

FEKETE-SZEGÖ INEQUALITIES FOR CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

R. R. LONDON

(Communicated by Clifford J. Earle, Jr.)

ABSTRACT. Let $K(\beta)$ denote the class of normalised close-to-convex functions of order β defined in the unit disc, and let $f \in K(\beta)$ with $f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \dots$. Sharp bounds are obtained for $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$, where μ is real.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let the function f be given by

$$(1) \quad f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \dots \quad (|z| < 1).$$

A classical result of Fekete and Szegő [1] determines the maximum value of $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$, as a function of the real parameter μ , for the class of univalent functions f . There are now several results of this type in the literature, each of them dealing with $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ for various classes of functions f (see, e.g., [3–6]).

In this paper we consider the problem for the class $K(\beta)$ of close-to-convex functions of order β in the sense of Pommerenke [7]. Thus $f \in K(\beta)$ if and only if f is given by (1) and for some starlike function g satisfies

$$(2) \quad \left| \arg \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right| \leq \frac{\pi\beta}{2}$$

for $|z| < 1$ and $\beta \geq 0$. A recent paper by Abdel-Gawad and Thomas [2] contains a partial proof of the following theorem, the last two inequalities remaining unproved for $\beta > 1$.

Theorem. Let $f \in K(\beta)$ and be given by (1). Then for $\beta \geq 0$

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}(1 + (2 - 3\mu)(\beta + 1)^2) & \text{if } \mu \leq \frac{2\beta}{3(\beta+1)}, \\ \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + 2\beta + \frac{2(2-3\mu)}{2-\beta(2-3\mu)} \right) & \text{if } \frac{2\beta}{3(\beta+1)} \leq \mu \leq \frac{2}{3}, \\ \frac{1}{3}(1 + 2\beta) & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \mu \leq \frac{2(\beta+2)}{3(\beta+1)}, \\ \frac{1}{3}(-1 + (3\mu - 2)(\beta + 1)^2) & \text{if } \mu \geq \frac{2(\beta+2)}{3(\beta+1)}. \end{cases}$$

Received by the editors July 5, 1990.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45.

For each μ there are functions in $K(\beta)$ such that equality holds in all cases.

We give a simple proof of the complete theorem.

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

We shall require the following:

Lemma [8, pp. 41, 166]. *Let $h(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ satisfy $\operatorname{Re} h(z) > 0$ ($|z| < 1$). Then $|c_k| \leq 2$ ($k \geq 1$) and*

$$|c_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_1^2| \leq 2 - \frac{1}{2}|c_1|^2.$$

Let $f \in K(\beta)$. Then it follows from (2) that we may write

$$zf'(z) = g(z)h^\beta(z),$$

where g is starlike and h has positive real part. Let $g(z) = z + b_2z^2 + b_3z^3 + \dots$, and let h be given as in the lemma above. Then by equating coefficients we obtain

$$2a_2 = \beta c_1 + b_2$$

and

$$3a_3 = \frac{1}{2}\beta(\beta - 1)c_1^2 + \beta c_2 + \beta c_1 b_2 + b_3,$$

so, with $X = \frac{1}{2}(2 - 3\mu)$,

$$(3) \quad 3(a_3 - \mu a_2^2) = b_3 - \frac{3}{4}\mu b_2^2 + \beta(c_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\beta X - 1)c_1^2) + \beta X c_1 b_2.$$

Since rotations of f also belong to $K(\beta)$, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $a_3 - \mu a_2^2$ is positive. Thus we now estimate $\operatorname{Re}(a_3 - \mu a_2^2)$.

For some function $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots$ ($|z| < 1$) with positive real part, we have $zg'(z) = g(z)p(z)$; hence, by equating coefficients, $b_2 = p_1$ and $b_3 = \frac{1}{2}(p_2 + p_1^2)$. So by the lemma,

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(b_3 - \frac{3}{4}\mu b_2^2) &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(p_2 - \frac{1}{2}p_1^2) + \frac{3}{4}(1 - \mu) \operatorname{Re} p_1^2 \\ &\leq 1 - \rho^2 + (1 + 2X)\rho^2 \cos 2\phi, \end{aligned}$$

where $b_2 = p_1 = 2\rho e^{i\phi}$ for some ρ in $[0, 1]$. We also have

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(c_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\beta X - 1)c_1^2) &= \operatorname{Re}(c_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_1^2) + \frac{1}{2}\beta X \operatorname{Re} c_1^2 \\ &\leq 2(1 - r^2) + 2\beta X r^2 \cos 2\theta, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_1 = 2re^{i\theta}$ for some r in $[0, 1]$. From (3)–(5) we obtain

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} 3(a_3 - \mu a_2^2) &\leq 1 - \rho^2 + (1 + 2X)\rho^2 \cos 2\phi + 2\beta(1 - r^2 + r^2\beta X \cos 2\theta) \\ &\quad + 4\beta X r \rho \cos(\theta + \phi), \end{aligned}$$

and we now proceed to maximize the right-hand side of (6). This function will be denoted $\psi(X)$ whenever all the parameters except X are held constant.

Assume that $2\beta/3(\beta + 1) \leq \mu \leq 2/3$ so that $0 \leq X \leq 1(1 + \beta)$. The expression $-t^2 + t^2\beta X \cos 2\theta + 2Xt$ is largest when $t = X/(1 - \beta X \cos 2\theta)$, so

$$-t^2 + t^2\beta X \cos 2\theta + 2Xt \leq \frac{X^2}{1 - \beta X \cos 2\theta} \leq \frac{X^2}{1 - \beta X}.$$

Thus

$$\psi(X) \leq 1 + 2X + 2\beta \left(1 + \frac{X^2}{1 - \beta X} \right) = 1 + 2\beta + \frac{2(2 - 3\mu)}{2 - \beta(2 - 3\mu)},$$

and with (6) this establishes the second inequality in the theorem.

