

A NEW CASTELNUOVO BOUND FOR TWO CODIMENSIONAL SUBVARIETIES OF \mathbb{P}^r

ALBERTO ALZATI

(Communicated by Louis J. Ratliff, Jr.)

ABSTRACT. Let X be a smooth n -dimensional projective subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{C})$, ($r \geq 3$). For any positive integer k , X is said to be k -normal if the natural map $H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(k)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(k))$ is surjective. Mumford and Bayer showed that X is k -normal if $k \geq (n+1)(d-2)+1$ where $d = \deg(X)$. Better inequalities are known when n is small (Gruson–Peskin, Lazarsfeld, Ran). In this paper we consider the case $n = r - 2$, which is related to Hartshorne’s conjecture on complete intersections, and we show that if $k \geq d + 1 + (1/2)r(r-1) - 2r$ then X is k -normal and I_X , the ideal sheaf of X in \mathbb{P}^r , is $(k+1)$ -regular.

About these problems Lazarsfeld developed a technique based on generic projections of X in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} ; our proof is an application of some recent results of Ran’s (on the secants of X): we show that in our case there exists a projection such generic as Lazarsfeld requires.

When $r \geq 6$ we also give a better inequality: $k \geq d - 1 + (1/2)r(r-1) - (r-1)[(r+4)/2]$ ($[]$ means: integer part); it is obtained by refining Lazarsfeld’s technique with the help of some results of ours about k -normality.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth, nondegenerate (i.e. not contained in a hyperplane), n -dimensional projective subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{C})$. For any positive integer k , X is said to be k -normal if the natural map $H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(k)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(k))$ is surjective, i.e. if the hypersurfaces of degree k cut out a complete linear system on X . Let d be the degree of X .

It is well known that for $k \gg 0$ every X is k -normal, but people look for precise bounds; such bounds are often called Castelnuovo bounds after the classical work of Castelnuovo [C] (completed by Gruson–Lazarsfeld–Peskin [GLP]) concerning the case $n = 1$.

If $r \geq 2n + 1$, the best possible linear inequality is: X is k -normal if $k \geq d + n - r$ (see [L]). It was proved for $n = 1$ by Gruson–Lazarsfeld–Peskin [GLP], (for X singular too); for $n = 2$ by Lazarsfeld [L]; for $n = 3$ by Ran [R2] when $r \geq 9$.

Received by the editors August 10, 1990 and, in revised form, October 12, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 14M07; Secondary 14N05.

Key words and phrases. Projective varieties, k -normality.

The author is member of the G.N.S.A.G.A. of the Italian C.N.R.

For other values of n we know only this result of Mumford: X is k -normal if $k \geq (n + 1)(d - 2) + 1$ (see [BM]).

For small codimensions other inequalities are known, but they have to do with k -normality for *small* k : if $n \geq (2/3)(r - 1)$ X is 1-normal if $r \geq 5$ (see [Z], this is the best possible value); if $n = r - 2$ and $k \geq 2$, X is k -normal if $r \geq 6$ and $r \geq \min\{k + 4, 6k - 2\}$ (see [AO1, AO2]); Peskine has an approach to: if $n = r - 2$, $r \geq 5$, X is k -normal if $k \leq r - 4$ (see [S]). Finally we want to recall that X is (a complete intersection and therefore) k -normal if $n = r - 2$, $r \geq 6$, and $d \leq (r - 1)(r + 5)$ (see [HS]).

Obviously many of these results are surpassed if Hartshorne's conjecture about complete intersections is proved.

Let $[x]$ denote the integer part of a real number x . In this paper we show the following results:

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a nondegenerate, degree d , 2-codimensional, smooth, subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{C})$.*

Then X is k -normal if $k \geq d + 1 + (1/2)r(r - 1) - 2r$. If $r \geq 6$, X is k -normal if $k \geq d - 1 + (1/2)r(r - 1) - (r - 1)[(r + 4)/4]$.

Theorem 1.2. *With the same assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let I_X be the ideal sheaf of X .*

Then I_X is $(k + 1)$ -regular if $k \geq d + 1 + (1/2)r(r - 1) - 2r$; and if $r \geq 6$, I_X is $(k + 1)$ -regular if $k \geq d - 1 + (1/2)r(r - 1) - (r - 1)[(r + 4)/4]$.

Note that 1.1 is better than Mumford's inequality in many cases. Our technique is very simple. We apply the ideas of Lazarsfeld contained in [L], which we follow step by step. The crucial point, as Lazarsfeld himself pointed out, is its Lemma 1.2. Here we use a result of Ran about the r -secants of X (see [R3]).

