 1 + f(x) + \max[0, 2(\|x\| - 1)] \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 + f(x), & \text{for } x \in B, \\ 2 \cdot \|x\| - 1 + f(x), & \text{for } x \notin B, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and observe that  $\phi$  is continuous (lipschitzian) convex and even affine when restricted to  $B$ . Moreover  $\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} \phi(x) = +\infty$  while

$$\inf[\phi(x) : x \in X] = \inf[\phi(x) : x \in B] = 0.$$

Observe also that this infimum is not taken and  $\phi(B) = \phi(S) = (0, 2)$ .

---

Received by the editors August 5, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 47H99.

Select a sequence of points  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  on the unit sphere  $S$  such that  $\phi(x_n) = 2^{-n}$ .

Form a curve  $\gamma$  by joining consecutive points by segments and complete it by joining  $x_0$  with infinity by a radial ray.

Observe that since  $\phi$  is affine on  $B$  this curve can be parametrized,  $\gamma = \gamma(t)$ , by  $t \in (0, \infty)$  in such a way that  $\phi(\gamma(t)) = t$ .

To end the preparation, for any  $a \geq 0$  denote:

$$K_a = [x: \phi(x) \leq a]$$

and notice that for  $a > 0$  each  $K_a$  is closed convex subset of  $X$  having nonempty interior, while

$$K_0 = \bigcap_{a>0} K_a = \emptyset.$$

Now we can formulate our recipe.

Fix a number  $a \geq 0$ . Take any  $x \in X$ . Calculate  $\phi(x)$ . Find the point  $y$  on  $\gamma$  such that  $\phi(y) = \frac{1}{2}\phi(x)$  (simply take  $y = \gamma(\frac{1}{2}\phi(x))$ ). Obviously  $y \neq x$ . Follow the ray beginning at  $x$  and passing through  $y$  as far as you reach point  $z$  such that  $\phi(z) = \phi(x) + a$  (there is only one such point).

Define  $T_a(x) = z$ .

Observe that  $T_a$  maps  $X$  onto  $X \setminus K_a$  and is invertible. It is only a technicality to prove that  $T_a$  and  $T_a^{-1}$  are continuous (even locally lipschitzian).

Thus  $T_a$  removes  $K_a$  from  $X$  and for  $a > 0$  the hole mentioned in the title has been made. To make the hole ball shaped observe that  $B \subset K_2$  and define

$$T_B(x) = p(T_2(x))T_2(x)/\|T_2(x)\|,$$

where  $p(\cdot)$  denotes the Minkowski functional for  $K_2$ .

$T_B$  removes the unit ball from  $X$ , finally

$$T(x) = \left(1 - \frac{T_2(x)}{\|T_2(x)\|}\right) T_B(x)$$

removes just one point, the origin.

Finally let us consider the case  $a = 0$ .  $T_0$  does not remove anything from  $X$  but it shows another "exotic" behavior.  $T_0$  is self-invertible which means  $T_0^2 = I$  and at the same time  $T_0$  does not have a fixed point ( $x \neq T_0(x)$ ).  $T_0$  is only locally lipschitzian. The problem of whether there exists a uniformly continuous mapping  $T$  having the above property was raised by Koter [3] and is still unsolved.

## REFERENCES

1. V. Klee, *Two topological properties of topological linear spaces*, Israel J. Math. **4** (1964), 211–220.
2. Cz. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, *Selected topics in infinite-dimensional topology*, Polish Scientific Publishers, 1975.
3. M. Koter, *Fixed points of lipschitzian 2-rotative mappings*, Anal. Funz. Appl. Ser. VI, V-C, (1986).