

A NOTE ON VECTOR-VALUED HARDY AND PALEY INEQUALITIES

OSCAR BLASCO

(Communicated by William J. Davis)

ABSTRACT. The values of p and q for $L_p(L_q)$ that satisfy the extension of Paley and Hardy inequalities for vector-valued H^1 functions are characterized. In particular, it is shown that $L_2(L_1)$ is a Paley space that fails Hardy inequality.

INTRODUCTION

In [BP] the vector-valued analogue of two classical inequalities in the theory of Hardy spaces were investigated. A complex Banach space X is said to be a Paley space if

$$(P) \quad \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|\hat{f}(2^k)\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C\|f\|_1 \quad \text{for all } f \in H^1(X).$$

A complex Banach space X is said to verify vector-valued Hardy inequality (for short X is a (HI)-space) if

$$(H) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|\hat{f}(n)\|}{n+1} \leq C\|f\|_1 \quad \text{for all } f \in H^1(X),$$

where $H^1(X) = \{f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}, X) : \hat{f}(n) = 0 \text{ for } n < 0\}$.

Both inequalities can be regarded in the framework of vector-valued extensions of multipliers from H^1 to l^1 . Recall that a sequence (m_n) is a $(H^1 - l^1)$ -multiplier, to be denoted by $m_n \in (H^1 - l^1)$, if $T_{m_n}(f) = (\hat{f}(n)m_n)$ defines a bounded operator from H^1 into l^1 .

The $(H^1 - l^1)$ -multipliers were characterized by C. Fefferman in the following way (see [SW] for a proof):

$$(*) \quad (H^1 - l^1) = \left\{ m_n : \sup_{s \geq 1} \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \left(\sum_{j=ks+1}^{(k+1)s} |m_j| \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty \right\}.$$

Received by the editors December 26, 1990.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42A45, 46E40, Secondary 42B30, 46B20.

Key words and phrases. Vector-valued Hardy spaces, Hardy inequality, Paley inequality.

The author was partially supported by the Grant C.A.I.C.Y.T. PS89-0106.

A complex Banach space is said to have $(H^1 - l^1)$ -Fourier type if

$$(F) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\hat{f}(n)\| |m_n| \leq C \|f\|_1 \quad \text{for all } f \in H^1(X) \text{ and for all } m_n \in (H^1 - l^1).$$

The reader is referred to [BP] for examples of spaces having and failing these properties and for their connection with the notions of Rademacher type and Fourier type.

Using (*) it is easy to see that any space of $(H^1 - l^1)$ -Fourier type must be a Paley and a (HI)-space. Unfortunately the only examples of spaces without $(H^1 - l^1)$ -Fourier type that we had at our disposal also behave badly with respect to the other two properties. The problem of finding a Paley space failing Hardy inequality or without $(H^1 - l^1)$ -Fourier type was left open (see [BP, Remark 4.1]).

Surprisingly it is enough to deal with Lebesgue spaces of mixed norm, namely, $L_p(L_q)$, to produce a simple example of Paley space failing Hardy inequality. In fact we shall see that $L_2(L_1)$ is such an example.

Given $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, (Ω, Σ, μ) a σ -finite measure space, and a Banach space Y we denote by $L_p(\mu, Y)$ the space of Y -valued strongly measurable functions such that $\|f\| \in L_p(\mu)$.

Throughout the paper $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and we shall use the notation $L_p(L_q) = L_p(\mathbb{T}, L_q(\mathbb{T}))$.

PALEY SPACES

For self-containedness of the paper, we provide here simple direct proofs of special cases of Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 3.2 of [BP] that show how the Paley property behaves with respect to the vector-valued extension.

Lemma 1. *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$, (Ω, Σ, μ) be a σ -finite measure space and Y a Paley space. Then $L_p(\mu, Y)$ is a Paley space.*

Proof. The case $p = 2$ is a simple consequence of Fubini's theorem. Let us assume $1 \leq p < 2$ and $q = (2/p)' = 2/(2 - p)$. Let us take $f(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} x_n e^{int}$ where $x_n \in L_p(\mu, Y)$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} \|x_{2^k}\|_{L_p(\mu, Y)}^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} \left(\int_{\Omega} \|x_{2^k}(w)\|_Y^p d\mu(w) \right)^{2/p} \right)^{1/2} \\ & = \sup_{\sum \alpha_k^q = 1} \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} \int_{\Omega} \|x_{2^k}(w)\|_Y^p \alpha_k d\mu(w) \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} \|x_{2^k}(w)\|_Y^2 \right)^{p/2} d\mu(w) \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left\| \sum_{n \geq 0} x_n(w) e^{int} \right\|_Y dt \right)^p d\mu(w) \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= C \sup_{\|h\|_{p'}=1} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left\| \sum_{n \geq 0} x_n(w) e^{int} \right\|_Y h(w) dt d\mu(w) \\
 &\leq C \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left\| \sum_{n \geq 0} x_n(w) e^{int} \right\|_{L_p(\mu, Y)} dt = C \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \|f(t)\|_{L_p(\mu, Y)} dt. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1. $L_p(L_q)$ is a Paley space if and only if $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$.