When $r \geq 6$ our results from [AO1, AO2] allow us to improve the technique of Lazarsfeld by using a stronger result of regularity for the vector bundles introduced in [L].

2. FOLLOWING LAZARSFELD

Let P be a point in \mathbb{P}^r . Let $p: M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ be the blowing up of \mathbb{P}^r at P . Denoting by $q: M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ the natural projection, for any positive integer h , one obtains a homomorphism $w_h: q_*(p^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(h)) \rightarrow q_*(p^*\mathcal{O}_X(h))$ of sheaves on \mathbb{P}^{r-1} .

Let f be the linear projection of X centered at P , so that $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(h) = q_*(p^*\mathcal{O}_X(h))$. We choose homogeneous coordinates on \mathbb{P}^r in such a way that P is defined by $T_0 = T_1 = \dots = T_{r-1} = 0$. Then $(T_r)^s$ determine sections in $H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_X(s)) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, f_*\mathcal{O}_X(s))$.

Combining these with the canonical map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}} \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$, one deduces a homomorphism

$$(2.1) \quad w: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(-h) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(-h + 1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}} \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X;$$

w may be identified with w_h .

Now for every $y \in \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$, let $L_y = p(q^{-1}(y))$ be the line $\langle P, y \rangle$, and let X_y be the scheme-theoretic intersection $X \cap L_y$. $w_h \otimes \mathcal{C}(y)$ is identified with the restriction homomorphism $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(h)) \approx H^0(L_y, \mathcal{O}_{L_y}(h)) \rightarrow$

$H^0(L_y, \mathcal{O}_{X_y}(h))$. Suppose that

$$(*) \quad H^1(L_y, I_{X_y/L_y}(h)) = 0,$$

then $w_h \otimes \mathbb{C}(y)$ is surjective and therefore w_h is surjective too, (see [L, Lemma 1.2]).

Now let E be the kernel of w_h , we have this exact sequence

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(-h) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(-h+1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}} \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$$

of sheaves on \mathbb{P}^{r-1} . Since $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ is a sheaf of $(r-2)$ -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay modules over \mathbb{P}^{r-1} , E is locally free, $\text{rank}(E) = h+1$, $c_1(E) = -d - h(h+1)/2$. (In fact, the vector bundle map in the previous sequence (2.2) drops rank on a hypersurface of degree d .)

Now we have the following fact, whose proof is in [L, Lemma 1.5]:

Lemma 2.3. *For any integer k such that $k \geq h$, X is k -normal if*

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, E(k)) = 0.$$

The previous construction is due to Gruson and Peskine; the following idea is due to Lazarsfeld. Recall that a coherent sheaf F on some projective space \mathbb{P} is said to be m -regular if $H^i(\mathbb{P}, F(m-i)) = 0$ for $i > 0$. Suppose that, for a positive integer x :

$$(**) \quad \text{there is an exact sequence } 0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0 \text{ of vector bundles on } \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \text{ where } A^* \text{ is } (-x+1)\text{-regular and } B^* \text{ is } (-x)\text{-regular.}$$

Then by Proposition 2.4 of [L], E is $\{-c_1(E) - x[\text{rank}(E)] + x\}$ -regular.

Actually in [L] the proof is given when $x = 2$, but the general case follows immediately from Lazarsfeld's proof.

3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

Obviously we have to prove the theorems only when X is not a complete intersection.

First we choose an integer h such that condition $(*)$ is satisfied. By Corollary 2 of [R3] we know that through a generic point P of \mathbb{P}^r there are no lines that are r -secants (or more than r -secants) for X . So if we project X from P on a generic hyperplane, we have that $(*)$ is satisfied for $h \geq r-1$. From now on we fix a generic point P , a projection f , as in §2, and the integer $h = r-1$.

Exactly as in [L, Lemma 2.1], we can consider the graded module $F = \bigoplus H^0(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, f_*\mathcal{O}_X(s)) = \bigoplus H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_X(s))$ over the homogeneous coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[T_0, T_1, \dots, T_{r-1}]$ of \mathbb{P}^{r-1} . The exact sequence (2.1) gives rise to generators of F : one in degree 0, one in degree 1, and so on. These can be expanded to a full set of generators of F by adding (say) p more generators in degrees a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p . By setting $A = \bigoplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(-a_i)$, this system of generators determines upon sheafifying an exact sequence:

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(-r+1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}} \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0,$$

which defines a vector bundle B on \mathbb{P}^{r-1} . Comparing (2.2) with (3.1), one sees that E is isomorphic to the kernel of the surjective map $B \rightarrow A$. So we get an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ of vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^{r-1} .

