

ASYMPTOTIC PRIME IDEALS RELATED TO DERIVED FUNCTORS

LEIF MELKERSSON AND PETER SCHENZEL

(Communicated by Louis J. Ratliff, Jr.)

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative noetherian ring. Let N (resp. A) denote a noetherian (resp. artinian) R -module and I an ideal of R . It is shown that for each integer i the sets of prime ideals $\text{Ass}_R \text{Tor}_i^R(R/I^n, N)$ and $\text{Att}_R \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I^n, A)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, become for n large independent of n .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R denote a commutative noetherian ring. For a noetherian R -module N let $\text{Ass}_R N$ denote its set of associated prime ideals; see, e.g., [4] for the definition. In a dual manner, one may define the set of attached prime ideals $\text{Att}_R A$ for an artinian R -module A (see [3] or the appendix to §6 in [4]). Let now I be an ideal of R . The asymptotic behaviour of the sequences $\text{Ass}_R N/I^n N$, resp. $\text{Att}_R 0 :_A I^n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, has attained much attention. In [1] Brodmann has shown that the two sequences of associated prime ideals

$$\text{Ass}_R N/I^n N \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ass}_R I^{n-1} N/I^n N, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

become for large n eventually constant. Dual to this result Sharp proved in [6] that the two sequences of attached prime ideals

$$\text{Att}_R 0 :_A I^n \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Att}_R 0 :_A I^n / 0 :_A I^{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

become for large n eventually constant. Now there are natural isomorphisms

$$N/I^n N \cong R/I^n \otimes_R N \quad \text{and} \quad 0 :_A I^n \cong \text{Hom}_R(R/I^n, N)$$

for each n . In the following we shall prove corresponding stability results for the associated, resp. attached, primes for the derived functors Tor_i of the tensor product, resp. Ext^i of the Hom-functor.

Theorem 1. *For a given $i \geq 0$ the sequences of finite sets of associated prime ideals*

$$\text{Ass}_R \text{Tor}_i^R(R/I^n, N) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ass}_R \text{Tor}_i^R(I^{n-1}/I^n, N), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

become for large n independent of n .

Received by the editors August 5, 1991.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13A30; Secondary 13E05.

Key words and phrases. Associated prime, attached prime, asymptotic prime, Tor, Ext, Rees ring.

The second author was supported by a SERC Grant (No. GR /F/ 55584).

Theorem 2. *For a given $i \geq 0$ the sequences of finite sets of attached prime ideals $\text{Att}_R \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I^n, A)$ and $\text{Att}_R \text{Ext}_R^i(I^{n-1}/I^n, A)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, become for large n independent of n .*

In the proof of Sharp’s result (see [6]), it is not necessary to assume that R is a noetherian ring. By a technique of Kirby (see [2]), it is possible to reduce the problem to the case of a finitely generated ideal. The authors conjecture that Theorem 2 is true also for a finitely generated ideal I in an arbitrary commutative ring R .

On the other hand, it seems natural to ask for a uniform bound in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, respectively. Namely, are the following sets of prime ideals

$$\bigcup_{i \geq 0} \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \text{Ass}_R \text{Tor}_i^R(R/I^n, N) \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \text{Att}_R \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I^n, A)$$

finite? This seems to be an interesting question related to homological properties of N and A , respectively. Moreover, is there a result corresponding to Theorem 1 for the sequences $\text{Ass}_R \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I^n, N)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$?

In the following two sections we prove Theorems 1 and 2, respectively. In the terminology we follow Matsumura’s book [4].

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let $S = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} S_n$ be a noetherian homogeneous R -algebra, i.e., $S = R[a_1, \dots, a_l]$, where $a_i \in S_1$, $i = 1, \dots, l$. For example S could be the Rees algebra $R(I)$ with respect to the ideal I of R .

2.1. **Lemma.** *Let $X = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} X_n$ be a finite graded S -module. Then for all large n*

$$\text{Ass}_R X_n = \{P \cap R : P \in \text{Ass } X, S_+ \not\subset P\},$$

where S_+ is the homogeneous ideal $\bigoplus_{n > 0} S_n$ of S .

Proof (cf. [5, Theorem 3.1]). Consider the graded S -submodule $0 :_X \langle S_+ \rangle$ of X and the corresponding quotient module $\bar{X} = X / 0 :_X \langle S_+ \rangle$. Then

$$\text{Ass}_S \bar{X} = \{P \in \text{Ass}_S X : S_+ \not\subset P\} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 :_{\bar{X}} S_+ = 0$$

as is easily seen. Since $0 :_X \langle S_+ \rangle$ is annihilated by some power of S_+ , it is a finitely generated R -module. Therefore $(0 :_X \langle S_+ \rangle)_n = 0$ for all large n , so $\bar{X}_n \cong X_n$ for n large. With the above notation the maps

$$\bar{X}_n \ni x \mapsto (a_1 x, \dots, a_l x) \in \bar{X}_{n+1}$$

are injective for all n , note that $0 :_{\bar{X}} \langle S_+ \rangle = 0$, and consequently $\text{Ass}_R \bar{X}_n \subset \text{Ass}_R \bar{X}_{n+1}$ for all n . By [5, Proposition 3.2] we have that $\text{Ass}_R \bar{X} = \{P \cap R : P \in \text{Ass}_S \bar{X}\}$. Now $\text{Ass}_R \bar{X} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \text{Ass}_R \bar{X}_n$, so the conclusion of the lemma follows. \square

2.2. **Lemma.** *For each i the sequence $\text{Ass}_R \text{Tor}_i^R(X_n, N)$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, becomes eventually constant.*

Proof. Note that $\text{Tor}_i^R(X, N)$ can be given a structure of a finite graded S -module, whose component in degree n is R -isomorphic to $\text{Tor}_i^R(X_n, N)$. \square

