

## INVARIANT MANIFOLDS OF HYPERCYCLIC VECTORS

PAUL S. BOURDON

(Communicated by Theodore Gamelin)

**ABSTRACT.** We show that any hypercyclic operator on Hilbert space has a dense, invariant linear manifold consisting, except for zero, entirely of hypercyclic vectors.

Recently, Beauzamy [1–3] constructed examples of linear operators on Hilbert space having dense, invariant linear manifolds all of whose nonzero elements are hypercyclic. Using different techniques, Godefroy and Shapiro [8] showed how to construct such manifolds consisting of cyclic, supercyclic, or hypercyclic vectors. In this note, we show that *any* hypercyclic operator on a Hilbert space must have a dense, invariant linear manifold consisting, except for zero, entirely of hypercyclic vectors. Interest in constructing linear manifolds of cyclic/hypercyclic vectors arises from the invariant subspace/subset problem for linear operators on Hilbert space. If *all* of the nonzero vectors in a Hilbert space were, say, hypercyclic for an operator  $T$ , then  $T$  would have no nontrivial, closed invariant subsets (and hence, no nontrivial invariant subspaces).

A vector  $f$  in the (complex) Hilbert space  $H$  is *hypercyclic* for the bounded linear operator  $T: H \rightarrow H$  provided its orbit under  $T$ ,

$$\text{Orb}(T, f) := \{f, Tf, T^2f, \dots\},$$

is dense in  $H$ . If the set of scalar multiples of the elements of  $\text{Orb}(T, f)$  is dense in  $H$ , then  $f$  is *supercyclic* for  $T$ ; if the linear span of  $\text{Orb}(T, f)$  is dense in  $H$ , then  $f$  is *cyclic* for  $T$ . A bounded linear operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space is *hypercyclic*, *supercyclic*, or *cyclic* if it has, respectively, a hypercyclic, supercyclic, or cyclic vector. Hypercyclicity is a far more common phenomenon on Hilbert space than one might expect. For example, each of the following classes of linear maps contains hypercyclic operators: co-analytic Toeplitz operators [15, 8], compact perturbations of the identity [11, 6], translations [6], and composition operators [4, 5, 14]. Linear operators on finite-dimensional Hilbert space, however, are never hypercyclic, as the following proposition, due to Kitai [12], shows.

**Proposition.** *Suppose that  $T$  is hypercyclic on the Hilbert space  $H$ . Then the point spectrum of  $T^*$  is empty.*

---

Received by the editors November 4, 1991.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A15.

Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation (DMS 9023427).

*Proof.* Suppose that  $T^*$  has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  and that  $g$  is a corresponding eigenvector. Let  $f$  in  $H$  be arbitrary, and let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denote the inner product of  $H$ . Then

$$\{\langle g, T^n f \rangle : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} = \{\lambda^n \langle g, f \rangle : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is not dense in  $\mathbb{C}$ . It follows that  $f$  cannot be a hypercyclic vector; and because  $f$  was arbitrary,  $T$  cannot be hypercyclic.  $\square$

Of course, the proposition above may be restated: If  $T$  is hypercyclic, then  $T - \lambda$  has dense range for any complex  $\lambda$ . That  $T - \lambda$  has dense range whenever  $T$  is hypercyclic is the key to the proof of the following.

**Theorem.** *Suppose  $T$  is hypercyclic on the Hilbert space  $H$ . Then there is a dense invariant linear manifold of  $H$  consisting entirely, except for zero, of vectors that are hypercyclic for  $T$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a hypercyclic vector for  $T$ . Following Godefroy and Shapiro [8], we note that

$$M = \{p(T)f : p \text{ is a polynomial}\}$$

is a dense linear manifold of  $H$  invariant under  $T$  (dense, because  $M$  contains the orbit of the hypercyclic vector  $f$ ). We must show that every nonzero element of  $M$  is hypercyclic for  $T$ .

Let  $p(T)f$  be an arbitrary element of  $M$ . As pointed out in [8],  $p(T)f$  will be hypercyclic— $\text{Orb}(T, p(T)f)$  will be dense in  $H$ —provided  $p(T)$  has dense range. To see this, observe that since  $T$  commutes with  $p(T)$ ,

$$\text{Orb}(T, p(T)f) = p(T) \text{Orb}(T, f);$$

that is, the orbit of  $p(T)f$  under  $T$  is the image of  $\text{Orb}(T, f)$  under the map  $p(T)$ . Now, if  $p(T)$  has dense range then  $\text{Orb}(T, p(T)f)$  will be dense, being the image of the dense set  $\text{Orb}(T, f)$  under an operator with dense range. Thus, to establish the theorem it suffices to show that  $p(T)$  had dense range.

