

A TRANSITIVITY THEOREM FOR ALGEBRAS OF ELEMENTARY OPERATORS

BOJAN MAGAJNA

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and \mathcal{E} the algebra of all elementary operators on \mathcal{A} , and let $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $\vec{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n$. It is proved that \vec{b} is contained in the closure of the set $\{(Ea_1, \dots, Ea_n) : E \in \mathcal{E}\}$ if and only if each complex linear combination $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j b_j$ is contained in the closed two-sided ideal generated by $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j a_j$. In particular, a bounded linear operator on \mathcal{A} preserves all closed two-sided ideals if and only if it is in the strong closure of \mathcal{E} .

1. INTRODUCTION, MOTIVATION, AND NOTATION

An *elementary operator* on a ring \mathcal{A} is a map $E: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ of the form

$$Ex = \sum_{i=1}^m u_i x v_i \quad (x \in \mathcal{A}),$$

where $\vec{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$ and $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_m)$ are fixed m -tuples of elements of \mathcal{A} . In the last decade there has been considerable interest in such operators, especially in the cases when \mathcal{A} is the algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of all bounded operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , the Calkin algebra or a general prime C^* -algebra (see [1, 7, 8] and their bibliographies). In this note we will be concerned with algebras of elementary operators on general C^* -algebras.

Our study here is motivated by the following classical algebraic considerations. For any unital algebra \mathcal{A} (over some field) the set \mathcal{E} of all elementary operators on \mathcal{A} is again an algebra (with the usual operations of addition, multiplication by scalars, and composition of operators). The algebra \mathcal{A} itself can be regarded as a (left) module over \mathcal{E} , the submodules of which are precisely the two-sided ideals of \mathcal{A} , and the module endomorphisms of \mathcal{A} are just the multiplications by elements of the centre \mathcal{Z} of \mathcal{A} . For any positive integer n the direct sum \mathcal{A}^n of n copies of \mathcal{A} is then, of course, also an \mathcal{E} -module. Let us consider the following question.

Given $\vec{a}, \vec{b} \in \mathcal{A}^n$, under what conditions does there exist an elementary operator $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $E\vec{a} = \vec{b}$ (that is, $Ea_j = b_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$)?

Received by the editors August 16, 1991.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47D99, 46L05; Secondary 47B47.

Key words and phrases. Elementary operators, C^* -algebra, von Neumann algebra.

One necessary condition is obvious: since $Ea_j = b_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) implies that $E(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j a_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j b_j$ for arbitrary central elements $\lambda_j \in \mathcal{A}$, we see that $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j b_j$ must be in the two-sided ideal generated by $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j a_j$. To shorten the notation, for every $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{E}^n$ and every $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ the linear combination $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j$ will be denoted by $\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{x}$. Also, let us agree that the word 'ideal' means a two-sided ideal and, for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$, denote by $\langle x \rangle$ the ideal generated by x . We may now ask the following

Question 1. *Is the condition that $\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{b} \in \langle \vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{a} \rangle$ for each $\vec{\lambda} \in \mathcal{E}^n$ also sufficient for the existence of an elementary operator E on \mathcal{A} such that $E\vec{a} = \vec{b}$?*

In the special case, when \mathcal{A} has no nontrivial ideals, the answer to the last question is affirmative. Namely, in this case the condition reduces to the requirement that $\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{a} = 0$ implies $\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{b} = 0$ (for each $\vec{\lambda} \in \mathcal{E}^n$), and since \mathcal{A} is a simple \mathcal{E} -module, the existence of $E \in \mathcal{E}$ satisfying $E\vec{a} = \vec{b}$ follows from the Jacobson density theorem [11, p. 220]. In general, however, the answer to Question 1 is negative, as is shown by the following example.