It is now to prove the first inequality. Let $\mu < 2\beta/3(\beta + 1)$, so that $X > 1/(1 + \beta)$. With $X_0 = 1/(1 + \beta)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(X) &= \psi(X_0) + 2(X - X_0)(\rho^2 \cos 2\phi + \beta^2 r^2 \cos 2\theta + 2\rho\beta r \cos(\theta + \phi)) \\ &\leq \psi(X_0) + 2(X - X_0)(\beta + 1)^2 \leq 1 + (2 - 3\mu)(\beta + 1)^2 \end{aligned}$$

as required.

Let $X_1 = -1/(1 + \beta)$. We shall find that $\psi(X_1) \leq 2\beta + 1$, and the remaining inequalities follow easily from this one. By an argument similar to the one above, we obtain

$$\psi(X) \leq \psi(X_1) + 2|X - X_1|(\beta + 1)^2 \leq -1 + (3\mu - 2)(\beta + 1)^2$$

if $X \leq X_1$, that is, $\mu \geq 2(\beta + 2)/3(\beta + 1)$. Also, for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\lambda X_1) &= \lambda\psi(X_1) + (1 - \lambda)\psi(0) \\ &\leq \lambda(2\beta + 1) + (1 - \lambda)(2\beta + 1) = 2\beta + 1, \end{aligned}$$

so $\psi(X) \leq 2\beta + 1$ for $X_1 \leq X \leq 0$, i.e., $2/3 \leq \mu \leq 2(\beta + 2)/3(\beta + 1)$.

We now show that $\psi(X_1) \leq 2\beta + 1$. We have

$$-t^2 + t^2\beta X \cos 2\theta + 2Xt\rho \cos(\theta + \phi) \leq \frac{X^2\rho^2 \cos 2(\theta + \phi)}{1 - \beta X \cos 2\theta}$$

for all real t , so

$$\psi(X) - 1 - 2\beta \leq \rho^2 \left[-1 + (1 + 2X) \cos 2\phi + \frac{\beta X^2(1 + \cos 2(\theta + \phi))}{1 - \beta X \cos 2\theta} \right].$$

Thus we consider the inequality

$$\beta X^2(1 + \cos 2(\theta + \phi)) + (1 - \beta X \cos 2\theta)(-1 + (1 + 2X) \cos 2\phi) \leq 0$$

with $X = X_1$. After some simplification this becomes

$$\beta^2(\cos 2\phi - 1)(\cos 2\theta + 1) - \beta(1 + \cos 2\theta + \sin 2\theta \sin 2\phi) - 1 - \cos 2\phi \leq 0,$$

which is true if

$$(7) \quad 2\beta^2 \sin^2 \phi \cos^2 \theta + 2\beta \cos \theta \sin \theta \cos \phi \sin \phi + \cos^2 \phi \geq 0.$$

Now, for all real t ,

$$2t^2 + 2 \sin \theta \cos \phi t + \cos^2 \phi \geq 0,$$

so by taking $t = \beta \sin \phi \cos \theta$, we obtain (7). This completes the proof of the inequalities.

An examination of the proof shows that the four inequalities in the theorem are sharp if we take $c_1 = c_2 = b_2 = 2$, $b_3 = 3$ in the first case; $c_1 = 2(2 - 3\mu)/2 - \beta(2 - 3\mu)$, $c_2 = b_2 = 2$, $b_3 = 3$ in the second; $c_1 = b_2 = 0$,

$c_2 = 2$, $b_3 = 1$ in the third; and $c_1 = b_2 = 2i$, $c_2 = -2$, $b_3 = -3$ in the last. The corresponding functions f may be defined by taking $f'(z)$ respectively as

$$\frac{1}{(1-z)^2} \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\beta, \quad \frac{1}{(1-z)^2} \left(\lambda \frac{1+z}{1-z} + (1-\lambda) \frac{1-z}{1+z} \right)^\beta,$$

$$\frac{1}{1-z^2} \left(\frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2} \right)^\beta, \quad \frac{1}{(1-iz)^2} \left(\frac{1+iz}{1-iz} \right)^\beta,$$

where, in the second case,

$$\lambda = \frac{2 + (1-\beta)(2-3\mu)}{2(2-\beta(2-3\mu))}.$$

REFERENCES

1. M. Fekete and G. Szegő, *Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte Funktionen*, J. London Math. Soc. **8** (1933), 85–89.
2. H. R. Abdel-Gawad and D. K. Thomas, *The Fekete-Szegő problem for strongly close-to-convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1992), 345–349.
3. F. R. Keogh and E. P. Merkes, *A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1969), 8–12.
4. W. Koepf, *On the Fekete-Szegő problem for close-to-convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1987), 89–95.
5. —, *On the Fekete-Szegő problem for close-to-convex functions. II*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **49** (1987), 420–433.
6. A. Pfluger, *The Fekete-Szegő inequality for complex parameters*, Complex Variables **7** (1986), 149–160.
7. Ch. Pommerenke, *On close-to-convex analytic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1965), 176–186.
8. —, *Univalent functions*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SWANSEA,
SWANSEA SA2 8PP, WALES