Proof. It is clear from the definition that a Paley space must have cotype 2. (Recall that the notion of cotype can be defined with $e^{i2^k t}$ instead of Rademacher functions.) Now the cotype 2 condition forces the values of $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$.

To get the converse, observe that the classical Paley inequality together with Lemma 1 for $Y = \mathbb{C}$ gives that L_q is a Paley space for $1 \leq q \leq 2$. Now apply Lemma 1 again. \square

(HI)-SPACES

Let us now prove the main result of the paper.

Theorem 2. If $1 < p \leq \infty$ then $l_p(H^1)$ is not a (HI)-space.

Proof. The case $p = \infty$ is immediate since it does contain c_0 and c_0 fails Hardy (see [BP]). We assume then $1 < p < \infty$. Let us consider the function

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^p} \frac{z}{\log(1-z)^{-1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

Let us recall the following estimates (see [L p. 93–96])

- (1)
$$a_n \sim \frac{n^{p-1}}{\log n} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$
- (2)
$$M_1(f, r) \sim \frac{(1-r)^{1-p}}{\log(1-r)^{-1}} \quad (r \rightarrow 1),$$

where $M_1(f, r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(re^{it})| dt$.

Let us define $\Phi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n z^n$ for $|z| < 1$ where $x_n \in l_p(H^1)$ are given by

$$x_n(k, w) = \left(2^{k(1-p)} a_n (1 - 1/2^k)^n w^n \right) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}, |w| = 1).$$

Note that $\|x_n\|_{l_p(H^1)} \leq C a_n$ shows that Φ is analytic on the open unit disc and takes values in $l_p(H^1)$.

Let us define $F(z) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \Phi(rz)$ for $|z| = 1$ if the radial limit exists. We shall show that $F \in H^1(l_p(H^1))$.

$$\Phi(z)(k, w) = 2^{k(1-p)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^k}\right)^n w^n z^n = 2^{k(1-p)} f\left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^k}\right)wz\right).$$

Using (2) we have

$$\|\Phi(z)\|_{l_p(H^1)} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{kp(1-p)} M_1^p(f, (1 - 2^{-k})|z|) \right)^{1/p} \leq C \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^p} \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Now since Φ is uniformly bounded then $\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} M_1(\|\Phi\|, r) < \infty$. Using the fact that for $1 \leq p < \infty$ the Banach space $l_p(H^1)$ is a separable dual by a routine argument, we show that the radial limit $F(z)$ exists almost everywhere and that $F \in H^1(l_p(H^1))$ for $1 < p < \infty$.

On the other hand

$$\|x_n\|_{l_p(H^1)} = a_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{kp(1-p)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^k}\right)^{np} \right)^{1/p} \geq a_n \left(\sum_{k \geq \log_2 n}^{\infty} 2^{kp(1-p)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{np} \right)^{1/p}$$

Since $(1 - 1/n)^{np}$ converges to e^{-p} , for n big enough we have

$$\|x_n\|_{l_p(H^1)} \geq C_p a_n \left(\sum_{k \geq \log_2 n}^{\infty} 2^{kp(1-p)} \right)^{1/p} \geq C_p a_n n^{1-p}.$$

Now using estimate (1) we get $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\|_{l_p(H^1)} / (n+1) = \infty$. \square

Remark. If $1 < p < \infty$ then $l_p(H_1)$ is a Paley space but is not a (HI)-space. (Hence it does not have $(H^1 - l^q)$ -Fourier type.)

Theorem 3. $L_p(L_q)$ is a (HI)-space if and only if either $1 < p, q < \infty$ or $p = 1$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$.

Proof. Let us first show that under such assumptions on p, q we get (HI)-spaces. It is an application of Fubini's theorem that if Y is a (HI)-space then $L_1(\mu, Y)$ is a (HI)-space. Combining this with the result that every B -convex space (Rademacher type bigger than 1) is a (HI)-space (see [BP, Bo]) we get this implication.

For the other implication observe that the cases $p = \infty$ or $q = \infty$ must be excluded because then $L_p(L_q)$ would contain c_0 . The case $q = 1$ follows from Theorem 1, since l_p embeds into $L_p(\mathbb{T})$ and H^1 into $L_1(\mathbb{T})$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am very grateful to A. Pelczynski for helpful conversations on the subject and to B. Koremblum for his comments on related problems that inspired me the proof of Theorem 2. I would like also to thank the referee for his suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [BP] O. Blasco, and A. Pelczynski, *Theorems of Hardy and Paley for vector-valued analytic functions and related classes of Banach spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **323** (1991), 335-367.
- [Bo] J. Bourgain, *Vector-valued Hausdorff-Young inequality and applications*, Geometric Aspects in Functional Analysis, Israel Seminar (GAFA) 1986-87, Lecture notes in Math, vol. 1317, Springer-Verlag, pp. 239-249 Berlin, 1988.
- [D] P. Duren, *Theory of H_p -spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [L] J. E. Littlewood, *Lectures on the theory of functions*, Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1944.
- [SW] S. J. Szarek, and T. Wolniewicz, *A proof of Fefferman's theorem on multipliers*, Inst. Math. Polish Acad. Sci., preprint 209, Warszawa, 1980.