Example. Let \mathcal{H} be an infinite-dimensional (complex) vector space, \mathcal{L} the algebra of all linear operators on \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{F} the ideal of all finite rank operators, and $c \in \mathcal{L}$ a fixed operator such that $c \notin \mathcal{F}$ and $c^2 \in \mathcal{F}$. Let \mathcal{A} be the subalgebra of \mathcal{L} generated by \mathcal{F} , c , and the identity operator 1, and put $\vec{a} = (1, c)$, $\vec{b} = (1, c^2)$. Then clearly the centre of \mathcal{A} consists of scalars only, and it is easy to verify that the only proper ideals in \mathcal{A} are 0, \mathcal{F} , and the ideal generated by $\mathcal{F} \cup \{c\}$. It follows that, with $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathcal{E}^2$, the ideal $\langle \vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{a} \rangle = \langle \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 c \rangle$ is proper only if $\lambda_1 = 0$, and the condition $\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{b} \in \langle \vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{a} \rangle$ is satisfied for all $\vec{\lambda} \in \mathcal{E}^2$. On the other hand, we shall now see that there is no elementary operator E on \mathcal{A} such that $E\vec{a} = \vec{b}$.

Assume to the contrary, that there exist $u_i, v_i \in \mathcal{A}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m u_i 1 v_i = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^m u_i c v_i = c^2.$$

Since the quotient algebra \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{F} is obviously commutative, the last two identities imply that $c^2 = c \pmod{\mathcal{F}}$, but this is in contradiction with the fact that $c \notin \mathcal{F}$, $c^2 \in \mathcal{F}$.

We are now going to say a few words about the analogy of the above purely algebraic question in the context of Banach algebras. Let \mathcal{A} be a complex unital Banach algebra, and denote by $[x]$ the closed ideal generated by an element $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Given a positive integer n and $\vec{a}, \vec{b} \in \mathcal{A}^n$ we may now ask

Question 2. *When does there exist a sequence of elementary operators E_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) on \mathcal{A} such that $E_k \vec{a}$ converge to \vec{b} ?*

Obviously a necessary condition for the existence of such a sequence of elementary operators is that $\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{b} \in [\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{a}]$ for each $\vec{\lambda} \in \mathcal{E}^n$, but a simple modification of the example shows that this condition is not sufficient. (Namely, in the Example we replace \mathcal{L} by the algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of all bounded linear operators on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , and \mathcal{F} by the ideal $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ of all

compact operators; we choose $c \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \setminus \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ so that $c^2 \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$, and let \mathcal{A} be the Banach algebra generated by $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$, c , and the identity operator.) Concerning general Banach algebras, we state here only one simple result.

Proposition 1.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a complex unital Banach algebra, and assume that $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n$ is such that $[\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{a}] = \mathcal{A}$ for every $\vec{\lambda} \neq 0$, $\vec{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then for every $\vec{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n$ there exists an elementary operator E on \mathcal{A} such that $E\vec{a} = \vec{b}$.*

Proof. The proof is by an induction on n . The case $n = 1$ is trivial, so let $n > 1$. We must prove that $\mathcal{E}\vec{a} = \mathcal{A}^n$, and for this it suffices to prove that there exists $F_n = F \in \mathcal{E}$ satisfying

$$(1) \quad Fa_j = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n-1 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle Fa_n \rangle = \mathcal{A}.$$

For then, by the same argument, there exists for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ an $F_i \in \mathcal{E}$ satisfying $F_i a_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$ and $\langle F_i a_i \rangle = \mathcal{A}$, and choosing $E_i \in \mathcal{E}$ so that $E_i F_i a_i = b_i$ (which is possible, since $\langle F_i a_i \rangle = \mathcal{A}$), we see that the operator $E \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n E_i F_i$ then satisfies $E\vec{a} = \vec{b}$. To prove the existence of F satisfying (1), suppose, to the contrary, that no such F exists, and denote by \mathcal{N} the left ideal in \mathcal{E} consisting of all $F \in \mathcal{E}$ that satisfy $F(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = 0$. Note that $\mathcal{N}a_n$ is an \mathcal{E} -submodule of \mathcal{A} and hence, an ideal in \mathcal{A} . Since by assumption no $F \in \mathcal{E}$ satisfies (1), $1 \notin \mathcal{N}a_n$; therefore, $\mathcal{N}a_n$ is contained in some proper maximal ideal \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{A} . By the induction hypothesis we have $\mathcal{E}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = \mathcal{A}^{n-1}$. Since $\mathcal{N}a_n \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, the map