Express  $p$  as a product of linear factors;  $p(T)$  is a scalar times a product of factors of the form  $T - \lambda$ . By the proposition, each of the factors  $T - \lambda$  has dense range. Hence,  $p(T)$  has dense range because it may be written as a product of operators with dense range.  $\square$

A supercyclic operator need not have an invariant manifold all of whose nonzero elements are supercyclic (or cyclic). Let  $T$  be hypercyclic on the Hilbert space  $H$  ( $H \neq 0$ ) and let  $f$  be a hypercyclic vector for  $T$ . As pointed out in [10],  $T \oplus I$  is a supercyclic operator on  $H \oplus \mathbb{C}$  with supercyclic vector  $f \oplus 1$  (the closure of the orbit of  $f \oplus 1$  under  $T \oplus I$  is  $H \oplus 1$  and it follows that the closure of the set of scalar multiples of the elements of  $\text{Orb}(T \oplus I, f \oplus 1)$  is  $H \oplus \mathbb{C}$ ). Suppose that  $g \oplus \alpha$  is supercyclic or cyclic for  $T \oplus I$ . It easy to see that

$$g \oplus \alpha - (T \oplus I)(g \oplus \alpha) = (g - Tg) \oplus 0$$

is a nonzero vector that is not cyclic for  $T \oplus I$ . Hence, any nonzero invariant linear manifold for  $T \oplus I$  contains nonzero vectors that are not cyclic.

*Remarks.* 1. The results presented above are valid in a Banach space setting (with essentially the same proofs).

2. The circle of ideas discussed in this paper yields a short, simple argument showing that the linear span of the collection of cyclic vectors of a cyclic operator is dense [13, Remark 4; 7; 9, problem 166]. Suppose  $T$  is a cyclic operator on a Hilbert (or Banach) space with cyclic vector  $f$ . Choose  $\alpha$  large enough so that  $T - \alpha$  is invertible (e.g.,  $\alpha > \|T\|$ ). Because  $T$  commutes with  $(T - \alpha)^n$ ,  $(T - \alpha)^n f$  is cyclic for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence the linear span of  $\text{Orb}(T - \alpha, f)$  is contained in the linear span of the cyclic vectors for  $T$ ; moreover, the linear span of  $\text{Orb}(T - \alpha, f)$ , being equal to the linear span of  $\text{Orb}(T, f)$ , is dense.

## REFERENCES

1. B. Beauzamy, *Un opérateur sur l'espace de Hilbert, dont tous les polynômes sont hypercyclic*, C. R. Acad. Sci Paris Sér. I Math. **303** (1986), 923–927.
2. —, *An operator on a separable Hilbert space, with many hypercyclic vectors*, Studia Math. **87** (1988), 71–78.
3. —, *An operator on a separable Hilbert space with all polynomials hypercyclic*, Studia Math. **96** (1990), 81–90.
4. P. Bourdon and J. H. Shapiro, *Cyclic composition operators on  $H^2$* , Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 51, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990, pp. 43–53.
5. —, *Cyclic phenomena for composition operators* (in preparation).
6. K. C. Chan and J. H. Shapiro, *The cyclic behavior of translation operators on Hilbert spaces of entire functions*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **40** (1991), 1421–1449.
7. L. Gehér, *Cyclic vectors of a cyclic operator span the space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **33** (1972), 109–110.
8. G. Godefroy and J. H. Shapiro, *Operators with dense, invariant, cyclic vector manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **98** (1991), 229–269.
9. P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
10. D. A. Herrero, *Limits of hypercyclic and supercyclic operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **99** (1991), 179–190.
11. D. A. Herrero and Z. Wang, *Compact perturbations of hypercyclic and supercyclic operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **39** (1990), 819–830.
12. C. Kitai, *Invariant closed sets for linear operators*, Thesis, University of Toronto, 1982.
13. B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Vecteurs cycliques et quasi-affinités*, Studia Math. **31** (1968), 35–42.
14. M. Pavone, *Chaotic composition operators on trees*, Houston J. Math. **18** (1992), 47–56.
15. S. Rolewicz, *On the orbits of elements*, Studia Math. **32** (1969), 17–22.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WASHINGTON AND LEE UNIVERSITY, LEXINGTON, VIRGINIA 24450

*E-mail address*: bourdon.p.s.@p9955.wlu.edu