In [L, Proposition 2.4] it is proved that condition (**) is satisfied for A and B with $x = 2$. So we have that E is $\{d + (r - 1)r/2 - 2r + 2\}$ regular. In particular $H^1(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, E(k)) = 0$ if $k \geq \{d + (r - 1)r/2 - 2r + 1\}$, so that by Lemma 2.3, the first part of Theorem 1.1 is proved.

To prove the first part of Theorem 1.2, we remark that we get the $(p + 1)$ -regularity of I_X if we have the p -normality of X , and, by using 2.2, the $(p + 1)$ -regularity of E , (see [L, p. 427]).

Now to prove the second part of 1.1 and 1.2 we have only to show that, when $r \geq 6$, condition (**) is satisfied for A and B with $x[(r + h)/h]$. To prove that B^* is $(-x)$ -regular, we have to prove that $H^i(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, B(x - i - 1)) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, r - 2$. For $i = 0$ we get the vanishing because there are no syzygies of degree $1, 2, \dots, r$ among the generators of F because there are no hypersurfaces of degree $1, 2, \dots, r$ that contain X (otherwise X is a complete intersection, see [R1]). For $i = 1$ we get the vanishing by the construction of B . For $i \geq 2$, by using (3.1), by putting $q = i - 1$, we have only to show that $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(x - 2 - q)) = 0$ for $q = 1, 2, \dots, r - 3$; now if $x - 2 < q$ we use Kodaira vanishing, if $x - 2 = q$ we use Barth theorem, if $x - 2 > q \geq 1$ we use [AO2].

To show that A^* is $(-x + 1)$ -regular, by definition of A , we have only to show $H^0(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, A(x - 2)) = 0$.

By [AO2, R1] we can say that, for $t = 1, 2, \dots, [(r - 4)/4]$,

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(t)) \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(t));$$

for the same values of t we have that

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, B(t)) = H^1(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, B(t)) = 0,$$

so that by using (3.1), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(t)) &\cong H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(t)) \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, f_*\mathcal{O}_X(t)) \\ &\cong H^0(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, A(t)) \oplus H^0(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(t - h)) \\ &\quad \oplus H^0(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(t - h + 1)) \oplus \dots \oplus H^0(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(t - 1)) \\ &\quad \oplus H^0(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(t)). \end{aligned}$$

As $h = r - 1 > t$, we get $H^0(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, A(t)) = 0$ for $t = 1, 2, \dots, [(r - 4)/4]$ and therefore, $H^0(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, A(x - 2)) = 0$ for $x = [(r + 4)/4]$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to thank Professor R. Lazarsfeld for his explanations about some technical tools used in his paper and the referee for his suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [AO1] A. Alzati and G. Ottaviani, *A linear bound on the t -normality of codimension two subvarieties of \mathbb{P}^n* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **409** (1990), 35–40.
- [AO2] —, *A vanishing theorem for the ideal sheaf of codimension two subvarieties of \mathbb{P}^n* , Proc. Conf. "Projective Varieties Trieste," (1989) (to appear).
- [BM] D. Bayer and D. Mumford, *What can be computed in algebraic geometry?*, Proc. Computer Algebra as a Tool for Research in Math. and Phys., Courant Institute, New York, April 1984.
- [C] G. Castelnuovo, *Sui multipli di una serie lineare di gruppi di punti appartenente ad una curva algebrica*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) **7** (1893), 89–110.

- [GLP] L. Gruson, R. Lazarsfeld, and C. Peskine, *On a theorem of Castelnuovo and the equations defining space curves*, Invent. Mat. **72** (1983), 491–506.
- [HS] A. Holme and M. Schneider, *A computer aided approach to codimension two subvarieties of \mathbb{P}^n , $n \geq 6$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **357** (1987), 205–220.
- [L] R. Lazarsfeld, *A sharp Castelnuovo bound for smooth surfaces*, Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), 423–429.
- [R1] Z. Ran, *Systèmes linéaires complets de sections hypersurfaces sur les variétés projectives de codimension 2*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I, Math. **298** (1984), 111–112.
- [R2] ———, *Local differential geometry and generic projections of threefolds*, J. Differential Geom. **32** (1990), 131–137.
- [R3] ———, *The (dimension + 2)-secant lemma*, preprint, 1989.
- [S] M. Schneider, *Vector bundles and low-codimensional submanifolds of projective space: a problem list*, preprint, 1990.
- [Z] F. L. Zak, *Projections of algebraic varieties*, Mat. Sb. **116** (1981), 593–602 (Russian); English transl.: Math. USSR Sb. **44** (1983), 535–544.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, “F. ENRIQUES” UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO, VIA C. SALDINI 50, 20133-MILANO, ITALY