$$\varphi: \mathcal{A}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} / \mathcal{M}, \quad \varphi(Fa_1, \dots, Fa_{n-1}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Fa_n + \mathcal{M} \quad (F \in \mathcal{E})$$

is a well-defined homomorphism of \mathcal{E} -modules. Let $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}$ be the components of φ (that is, $\varphi_i: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} / \mathcal{M}$ are \mathcal{E} -module homomorphisms such that $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \varphi_j(x_j)$ for each $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{A}^{n-1}$). For each j the kernel of φ_j must contain \mathcal{M} (since for each $m \in \mathcal{M}$ we have $\varphi_j(m) = \varphi_j(m1) = m\varphi_j(1) = 0$ in $\mathcal{A} / \mathcal{M}$). Hence φ_j induces an endomorphism λ_j of the \mathcal{E} -module $\mathcal{A} / \mathcal{M}$. Such an endomorphism is necessarily a multiplication by a central element of $\mathcal{A} / \mathcal{M}$, but the centre of each simple algebra is a field and the only field among the complex Banach algebras is the field \mathbb{C} of all complex numbers. It follows that $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$ for each j . By the definition of φ we now have

$$a_n + \mathcal{M} = \varphi(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_j a_j + \mathcal{M}.$$

Hence $a_n - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_j a_j \in \mathcal{M}$, but this contradicts the assumption that $[\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{a}] = \mathcal{A}$ for each nonzero $\vec{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. \square

In the remaining part of this note we confine our attention to C^* -algebras, where the necessary condition that $\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{b} \in [\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{a}]$ for each $\vec{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ turns out to be sufficient also for \vec{b} to be in the norm closure of the set $\mathcal{E}\vec{a}$. At the same time the analogous question in the context of von Neumann algebras is answered.

At the end we shall also consider the so-called range inclusion problem for elementary operators on factors.

2. THE CASE OF C^* -ALGEBRAS

Theorem 2.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra, \mathcal{E} the algebra of all elementary operators on \mathcal{A} , n a positive integer, and $\vec{a}, \vec{b} \in \mathcal{A}^n$. Then \vec{b} belongs to the norm closure $\overline{\mathcal{E}\vec{a}}$ of $\mathcal{E}\vec{a}$ if and only if for each $\vec{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ the element $\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{b}$ is contained in the closed ideal $[\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{a}]$ generated by $\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{a}$.*

If \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra, then \vec{b} belongs to the closure $\overline{\mathcal{E}\vec{a}}$ of $\mathcal{E}\vec{a}$ in the weak operator topology of \mathcal{A}^n if and only if for each $\vec{\lambda} \in \mathcal{E}^n$ (where \mathcal{E} is the centre of \mathcal{A}) the relation $\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{a} = 0$ implies $\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{b} = 0$.

For a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we denote by $M_{m,n}(\mathcal{R})$ the set of all $m \times n$ matrices with entries from \mathcal{R} , and we let $M_n(\mathcal{R}) = M_{n,n}(\mathcal{R})$. Further, we identify \mathcal{R}^n with $M_{n,1}(\mathcal{R})$ so that elements of \mathcal{R}^n are regarded as operators from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{H}^n . To prove Theorem 2.1 we first need a generalization of the well-known fact that every weakly closed ideal in a von Neumann algebra is generated by a central projection [4, p. 443].