Applying Lemma 2.2 to $S = R(I)$ and $X = G(I) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n/I^{n+1}$ we get the second assertion of Theorem 1. Furthermore if $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of graded S -modules, where X is assumed to be finite and Y considered as an R -module is flat, then $\text{Tor}_i^R(Z, N)$ is a finite S -module for all $i \geq 1$. Namely, from the exactness of $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ and the R -flatness of Y it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_i^R(Z, N) &\cong \text{Tor}_{i-1}^R(X, N) \quad \text{for } i \geq 2, \\ \text{Tor}_1^R(Z, N) &\cong \text{Ker}(X \otimes_R N \rightarrow Y \otimes_R N), \end{aligned}$$

which is a graded submodule of the finite graded S -module $X \otimes_R N$. Considering now $S = R(I)$ and the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R(I) \hookrightarrow R[t] \rightarrow R[t]/R(I) \rightarrow 0$ we get the first assertion of Theorem 1, so the proof of Theorem 1 is now complete.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

3.1. Lemma. *Let $S = R[a_1, \dots, a_l]$ be a graded R -algebra, where $a_i \in S_1$, $i = 1, \dots, l$. Let Y be a graded artinian S -module. Then*

$$\text{Att}_R Y_{-n} = \{P \cap R : P \in \text{Att}_S Y, S_+ \not\subset P\}$$

for all large n .

Proof. Let $\bar{Y} = \langle S_+ \rangle Y$ be the graded S -submodule $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} (S_+)^n Y$ of Y , which is equal to $(S_+)^n Y$ for n large. Note that

$$\text{Att}_S \bar{Y} = \{P \in \text{Att}_S Y : S_+ \not\subset P\};$$

see [3, (3.3)]. Since Y/\bar{Y} is an artinian graded S -module annihilated by $(S_+)^n$ for some n , it is artinian also as an R -module, so $\bar{Y}_{-n} \cong Y_{-n}$ for all large n . Furthermore $\bar{Y} = S_+ \bar{Y}$, so the argument given in the proof of [5, Theorem 4.3] shows that $\text{Att}_R \bar{Y}_{-n}$ is for large n equal to $\{P \cap R : P \in \text{Att}_S \bar{Y}\}$. \square

Remark. As it follows from the proof, it is not necessary to assume R to be noetherian in Lemma 3.1.

For a graded S -module X put

$$H(X, A) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_R(X_{-n}, A),$$

which is in a natural way a graded S -module. The multiplication map $S_m \times X_{-(m+n)} \rightarrow X_{-n}$ induces the multiplication map

$$S_m \times \text{Hom}_R(X_{-n}, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X_{-(m+n)}, A).$$

If X is a finite S -module, $H = H(X, A)$ is an artinian S -module. To prove this, use [5, Corollary 2.2]. One has only to note that from $S_1 X_n = X_{n+1}$ for all large n it follows that $(0 :_H S_+)_n = 0$ for all but finitely many n .

In a minimal resolution $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E_A^0 \rightarrow E_A^1 \rightarrow \dots$ of the artinian R -module A , the modules E_A^i , $i = 0, 1, \dots$, are all artinian. This implies that for each i there is an artinian graded S -module $E^i(X, A)$, namely a certain subquotient of $H(X, E_A^i)$, whose component in degree n is R -isomorphic to $\text{Ext}_R^i(X_{-n}, A)$. From Lemma 3.1 and the above discussion we get:

3.2. **Corollary.** *If X is a finite graded S -module, then for each i the sequence*

$$\text{Att}_R \text{Ext}_R^i(X_n, A), \quad n \in \mathbf{Z},$$

becomes eventually constant.

Applying Corollary 3.2 to $S = R(I)$ and $X = G(I)$ the second assertion of Theorem 2 now follows. Furthermore if we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ of graded S -modules, where X is supposed to be finite and Y considered as an R -module is projective, we have

$$E^i(Z, A) \cong E^{i-1}(X, A) \quad \text{for } i \geq 2,$$

$$E^1(Z, A) \cong \text{Coker}(H(Y, A) \rightarrow H(X, A)).$$

Therefore $E^i(Z, A)$ is for each $i \geq 1$ an artinian graded S -module. By Lemma 3.1 for each $i \geq 1$ the sequence $\text{Att}_R \text{Ext}_R^i(Z_n, A)$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, becomes eventually constant. Applying this to $S = R(I)$ and the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R(I) \hookrightarrow R[t] \rightarrow R[t]/R(I) \rightarrow 0$ we obtain the first assertion of Theorem 2. The case $i = 0$ is known, since $\text{Hom}_R(R/I^n, A) \cong 0 :_A I^n$ (see, e.g., [6]). The proof of Theorem 2 is now complete.

REFERENCES

1. M. Brodmann, *Asymptotic stability of $\text{Ass}(M/I^n M)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1979), 16–18.
2. D. Kirby, *Artinian modules and Hilbert polynomials*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **24** (1973), 47–57.
3. I. G. Macdonald, *Secondary representations of modules over a commutative ring*, Sympos. Math., vol. 11, Academic Press, London and New York, 1973, pp. 23–43.
4. H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1986.
5. L. Melkersson, *On asymptotic stability for sets of prime ideals connected with the powers of an ideal*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **107** (1990), 267–271.
6. R. Y. Sharp, *Asymptotic behaviour of certain sets of attached prime ideals*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **34** (1986), 212–218.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LUND, BOX 118, S – 221 00 LUND, SWEDEN

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, MARTIN-LUTHER-UNIVERSITÄT HALLE-WITTENBERG, POSTFACH, O – 4010 HALLE, GERMANY