Lemma 2.2. *Let \mathcal{R} be a von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then for each right \mathcal{R} -submodule \mathcal{M} in \mathcal{R}^n which is closed in the weak operator topology there exists an idempotent $p \in M_n(\mathcal{R})$ such that $\mathcal{M} = p\mathcal{R}^n$. Moreover, if \mathcal{M} is an \mathcal{R} -bimodule, then $p \in M_n(\mathcal{E})$, where \mathcal{E} is the centre of \mathcal{R} .*

Proof. Since \mathcal{M} is a right \mathcal{R} -module, we have

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{M}M_{1,n}(\mathcal{R})M_{n,1}(\mathcal{R}).$$

Observe that $\mathcal{M}M_{1,n}(\mathcal{R})$ is a right ideal in the von Neumann algebra $M_n(\mathcal{R})$. Hence there exists a projection $p \in M_n(\mathcal{R})$ such that $\overline{\mathcal{M}M_{1,n}(\mathcal{R})} = pM_n(\mathcal{R})$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}M_{1,n}(\mathcal{R})M_{n,1}(\mathcal{R}) \subseteq pM_n(\mathcal{R})M_{n,1}(\mathcal{R}) = pM_{n,1}(\mathcal{R}).$$

Since \mathcal{M} is closed in the weak operator topology, the reverse inclusion also holds:

$$\mathcal{M} = \overline{\mathcal{M}M_{1,n}(\mathcal{R})M_{n,1}(\mathcal{R})} \supseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}M_{1,n}(\mathcal{R})}M_{n,1}(\mathcal{R}) = pM_n(\mathcal{R})M_{n,1}(\mathcal{R}).$$

Hence $\mathcal{M} = pM_{n,1}(\mathcal{R}) = p\mathcal{R}^n$.

Finally, suppose that \mathcal{M} is an \mathcal{R} -bimodule. We have just proved that $\mathcal{M} = p\mathcal{R}^n$ for some projection $p \in M_n(\mathcal{R})$, and we must now show that $p \in M_n(\mathcal{E})$. Denote by $\mathcal{R}^{(n)}$ the subalgebra of $M_n(\mathcal{R})$ consisting of all diagonal matrices with the same element along the diagonal. Since \mathcal{M} is a left \mathcal{R} -module, we have $\mathcal{R}^{(n)}\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ or (equivalently) $(1-p)\mathcal{R}^{(n)}p\mathcal{R}^n = 0$. This implies that $(1-p)\mathcal{R}^{(n)}p = 0$; hence, p commutes with the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{R}^{(n)}$. It is well known (and easy to verify) that the commutant of $\mathcal{R}^{(n)}$ is $M_n(\mathcal{R}')$ (where \mathcal{R}' is the commutant of \mathcal{R}); hence, $p \in M_n(\mathcal{R}) \cap M_n(\mathcal{R}') = M_n(\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{R}') = M_n(\mathcal{E})$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We may identify the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} with its image under the universal representation on some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . It is well known, then,

that each bounded linear functional on \mathcal{A} can be uniquely extended to a weak-operator continuous linear functional on the weak-operator closure $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ of \mathcal{A} and, consequently, that $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{K}}} = \overline{\mathcal{K}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ for each convex subset \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{A} (where one bar denotes the closure in the weak operator topology and two bars denote the norm closure; see [4, p. 713]). It is clear that (if n is finite) these properties hold also for \mathcal{A}^n (in place of \mathcal{A}); in particular, for each $\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}^n$ we have $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}\vec{a}}} = \mathcal{A}^n \cap \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}\vec{a}}}$. Let $\vec{b} \in \mathcal{A}^n$ be such that $\vec{b} \notin \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}\vec{a}}}$. Then $\vec{b} \notin \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}\vec{a}}}$. Since $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}\vec{a}}}$ is an $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ -bimodule in $\overline{\mathcal{A}^n}$, by Lemma 2.2 there exists a projection $p \in M_n(\mathcal{E})$ (where \mathcal{E} is the centre of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$) such that $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}\vec{a}}} = p\overline{\mathcal{A}^n}$. With $q = 1 - p$, we now have $q\vec{a} = 0$ and $q\vec{b} \neq 0$ (since $\vec{b} \notin \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}\vec{a}}}$). Thus, if c_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) are the entries of a suitable row of q , then

$$(2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n c_j a_j = 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n c_j b_j \neq 0,$$

and $c_j \in \mathcal{E}$ for each j . Now choose any irreducible representation π of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $\pi(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j b_j) \neq 0$ (this is possible by elementary C^* -theory [10, p. 147]). Since the centre of each irreducible algebra consists only of scalar multiples of the identity we have $\pi(c_j) = \lambda_j 1$, where $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence relations (2) imply

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \pi(a_j) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \pi(b_j) \neq 0$$

or

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j a_j \in \ker \pi \cap \mathcal{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j b_j \notin \ker \pi \cap \mathcal{A}.$$

Thus, with $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, we have $\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{b} \notin [\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{a}]$. This proves the nontrivial part of the statement in the theorem concerning C^* -algebras.

The statement concerning von Neumann algebras follows immediately from Lemma 2.2: if $\vec{b} \notin \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}\vec{a}}}$, then there exists a projection $q \in M_n(\mathcal{E})$ such that $q\vec{a} = 0$ and $q\vec{b} \neq 0$; hence, for some row $\vec{\lambda}$ of q we have $\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{a} = 0$ and $\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{b} \neq 0$. \square

The following result is an obvious consequence of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{A} . Then the closure in the strong operator topology (= point-norm topology) of the algebra \mathcal{E} of all elementary operators on \mathcal{A} (as a subset of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$) consists precisely of operators $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ that satisfy $\varphi(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ for each closed ideal \mathcal{K} in \mathcal{A} .*

If \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra, then \mathcal{E} is a dense subset of the space of all bounded module endomorphisms of \mathcal{A} over the centre \mathcal{E} equipped with the point-weak operator topology. (Here the point-weak operator topology on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ is defined by the family of seminorms $\psi \rightarrow |\langle \psi(a)\xi, \eta \rangle|$, where ξ and η are arbitrary vectors from the Hilbert space on which \mathcal{A} is acting.)

An operator $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ is called a *local elementary operator* if for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists an elementary operator E (depending on x) such that

$\varphi x = Ex$. Larson and Sourour observed in [5] that for each infinite-dimensional Banach space \mathcal{X} there exist on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ nonelementary local elementary operators. Corollary 2.3 implies that on C^* -algebras local elementary operators can be strongly approximated by elementary operators.

To study the range inclusion problem for elementary operators, we shall need a sharper form of Proposition 1.1 for factors, but to prove it, we need a lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital prime C^* -algebra (or, more generally, a unital complex ultraprime Banach algebra in the sense [9]), \mathcal{E} the algebra of all elementary operators on \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{S} a finite-dimensional subspace of \mathcal{A} , and $b \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{S}$. Then there exists $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $E\mathcal{S} = 0$ and $Eb \neq 0$.*

Proof. The proof is by an induction on the dimension n of \mathcal{S} . First assume that $n = 1$, and choose a nonzero element $a \in \mathcal{S}$. If for each $E \in \mathcal{E}$ the condition $Ea = 0$ implies $Eb = 0$, then the correspondence $Ea \rightarrow Eb$ is a well-defined \mathcal{A} -bimodule homomorphism from the ideal $\mathcal{E}a$ to \mathcal{A} , which maps a to b . By [7, Proposition 2.5] (or, if \mathcal{A} is a general ultraprime Banach algebra, by [9, Theorem 4.1]) each such homomorphism is necessarily a multiplication by certain $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence we have $b = \gamma a$; but this is in contradiction with $b \notin \mathcal{S}$. Hence there exists an $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $Ea = 0$ and $Eb \neq 0$.

Now let n be any positive integer, assume inductively that the lemma holds for all subspaces of dimension at most n , and let \mathcal{S} be an arbitrary $(n+1)$ -dimensional subspace of \mathcal{A} . Choose a basis $\{a_1, \dots, a_n, a\}$ for \mathcal{S} and denote by \mathcal{T} the span of $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$. By the inductive hypothesis there exists $F \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $F\mathcal{T} = 0$ and $Fb \neq 0$. If $Fb \notin \mathbb{C}Fa$, then (by the already proved case $n = 1$) there exists $G \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $GFa = 0$ and $GFb \neq 0$; hence, $E \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} GF$ satisfies $E\mathcal{S} = 0$ and $Eb \neq 0$. Thus we may assume that $Fb = \alpha Fa$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Put $c = b - \alpha a$ and note that $Fc = 0$. Then $c \notin \mathcal{T}$ (since $b \notin \mathcal{S}$). Hence by the inductive hypothesis there exists $H \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $H\mathcal{T} = 0$ and $Hc \neq 0$. Since \mathcal{A} is a prime algebra and $Fa \neq 0$, $Hc \neq 0$, there exists $d \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $F(a)dH(c) \neq 0$. Finally, let $E \in \mathcal{E}$ be defined by

$$Ex = -F(x)dH(a) + F(a)dH(x) \quad (x \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Then $Ea = 0$, $E\mathcal{T} = 0$ (since $F\mathcal{T} = 0$ and $H\mathcal{T} = 0$), and

$$Eb = E(c + \alpha a) = Ec = -F(c)dH(a) + F(a)dH(c) = F(a)dH(c) \neq 0. \quad \square$$

In the proof of our last two results we shall also use the following observation: if f_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) is an increasing sequence of projections in a factor \mathcal{A} and $e \in \mathcal{A}$ is a projection such that $f_k \prec e$ for each k , then $f \preceq e$, where $f = \bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k$. If all projections f_k are finite, this follows from [12, Lemma 2.2, p. 310]. If some f_k is infinite, then the observation follows from the fact that any two infinite cyclic projections in a factor are equivalent [4, p. 414], by noting that the cardinal number of cyclic summands in f is less than or equal to the cardinal number of cyclic summands in e (since $f = f_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (f_{k+1} - f_k)$) and $f_{k+1} - f_k \prec e$, $f_1 \prec e$).

Proposition 2.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be a von Neumann factor, \mathcal{K} a closed ideal in \mathcal{A} , and a_1, \dots, a_n elements of \mathcal{A} linearly independent modulo \mathcal{K} . Then there exist ideals \mathcal{F}_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) in \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{F}_j \supset \mathcal{K}$ for each j (where the*

symbol \supset is used in the strict sense; that is, $\mathcal{F}_j \neq \mathcal{K}$) and

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{E}\vec{a} \supseteq \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathcal{F}_j,$$

where $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

Proof. The proof is again by an induction on n . In the case $n = 1$ it suffices to prove that the ideal $\langle a_1 \rangle$ generated by a_1 contains \mathcal{K} . Since $a_1 \notin \mathcal{K}$, we see (using the polar decomposition of a_1 and the spectral theorem) that the spectral projection e of $|a_1|$ corresponding to a certain positive interval satisfies $e \notin \mathcal{K}$ and $e \in \langle a_1 \rangle$. Hence it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \langle e \rangle$. For this, it suffices to show that $b \in \langle e \rangle$ for each positive b in \mathcal{K} . For each $k = 1, 2, \dots$, let f_k be the spectral projection of b corresponding to the interval $[1/k, \|b\|]$. Then $f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k$ is the range projection of b ; hence, $b = fb$. From $f_k \in \mathcal{K}$, $e \notin \mathcal{K}$, and the fact that any two projections in a factor are comparable [4, p. 408], it follows that $f_k \prec e$ for each k ; hence, $f \preceq e$. This implies that $f \in \langle e \rangle$; therefore, we have $b = fb \in \langle e \rangle$.

Now let $n > 1$. If we can prove that there exists an $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that

$$(4) \quad Ea_j = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ and } Ea_n \notin \mathcal{K},$$

then by a previous paragraph (applied to Ea_n) we have

$$\mathcal{E}\vec{a} \supseteq \mathcal{E}(0, \dots, 0, Ea_n) = 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0 \oplus \langle Ea_n \rangle \supset 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0 \oplus \mathcal{K},$$

and by applying the same arguments also to other components, the proposition follows. To prove (4), assume, to the contrary, that the condition $Ea_j = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ implies that $Ea_n \in \mathcal{K}$. Then the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: \mathcal{E}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{K}, \\ \varphi(Ea_1, \dots, Ea_{n-1}) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Ea_n + \mathcal{K} \quad (E \in \mathcal{E}) \end{aligned}$$

is a well-defined homomorphism of \mathcal{E} -modules. By the inductive hypothesis we have $\mathcal{E}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \supseteq \mathcal{K}^{n-1}$. The components φ_j of the restriction of φ to \mathcal{K}^{n-1} are \mathcal{E} -module homomorphisms from \mathcal{K} to \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{K} ; hence, $\varphi_j = 0$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ (since $\varphi_j(\mathcal{K}) = \varphi_j(\mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K}\varphi_j(\mathcal{K}) = 0$ in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{K}). It follows that $\varphi(\mathcal{K}^{n-1}) = 0$; hence, φ induces an \mathcal{E} -module homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}: \mathcal{E}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})/\mathcal{K}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{K}$. Identifying in the obvious way $\mathcal{E}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})/\mathcal{K}^{n-1}$ with $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(a_1 + \mathcal{K}, \dots, a_{n-1} + \mathcal{K})$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ denotes the algebra of all elementary operators on \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{K} , we can regard $\tilde{\varphi}$ as an $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ -module homomorphism from $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(a_1 + \mathcal{K}, \dots, a_{n-1} + \mathcal{K})$ to \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{K} such that $\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{E}(a_1 + \mathcal{K}), \dots, \tilde{E}(a_{n-1} + \mathcal{K})) = \tilde{E}(a_n + \mathcal{K})$ ($\tilde{E} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$). In particular, for any fixed $\tilde{E} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ we have $\tilde{E}(a_n + \mathcal{K}) = 0$ if $\tilde{E}(a_j + \mathcal{K}) = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n-1$. Hence by Lemma 2.4 $a_n + \mathcal{K}$ must be contained in the subspace of \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{K} spanned by $\{a_j + \mathcal{K} : j = 1, \dots, n-1\}$, but this is in contradiction with the assumed linear independence modulo \mathcal{K} of elements a_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$). (Here we have used the fact that \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{K} is a prime C^* -algebra, since the closed ideals in a factor are linearly ordered by inclusion [4, p. 451].) \square

Proposition 2.5 can be useful in studying the question of when the range of a fixed elementary operator is contained in a given (not necessarily closed) ideal \mathcal{I} of \mathcal{A} . In the special case $\mathcal{I} = 0$, Mathieu [7, 9] solved the problem for general prime C^* -algebras and ultraprime Banach algebras. In the case $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the question was studied in [3, 1, 2, 6]; in particular, the case $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of the following corollary was proved by Apostol and Fialkow [1, Theorem 3.1].

Corollary 2.6. *Let \mathcal{I} be a (not necessarily closed) ideal in a factor \mathcal{A} , and let E be an elementary operator on \mathcal{A} defined by*

$$Ex = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j x b_j \quad (x \in \mathcal{A}),$$

where $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\vec{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ are fixed elements in \mathcal{A}^n . If $E(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ and a_1, \dots, a_n are linearly independent modulo the norm closure $\overline{\mathcal{I}}$ of \mathcal{I} then each b_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) must be in \mathcal{I} .

Proof. We shall prove that $b_1 \in \mathcal{I}$; the proof that $b_j \in \mathcal{I}$ for $j > 1$ is the same. By Proposition 2.5 there exists an elementary operator F , say

$$Fx = \sum_{i=1}^m u_i x v_i \quad (x \in \mathcal{A}),$$

where $u_j, v_j \in \mathcal{A}$ are fixed, such that

$$(5) \quad Fa_j = 0 \text{ for } j = 2, \dots, n \text{ and } Fa_1 \notin \overline{\mathcal{I}}.$$

Since by hypothesis $E(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ and \mathcal{I} is an ideal, we have $\sum_{i=1}^m u_i E(v_i x) \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, which can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^m u_i \sum_{j=1}^n a_j v_i x b_j \in \mathcal{I} \quad (x \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Reversing the order of summation and using (5) we obtain that $(Fa_1)x b_1 \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Denoting Fa_1 by a and b_1 by b , we now have

$$(6) \quad a\mathcal{A}b \subseteq \mathcal{I} \text{ and } a \notin \overline{\mathcal{I}},$$

and we must prove that this implies that $b \in \mathcal{I}$.

Using the polar decomposition of a and b^* and the spectral theorem for $|a| = \sqrt{a^*a}$ it follows from (6) by classical arguments (see [4, §6.8]) that for some spectral projection e of $|a|$ we have

$$e\mathcal{A}|b^*| \subseteq \mathcal{I} \text{ and } e \notin \overline{\mathcal{I}}.$$

For each $k = 1, 2, \dots$ let f_k be the spectral projection of $|b^*|$ corresponding to $(1/k, \infty)$. Since any two projections in a factor are comparable [4, p. 408], there are now two cases: (i) $e \preceq f_k$ for some positive integer k ; (ii) $f_k \prec e$ for each k ; but, from $e\mathcal{A}|b^*| \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ we have $e\mathcal{A}f_k \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ for each k . Hence in the first case it follows that $e \in \mathcal{I}$ (since $e = e u f_k u^* \in \mathcal{I} u^* \subseteq \mathcal{I}$, where $u \in \mathcal{A}$ is a partial isometry with initial projection contained in f_k and final projection e), which is in contradiction with $e \notin \overline{\mathcal{I}}$. Thus, only case (ii) occurs. Since

$f_k \prec e$ for all k , the range projection $f = \bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k$ of $|b^*|$ satisfies $f \preceq e$. Denoting by v a partial isometry with initial projection f and final projection contained in e , we have $|b^*| = f|b^*| = v^*ev|b^*| \in \mathcal{F}$ (since $e\mathcal{A}|b^*| \subseteq \mathcal{F}$). Hence $b \in \mathcal{F}$ by polar decomposition. \square

REFERENCES

1. C. Apostol and L. Fialkow, *Structural properties of elementary operators*, *Canad. J. Math.* **38** (1986), 1485–1524.
2. L. Fialkow, *The range inclusion problem for elementary operators*, *Michigan Math. J.* **34** (1987), 451–459.
3. C. K. Fong and A. R. Sourour, *On the operator identity $\sum A_k X B_k = 0$* , *Canad. J. Math.* **31** (1979), 845–857.
4. R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras*, vols. I and II, Academic Press, London, 1983, 1986.
5. D. R. Larson and A. R. Sourour, *Local derivations and local automorphisms of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$* , *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, vol. 51, part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990, pp. 187–194.
6. B. Magajna, *A system of operator equations*, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **30** (1987), 200–209.
7. M. Mathieu, *Elementary operators on prime C^* -algebras. I*, *Math. Ann.* **284** (1989), 223–244.
8. —, *Applications of ultraprime Banach algebras in the theory of elementary operators*, Thesis, Tübingen, 1986.
9. —, *Rings of quotients of ultraprime Banach algebras, with applications to elementary operators*, *Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ.* **21** (1989), 297–317.
10. G. J. Murphy, *C^* -algebras and operator theory*, Academic Press, London, 1990.
11. R. S. Pierce, *Associative algebras*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 88, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
12. M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras. I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, JADRANSKA 19, LJUBLJANA 61000, SLOVENIJA

E-mail address: Bojan.Magajna@uni-lj.si